

Development of a Configuration GUI for
MotionInput:
Enhancing Gaming Accessibility Through
Visual Profile Management

Yuma Noguchi

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
BSc Computer Science

Department of Computer Science
University College London

March 27, 2025

Abstract

This project addresses a critical challenge in gaming accessibility through the development of a sophisticated Configuration Graphical User Interface (GUI) for MotionInput, an adaptive software system enabling alternative computer interaction methods. The project transforms the complex, technical process of JSON-based configuration into an intuitive, visual interface, significantly reducing barriers for users with physical disabilities.

The implementation leverages modern technologies including the WinUI 3 framework and Stable Diffusion AI, architected using MVVM patterns and service-oriented design principles. The GUI integrates seamlessly with the MotionInput ecosystem while introducing innovative features such as AI-powered icon generation, real-time configuration validation, and an intuitive profile management system.

Key features include a visual profile editor with real-time preview capabilities, an AI-assisted icon studio, comprehensive action configuration tools, and a robust profile management system. The implementation adheres to WCAG 2.1 accessibility guidelines and incorporates extensive user feedback, supporting both basic and advanced configuration scenarios while maintaining high performance and reliability.

Evaluation results demonstrate significant improvements in accessibility and user experience, with user satisfaction rates reaching 90% in testing. The project establishes a new paradigm for accessible gaming configuration, combining technical sophistication with user-centric design to enhance gaming accessibility for users with diverse physical abilities.

Declaration

I, Yuma Noguchi, confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own. Where information has been derived from other sources, I confirm that this has been indicated in the thesis.

Signed: _____

Date: _____

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my project supervisor, Dr. Dean Mohamedally, for his invaluable guidance, support, and feedback throughout this project. His expertise and insights have been instrumental in shaping both the direction and outcome of this work.

I am deeply grateful to MotionInput team for their technical support and for providing me with the opportunity to contribute to such a meaningful project that makes a real difference in people's lives.

Special thanks to the UCL Computer Science department for providing the resources and environment that made this project possible.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout my academic journey.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Context and Motivation	1
1.2	Problem Statement	1
1.3	Project Objectives	2
1.4	Technical Approach	3
1.5	Contributions	3
1.6	Dissertation Structure	4
2	Background and Technical Context	5
2.1	Gaming Accessibility Landscape	5
2.2	Alternative Input Methods in Gaming	5
2.2.1	Computer Vision-Based Systems	5
2.2.2	MotionInput: Current Capabilities and Limitations	6
2.3	Analysis of Existing Configuration Interfaces	6
2.3.1	Hardware Configuration Tools	6
2.3.2	Game Development Tools	7
2.4	Research on Accessibility Interface Design	7
2.4.1	Principles for Accessible Configuration	7
2.5	Technical Foundation and Tool Selection	7
2.5.1	Framework Selection Justification	7
2.5.2	MVVM Architecture for Accessible Applications	8
2.5.3	AI Integration through ONNX Runtime	8
2.6	Research Gap and Project Contribution	9
2.7	Summary	9
3	Requirements Analysis and Specification	11
3.1	Research Methodology	11
3.1.1	User Research	11
3.2	Functional Requirements	11
3.2.1	Core Configuration Features	11
3.2.2	AI Integration Requirements	11
3.2.3	User Interface Requirements	12

3.3	Non-Functional Requirements	12
3.3.1	Performance Requirements	12
3.3.2	Usability Requirements	12
3.3.3	Technical Requirements	13
3.4	Data Management Requirements	13
3.4.1	Configuration Data Structure	13
3.4.2	Storage and Persistence	13
3.5	Evolution from Previous Versions	14
3.5.1	Previous Implementation Analysis	14
3.5.2	Key Design Decisions	14
3.5.3	Lessons Learned	14
3.6	Design Constraints	15
3.6.1	Technical Constraints	15
3.6.2	Development Constraints	15
3.7	Requirements Prioritization	15
3.7.1	MoSCoW Analysis	15
3.8	Validation Criteria	15
3.8.1	Acceptance Criteria	15
4	Implementation	17
4.1	System Architecture	17
4.1.1	MVVM Implementation	17
4.1.2	Core Components	17
4.2	User Interface Development	17
4.2.1	WinUI 3 Framework	17
4.2.2	Profile Editor Interface	18
4.3	AI Integration	18
4.3.1	ONNX Runtime Integration	18
4.3.2	Stable Diffusion Features	18
4.4	Performance Optimization	18
4.4.1	Threading Model	18
4.4.2	Resource Management	19
4.5	Integration with MotionInput	19
4.5.1	Core System Integration	19
4.5.2	Profile Deployment	19
4.6	Development Workflow	20
4.6.1	Version Control	20
4.6.2	Testing Implementation	20
5	Testing and Evaluation	21

5.1	Testing Strategy	21
5.1.1	Testing Approach	21
5.2	Unit Testing	21
5.2.1	Core Components Testing	21
5.2.2	AI Component Testing	21
5.3	Integration Testing	22
5.3.1	System Integration	22
5.3.2	MotionInput Integration	22
5.4	User Interface Testing	22
5.4.1	Functional Testing	22
5.4.2	Accessibility Testing	22
5.5	Performance Testing	23
5.5.1	Benchmark Results	23
5.5.2	Load Testing	23
5.6	User Evaluation	23
5.6.1	User Testing Sessions	23
5.6.2	Feedback Analysis	24
5.7	Testing Results	24
5.7.1	Test Coverage	24
5.7.2	Issues and Resolutions	24
6	Conclusion	25
6.1	Project Summary	25
6.1.1	Key Achievements	25
6.1.2	Technical Innovations	25
6.2	Critical Evaluation	25
6.2.1	Strengths	25
6.2.2	Limitations	26
6.3	Future Work	26
6.3.1	Potential Improvements	26
6.3.2	Research Opportunities	26
6.4	Personal Reflection	26
6.4.1	Learning Outcomes	26
6.4.2	Challenges Overcome	27
6.5	Impact Assessment	27
6.5.1	Accessibility Impact	27
6.5.2	Project Legacy	27
	References	27

A	Appendices	28
A.1	Development Setup	28
A.1.1	Environment Configuration	28
A.2	Code Examples	28
A.2.1	MVVM Implementation	28
A.2.2	AI Integration Code	29
A.3	Technical Documentation	29
A.3.1	API Documentation	29
A.4	User Guide	30
A.4.1	Installation Guide	30
A.4.2	User Manual	30
A.5	Performance Data	30
A.5.1	Benchmark Results	30
A.6	Project Timeline	30
A.6.1	Development Phases	30

List of Figures

List of Tables

2.1 Framework Comparison for Configuration GUI Implementation	8
---	---

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Context and Motivation

Digital gaming has evolved from a niche hobby to a mainstream cultural phenomenon, with over 3 billion gamers worldwide as of 2023 **newzoo2023**. However, this rapid growth has not been equally accessible to all. An estimated 46 million gamers in the United States alone have disabilities that affect their gaming experience **ablegamers2023**, with physical input limitations representing one of the most significant barriers to participation.

MotionInput represents a pioneering solution in this accessibility landscape. Developed at University College London, this software enables users with physical disabilities to interact with computers and video games through alternative input methods, including camera-based motion detection, voice commands, and adapted controllers. By translating natural body movements and gestures into standard input commands, MotionInput creates a bridge between users' physical capabilities and digital experiences.

While the core functionality of MotionInput has demonstrated significant value for users with diverse abilities, the configuration process has remained a substantial technical hurdle. As the system has evolved to support more sophisticated interaction patterns and game-specific profiles, the complexity of the underlying configuration system has increased proportionally, creating an accessibility paradox: software designed to improve accessibility has itself become less accessible due to configuration complexity.

1.2 Problem Statement

The existing configuration system for MotionInput relies on manual editing of JSON configuration files. This approach requires users to navigate complex nested JSON structures with strict syntax requirements and manually define input-to-action mappings through technical parameter specifications. Users must memorize specific parameter names and allowed values while troubleshooting configuration errors through cryptic error messages. Additionally, they must manage multiple configuration files across different applications and games, creating a significant cognitive burden.

This technical barrier severely limits the software's effectiveness across several

dimensions. The complexity deters potential users, particularly those with limited computing experience, effectively restricting adoption to those with technical backgrounds. Manual JSON editing inevitably leads to frequent syntax and logical errors, creating frustration and wasted time. The disconnect between technical configuration and visual outcomes produces a confusing user experience that contradicts the software’s accessibility mission. As a result, many users avoid creating custom configurations altogether, limiting the potential benefits of the software, while those who attempt customization often require technical assistance from developers or community members.

These issues fundamentally undermine the core mission of MotionInput: to improve digital accessibility. A solution that addresses these configuration barriers is essential to realizing the full potential of the technology and reaching a broader audience of users who could benefit from its capabilities.

1.3 Project Objectives

This project aims to transform the MotionInput configuration experience through a purpose-built graphical user interface that replaces manual JSON editing with intuitive visual tools. The primary goal is to create an interface that maintains the full functionality and flexibility of the underlying system while eliminating the technical barriers that currently limit its accessibility.

At the heart of this transformation is the development of a visual profile management system that allows users to create, edit, and manage input profiles without any knowledge of JSON structure or syntax. This system will provide immediate visual feedback through real-time preview capabilities, helping users understand the relationship between configuration changes and resulting behaviors.

To enhance the visual distinctiveness of different profiles, the system will integrate AI-generated visual elements leveraging Stable Diffusion technology. This innovation will allow users to automatically generate context-appropriate icons and visual cues based on simple text descriptions, eliminating the need for manual graphic design work.

Throughout development, accessibility will remain a central focus, ensuring the configuration interface itself follows WCAG 2.1 accessibility guidelines. This adherence to standards will include screen reader compatibility, keyboard navigation support, and appropriate color contrast, making the configuration interface accessible to users with diverse abilities.

Technical integration objectives include ensuring seamless compatibility with the existing MotionInput ecosystem and optimizing performance to deliver responsive interactions even on modest hardware configurations. Success will be measured

through comprehensive usability testing, error rate reduction, configuration time improvements, and user satisfaction metrics.

1.4 Technical Approach

The project employs a comprehensive technical approach centered on modern Windows development technologies and AI integration. The WinUI 3 framework serves as the foundation for the user interface, selected for its modern UI capabilities, performance characteristics, and native Windows integration. This framework provides fluid animations, responsive layouts, and comprehensive accessibility features essential to the project's success.

The implementation follows the Model-View-ViewModel (MVVM) architectural pattern, ensuring clear separation of concerns between the data model, business logic, and user interface elements. This pattern not only improves code organization and maintainability but also facilitates comprehensive testing of individual components without dependencies on other parts of the system.

A key innovation in the project is the integration of AI capabilities through Stable Diffusion, implemented using the ONNX Runtime. This integration enables intelligent, context-aware visual element generation, significantly reducing the barrier to creating visually distinct and meaningful profiles. The service-oriented design approach modularizes functionality into discrete, reusable services that handle specific aspects of the application's behavior, from file management to configuration validation to AI processing.

Accessibility considerations permeate every aspect of the development process, with implementations of screen reader support, keyboard navigation, high contrast compatibility, and other essential accessibility features. This accessibility-first development approach ensures that the configuration tool itself does not create new barriers for the users it aims to serve.

This technical foundation enables the creation of a sophisticated yet intuitive interface while maintaining the performance and reliability required for a seamless user experience across a wide range of hardware configurations.

1.5 Contributions

This project makes several significant contributions to the field of accessible gaming and human-computer interaction. The introduction of a visual approach to accessibility configuration represents a novel configuration paradigm that challenges the text-based systems that have dominated accessibility tools. By demonstrating that

complex configuration tasks can be accomplished through intuitive visual interfaces, this project establishes a model for future accessibility tool development.

The integration of generative AI for automatic visual asset creation demonstrates a practical application of emerging AI technologies in accessibility tools. This approach not only simplifies the user experience but also showcases how AI can be leveraged to reduce technical barriers in accessibility contexts. The project establishes reusable accessibility design patterns for creating inclusive configuration interfaces, contributing valuable insights to the broader field of accessible UI design.

Through careful optimization and testing, the project develops techniques for maintaining responsiveness in complex, data-driven interfaces, particularly important for accessibility applications where performance issues can create additional barriers. Finally, by providing a fully documented, extensible codebase released as open source, the project creates a foundation for future accessibility research and development that can be built upon by the broader community.

These contributions extend beyond the immediate project scope, offering insights and techniques applicable to the broader field of accessible technology development and establishing pathways for continued innovation in this critical area.

1.6 Dissertation Structure

The remainder of this dissertation is organized as follows:

- **Chapter 2: Background and Literature Review** examines the theoretical foundations and existing work in gaming accessibility, configuration interfaces, and AI integration in user interfaces. This chapter provides essential context for understanding the project's significance and approach.
- **Chapter 3: Requirements Analysis and Specification** details the systematic process of gathering, analyzing, and specifying requirements, including functional, non-functional, and accessibility requirements.
- **Chapter 4: Implementation** documents the technical implementation of the Configuration GUI, covering architecture, interface design, AI integration, and system optimization.
- **Chapter 5: Testing and Evaluation** presents the testing methodology, results, and user feedback, providing critical assessment of the project's success against its objectives.
- **Chapter 6: Conclusion** summarizes key achievements, critically evaluates the project, identifies limitations, and suggests directions for future work.

Chapter 2

Background and Literature Review

2.1 Gaming Accessibility

2.1.1 Current State of Gaming Accessibility

Gaming accessibility has evolved significantly over the past decade, transitioning from a niche concern to a mainstream consideration in game development. According to the Entertainment Software Association, 214 million Americans play video games regularly, with an estimated 46 million players having some form of disability **esasurvey2022**. Despite this substantial market, a 2023 study by the AbleGamers Foundation found that 63% of gamers with physical disabilities regularly encounter barriers that prevent full participation in gaming experiences **ablegamers2023survey**.

The gaming industry has begun addressing these challenges through both hardware and software solutions. Major platform holders like Microsoft have invested in accessibility research, resulting in products like the Xbox Adaptive Controller, which has demonstrated significant impact for users with motor disabilities. However, these solutions often require substantial financial investment or technical expertise to implement effectively. Furthermore, as games become increasingly complex, with intricate control schemes and rapid input requirements, the accessibility gap widens for players with physical limitations.

2.1.2 Input Method Adaptation

The adaptation of input methods represents one of the most significant areas of development in gaming accessibility. Traditional input devices like gamepads and keyboards present substantial barriers for users with motor disabilities, leading to the development of alternative interaction paradigms.

Gesture-based control systems have emerged as a promising approach, leveraging camera input to interpret body movements as control signals. These systems offer the advantage of adaptability to individual physical capabilities without requiring specialized hardware. Early implementations like the Microsoft Kinect demonstrated the potential of this approach but suffered from latency issues and limited precision that restricted their application in many gaming contexts.

Voice control systems offer another alternative input pathway, with technologies like speech-to-text and voice command recognition enabling hands-free interaction. These systems have proven particularly valuable for users with upper limb disabilities but face challenges in high-speed gaming scenarios where rapid command input is necessary.

Eye-tracking technologies represent a newer frontier in accessible input, with systems like Tobii Eye Tracker enabling screen navigation and selection through gaze direction. While highly effective for users with severe motor limitations, these systems remain costly and require careful calibration for individual users.

The ideal approach combines multiple input modalities, allowing users to leverage their strongest physical capabilities while accommodating specific limitations. This multi-modal approach forms the foundation of the MotionInput system, which serves as the technical context for this project.

2.2 MotionInput System

2.2.1 System Overview and Architecture

MotionInput, developed at University College London, represents a comprehensive solution for alternative computer input methods, bridging the gap between users' physical capabilities and digital interaction requirements. The system employs computer vision techniques to translate natural body movements into standard input commands, effectively simulating keyboard, mouse, gamepad, and touch inputs without requiring physical manipulation of traditional controllers.

At its core, MotionInput utilizes a modular architecture consisting of:

- **Input Processing Pipeline:** Captures and processes video input through computer vision algorithms
- **Motion Translation Engine:** Converts detected movements to standardized input signals
- **Application Interface Layer:** Connects transformed inputs to target applications
- **Configuration System:** Manages user profiles and input mappings

This modular design allows MotionInput to support diverse input scenarios across applications ranging from productivity software to action games. However, the configuration system represents a significant technical complexity that has limited broader adoption.

2.2.2 Configuration Challenges

The current MotionInput configuration system relies on JSON-based profiles that define the mapping between detected movements and output commands. This approach offers significant flexibility but introduces substantial usability challenges. The configuration files follow a complex schema with nested structures representing input sources, trigger conditions, and output actions.

A sample configuration segment demonstrates this complexity:

```
{
  "profile_name": "Racing Game Configuration",
  "input_sources": [
    {
      "source_type": "hand_tracking",
      "parameters": {
        "detection_confidence": 0.7,
        "tracking_confidence": 0.5
      },
      "mappings": [
        {
          "gesture": "closed_fist",
          "output": {
            "type": "keyboard",
            "key": "w",
            "state": "pressed"
          },
          "conditions": {
            "hand": "right",
            "position": {
              "y_min": 0.3,
              "y_max": 0.7
            }
          }
        }
      ]
    }
  ]
}
```

For users without programming experience, creating or modifying these configurations presents a significant barrier. Common issues include syntax errors, logical

mapping mistakes, and difficulty visualizing the relationship between configuration changes and resulting behaviors. These challenges directly limit the effectiveness of the MotionInput system, particularly for its primary audience of users with disabilities who may not possess technical expertise.

2.3 Modern UI Development for Accessibility

2.3.1 WinUI 3 Framework

The WinUI 3 framework represents Microsoft’s modern approach to Windows application development, offering significant advantages for accessibility-focused applications. As a native UI framework, WinUI 3 provides direct access to Windows accessibility features while delivering high performance rendering essential for real-time interaction systems.

WinUI 3 builds upon the Universal Windows Platform (UWP) design language while extending compatibility to Win32 applications through the Windows App SDK. This hybrid approach allows developers to leverage modern UI capabilities while maintaining compatibility with established Windows ecosystems. For accessibility applications like the MotionInput Configuration GUI, this balance is particularly valuable as it ensures compatibility with assistive technologies like screen readers and alternative input devices.

The framework’s XAML-based declarative UI approach separates presentation from logic, simplifying the implementation of accessible interfaces. Built-in support for UI Automation, high contrast themes, keyboard navigation, and screen reader integration provides a solid foundation for accessibility compliance. These capabilities align directly with the project’s requirement to make the configuration interface itself accessible to users with disabilities.

2.3.2 MVVM Architectural Pattern

The Model-View-ViewModel (MVVM) pattern forms the architectural foundation of modern WinUI applications, providing a structured approach to separating UI concerns from business logic. In the context of the Configuration GUI project, MVVM offers several specific advantages:

The pattern’s clear separation of data models (representing configuration profiles and settings), ViewModels (handling UI logic and state), and Views (defining the visual interface) creates a maintainable codebase that can evolve with changing requirements. This separation is particularly valuable for accessibility applications, where alternative presentation layers may be needed for different user capabilities.

MVVM's data binding mechanism creates a declarative relationship between UI elements and underlying data, reducing the need for imperative UI updates that can introduce accessibility issues. For configuration interfaces dealing with complex data structures, this binding approach simplifies development while improving reliability.

The pattern's support for commands encapsulates user interactions in a way that facilitates both testing and accessibility. By defining commands as first-class objects, the interface can support multiple input methods (keyboard, pointer, voice) through a unified interaction model, essential for accessible design.

2.4 Artificial Intelligence in Accessibility Applications

2.4.1 Computer Vision for Input Processing

Computer vision technologies form the foundation of MotionInput's ability to translate physical movements into digital commands. Recent advances in pose estimation have significantly improved the accuracy and responsiveness of vision-based input systems.

The MediaPipe framework, developed by Google, has emerged as a leading solution for real-time body tracking, offering pre-trained models for hand tracking, pose estimation, and facial landmark detection. These models achieve sufficient accuracy for gaming input while maintaining performance suitable for consumer hardware. The MotionInput system leverages MediaPipe's hand tracking and pose estimation capabilities to detect physical gestures that can be mapped to game controls.

Recent research by Zhang et al. (2022) demonstrates that pose estimation accuracy has reached levels comparable to dedicated motion capture systems, with average joint position errors below 20mm in typical usage scenarios **zhang2022**. This precision enables complex gesture recognition suitable for gaming applications, though challenges remain in occlusion handling and varying lighting conditions.

2.4.2 ONNX Runtime for Model Deployment

The Open Neural Network Exchange (ONNX) Runtime represents a significant advancement in AI model deployment for accessibility applications. As an open-source, cross-platform inference engine, ONNX Runtime enables the efficient execution of machine learning models across diverse hardware configurations, essential for accessibility tools that must perform well on varying user systems.

ONNX Runtime offers several key advantages for the Configuration GUI project:

Hardware acceleration capabilities enable efficient execution of AI models on both CPU and GPU, with automatic fallback mechanisms that ensure functionality across device capabilities. This adaptability is crucial for accessibility applications that must serve users with diverse hardware configurations.

The runtime's optimization capabilities automatically apply performance improvements based on the target hardware, including operator fusion, memory planning, and execution parallelization. For the real-time preview features planned in the Configuration GUI, these optimizations help maintain responsive performance even during complex AI operations.

The cross-platform compatibility of ONNX models simplifies development and maintenance, allowing models to be trained using frameworks like PyTorch or TensorFlow and then deployed efficiently within the C application environment. This flexibility enables the incorporation of state-of-the-art AI techniques without requiring specialized expertise in multiple frameworks.

2.5 Generative AI for Interface Enhancement

2.5.1 Stable Diffusion Technology

Stable Diffusion represents a breakthrough in text-to-image generation, employing a latent diffusion model to generate high-quality images from textual descriptions. Unlike earlier generative adversarial networks (GANs), Stable Diffusion operates by gradually denoising random latent representations guided by text embeddings, resulting in coherent, detailed images that align with specified descriptions.

The technology has advanced rapidly since its introduction in 2022, with optimizations enabling deployment on consumer hardware through frameworks like ONNX Runtime. While initial implementations required substantial GPU resources, recent optimizations have reduced memory requirements and improved inference speed, making the technology viable for integration into interactive applications.

For accessibility applications, Stable Diffusion offers unique capabilities to generate custom visual assets based on simple text prompts. This approach removes the need for specialized graphic design skills when creating custom interface elements, potentially empowering users with limited technical capabilities to personalize their experience.

2.5.2 Applications in Configuration Interfaces

Generative AI offers several potential applications in configuration interfaces, particularly for accessibility-focused systems:

Automated icon generation can create visually distinct representations of different profiles or actions based on simple descriptions. This capability addresses the challenge of visual differentiation in configuration systems, where users may need to quickly distinguish between similar profiles through visual cues.

Context-aware visual feedback can leverage image generation to provide intuitive representations of configuration outcomes. Rather than abstract descriptions of mappings between inputs and outputs, generated visuals can illustrate the expected behavior, reducing cognitive load for users.

Personalized visual cues can be tailored to individual user preferences and perceptual capabilities, supporting accessibility needs like color vision deficiency or the need for high-contrast elements. This personalization extends beyond standard accessibility settings to create truly individualized interfaces.

These applications demonstrate the potential for generative AI to enhance not just the aesthetic qualities of interfaces but their functional accessibility. By removing barriers to visual customization, these technologies align with the broader goal of making complex systems more approachable for diverse users.

2.6 Related Work and Research Gap

2.6.1 Existing Configuration Interfaces

Several existing projects have addressed configuration challenges in accessibility tools, offering valuable insights for the MotionInput Configuration GUI. The Xbox Adaptive Controller's companion app provides a visual approach to button mapping, allowing users to create custom controller configurations through an intuitive drag-and-drop interface. While effective for hardware configuration, this approach doesn't address the complexity of gesture-based input systems.

The AbleGamers organization has developed Enabled Play, a configuration tool for alternative input methods that includes visual feedback mechanisms. The system employs a modular approach to configuration, breaking complex mappings into manageable components. However, it lacks integration with computer vision systems and doesn't address the specific requirements of gesture-based input.

Commercial gaming peripheral software like Razer Synapse and Logitech G HUB offer sophisticated visual configuration tools for input customization. These systems employ modern UI design principles and provide real-time feedback on configurations. However, they focus primarily on traditional input devices rather than alternative interaction methods.

2.6.2 Research Gap and Contribution

Analysis of existing solutions reveals a significant gap in configuration interfaces specifically designed for computer vision-based input systems. While general-purpose configuration tools and specialized hardware configuration systems exist, none adequately addresses the unique challenges of mapping physical gestures to game inputs through an accessible interface.

The MotionInput Configuration GUI project addresses this gap by combining:

1. Visual configuration approaches drawn from gaming peripheral software
2. Accessibility considerations from specialized tools like Enabled Play
3. AI-enhanced features that reduce technical barriers
4. Direct integration with computer vision-based input processing

This combination represents a novel approach to accessibility configuration that has not been previously implemented in a comprehensive system. By developing this solution, the project contributes both a practical tool for MotionInput users and a model for future accessibility configuration interfaces.

2.7 Summary and Implementation Context

This chapter has explored the theoretical foundations and existing work relevant to the MotionInput Configuration GUI project. The review has identified the significant accessibility challenges in gaming, examined the technical architecture of the MotionInput system, assessed relevant UI development frameworks and patterns, explored AI technologies applicable to the project, and analyzed related work to identify the research gap.

This background establishes the context for the implementation phase, informing the project's approach to:

1. Addressing the specific configuration challenges identified in the MotionInput system
2. Leveraging WinUI 3 and MVVM to create an accessible, maintainable interface
3. Integrating AI capabilities through ONNX Runtime and Stable Diffusion
4. Applying design patterns that support both technical performance and accessibility

The following chapters will build upon this foundation, detailing the requirements analysis, implementation approach, and evaluation methodology that together address the identified research gap.

Chapter 3

Requirements Analysis and Specification

3.1 Research Methodology

3.1.1 User Research

Description of methods used to gather requirements:

- Analysis of existing MotionInput user feedback
- Review of accessibility guidelines and standards
- Study of similar configuration interfaces
- Consultation with project stakeholders

3.2 Functional Requirements

3.2.1 Core Configuration Features

Essential configuration capabilities:

- Create, edit, and delete visual profiles
- Configure input-to-action mappings
- Save and load profile configurations
- Import/export functionality
- Real-time preview of configurations

3.2.2 AI Integration Requirements

AI-related functionality:

- ONNX model integration for pose estimation
- Stable Diffusion integration for visual assets

- Real-time processing of video input
- Model performance optimization

3.2.3 User Interface Requirements

GUI-specific requirements:

- Intuitive profile management interface
- Visual feedback for configurations
- Drag-and-drop functionality
- Configuration validation system
- Profile comparison tools

3.3 Non-Functional Requirements

3.3.1 Performance Requirements

Performance criteria:

- Response time for UI interactions ($< 100\text{ms}$)
- Frame rate for preview functionality ($> 30\text{ FPS}$)
- Memory usage optimization
- Startup time ($< 3\text{ seconds}$)

3.3.2 Usability Requirements

Accessibility and usability standards:

- WCAG 2.1 compliance
- Keyboard navigation support
- Screen reader compatibility
- High contrast mode support
- Customizable UI scaling

3.3.3 Technical Requirements

System specifications:

- Windows 10/11 compatibility
- .NET 6.0 or higher
- WinUI 3 framework integration
- MVVM architecture implementation
- Git version control system

3.4 Data Management Requirements

3.4.1 Configuration Data Structure

Analysis of data structure requirements:

- JSON vs XML format considerations
- Schema versioning strategy
- Backward compatibility needs
- Data validation rules
- Error handling approach

3.4.2 Storage and Persistence

Data storage requirements:

- Local file system integration
- Profile backup mechanisms
- Data migration tools
- Automatic save features
- Data recovery options

3.5 Evolution from Previous Versions

3.5.1 Previous Implementation Analysis

Review of earlier versions:

- Version 1.0: Python-based implementation
- Version 2.0: C++ transition
- Version 3.0: Initial Windows Forms GUI
- Version 4.0: Current WinUI 3 redesign

3.5.2 Key Design Decisions

Critical choices and their rationales:

- Migration from Python to C#
- WinUI 3 over Windows Forms
- MVVM architectural pattern adoption
- JSON configuration format selection
- AI model integration approach

3.5.3 Lessons Learned

Insights from previous versions:

- Performance bottlenecks identified
- User interface pain points
- Configuration management challenges
- Integration complexity issues
- Development workflow improvements

3.6 Design Constraints

3.6.1 Technical Constraints

Limitations and considerations:

- Windows platform specificity
- Hardware resource limitations
- Integration with existing MotionInput codebase
- Third-party library dependencies

3.6.2 Development Constraints

Project boundaries:

- Development timeline
- Resource availability
- Testing environment limitations
- Documentation requirements

3.7 Requirements Prioritization

3.7.1 MoSCoW Analysis

Prioritization of requirements:

- Must Have: Core configuration features
- Should Have: Real-time preview, AI integration
- Could Have: Advanced visualization features
- Won't Have: Cross-platform support

3.8 Validation Criteria

3.8.1 Acceptance Criteria

Success metrics:

- Functional testing pass rate
- Performance benchmarks
- Accessibility compliance
- User satisfaction metrics

Chapter 4

Implementation

4.1 System Architecture

4.1.1 MVVM Implementation

Description of the MVVM pattern implementation:

- Model layer design
- ViewModel implementation
- View bindings and interactions
- Service layer architecture

4.1.2 Core Components

Key system modules:

- Visual Profile Engine
- Configuration Manager
- AI Integration Service
- Input Processing Pipeline

4.2 User Interface Development

4.2.1 WinUI 3 Framework

Details of UI implementation:

- XAML-based interface design
- Custom control development
- Navigation system
- Accessibility features

4.2.2 Profile Editor Interface

Implementation of core UI features:

- Visual profile creation tools
- Real-time configuration preview
- Drag-and-drop functionality
- Interactive feedback system

4.3 AI Integration

4.3.1 ONNX Runtime Integration

Implementation of pose estimation:

- Model optimization techniques
- Real-time inference pipeline
- Performance monitoring
- Error handling strategies

4.3.2 Stable Diffusion Features

Visual asset generation system:

- Asset creation workflow
- Style transfer implementation
- Performance optimizations
- Resource management

4.4 Performance Optimization

4.4.1 Threading Model

Concurrency implementation:

- Async/await patterns
- Background processing

- UI thread management
- Task coordination

4.4.2 Resource Management

Performance considerations:

- Memory optimization
- GPU utilization
- Cache management
- Load balancing

4.5 Integration with MotionInput

4.5.1 Core System Integration

Connection with main application:

- Communication protocols
- Event handling system
- Profile synchronization
- Error recovery mechanisms

4.5.2 Profile Deployment

Configuration deployment process:

- Validation procedures
- Hot-reload implementation
- Rollback capabilities
- Status monitoring

4.6 Development Workflow

4.6.1 Version Control

Development process:

- Git workflow
- Code review procedures
- Documentation approach
- Team collaboration

4.6.2 Testing Implementation

Testing strategy:

- Unit testing approach
- Integration testing
- UI automation
- Performance testing

Chapter 5

Testing and Evaluation

5.1 Testing Strategy

5.1.1 Testing Approach

Overview of testing methodology:

- Unit testing of core components
- Integration testing of system modules
- UI automation testing
- Performance benchmarking
- Accessibility testing

5.2 Unit Testing

5.2.1 Core Components Testing

Testing of individual components:

- Model layer unit tests
- ViewModel behavior verification
- Service layer functionality
- Configuration management testing

5.2.2 AI Component Testing

Validation of AI features:

- ONNX model integration tests
- Stable Diffusion functionality
- Performance profiling
- Error handling verification

5.3 Integration Testing

5.3.1 System Integration

Testing of component interactions:

- Profile management workflow
- Configuration synchronization
- Event handling system
- Error recovery mechanisms

5.3.2 MotionInput Integration

Testing integration with main system:

- Communication protocol testing
- Profile deployment verification
- Real-time data processing
- System state synchronization

5.4 User Interface Testing

5.4.1 Functional Testing

UI functionality verification:

- Navigation testing
- Input validation
- Event handling
- State management

5.4.2 Accessibility Testing

Validation of accessibility features:

- Screen reader compatibility
- Keyboard navigation

- High contrast mode
- UI scaling tests

5.5 Performance Testing

5.5.1 Benchmark Results

Performance measurements:

- UI response times
- Memory usage patterns
- CPU utilization
- GPU performance

5.5.2 Load Testing

System behavior under load:

- Multiple profile handling
- Concurrent operations
- Resource utilization
- System stability

5.6 User Evaluation

5.6.1 User Testing Sessions

Feedback from user testing:

- Usability assessment
- Feature completeness
- User satisfaction
- Improvement suggestions

5.6.2 Feedback Analysis

Analysis of user feedback:

- Common usability issues
- Feature requests
- Performance concerns
- Overall satisfaction

5.7 Testing Results

5.7.1 Test Coverage

Overview of test coverage:

- Code coverage metrics
- Functionality coverage
- Edge case handling
- Known limitations

5.7.2 Issues and Resolutions

Documentation of issues:

- Critical bugs identified
- Resolution approaches
- Performance optimizations
- Future improvements

Chapter 6

Conclusion

6.1 Project Summary

6.1.1 Key Achievements

Major accomplishments of the project:

- Successful implementation of WinUI 3-based configuration GUI
- Integration of AI technologies (ONNX, Stable Diffusion)
- Improved accessibility through intuitive interface design
- Enhanced profile management capabilities
- Real-time preview and testing features

6.1.2 Technical Innovations

Notable technical contributions:

- Modern MVVM architecture implementation
- Efficient AI model integration
- Performance optimizations for real-time processing
- Robust error handling and recovery systems

6.2 Critical Evaluation

6.2.1 Strengths

Project strengths and successes:

- Intuitive user interface design
- Robust system architecture
- Efficient performance optimization
- Strong accessibility features

6.2.2 Limitations

Current limitations and constraints:

- Platform-specific implementation
- Resource intensive AI operations
- Limited cross-device support
- Performance constraints with multiple profiles

6.3 Future Work

6.3.1 Potential Improvements

Areas for future enhancement:

- Cross-platform compatibility
- Advanced AI model integration
- Enhanced profile sharing capabilities
- Extended customization options

6.3.2 Research Opportunities

Future research directions:

- Advanced pose estimation techniques
- Improved real-time processing
- Machine learning for user preferences
- Automated profile optimization

6.4 Personal Reflection

6.4.1 Learning Outcomes

Personal development achievements:

- Deep understanding of WinUI 3 development
- Experience with AI integration in desktop applications

- Practical MVVM implementation skills
- Project management experience

6.4.2 Challenges Overcome

Significant challenges addressed:

- Complex system integration
- Performance optimization
- AI model deployment
- User experience design

6.5 Impact Assessment

6.5.1 Accessibility Impact

Contribution to accessibility:

- Improved gaming accessibility
- Enhanced user configuration capabilities
- Reduced technical barriers
- Increased gaming inclusion

6.5.2 Project Legacy

Long-term project impact:

- Foundation for future development
- Contribution to MotionInput ecosystem
- Documentation and best practices
- Open-source contributions

Appendix A

Appendices

A.1 Development Setup

A.1.1 Environment Configuration

Development environment details:

- Visual Studio 2022 setup
- Required SDK installations
- Development tools and extensions
- Build configuration settings

A.2 Code Examples

A.2.1 MVVM Implementation

Key code implementations:

```
1 public class ProfileViewModel : ObservableObject
2 {
3     private string _profileName;
4     public string ProfileName
5     {
6         get => _profileName;
7         set => SetProperty(ref _profileName, value);
8     }
9
10    public ICommand SaveProfileCommand { get; }
11
12    public ProfileViewModel()
13    {
14        SaveProfileCommand = new RelayCommand(ExecuteSaveProfile);
15    }
16
17    private void ExecuteSaveProfile()
18    {
19        // Profile saving logic
```



```
20     }
21 }
```

A.2.2 AI Integration Code

ONNX integration example:

```
1  public class PoseEstimationService
2  {
3      private InferenceSession _session;
4
5      public async Task InitializeModel(string modelPath)
6      {
7          var sessionOptions = new SessionOptions();
8          sessionOptions.GraphOptimizationLevel =
9              ↳ GraphOptimizationLevel.ORT_ENABLE_ALL;
10         _session = await Task.Run(() => new InferenceSession(modelPath,
11             ↳ sessionOptions));
12     }
13
14     public async Task<PoseData> EstimatePose(byte[] imageData)
15     {
16         // Model inference implementation
17     }
18 }
```

A.3 Technical Documentation

A.3.1 API Documentation

Key interface definitions:

```
1  public interface IProfileService
2  {
3      Task<Profile> CreateProfile(string name);
4      Task<bool> SaveProfile(Profile profile);
5      Task<Profile> LoadProfile(string profileId);
6      Task<bool> DeleteProfile(string profileId);
7      IEnumerable<Profile> GetAllProfiles();
8  }
```

A.4 User Guide

A.4.1 Installation Guide

Installation steps:

- System requirements
- Installation process
- Configuration setup
- Troubleshooting steps

A.4.2 User Manual

Usage instructions:

- Creating new profiles
- Configuring input mappings
- Testing configurations
- Managing profiles

A.5 Performance Data

A.5.1 Benchmark Results

Detailed performance metrics:

- Response time measurements
- Memory usage statistics
- CPU utilization data
- GPU performance metrics

A.6 Project Timeline

A.6.1 Development Phases

Project timeline details:

- Research phase: September - October 2023
- Design phase: October - November 2023
- Implementation: November 2023 - February 2024
- Testing: February - March 2024