

# Georgia Institute of Technology

## Summer 2022, CS 2050 Intro Discrete Math CS Section A

Instructor: Song, Jaehoon (Additional), Team-taught with:

Instructor: Frederic Faulkner

Teaching Assistant: Nithya Jayakumar, Akshay Kulkarni, Christine Johnson, Meena Nagarajan



There were: 83 possible respondents.

Question Text	N	RR	Interpol. Median	3	6	9	12	15	18	18+	
Hours per week spent on course	71	86%	8.26	5	13	23	21	6	3	0	
				Lab TA	Recitation TA	Grade / Test	Office Hours	Other			
TA: Role	44	53%		2	29	25	28	4			
Follow up --				Exceptional	V Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		
TA: Oral communication	44	53%	4.58	20	10	6	1	0	7		
TA: Written communication	45	54%	4.58	20	11	6	0	0	8		
Follow up --				Str Agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Str Disagree	N/A		
TA: Explained concepts clearly	45	54%	4.67	23	10	5	0	0	7		
TA: Concept familiarity	44	53%	4.67	23	13	2	0	0	6		
Follow up --				Exceptional	V Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		
TA: Respect for students	44	53%	4.80	27	5	6	0	0	6		
Follow up --				Extrem	Very	Moderately	Slight	Detached	N/A		
TA: Attitude about teaching	44	53%	4.67	23	11	4	0	0	6		
Follow up --				More Eager	Interested	No Change	Less	Ruined	N/A		
TA: Stimulated interest	44	53%	4.53	19	7	11	0	0	7		
Follow up --				Str Agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Str Disagree	N/A		
TA: Approachability	44	53%	4.74	25	11	2	0	0	6		
Follow up --				Extrem	Prep	Neither	Some what	Unprepared	N/A		
TA: Preparedness	44	53%	4.67	23	12	3	0	0	6		

Follow up --				Except- ional	V Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A		
TA: Classroom management	44	53%	4.73	24	8	5	0	0	7		
TA: Engaged students	44	53%	4.73	24	7	6	0	0	7		
Follow up --				Str Agree	Agree	Neither	Disagree	Str Disagree	N/A		
TA: Overall effectiveness	44	53%	4.67	23	13	2	0	0	6		
				Mid - 3:00	3:01- 6 a.m	6:01- 9 a.m	9:01- noon	12:01- 3 p.m	3:01- 6 p.m	6:01- 9 p.m	9:01- mid
Time of day evaluation completed	76	92%		11	3	1	5	10	16	15	15
				1-3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15	16-18	19-21	22+
Classes this semester	76	92%		17	54	5	0	0	0	0	0



## Text Responses

### TA: Explanation of role

(CS 2050) TA

(CS 2050) -

(CS 2050) N/a

(CS 2050) NA

(CS 2050) Do not know her

### TA: Greatest strength

(CS 2050) N/A

(CS 2050) accessibility

(CS 2050) I liked the engagement, especially on Ed!

(CS 2050) very accessible in office hours and very enthusiastic to teach

(CS 2050) -

(CS 2050) N/A

(CS 2050) His passion and creativity. He taught me to consider edge-cases, which is an invaluable tool.

(CS 2050) Helpful

(CS 2050) I never interacted with this TA.

(CS 2050) N/a

(CS 2050) He would write ideas and helpful info on the board that would help with the problems on the worksheets

(CS 2050) Homework feedback

(CS 2050) Very knowledgeable about the material

(CS 2050) I didn't really get a chance to talk to Jaehoon one on one, but they were helpful during recitation.

(CS 2050) understandable

(CS 2050) N/A

(CS 2050) readily helped students with example problems

(CS 2050) Everything, best TA

### TA: Improvements

(CS 2050) N/A

(CS 2050) communication

(CS 2050) I'm not really sure, sorry.
(CS 2050) tends to give long explanations for questions
(CS 2050) -
(CS 2050) N/A
(CS 2050) Homeworks in this class were not graded fast enough. The final exam is tomorrow, it is supposed to cover homework 5-8. I only have homework 5 and 6 graded on grade scope. This is unacceptable.
(CS 2050) N/A
(CS 2050) I never interacted with this TA.
(CS 2050) N/a
(CS 2050) More engagement
(CS 2050) Sometimes explained concepts in a more advanced way than learned.
(CS 2050) No needed improvement.
(CS 2050) more involvement
(CS 2050) N/A
(CS 2050) no need for improvement
(CS 2050) Mastery of the English language
<b>TA: General comments</b>
(CS 2050) N/A
(CS 2050) good
(CS 2050) -
(CS 2050) N/A
(CS 2050) They did great job. Thank you for your service during this Summer.
(CS 2050) Excellent TA.
(CS 2050) I never interacted with this TA.
(CS 2050) N/a
(CS 2050) Good job
(CS 2050) great TA overall
(CS 2050) I did not interact with TA
(CS 2050) none
(CS 2050) N/A
(CS 2050) Favorite TA!