

Integrating High-Level Decisions with Distributed Safety Filter for Multi-Satellite Collision Avoidance

First A. Author* Second B. Author, Jr.**
Third C. Author***

* National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO 80305
USA (e-mail: author@boulder.nist.gov).

** Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523 USA (e-mail:
author@lamar.colostate.edu)

*** Electrical Engineering Department, Seoul National University,
Seoul, Korea, (e-mail: author@snu.ac.kr)

Abstract:

Satellite miniaturization and dense constellation deployments exacerbate collision risks in future orbital operations. While numerous collision avoidance strategies have been proposed, few reconcile agent-level safety with mission-level efficiency. In this paper, we propose a distributed inter-satellite collision avoidance framework embeded with high-level tuned priorities. First, we formulate “safe protocol” constraints between satellite pairs and enforce these constraints on their nominal controllers through distributed safety filters, which establishes collision-free coordination of the whole swarm. By introducing tunable priority parameters within the safety filter, collision evasion responsibilities become dynamically adjustable, enabling swarm behavior adaptation. We further demonstrate two methods to integrate with high-level decisions: cooperating with optimization to approximate global reference behaviors and cooperating with Large Language Models to accommodate to tasks, respectively. Theoretical analysis proves the safety guarantees, while numerical experiments validate the framework’s efficacy.

Keywords: Multi-satellite, Collision Avoidance, Control Barrier Function

1. INTRODUCTION

This document is a template for L^AT_EX 2_ε. If you are reading a paper or PDF version of this document, please download the electronic file `ifacconf.tex`. You will also need the class file `ifacconf.cls`. Both files are available on the IFAC web site.

Please stick to the format defined by the `ifacconf` class, and do not change the margins or the general layout of the paper. It is especially important that you do not put any running header/footer or page number in the submitted paper.¹ Use *italics* for emphasis; do not underline.

Page limits may vary from conference to conference. Please observe the page limits of the event for which your paper is intended. Able (1956)

2. PRELIMINARIES

We review High Order Control Barrier Function here, which is the fundamental technique in this paper.

Consider a general continuous time control-affine system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}, \quad (1)$$

* Sponsor and financial support acknowledgment goes here. Paper titles should be written in uppercase and lowercase letters, not all uppercase.
¹ This is the default for the provided class file.

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is the system input. \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} are locally Lipschitz continuous functions. The high order control barrier function is defined as follows.

Definition 1. Given a system (1) with relative degree r_b and r_b -th order differentiable function $h(\mathbf{x})$, define a series of functions $\Psi_r, r = 0, \dots, r_b$ recursively as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0 &= h(\mathbf{x}), \\ \Psi_k &= \dot{\Psi}_{k-1} + \alpha_k(\Psi_{k-1}(\mathbf{x})), k = 1, \dots, r_b, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha_k(\cdot)$ are extended class \mathcal{K}_∞ functions².

The zero-superlevel set of these defined functions are

$$\mathfrak{S}_r = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \Psi_r(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0\}, r = 0, \dots, r_b. \quad (3)$$

h is a *High Order Control Barrier Function (HOCBF)* for system (1), if there exists extended class \mathcal{K}_∞ functions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r_b}$ such that

$$\Psi_{r_b}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

stands for any $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathfrak{S} \times [0, \infty]$, where $\mathfrak{S} = \bigcap_{r=0}^{r_b} \mathfrak{S}_r$.

Theorem 1. (?). Following the definitions in Definition 1, once h is a HOCBF for system (1), \mathfrak{S} would be a *forward invariant set* for the system, i.e., $\mathbf{x}(0) \in \mathfrak{S}, \mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathfrak{S}, \forall t > 0$.

² A continuous function $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an extended class \mathcal{K}_∞ function if $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \alpha(x) = \pm\infty$.

3. PROBLEM FORMULATION

3.1 System Modelling

We consider N satellite agents whose dynamics governed by Clohessy-Wiltshire equations (Clohessy and Wiltshire, 1960). The dynamics of agent i in the reference orbit frame is described as

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{p}}_i \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_i \\ \mathbf{f}_{vi} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ E \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_i, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}_{vi} = \begin{bmatrix} -2\omega v_{yi} \\ 2\omega v_{xi} + 3\omega^2 v_{yi} \\ \omega^2 p_{zi} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{p}_i = [p_{xi} \ p_{yi} \ p_{zi}]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mathbf{v} = [v_{xi} \ v_{yi} \ v_{zi}]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are the position, velocity and acceleration of agent i , respectively. $\mathbf{0}$ and E are zero matrix and identity matrix with proper size, and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is the angular velocity of the reference orbit.

Assumption 1. $\mathbf{x}_j, j = 1, \dots, N$ and ω are known for any agent $i, i = 1, \dots, N$ and the high-level decision module.

This assumption is justified since agent i could estimate the state of agent j through relative position estimation techniques (?), and the high-level decision module (possibly ground control station or space station) could get these information through observation (?) or communication.

3.2 Safety Requirement

The safety requirement of satellite agents is to keep the safety distance between from each other. Denote $r_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ to be the safety distance of agent i , the safety requirement between agent i and agent j is then keeping the set

$$\mathfrak{S}_{0ij} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \mid d_{ij} = \|\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j\| \geq R_{ij} = r_i + r_j\} \quad (7)$$

forward invariant. And the safety requirement of the swarm is to keep

$$\mathfrak{S}_0 = \bigcap_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{S}_{0ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N \quad (8)$$

forward invariant.

3.3 Main Objective

The main objective of this paper is twofold:

- 1) Ensuring agent-level safety: for each agent i , given the local reference control \mathbf{u}_{ri} and observation $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1^\top \dots \mathbf{x}_n^\top]^\top$, synthesis the safeguarding policy $\mathbf{u}_i = \pi_i(\mathbf{u}_{ri}, \mathbf{X})$ to keep \mathfrak{S}_0 forward invariant for the swarm through control $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1^\top \dots \mathbf{u}_N^\top]^\top$.
- 2) Cooperating with high-level decisions:
 - Given the global reference control $\mathbf{U}_r = [\mathbf{u}_{gr1}^\top \dots \mathbf{u}_{grn}^\top]^\top$, tune $\pi_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ to approximate \mathbf{U}_r with \mathbf{U} .
 - Given the mission discribed with nature language, tune $\pi_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ to adjust the collision evasion responsibility of agent i based on its mission-level importance.

4. DISTRIBUTED SAFETY FILTER DESIGN

We first introduce the “safe protocol” constraint formulation, then give the distributed safety filter design based on the “safe protocol”.

For satellite i and satellite j , the “safe protocol” set for satellite i with satellite j is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{ij} = \{\mathbf{u}_i \mid & -\mathbf{n}_{ij}^\top \mathbf{u}_i \leq -\mathbf{n}_{ij}[(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{f}_i] \\ & + p_{ij}[\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (d_{ij} - R_{ij}) \\ & + \frac{1}{d_{ij}}(\|\mathbf{v}_{12}\|^2 - (\mathbf{n}_{12}^\top \mathbf{v}_{12})^2)]\} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

5. COOPERATING WITH HIGH-LEVEL DECISIONS

6. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

7. CONCLUSION

A conclusion section is not required. Although a conclusion may review the main points of the paper, do not replicate the abstract as the conclusion. A conclusion might elaborate on the importance of the work or suggest applications and extensions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Place acknowledgments here.

REFERENCES

- Able, B. (1956). Nucleic acid content of microscope. *Nature*, 135, 7–9.
- Clohessy, W.H. and Wiltshire, R.S. (1960). Terminal guidance system for satellite rendezvous. *Journal of the Aerospace Sciences*, 27(9), 653–658. doi:10.2514/8.8704.