# Higher Diet Quality Is Inversely Associated with Mortality in African-American Women<sup>1–4</sup>

Deborah A Boggs, Yulun Ban, Julie R Palmer, and Lynn Rosenberg\*

Slone Epidemiology Center at Boston University, Boston, MA

## **Abstract**

**Background:** Diet quality has been inversely associated with overall mortality in white populations, but the evidence in African-American populations is limited.

**Objective:** The goal of the present study was to assess diet quality in relation to all-cause mortality in the Black Women's Health Study, a follow-up study of African-American women begun in 1995.

**Methods:** Data used in this study were obtained via biennial questionnaires from 1995 to 2011. Based on food-frequency questionnaire data collected in 1995 and 2001, we calculated an index-based diet quality score [Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH)] and derived dietary patterns (prudent and Western) with the use of factor analysis. We followed 37,001 women who were aged 30–69 y and free of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes at baseline for mortality through 2011. Multivariable Cox regression was used to estimate HRs and 95% CIs. Analyses were conducted in 2014.

**Results:** Based on a total of 1678 deaths during 16 y of follow-up, higher DASH scores were associated with reduced all-cause mortality (HR: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.63, 0.89 for highest vs. lowest quintiles). The DASH components most strongly associated with lower mortality were high intake of whole grains and low intake of red and processed meat. A Western dietary pattern, characterized by high intake of red and processed meat, was associated with increased all-cause mortality rates (HR: 1.37; 95% CI: 1.17, 1.60 for highest vs. lowest quintiles of score); a prudent dietary pattern was not associated with risk.

**Conclusion:** A DASH-style diet high in intake of whole grains and low in consumption of red meat is associated with reduced mortality rates in healthy African-American women. *J Nutr* 2015;145:547–54.

**Keywords:** diet quality, all-cause mortality, African Americans, cohort study, women's health

## Introduction

Diet is a complex set of correlated exposures. Assessment of dietary patterns in relation to disease outcomes may identify associations that a focus on individual items would miss, and dietary patterns derived from factor or cluster analysis have indeed been found to predict disease risk. It also can be useful to assess whether adherence to particular guidelines is associated with reduced disease risk, especially because it may be easier for the public to understand explicit recommendations about certain food items rather than about a dietary pattern (1, 2).

Diet quality is poorer on average among African Americans than among white Americans (11, 12), and mortality rates are higher for American Americans than for other racial groups (13). Yet little data are available on the association between dietary patterns and mortality in African Americans. Because of differences between ethnic groups in comorbidities, modifying factors, and genetic factors, it cannot be assumed that associations observed in one population would necessarily hold among other ethnic groups. In addition, if the same association of

Various measures of high overall diet quality have been inversely associated with all-cause mortality rates in studies of predominantly white populations (3–10). Empirically-derived "prudent" dietary patterns, rich in vegetables and fruit, were associated with lower mortality in several studies (3–6), whereas Western dietary patterns, characterized by intake of red and processed meat and fried foods, were associated with increased mortality in some (3) but not all studies (4, 5). Studies that have evaluated a priori indexes of diet quality based on dietary recommendations have found higher diet quality to be associated with reduced mortality (7–10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This study was supported by the National Cancer Institute (R01 CA058420, IJM1 CA164974)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Author disclosures: DA Boggs, Y Ban, JR Palmer, and L Rosenberg, no conflicts of interest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Cancer Institute or the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Supplemental Table 1 is available from the "Online Supporting Material" link in the online posting of the article and from the same link in the online table of contents at http://jn.nutrition.org

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: lrosenbe@bu.edu.

dietary patterns with mortality is observed in several ethnic groups, this strengthens the credibility of the association.

In the present report, we assess the association of dietary intake with all-cause and cause-specific mortality among African-American women. The data were obtained in the Black Women's Health Study (BWHS)<sup>5</sup> an ongoing follow-up study of black women across the US. We focused on measures of adherence to a diet consistent with the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) guidelines (14), and we also evaluated the prudent and Western dietary patterns previously derived in the BWHS by factor analysis (15). These measures were associated with health outcomes in the BWHS: adherence to DASH guidelines was associated with lower incidence of obesity (16); the prudent pattern with lower risks of weight gain (15), estrogen receptornegative breast cancer (17), and colorectal adenomas (18); and the Western pattern with greater weight gain (15) and higher risk of colorectal adenomas (18). An advantage of index-based measures of diet quality such as the DASH score is the ability to capture components of multiple data-driven dietary patterns in a single score. Another indexed based measure, the Alternative Healthy Eating Index (AHEI) (9), was developed as an improvement on the Healthy Eating Index (19). We judged the DASH score to be preferable for use in the BWHS because it is based on rankings, whereas the AHEI score is based on absolute values; because FFQs underestimate nutrient values [and a reduced FFQ was used in the BWHS (20)], the AHEI likely provides less of a range than DASH across which to compare women. Another measure, the Mediterranean diet score (8), is more applicable to southern European populations than to American populations because of differences in foods eaten, oils used, and meal preparation.

## Methods

Study population. The BWHS, an ongoing follow-up study of African-American women, was established in 1995 when African-American women from across the US were enrolled through mailed health questionnaires (21). The baseline questionnaire collected information on demographic characteristics, lifestyle factors, and medical history, and usual diet was assessed through an FFQ. A total of 59,000 women aged 21–69 y at baseline were followed through mailed questionnaires every 2 y. Follow-up questionnaires update exposure information and incident medical conditions. Follow-up of the baseline cohort was ~80% through 2011. The Boston University Medical Campus Institutional Review Board approved the protocol.

The present analysis excluded women who at baseline were <30 y of age (n = 12,812); had a history of cancer (except nonmelanoma skin cancer) (n = 1488), myocardial infarction (n = 620), stroke (n = 439), or diabetes (n = 2378); left >10 items blank on the FFQ (n = 1510); had implausible energy intake values (<400 or >3800 kcal) (n = 1783); were pregnant at baseline (n = 482) or were missing height or weight (n = 442); or had an implausible BMI (<15 or  $\ge 60 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) (n = 46). The age exclusion was made because there were few deaths in women <30 y old at baseline. The exclusions for cancer, myocardial infarction, stroke, and diabetes were made because these conditions are strong risk factors for mortality and the effect of a weaker risk factor such as dietary pattern might have been difficult to discern in women at high risk of death from those conditions. The exclusions associated with inability to measure BMI at baseline were made because BMI is an important risk factor for mortality in black women (22). After all exclusions, 37,001 women were included in the analysis.

*Dietary assessment.* Dietary intake in the previous year was assessed in 1995 and 2001 with self-administered modified versions of the reduced

Block-National Cancer Institute FFQ (20). In a validation study of the 1995 FFQ among 408 BWHS participants, correlations with responses from 3-d food diaries and 24-h recalls for fat, protein, carbohydrate, fiber, calcium, vitamin C, folate, and  $\beta$ -carotene ranged from 0.5 to 0.8 (23). Diet scores were computed for each participant based on the 1995 and 2001 FFQ data. We evaluated a DASH score created by Fung et al. (14) that ranks participants based on intake of 8 food and nutrient components. Participants were categorized into quintiles for each component. For fruits (including fruit juice), vegetables, nuts and legumes, whole grains, and low-fat dairy, the lowest quintile was assigned 1 point and the highest quintile was assigned 5 points. For sodium, red and processed meats, and sugar-sweetened beverages, scores were reversed such that the lowest quintile was assigned 5 points and the highest quintile was assigned 1 point. DASH scores can range from 8 to 40; in the present study the scores ranged from 8 to 38. We categorized the scores into quintiles (quintiles 1 and 5 represent low and high adherence, respectively).

Prudent and Western dietary patterns were derived with the use of factor analysis of 35 individual foods or food groups, as described previously (15). The SAS function ROTATE = VARIMAX (SAS Institute) was used for rotation of the factors by an orthogonal transformation. Factor scores for each pattern were calculated by summing intakes of each food group weighted by that food group's factor loading. The prudent and Western patterns explained 22% of the variance. The prudent dietary pattern is characterized by high intake of vegetables and fruits, whereas the Western dietary pattern is characterized by high intake of red and processed meat and fried foods. Quintiles 1 and 5 represent low and high adherence, respectively, to each dietary pattern.

The Pearson coefficients for the correlations among the diet scores were as follows: prudent with Western, r = 0.0060 (P = 0.25); prudent with DASH, r = 0.6200 (P < 0001); and Western with DASH, r = -0.4973 (P < 0.0001). Thus, as expected, prudent and Western scores were uncorrelated, which is a function of the statistical methods use to derive them, whereas DASH score was positively correlated with prudent pattern score and inversely correlated with Western pattern score.

The Alternative Healthy Eating Index–2010 (AHEI–2010), another dietary pattern associated with chronic disease (19), is based on 11 constituents, most of which are in the DASH score. Components are scored from 1 to 10, with 10 indicating that dietary recommendations were met. The score is based on absolute amounts of intake. The DASH score and AHEI–2010 score were highly correlated in the BWHS, with  $r=0.7433\ (P<0.0001)$ .

Endpoints. Deaths through 31 December 2011 were identified through linkage with the National Death Index for all participants who had not completed the 2011 questionnaire. The International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, was used to classify underlying cause of death as death from cardiovascular disease (I00–I99), cancer (C00–C97), or all other causes [excluding "external" causes of death, S00–Y98 (e.g., accidents and homicides)].

Covariate assessment. Information on self-reported height (feet and inches) and current weight (pounds) was collected at baseline. In a validation study among 115 participants, Spearman correlations for self-reported vs. technician-measured height and weight were 0.93 and 0.97, respectively (24, 25). BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Information on years of education was ascertained on the 1995 and 2003 questionnaires. Data on marital status, vigorous exercise, television watching, smoking status, and alcohol intake were obtained at baseline and were updated on biennial follow-up questionnaires. In a validation study of physical activity, participants wore actigraphs (activity monitors) during their waking hours for 7 d; the correlation between BWHS questionnaire data and actigraph measurements was 0.40 (*P* < 0.001) for vigorous activity (24).

Statistical analysis. Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate HRs and 95% CIs for the association between diet quality and mortality. Participants contributed to the analysis from 1995 until death, loss to follow-up, or the end of follow-up in 2011, whichever occurred first. Time-varying covariates were updated with the use of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Abbreviations used: AHEI, Alternative Healthy Eating Index; BWHS, Black Women's Health Study; DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension.

**TABLE 1** Age-standardized baseline characteristics according to diet scores, Black Women's Health Study, 1995<sup>1</sup>

	DASH	DASH score		Prudent dietary pattern		Western dietary pattern	
Characteristic	Quintile 1	Quintile 5	Quintile 1	Quintile 5	Quintile 1	Quintile 5	
Participants, n	6995	6284	7400	7400	7400	7400	
Age, y	$39.0 \pm 7.1$	$45.1 \pm 9.6$	$39.2 \pm 7.2$	$44.7 \pm 9.2$	$42.8 \pm 9.4$	$41.6 \pm 8.2$	
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$28.9 \pm 7.0$	$27.0 \pm 5.5$	$28.9 \pm 6.9$	$27.1 \pm 5.7$	$27.2 \pm 5.7$	$28.5 \pm 6.7$	
BMI ≥30	36.3	22.9	36.0	23.7	24.4	33.1	
Education ≥16 y	34.6	58.8	38.3	53.6	55.6	37.1	
Married or living as married	42.9	43.1	42.1	42.4	43.1	41.7	
Vigorous activity ≥5 h/wk	7.0	22.9	7.0	21.6	19.4	8.9	
Television watching ≥5 h/d	23.5	7.0	21.2	8.9	9.2	19.1	
Current smoker	28.9	9.1	23.1	15.3	9.1	29.5	
Alcohol use ≥7/wk	8.8	4.2	3.5	9.6	1.3	16.6	
Total energy intake, kcal/d	$1537 \pm 682$	$1453 \pm 610$	$1593 \pm 735$	$1275 \pm 574$	$1377 \pm 616$	$1409 \pm 677$	

<sup>1</sup>Values are means ± SDs or percentages standardized to the age distribution of the study population at the start of follow-up. DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension.

Andersen-Gill data structure (26); this structure creates a new record for each follow-up cycle in which a participant is at risk, and assigns the covariate value for that cycle.

Diet scores at baseline in 1995 were assessed in relation to mortality from 1995 to 2001. To better represent long-term intake, the mean of diet scores in 1995 and 2001 was assessed in relation to mortality from 2001 to 2011. We also created cumulative means of vigorous exercise and television watching every 2 y. Multivariable models, stratified by age and questionnaire cycle, were adjusted for total energy intake (quintiles), education ( $\leq 12$ , 13–15, or  $\geq 16$  y), marital status (married or living as married, divorced or separated, widowed, or single), vigorous exercise  $(<1, 1-2, or \ge 3 \text{ h/wk})$ , television watching  $(<3, 3-4, or \ge 5 \text{ h/d})$ , smoking (never; former; current, <15 cigarettes/d; or current,  $\ge 15$  cigarettes/d), and alcohol intake (never; former; current, 1-6/wk; or current,  $\geq 7/wk$ ). The proportion of missing data was <1% for all covariates; missing data for covariates were modeled as indicator variables. The primary analyses did not adjust for BMI, which is considered to be an intermediate between dietary pattern and illness/death. However, in analyses that controlled for BMI, whether at baseline or as time-varying, results were unchanged. Additional control for health insurance status and visits to a physician did not materially alter the estimates. Tests for linear trend were conducted by modeling diet quality index scores as continuous variables with the use of the median value for each quintile. We conducted subgroup analyses within strata of BMI, age, smoking, vigorous exercise, and years of education. Tests for interaction were performed by using the likelihood ratio test comparing models with and without crossproduct terms between the variable of interest (e.g., BMI) and the diet quality score. All statistical analyses were performed with the use of SAS version 9.3.

#### Results

Among 37,001 women followed for  $\leq$ 16 y, 1678 deaths were identified; 428 (26%) were due to cardiovascular disease, 742 (44%) were due to cancer, and 508 (30%) were due to other causes. Among the latter, there were a large number of causes, with most accounting for just a few deaths. The largest categories were chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (n = 37), diabetes (n = 29), HIV-related (n = 28), and sarcoidosis (n = 26). At baseline, women with higher DASH or prudent diet

**TABLE 2** Diet scores and risk of all-cause mortality in the Black Women's Health Study, 1995–2011

	Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3	Quintile 4	Quintile 5	<i>P</i> -trend <sup>2</sup>
DASH						
Deaths, n	336	433	357	285	267	
Person-years	98,484	138,660	114,839	93,839	82,665	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.76 (0.66, 0.87)	0.64 (0.55, 0.75)	0.55 (0.46, 0.64)	0.49 (0.42, 0.58)	< 0.001
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.86 (0.75, 1.00)	0.83 (0.71, 0.97)	0.75 (0.63, 0.89)	0.75 (0.63, 0.89)	< 0.001
Prudent						
Deaths, n	301	334	310	357	376	
Person-years	105,726	105,678	105,750	105,687	105,646	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.93 (0.80, 1.09)	0.77 (0.65, 0.90)	0.79 (0.68, 0.93)	0.75 (0.64, 0.88)	< 0.001
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.05 (0.90, 1.23)	0.92 (0.78, 1.08)	0.99 (0.85, 1.17)	1.01 (0.86, 1.20)	0.98
Western						
Deaths, n	283	311	329	335	420	
Person-years	105,760	105,737	105,724	105,656	105,611	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.14 (0.97, 1.34)	1.27 (1.08, 1.49)	1.36 (1.16, 1.60)	1.77 (1.52, 2.06)	< 0.001
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.10 (0.93, 1.29)	1.16 (0.99, 1.37)	1.18 (1.00, 1.39)	1.37 (1.17, 1.60)	< 0.001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Age-adjusted HR is adjusted for age and total energy intake. Multivariable HR is adjusted for age, total energy intake, education, marital status, vigorous exercise, television watching, smoking, and alcohol intake. DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; ref, reference.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Derived from test for linear trend, modeling the median value for each quintile as a continuous variable.

**TABLE 3** DASH and Western diet scores and risk of all-cause mortality in strata of BMI, smoking, vigorous exercise, age, and education in the Black Women's Health Study, 1995–2011<sup>1</sup>

Dashts, n   108   171   134   102   75   75   77   77   78   78   78   78		Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3	Quintile 4	Quintile 5	<i>P</i> -trend <sup>2</sup>	P-interaction
Deaths, π   228   228   223   183   192   198   19	DASH							
HR 195% C0 100 feet) 0.72 (10.60, 0.68) 0.89 (0.57, 0.84) 0.64 (0.52, 0.78) 0.55 (0.52, 0.80) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	BMI <30							
BMI ≈ 20   Deaths, n	Deaths, n	228	262	223	183	192		
Destrib, α   109   171   134   102   75   107   107   107   108   108   108   107   10	HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.72 (0.60, 0.86)	0.69 (0.57, 0.84)	0.64 (0.52, 0.79)	0.65 (0.52, 0.80)	< 0.001	
HR 165% (I) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.94, 1.54) 1.14 [0.88, 1.49) 1.02 (0.76, 1.38) 0.99 [0.72, 1.37) 0.71 Western  BMI < 30  Deaths, a 200 165 200 209 264  HR 165% (I) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.87, 1.30) 1.11 (0.91, 1.35) 1.19 (0.98, 1.46) 1.54 (1.27, 1.87) < 0.0001  Deaths, a 38 116 129 126 136  HR 165% (I) 1.00 (ref) 1.16 (0.87, 1.54) 1.24 (0.94, 1.84) 1.15 (0.87, 1.53) 1.10 (0.82, 1.46) 0.74  DASH  Never smokers  Deaths, a 111 163 158 130 127  Deaths, a 225 269 199 153 140  Deaths, a 225 269 199 153 140  Deaths, a 127 0.00 (ref) 0.78 (0.65, 0.33) 0.88 (0.56, 0.83) 0.58 (0.47, 0.72) 0.57 (0.45, 0.72) < 0.001  Western  Western  Deaths, a 137 152 149 130 121  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 137 152 149 130 121  Deaths, a 140 100 (ref) 1.19 (0.95, 1.51) 1.20 (0.95, 1.52) 1.18 (0.93, 1.52) 1.20 (0.93, 1.55) 0.19  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 137 152 149 130 121  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 137 152 149 130 121  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 137 152 149 130 121  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 137 152 149 130 121  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 137 152 149 130 121  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 137 152 149 130 121  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 140 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (0.83, 1.31) 1.17 (0.94, 1.45) 1.26 (1.01, 1.57) 1.59 (1.23, 1.56) 0.19  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 140 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (8.80, 1.31) 1.17 (0.94, 1.46) 1.26 (1.01, 1.57) 1.59 (1.23, 1.56) 0.19  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 25 416 223 252 220  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 25 150 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (8.80, 1.94) 1.17 (0.94, 1.26, 1.26) 1.19 (0.54, 2.62) 0.25 (0.94, 2.16) 0.001  Vigorous coercides < 1 liv/w  Deaths, a 25 275 306 315 400  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 27 3 39  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 27 3 30  Ever smokers  Deaths, a 127 128 149 100 (ref) 1.10 (ref) 1.00 (ref)	BMI ≥30							0.04
HR 1695 (D) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.94, 1.54) 1.14 [0.88, 1.49) 1.02 (0.76, 1.38) 0.99 [0.72, 1.37) 0.71 Weetsin BMI < 30  Desths, a 200 195 200 1.19 (0.88, 1.46) 1.54 [1.71, 1.87) < 0.0001  Desths, a 38 116 129 128 136 136 0.74 Desths, a 1.65 [0.87, 1.59] 1.16 (0.87, 1.54) 1.14 [0.94, 1.84) 1.15 (0.87, 1.53) 1.10 [0.82, 1.46) 0.74 Desths, a 38 116 129 128 136 136 0.74 Desths, a 1.11 165 138 130 127	Deaths, n	108	171	134	102	75		
Western   BMI							0.71	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		, ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,		
Destifs, n								
$  \text{HR}   \text{LGSS}   \text{CD}    100   \text{kef}    106   (0.87 1, 3.0)  1.11   (0.91, 1.35)  1.19   (0.91, 1.46)  1.54   (1.27, 1.87)  < 0.001 \\   \text{Desths}, n                                   $		200	195	200	209	284		
BMI -30							< 0.001	
Deaths, n		1.00 (1.01)	1.00 (0.07, 1.00)	(0.01, 1.00,	1110 (0.00) 1110/	(,,	10.001	0.05
HR		83	116	129	126	136		0.00
DASH   Never smokers   Deaths, n							N 74	
Never smokers		1.00 (101)	1.10 (0.07, 1.04)	1.24 (0.54, 1.64)	1.10 (0.07, 1.00)	1.10 (0.02, 1.40)	0.7 4	
Deaths, n								
HR (95% Ci)		111	163	158	130	127		
Ever smokers   Quarths, n   275   289   199   153   140							N 70	
Deaths, n	, ,	1.00 (161)	0.55 (0.75, 1.15)	1.00 (0.76, 1.26)	0.55 (0.71, 1.21)	0.50 (0.72, 1.20)	0.76	0.01
Western Western Western Western Never snokers  Deaths, n		225	260	100	150	140		0.01
Never smokers   Deaths, n							~0.001	
Never smokers		1.00 (161)	0.76 (0.00, 0.93)	0.00 (0.00, 0.03)	0.56 (0.47, 0.72)	0.57 (0.45, 0.72)	<0.001	
Deaths, n   137   152   149   130   121   148   188   188   120   121   120   033, 1.55   0.19   188   189   130   121   189   130   121   189   130   121   189   130   121   189   120   033, 1.55   0.19   189   189   180   205   299   189   1								
Ever smokers  Deaths, $n$ 144 158 180 205 299 HR (95% C)) 1.00 (ref) 1.04 (0.83, 1.31) 1.17 (0.94, 1.46) 1.26 (1.01, 1.57) 1.59 (1.29, 1.96) 2001  DASH  Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk Deaths, $n$ 326 416 323 252 232 HR (95% C)) 1.00 (ref) 0.86 (0.74, 0.99) 0.77 (0.66, 0.91) 0.69 (0.58, 0.82) 0.70 (0.58, 0.84) 207  HR (95% C)) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.36, 1.94) 1.32 (0.61, 2.86) 1.19 (0.54, 2.62) 0.95 (0.43, 2.10) 0.99  Western  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk Deaths, $n$ 252 275 306 315 401 HR (95% C)) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) 1.17 (0.98, 1.38) 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) 1.41 (1.20, 1.67) 2.0001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk Deaths, $n$ 252 275 306 315 401 HR (95% C)) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) 1.17 (0.98, 1.38) 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) 1.41 (1.20, 1.67) 2.0001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk Deaths, $n$ 27 31 23 19 14 HR (95% C) 1.00 (ref) 1.56 (0.92, 2.66) 1.43 (0.80, 2.57) 1.42 (0.76, 2.64) 1.20 (0.60, 2.39) 0.53  DASH Age <55 y Deaths, $n$ 123 221 203 185 202 HR (95% C)) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y Deaths, $n$ 123 21 151 171 212 HR (95% C) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  HR (95% C) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.56 (0.92, 2.66) 1.43 (0.80, 2.57) 1.42 (0.76, 2.64) 1.20 (0.60, 2.39) 0.53  DASH Age <55 y Deaths, $n$ 123 221 154 100 0.79 (0.63, 1.03) 0.89 (0.52, 0.93) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y Deaths, $n$ 123 221 203 185 202 HR (95% C) 0.70 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y Deaths, $n$ 123 121 151 171 212 HR (95% C) 1.44 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001  HR (95% C) 1.00 (ref)		107	450	140	100	101		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							0.40	
Deaths, n   144   158   180   205   299   180		1.00 (ref)	1.19 (0.95, 1.51)	1.20 (0.95, 1.52)	1.18 (0.93, 1.52)	1.20 (0.93, 1.55)	0.19	
DASH  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk  Deaths, n  9 15 30 27 33 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (ref) 0.86 (0.74, 0.99) 0.77 (0.66, 0.91) 0.69 (0.58, 0.82) 0.70 (0.58, 0.84) 0.001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk Deaths, n  9 15 30 27 33 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.36, 1.94) 1.32 (0.61, 2.86) 1.19 (0.54, 2.62) 0.95 (0.43, 2.10) 0.99  Western  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk Deaths, n  9 15 30 27 33 40 1.19 (0.54, 2.62) 0.95 (0.43, 2.10) 0.99  Western  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk Deaths, n  252 275 306 315 401 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) 1.17 (0.98, 1.38) 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) 1.41 (1.20, 1.67) 0.001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk Deaths, n  27 31 23 19 14 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.56 (0.92, 2.66) 1.43 (0.80, 2.57) 1.42 (0.76, 2.64) 1.20 (0.60, 2.39) 0.53  DASH  Age <55 y Deaths, n  123 221 203 185 202 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y Deaths, n  123 221 151 171 212 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (re								0.07
DASH  Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk  Deaths, n 326 416 323 252 232  HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.86 (0.74, 0.99) 0.77 (0.66, 0.91) 0.69 (0.58, 0.82) 0.70 (0.58, 0.84) <0.001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk  Deaths, n 9 15 30 27 33  HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.36, 1.94) 1.32 (0.61, 2.86) 1.19 (0.54, 2.62) 0.95 (0.43, 2.10) 0.99  Western  Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk  Deaths, n 252 275 306 315 401  HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) 1.17 (0.98, 1.38) 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) 1.41 (1.20, 1.67) <0.001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk  Deaths, n 252 275 306 315 401  HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) 1.17 (0.98, 1.38) 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) 1.41 (1.20, 1.67) <0.001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk  Deaths, n 27 31 23 19 14  HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.56 (0.92, 2.66) 1.43 (0.80, 2.57) 1.42 (0.76, 2.64) 1.20 (0.60, 2.39) 0.53  DASH  Age <55 γ  Deaths, n 213 212 154 100 65  HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.69, 1.02) 0.87 (0.71, 1.08) 0.80 (0.63, 1.03) 0.69 (0.52, 0.93) 0.01  Age ≥55 γ  Deaths, n 123 221 203 185 202  HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 γ  Deaths, n 89 121 151 171 212  HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001  Age ≥55 γ								
Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk    Deaths, $n$ 326 416 323 252 232 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.86 (0.74, 0.99) 0.77 (0.66, 0.91) 0.69 (0.58, 0.82) 0.70 (0.56, 0.84) <0.001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk    Deaths, $n$ 9 15 30 27 33 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.36, 1.94) 1.32 (0.61, 2.86) 1.19 (0.54, 2.62) 0.95 (0.43, 2.10) 0.99  Western  Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk    Deaths, $n$ 252 275 306 315 401 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) 1.17 (0.98, 1.38) 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) 1.41 (1.20, 1.67) <0.001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk    Deaths, $n$ 27 31 23 123 19 14 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.56 (0.92, 2.66) 1.43 (0.80, 2.57) 1.42 (0.76, 2.64) 1.20 (0.60, 2.39) 0.53  DASH  Age <55 y    Deaths, $n$ 213 212 154 100 66, 31.03 0.69 (0.52, 0.93) 0.01  Age ≥55 y    Deaths, $n$ 123 221 203 185 202 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y    Deaths, $n$ 123 221 151 151 171 212 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.89 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001  Western  Age <55 y    Deaths, $n$ 123 211 151 171 212 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.89 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western		1.00 (ret)	1.04 (0.83, 1.31)	1.17 (0.94, 1.46)	1.26 (1.01, 1.57)	1.59 (1.29, 1.96)	< 0.001	
Deaths, $n$ 326 416 323 252 232   HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.86 (0.74, 0.99) 0.77 (0.66, 0.91) 0.69 (0.58, 0.82) 0.70 (0.58, 0.84) <0.001   Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk								
HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.86 (0.74, 0.99) 0.77 (0.66, 0.91) 0.69 (0.58, 0.82) 0.70 (0.58, 0.84) <0.001   Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk	•							
Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk    Deaths, $n$ 9 15 30 27 33    HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.36, 1.94) 1.32 (0.61, 2.86) 1.19 (0.54, 2.62) 0.95 (0.43, 2.10) 0.99  Western  Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk    Deaths, $n$ 252 275 306 315 401    HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) 1.17 (0.98, 1.38) 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) 1.41 (1.20, 1.67) <0.001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk    Deaths, $n$ 27 31 23 19 14    HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.56 (0.92, 2.66) 1.43 (0.80, 2.57) 1.42 (0.76, 2.64) 1.20 (0.60, 2.39) 0.53  DASH  Age <55 y    Deaths, $n$ 213 212 154 100 65    HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.69, 1.02) 0.87 (0.71, 1.08) 0.80 (0.63, 1.03) 0.69 (0.52, 0.93) 0.01  Age ≥55 y    Deaths, $n$ 123 221 203 185 202    HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y    Deaths, $n$ 189 121 151 171 212    HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001  Age ≥55 y    Deaths, $n$ 89 121 151 171 212    HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001								
Deaths, n 9 15 30 27 33 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.36, 1.94) 1.32 (0.61, 2.86) 1.19 (0.54, 2.62) 0.95 (0.43, 2.10) 0.99  Western  Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk  Deaths, n 252 275 306 315 401 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) 1.17 (0.98, 1.38) 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) 1.41 (1.20, 1.67) <0.001  Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk  Deaths, n 27 31 23 19 14 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.56 (0.92, 2.66) 1.43 (0.80, 2.57) 1.42 (0.76, 2.64) 1.20 (0.60, 2.39) 0.53  DASH  Age <55 y  Deaths, n 213 212 154 100 65 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.69, 1.02) 0.87 (0.71, 1.08) 0.80 (0.63, 1.03) 0.69 (0.52, 0.93) 0.01  Age ≥55 y  Deaths, n 123 221 203 185 202 HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y  Deaths, n 123 221 151 151 171 212  HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 1.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y  Deaths, n 89 121 151 171 212  HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001  Age ≥55 y  Deaths, n 89 121 151 171 212  HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001		1.00 (ref)	0.86 (0.74, 0.99)	0.77 (0.66, 0.91)	0.69 (0.58, 0.82)	0.70 (0.58, 0.84)	< 0.001	
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	•							0.33
Western         Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk       Deaths, $n$ 252       275       306       315       401         HR (95% Cl)       1.00 (ref)       1.06 (0.89, 1.26)       1.17 (0.98, 1.38)       1.20 (1.01, 1.42)       1.41 (1.20, 1.67)       <0.001	·	9	15		27	33		
Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk           Deaths, $n$ 252         275         306         315         401           HR (95% CI)         1.00 (ref)         1.06 (0.89, 1.26)         1.17 (0.98, 1.38)         1.20 (1.01, 1.42)         1.41 (1.20, 1.67)         <0.001	HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.84 (0.36, 1.94)	1.32 (0.61, 2.86)	1.19 (0.54, 2.62)	0.95 (0.43, 2.10)	0.99	
Deaths, n         252         275         306         315         401           HR (95% Cl)         1.00 (ref)         1.06 (0.89, 1.26)         1.17 (0.98, 1.38)         1.20 (1.01, 1.42)         1.41 (1.20, 1.67)         <0.001           Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk         Deaths, n         27         31         23         19         14           HR (95% Cl)         1.00 (ref)         1.56 (0.92, 2.66)         1.43 (0.80, 2.57)         1.42 (0.76, 2.64)         1.20 (0.60, 2.39)         0.53           DASH           Age <55 y         Peaths, n         213         212         154         100         65         65         65         65         7         9         1.48 (95% Cl)         1.00 (ref)         0.84 (0.69, 1.02)         0.87 (0.71, 1.08)         0.80 (0.63, 1.03)         0.69 (0.52, 0.93)         0.01         0.01           Age ≥55 y         Peaths, n         123         221         203         185         202         203         185         202         203         185         202         203         185         202         203         185         202         203         185         202         203         185         202         203         203         203         203 <t< td=""><td>Western</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Western							
HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.06 (0.89, 1.26) 1.17 (0.98, 1.38) 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) 1.41 (1.20, 1.67) <0.001 Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk	Vigorous exercise <3 h/wk							
Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk         Deaths, $n$ 27       31       23       19       14         HR (95% CI)       1.00 (ref)       1.56 (0.92, 2.66)       1.43 (0.80, 2.57)       1.42 (0.76, 2.64)       1.20 (0.60, 2.39)       0.53         DASH         Age <55 γ       Paths, $n$ 213       212       154       100       65       65       65       65       70 <t< td=""><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>306</td><td>315</td><td>401</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	•			306	315	401		
Deaths, $n$ 27 31 23 19 14  HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.56 (0.92, 2.66) 1.43 (0.80, 2.57) 1.42 (0.76, 2.64) 1.20 (0.60, 2.39) 0.53  DASH  Age <55 y  Deaths, $n$ 213 212 154 100 65  HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.69, 1.02) 0.87 (0.71, 1.08) 0.80 (0.63, 1.03) 0.69 (0.52, 0.93) 0.01  Age ≥55 y  Deaths, $n$ 123 221 203 185 202  HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y  Deaths, $n$ 89 121 151 171 212  HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001  Age ≥55 y	HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.06 (0.89, 1.26)	1.17 (0.98, 1.38)	1.20 (1.01, 1.42)	1.41 (1.20, 1.67)	< 0.001	
HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.56 (0.92, 2.66) 1.43 (0.80, 2.57) 1.42 (0.76, 2.64) 1.20 (0.60, 2.39) 0.53 DASH   Age <55 y	Vigorous exercise ≥3 h/wk							0.43
DASH   Age <55 y    Deaths, $n$	Deaths, n	27	31	23	19	14		
Age <55 y Deaths, n 1.00 (ref) 1.00	HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.56 (0.92, 2.66)	1.43 (0.80, 2.57)	1.42 (0.76, 2.64)	1.20 (0.60, 2.39)	0.53	
Deaths, n 213 212 154 100 65 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.69, 1.02) 0.87 (0.71, 1.08) 0.80 (0.63, 1.03) 0.69 (0.52, 0.93) 0.01 Age ≥55 y $0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	DASH							
HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.69, 1.02) 0.87 (0.71, 1.08) 0.80 (0.63, 1.03) 0.69 (0.52, 0.93) 0.01   Age ≥55 y	Age <55 y							
HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.84 (0.69, 1.02) 0.87 (0.71, 1.08) 0.80 (0.63, 1.03) 0.69 (0.52, 0.93) 0.01   Age ≥55 y	Deaths, n	213	212	154	100	65		
$ Age ≥ 55 \ y \\ Deaths, \ n \\ 123 \\ 221 \\ 203 \\ 185 \\ 202 \\ 0.72 \ (0.57,  0.91) \\ 0.75 \ (0.59,  0.96) \\ 0.01 \\ Western \\ Age < 55 \ y \\ Deaths, \ n \\ 89 \\ 121 \\ 151 \\ 151 \\ 171 \\ 212 \\ HR \ (95\% \ Cl) \\ 1.00 \ (ref) \\ 1.20 \ (0.91,  1.58) \\ 1.36 \ (1.04,  1.77) \\ 1.36 \ (1.04,  1.77) \\ 1.40 \ (1.08,  1.82) \\ 1.55 \ (1.20,  2.01) \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ < 0.001 \\ <$	HR (95% CI)						0.01	
Deaths, $n$ 123 221 203 185 202 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01 Western Age <55 y Deaths, $n$ 89 121 151 171 212 HR (95% Cl) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001 Age ≥55 y								0.44
HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 0.88 (0.70, 1.10) 0.79 (0.63, 1.00) 0.72 (0.57, 0.91) 0.75 (0.59, 0.96) 0.01  Western  Age <55 y  Deaths, n 89 121 151 171 212  HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001  Age ≥55 y  0.	= :	123	221	203	185	202		
Western Age <55 y  Deaths, n 89 121 151 171 212  HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001  Age ≥55 y							0.01	
Age <55 y  Deaths, n  89  121  151  171  212  HR (95% CI)  1.00 (ref)  1.20 (0.91, 1.58)  1.36 (1.04, 1.77)  1.40 (1.08, 1.82)  1.55 (1.20, 2.01)  <0.001  Age ≥55 y			,	,	,	•		
Deaths, n     89     121     151     171     212       HR (95% CI)     1.00 (ref)     1.20 (0.91, 1.58)     1.36 (1.04, 1.77)     1.40 (1.08, 1.82)     1.55 (1.20, 2.01)     <0.001								
HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.20 (0.91, 1.58) 1.36 (1.04, 1.77) 1.40 (1.08, 1.82) 1.55 (1.20, 2.01) <0.001 Age ≥55 y		89	121	151	171	212		
Age $\geq$ 55 y							< 0.001	
		(101)	25 (0.01, 1.00)	(1.01, 1.77)	(1.00, 1.02)	(1.20, 2.01)	~0.00 i	0.22
500o, 101 100 170 10T 200	,	194	190	178	164	208		0.22
HR (95% CI) 1.00 (ref) 1.07 (0.87, 1.30) 1.07 (0.87, 1.32) 1.07 (0.87, 1.33) 1.28 (1.04, 1.57) 0.03							ሀ ሀሪ	

(Continued)

**TABLE 3** Continued

	Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3	Quintile 4	Quintile 5	<i>P</i> -trend <sup>2</sup>	P-interaction
DASH							
Education <16 y							
Deaths, n	236	255	216	157	117		
HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.79 (0.66, 0.95)	0.85 (0.70, 1.04)	0.75 (0.61, 0.93)	0.67 (0.53, 0.86)	0.002	
Education ≥16 y							0.19
Deaths, n	98	177	141	125	150		
HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.01 (0.79, 1.30)	0.82 (0.63, 1.07)	0.75 (0.57, 1.00)	0.86 (0.65, 1.13)	0.06	
Western							
Education <16 y							
Deaths, n	148	156	195	203	279		
HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.02 (0.82, 1.28)	1.13 (0.91, 1.41)	1.14 (0.92, 1.42)	1.33 (1.08, 1.64)	0.003	
Education ≥16 y							0.93
Deaths, n	135	153	133	131	139		
HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.18 (0.94, 1.49)	1.18 (0.93, 1.51)	1.27 (0.99, 1.63)	1.43 (1.11, 1.84)	0.006	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; ref, reference.

scores or lower Western diet scores were older, leaner, more highly educated, more physically active, less sedentary, less likely to smoke, and consumed fewer calories each day (Table 1). Those with a high DASH score, a low prudent diet score, or a low Western score were less likely to drink alcohol.

In multivariable models, the DASH score, which assigns higher scores for high intake of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, nuts and legumes, and low-fat dairy and for low intake of red and processed meat, sugar-sweetened beverages, and sodium, was associated with lower all-cause mortality rates (HR: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.63, 0.89 for highest vs. lowest quintiles; P-trend < 0.001) (Table 2). The prudent dietary pattern, which is characterized by high intake of vegetables and fruits, was not associated with all-cause mortality. In contrast, the Western dietary pattern, characterized by high intake of red and processed meat and fried foods, was associated with increased all-cause mortality (HR: 1.37; 95% CI: 1.17, 1.60 for highest vs. lowest quintiles; *P*-trend < 0.001). Adjustment for baseline BMI did not materially affect the results; the corresponding HRs comparing extreme quintiles were 0.78 (95% CI: 0.65, 0.93) for the DASH score and 1.33 (95% CI: 1.13, 1.56) for the Western dietary pattern. In addition, results were closely similar when only the baseline assessment of diet was evaluated and when deaths occurring in the first 2 y of follow-up were excluded.

In an analysis of AHEI–2010 score in relation to all-cause mortality, there was no association: the multivariable HR for quintile 5 relative to quintile 1 was 0.96 (95% CI: 0.81, 1.13). The corresponding estimates for cardiovascular disease mortality, cancer mortality, and other mortality were 0.86 (95% CI: 0.61, 1.20), 1.11 (95% CI: 0.87, 1.40), and 0.81 (95% CI: 0.60, 1.10), respectively. AHEI–2010 is not considered further.

Associations of DASH and Western scores with all-cause mortality within strata of BMI, smoking, vigorous exercise, age, and education are shown in Table 3. The inverse association between DASH scores and all-cause mortality was apparent among the 70% of women who had a BMI <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> at baseline (P-trend < 0.001) but not among obese women (P-trend = 0.71) (P-interaction = 0.04). Similarly, the Western dietary pattern was associated with mortality among non-obese women (P-trend < 0.001) but not among obese women (P-trend = 0.74) (P-interaction = 0.05). The associations between DASH and Western score and mortality were also apparent among ever

smokers but not never smokers and among women who exercised vigorously <3 h/wk but not among more active women. The associations were consistent across age (<55 y and  $\geq$ 55 y) and strata of education (<16 y and  $\geq$ 16 y). Prudent pattern was not significantly associated with all-cause mortality in any of the strata considered (data not shown).

Results for each DASH component in relation to all-cause mortality are presented in Table 4. Mean values by quintile of each of the components of the DASH score are shown in Table 4. High intake of red and processed meat was associated with increased mortality rates (HR: 1.31; 95% CI: 1.08, 1.60 for highest vs. lowest quintiles; P-trend = 0.004), which explains the association observed for the Western dietary pattern (Table 2), given that red meat and processed meat were the foods with the highest factor loadings for this dietary pattern (15). High consumption of whole grains was associated with lower allcause mortality rates (HR: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.64, 0.89 for highest vs. lowest quintiles; P-trend < 0.001). Vegetables and fruits, which were the foods with the highest factor loadings for the prudent dietary pattern, were not significantly associated with mortality risk. None of the other DASH components were significantly associated with all-cause mortality.

Analyses of cause-specific mortality were based on 428 cardiovascular disease deaths, 742 deaths from cancer, and 508 deaths from other causes. Results are given in Supplemental Table 1 for DASH scores in relation to cardiovascular disease mortality, cancer mortality, and mortality from other causes. DASH scores were most strongly associated with lower mortality from causes other than cardiovascular disease and cancer (HR: 0.57; 95% CI: 0.40, 0.80 for highest vs. lowest quintiles; P-trend = 0.002). The most common other causes of death were chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, diabetes, HIV-related conditions, and sarcoidosis. Higher DASH scores were also associated, but less strongly, with reduced cardiovascular disease mortality (HR: 0.79; 95% CI: 0.55, 1.11 for highest vs. lowest quintiles; *P*-trend = 0.08) and reduced cancer mortality (HR: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.64, 1.09 for highest vs. lowest quintiles; *P*-trend = 0.12). For the Western diet score, there were positive associations with each causespecific mortality group: P-trend = 0.05 for cardiovascular disease mortality, 0.08 for cancer mortality, and 0.001 for other mortality (data not given). For both the DASH and Western diet scores, the associations with cause-specific mortality appeared to be more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Derived from test for linear trend, modeling the median value for each quintile as a continuous variable.

**TABLE 4** DASH components and risk of all-cause mortality in the Black Women's Health Study, 1995–2011<sup>1</sup>

	Quintile 1	Quintile 2	Quintile 3	Quintile 4	Quintile 5	<i>P</i> -trend <sup>2</sup>
Vegetables, serving/d	0.28	0.63	1.04	1.65	3.28	
Deaths/person-years	329/105,701	314/105,667	294/105,826	343/105,653	398/105,641	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.87 (0.74, 1.02)	0.77 (0.65, 0.91)	0.84 (0.71, 1.00)	0.95 (0.79, 1.15)	0.56
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.90 (0.77, 1.06)	0.82 (0.70, 0.98)	0.91 (0.76, 1.08)	1.04 (0.86, 1.25)	0.17
Fruits, serving/d	0.22	0.66	1.17	1.82	3.43	
Deaths/person-years	326/105,640	301/105,782	301/105,759	353/105,660	397/105,647	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.90 (0.76, 1.05)	0.84 (0.71, 0.99)	0.89 (0.75, 1.05)	0.92 (0.78, 1.10)	0.76
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.96 (0.82, 1.13)	0.92 (0.78, 1.08)	0.99 (0.84, 1.18)	1.06 (0.89, 1.27)	0.26
Whole grains, serving/d	0.01	0.11	0.32	0.62	1.44	
Deaths/person-years	377/108,101	334/106,662	257/91,614	359/116,192	351/105,919	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.92 (0.79, 1.07)	0.77 (0.66, 0.91)	0.79 (0.68, 0.93)	0.71 (0.60, 0.83)	< 0.001
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.96 (0.83, 1.12)	0.82 (0.70, 0.97)	0.85 (0.73, 0.99)	0.75 (0.64, 0.89)	< 0.001
Nuts and legumes, serving/d	0.01	0.06	0.12	0.21	0.67	
Deaths/person-years	326/99,501	326/112,621	332/102,393	332/107,538	362/106,435	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.83 (0.71, 0.97)	0.90 (0.77, 1.05)	0.83 (0.70, 0.97)	0.85 (0.72, 1.00)	0.26
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.84 (0.72, 0.99)	0.93 (0.79, 1.08)	0.87 (0.74, 1.02)	0.90 (0.76, 1.06)	0.64
Low-fat dairy, serving/d	0	0.03	0.10	0.36	1.43	
Deaths/person-years	607/171,697	298/95,257	245/82,188	261/93,433	267/85,913	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.92 (0.80, 1.06)	0.92 (0.79, 1.08)	0.81 (0.70, 0.94)	0.85 (0.72, 0.99)	0.07
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.96 (0.83, 1.11)	0.98 (0.84, 1.14)	0.88 (0.76, 1.03)	0.92 (0.79, 1.08)	0.34
Red or processed meat, serving/d	1.70	0.79	0.47	0.24	0.05	
Deaths/person-years	259/105,501	306/106,002	335/105,685	372/105,344	406/105,956	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.11 (0.94, 1.31)	1.26 (1.06, 1.49)	1.45 (1.22, 1.72)	1.61 (1.32, 1.95)	< 0.001
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.06 (0.90, 1.26)	1.15 (0.97, 1.37)	1.29 (1.08, 1.54)	1.31 (1.08, 1.60)	0.004
SSBs,3 serving/d	3.84	1.44	0.78	0.35	0.05	
Deaths/person-years	360/106,599	332/104,839	303/105,688	301/105,711	382/105,652	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.04 (0.89, 1.21)	1.01 (0.86, 1.18)	1.01 (0.86, 1.19)	1.27 (1.07, 1.51)	0.004
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.03 (0.89, 1.20)	0.99 (0.85, 1.16)	0.97 (0.82, 1.14)	1.15 (0.97, 1.37)	0.10
Sodium, mg/d	3981	2662	2051	1558	1004	
Deaths/person-years	306/105,721	334/105,712	338/105,693	325/105,699	375/105,662	
Age-adjusted HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.17 (0.96, 1.43)	1.16 (0.91, 1.49)	1.05 (0.78, 1.41)	1.09 (0.77, 1.54)	0.91
Multivariable HR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.18 (0.97, 1.44)	1.21 (0.94, 1.55)	1.12 (0.84, 1.51)	1.17 (0.83, 1.65)	0.64

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Age-adjusted HR adjusted for age, each DASH component, and total energy intake. Multivariable HR adjusted for age, each DASH component, total energy intake, education, marital status, vigorous exercise, television watching, smoking, and alcohol intake. DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; ref, reference; SSB, sugar-sweetened beverage.

evident among nonobese women, but the estimates were imprecise across subgroups, and there were no significant interactions by BMI (data not given).

# **Discussion**

In the present study of African-American women, a DASH-style diet was associated with lower all-cause mortality, with a 25% reduction in risk for the highest relative to the lowest quintile. The individual components of the DASH score that were most strongly associated with reduced mortality were high consumption of whole grains and low intake of red and processed meat. A Western dietary pattern, derived by factor analysis and characterized by high intake of red and processed meat, was associated with increased mortality. In contrast, a prudent dietary pattern, characterized by high intake of vegetables and fruit, was not significantly associated with mortality risk, and the vegetable and fruit components of the DASH score were not associated with mortality. AHEI scores were not associated with mortality.

Adherence to a DASH-style diet is associated with reductions in blood pressure (27) and lower risk of cardiovascular disease

(14), diabetes (28), colorectal cancer (29), and estrogen receptor–negative breast cancer (30). Higher DASH scores also were associated with lower all-cause mortality among adults with hypertension (31) and heart failure (32). To our knowledge, no previous studies have examined DASH scores and risk of overall mortality in a cohort of healthy adults.

Our findings are supported by those from 3 US cohorts in which red and processed meat were associated with increased all-cause mortality (33, 34). This association may operate in part because of dietary effects on chronic conditions including type 2 diabetes (35), cardiovascular disease (36), and several cancers (37). Also consistent with our results, whole grain intake was inversely associated with overall mortality (38, 39), incidence of type 2 diabetes (40), and incidence of cardiovascular disease (41). However, one study that found an inverse association between whole grain intake and all-cause mortality also reported that high fruit and vegetable intake was associated with lower mortality (39), which was not observed in the present study. Fruit and vegetable consumption also was associated with a lower risk of death in one of the largest studies to date, with stronger inverse associations observed for raw vegetables than

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Derived from test for linear trend, modeling the median value for each quintile as a continuous variable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 1 serving = 8 ounces.

for cooked vegetables (42). We lacked information in the current study on mode of preparation.

In our primary analyses, we did not adjust for BMI because the causal effect of diet on mortality is hypothesized to operate in part through effects on body weight. We found, however, that the inclusion of BMI as a covariate did not materially affect the results. The association between diet quality and mortality was apparent only among nonobese women. Similar to the present study, we previously found that higher DASH scores were associated with reduced risk of becoming obese only among women who were leaner at baseline (16). The lack of a positive association in the present study between diet quality and mortality among obese women may be due in part to the higher prevalence of comorbidities in this group of women and to the difficulty in discerning a small increase when the underlying risk of mortality is high, or it could be because of reduced statistical power in the smaller group of obese women. Evidence that weighs against the first explanation are the findings that diet quality was not associated with mortality among women at lower risk of dying because of higher levels of vigorous exercise or because of never smoking, whereas associations were clearly present among less active women and ever smokers. Consistent with the second explanation involving statistical power, the numbers of deaths among never smokers and active women were small.

The associations between diet quality and mortality were present across strata of age and education. Although BWHS participants on average have higher levels of education than does the general African-American population, they represent the 83% of women of the same ages nationally who have completed high school or a higher level of education (43). It is therefore plausible that our findings might be generalizable to most healthy African-American women.

Strengths of the present study include the large sample size and long duration of follow-up. Dietary intake was assessed at 2 time points, and cumulative mean scores were used in order to better reflect long-term diet quality. We also used updated data on important covariates such as physical activity, sedentariness, and smoking history. Study limitations include the use of FFQs to estimate dietary intake. Underreporting of dietary intake is more prevalent among obese adults (44, 45), which may have contributed to our inability to detect an association with mortality in this group of women. Because BMI was based on self-reported data on weight and height, there was imprecision in this measure.

In summary, we found that greater adherence to a DASH-style diet, particularly high intake of whole grains and low intake of red and processed meat, was inversely associated with all-cause mortality among African-American women who were free of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes at the beginning of follow-up. Our findings suggest that reducing red meat intake and increasing whole grain intake may lower mortality rates in healthy populations.

## **Acknowledgments**

DAB, JRP, and LR designed the research; DAB and YB analyzed the data; DAB wrote the manuscript; and all authors interpreted the results and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# References

- Willett W. Nutritional epidemiology. Second ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998.
- 2. Hu FB. Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. Curr Opin Lipidol 2002;13:3–9.

- Heidemann C, Schulze MB, Franco OH, van Dam RM, Mantzoros CS, Hu FB. Dietary patterns and risk of mortality from cardiovascular disease, cancer, and all causes in a prospective cohort of women. Circulation 2008;118:230–7.
- 4. Zazpe I, Sanchez-Tainta A, Toledo E, Sanchez-Villegas A, Martinez-Gonzalez MA. Dietary patterns and total mortality in a Mediterranean cohort: the SUN project. J Acad Nutr Diet 2014;114:37–47.
- Osler M, Heitmann BL, Gerdes LU, Jorgensen LM, Schroll M. Dietary patterns and mortality in Danish men and women: a prospective observational study. Br J Nutr 2001;85:219–25.
- Kant AK, Graubard BI, Schatzkin A. Dietary patterns predict mortality in a national cohort: the National Health Interview Surveys, 1987 and 1992. J Nutr 2004;134:1793–9.
- Kant AK, Schatzkin A, Graubard BI, Schairer C. A prospective study of diet quality and mortality in women. JAMA 2000;283:2109–15.
- Mitrou PN, Kipnis V, Thiebaut AC, Reedy J, Subar AF, Wirfalt E, Flood A, Mouw T, Hollenbeck AR, Leitzmann MF, et al. Mediterranean dietary pattern and prediction of all-cause mortality in a US population: results from the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. Arch Intern Med 2007;167;2461–8.
- 9. Akbaraly TN, Ferrie JE, Berr C, Brunner EJ, Head J, Marmot MG, Singh-Manoux A, Ritchie K, Shipley MJ, Kivimaki M. Alternative Healthy Eating Index and mortality over 18 y of follow-up: results from the Whitehall II cohort. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;94:247–53.
- Mursu J, Steffen LM, Meyer KA, Duprez D, Jacobs DR, Jr. Diet quality indexes and mortality in postmenopausal women: the Iowa Women's Health Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2013;98:444–53.
- 11. Kant AK, Graubard BI, Kumanyika SK. Trends in black-white differentials in dietary intakes of U.S. adults, 1971–2002. Am J Prev Med 2007;32:264–72.
- Kirkpatrick SI, Dodd KW, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM. Income and race/ ethnicity are associated with adherence to food-based dietary guidance among US adults and children. J Acad Nutr Diet 2012;112:624–35.
- 13. Jemal A, Thun MJ, Ward EE, Henley SJ, Cokkinides VE, Murray TE. Mortality from leading causes by education and race in the United States, 2001. Am J Prev Med 2008;34:1–8.
- 14. Fung TT, Chiuve SE, McCullough ML, Rexrode KM, Logroscino G, Hu FB. Adherence to a DASH-style diet and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke in women. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:713–20.
- Boggs DA, Palmer JR, Spiegelman D, Stampfer MJ, Adams-Campbell LL, Rosenberg L. Dietary patterns and 14-y weight gain in African American women. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;94:86–94.
- Boggs DA, Rosenberg L, Rodriguez-Bernal CL, Palmer JR. Long-term diet quality is associated with lower obesity risk in young African American women with normal BMI at baseline. J Nutr 2013;143: 1636–41.
- Agurs-Collins T, Rosenberg L, Makambi K, Palmer JR, Adams-Campbell L. Dietary patterns and breast cancer risk in women participating in the Black Women's Health Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;90:621–8.
- Makambi KH, Agurs-Collins T, Bright-Gbebry M, Rosenberg L, Palmer JR, Adams-Campbell LL. Dietary patterns and the risk of colorectal adenomas: the Black Women's Health Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2011;20:818–25.
- Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, Hu FB, McCullough ML, Wang M, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. J Nutr 2012;142:1009–18.
- Block G, Hartman AM, Naughton D. A reduced dietary questionnaire: development and validation. Epidemiology 1990;1:58–64.
- Rosenberg L, Palmer JR, Rao RS, Adams-Campbell LL. Risk factors for coronary heart disease in African American women. Am J Epidemiol 1999;150:904–9.
- Boggs DA, Rosenberg L, Cozier YC, Wise LA, Coogan PF, Ruiz-Narvaez EA, Palmer JR. General and abdominal obesity and risk of death among black women. N Engl J Med 2011;365:901–8.
- Kumanyika SK, Mauger D, Mitchell DC, Phillips B, Smiciklas-Wright H, Palmer JR. Relative validity of food frequency questionnaire nutrient estimates in the Black Women's Health Study. Ann Epidemiol 2003;13:111–8.
- Carter-Nolan PL, Adams-Campbell LL, Makambi K, Lewis S, Palmer JR, Rosenberg L. Validation of physical activity instruments: Black Women's Health Study. Ethn Dis 2006;16:943–7.

- Wise LA, Palmer JR, Spiegelman D, Harlow BL, Stewart EA, Adams-Campbell LL, Rosenberg L. Influence of body size and body fat distribution on risk of uterine leiomyomata in U.S. black women. Epidemiology 2005;16:346–54.
- Laird N, Olivier D. Covariance analysis of censored survival data using log-linear techniques. J Am Stat Assoc 1981;76:231–40.
- 27. Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, Svetkey LP, Sacks FM, Bray GA, Vogt TM, Cutler JA, Windhauser MM, et al. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. DASH Collaborative Research Group. N Engl J Med 1997; 336:1117–24.
- 28. de Koning L, Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Hu FB. Dietquality scores and the risk of type 2 diabetes in men. Diabetes Care 2011;34:1150–6.
- Fung TT, Hu FB, Wu K, Chiuve SE, Fuchs CS, Giovannucci E. The Mediterranean and Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diets and colorectal cancer. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;92:1429–35.
- Fung TT, Hu FB, Hankinson SE, Willett WC, Holmes MD. Lowcarbohydrate diets, dietary approaches to stop hypertension-style diets, and the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. Am J Epidemiol 2011;174:652–60.
- 31. Parikh A, Lipsitz SR, Natarajan S. Association between a DASH-like diet and mortality in adults with hypertension: findings from a population-based follow-up study. Am J Hypertens 2009;22: 409-16
- 32. Levitan EB, Lewis CE, Tinker LF, Eaton CB, Ahmed A, Manson JE, Snetselaar LG, Martin LW, Trevisan M, Howard BV, et al. Mediterranean and DASH diet scores and mortality in women with heart failure: The Women's Health Initiative. Circ Heart Fail 2013;6:1116–23.
- Sinha R, Cross AJ, Graubard BI, Leitzmann MF, Schatzkin A. Meat intake and mortality: a prospective study of over half a million people. Arch Intern Med 2009;169:562–71.
- Pan A, Sun Q, Bernstein AM, Schulze MB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Hu FB. Red meat consumption and mortality: results from 2 prospective cohort studies. Arch Intern Med 2012;172:555–63.
- Pan A, Sun Q, Bernstein AM, Schulze MB, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu
   FB. Red meat consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes: 3 cohorts of US adults and an updated meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;94:1088–96.

- Micha R, Wallace SK, Mozaffarian D. Red and processed meat consumption and risk of incident coronary heart disease, stroke, and diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Circulation 2010;121:2271–83.
- Cross AJ, Leitzmann MF, Gail MH, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, Sinha R. A prospective study of red and processed meat intake in relation to cancer risk. PLoS Med 2007;4:e325.
- Jacobs DR, Jr., Meyer KA, Kushi LH, Folsom AR. Is whole grain intake associated with reduced total and cause-specific death rates in older women? The Iowa Women's Health Study. Am J Public Health 1999;89:322-9.
- 39. Steffen LM, Jacobs DR, Jr., Stevens J, Shahar E, Carithers T, Folsom AR. Associations of whole-grain, refined-grain, and fruit and vegetable consumption with risks of all-cause mortality and incident coronary artery disease and ischemic stroke: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2003;78:383–90.
- 40. de Munter JS, Hu FB, Spiegelman D, Franz M, van Dam RM. Whole grain, bran, and germ intake and risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective cohort study and systematic review. PLoS Med 2007;4:e261.
- Liu S, Stampfer MJ, Hu FB, Giovannucci E, Rimm E, Manson JE, Hennekens CH, Willett WC. Whole-grain consumption and risk of coronary heart disease: results from the Nurses' Health Study. Am J Clin Nutr 1999;70:412–9.
- 42. Leenders M, Sluijs I, Ros MM, Boshuizen HC, Siersema PD, Ferrari P, Weikert C, Tjonneland A, Olsen A, Boutron-Ruault MC, et al. Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality: European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. Am J Epidemiol 2013;178:590–602.
- 43. Educational attainment in the United States. March 1998 (update). Current population reports. p.20–543. U.S. Department of Commerce.
- 44. Ferrari P, Slimani N, Ciampi A, Trichopoulou A, Naska A, Lauria C, Veglia F, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Ocke MC, Brustad M, et al. Evaluation of under- and overreporting of energy intake in the 24-hour diet recalls in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). Public Health Nutr 2002;5: 6B:1329–45.
- 45. Subar AF, Kipnis V, Troiano RP, Midthune D, Schoeller DA, Bingham S, Sharbaugh CO, Trabulsi J, Runswick S, Ballard-Barbash R, et al. Using intake biomarkers to evaluate the extent of dietary misreporting in a large sample of adults: the OPEN study. Am J Epidemiol 2003;158: 1–13.