Assessment Bachelor Project Computer Science
Computer Science

General data

VU supervisor:	Malavolta, I.	**Department:**	Faculty of Science
Name student:	Chen, Yu	**Student number:**	2668777
Course code:	Bachelor project Computer Science (XB_40001)	**ECTS (credit) points:**	15

Assessment

		Score
Executed Work		
1. Result (50%)	*Quality of the achieved results.*	8.5
2. Insight (30%)	*Level of academic thinking, creativity and critical reflection on the work.*	8.5
3. Planning (10%)	*Timeline and meeting of deadlines. Contact with the supervisor(s). Response to feedback.*	8.5
4. Independence (10%)	*Independence and initiative shown during the project.*	8.5
5. Any further remarks	The obtained result is of high quality and it will likely be included in a scientific publication. The student quickly picked up the fundamentals of MDE and designed and implemented their solution independently. The student carried out their project independently. When facing a problem they always proposed also solutions to solve them. Very good level of independence.	
Grade Executed Work		8.5
Executed Work (second assessor)		
1. Result (50%) (*second assessor*)	*Quality of the achieved results.*	8.5
2. Insight (30%) (*second assessor*)	*Level of academic thinking, creativity and critical reflection on the work.*	8.5
3. Planning (10%) (*second assessor*)	*Timeline and meeting of deadlines. Contact with the supervisor(s). Response to feedback.*	8.5
4. Independence (10%) (*second assessor*)	*Independence and initiative shown during the project.*	8.5
5. Any further remarks (*second assessor*)		
Grade Executed Work (*second assessor*)		8.5
Thesis		
1. Research question (20%)	*Description of the research question. Explanation of its importance and relationship with the area of interest. Description of and comparison with relevant literature.*	8.5
2. Content (40%)	*Motivation for the chosen research approach, description of the work, and analysis of the results.*	8.5
3. Readability (20%)	*Quality of the academic writing style. Use of illustrations and examples. Consistency and logical flow of the argumentation. Structure, organization and layout of the thesis.*	8.5
4. Conclusion (20%)	*Discussion of and critical reflection on the work, as well as its limitations and possible future work.*	8.5
5. Any further remarks	The project is based on the design science methodology, where the main objective was to design and develop a software component. Clear and complete description of the realized software component. Source code available on GitHub. The writing style and structure of the thesis are clear and well-organized. Good critical reflection and conclusions.	
Grade Thesis		8.5

Thesis (second assessor)		
1. Research question (20%) (*second assessor*)	*Description of the research question.* *Explanation of its importance and relationship with the area of interest.* *Description of and comparison with relevant literature.*	8.5
2. Content (40%) (*second assessor*)	*Motivation for the chosen research approach, description of the work, and analysis of the results.*	8.5
3. Readability (20%) (*second assessor*)	*Quality of the academic writing style. Use of illustrations and examples. Consistency and logical flow of the argumentation. Structure, organization and layout of the thesis.*	8.5
4. Conclusion (20%) (*second assessor*)	*Discussion of and critical reflection on the work, as well as its limitations and possible future work.*	8.5
5. Any further remarks (*second assessor*)		
Grade Thesis (*second assessor*)		8.5
Presentation		
1. Presentation skills (60%)	*Clarity and organization of the presentation.Use of media.* *Use of language and non?verbal communication. Duration.*	8.5
2. Content (40%)	*Mastery of the subject matter. Quality of the content.* *Response to questions and final discussion.*	8.5
3. Any further remarks	Very good story telling, in full control. The slides and related material were complete.	
Grade Presentation		8.5
Presentation (second assessor)		
1. Presentation skills (60%) (*second assessor*)	*Clarity and organization of the presentation.Use of media.* *Use of language and non?verbal communication. Duration.*	8.5
2. Content (40%) (*second assessor*)	*Mastery of the subject matter. Quality of the content.* *Response to questions and final discussion.*	8.5
3. Any further remarks (*second assessor*)		
Grade Presentation (*second assessor*)		8.5
Evaluation		
Overall Grade		8.5
Evaluation (second assessor)		
Overall Grade (*second assessor*)		8.5
Evaluation (final assessor)		
Final Grade Executed Work (*Final assessor*)		8.5
Final Grade Thesis (*Final assessor*)		8.5
Final Grade Presentation (*Final assessor*)		8.5
Final assessment		**8.5**

Explanation	
Date	27-07-2022