Exercise 3.2+4.4

Yunhua Zhao

October 7, 2020

3.2

a) Given initial value $\theta 0$, recursively define the feedback process Y_n through

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \epsilon_n Y_n$$

with either fixed step size ϵ or decreasing step size, where we typically assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n = +\infty$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n^2 < \infty$$

and Y_n given via the feedback function

$$Y_n = \phi(\xi(\theta_n), \theta_n)$$

We assume that all random variables, that is, $\theta 0$ and $(\xi_n(\theta) : n >= 0, \theta \in \Theta)$, are defined on a probability space. Running the stochastic approximation algorithm, we observe the underlying sequence

$$\xi_0(\theta_0), \xi_1(\theta_1), \dots$$

Here in the problem,

$$\xi_{1}(\theta_{1}) = (0_{initiallose}, (1 - \theta_{0})_{initialAwins}, (-\theta_{0})_{initialBwins})$$

$$\xi_{2}(\theta_{2}) = (0_{1thlose}, (1 - \theta_{1})_{1thAwins}, (-\theta_{1})_{1thBwins})$$

$$\xi_{3}(\theta_{3}) = (0_{2thlose}, (1 - \theta_{2})_{2thAwins}, (-\theta_{2})_{2thBwins})$$

$$\xi_{2}(\theta_{4}) = (0_{3thlose}, (1 - \theta_{3})_{3thAwins}, (-\theta_{3})_{3thBwins})$$

and so on, ...

b) because

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \epsilon_n Y_n$$

set $Y_n(\xi_n(\theta_n))$ is the independent sequences of unbiased estimators of the target vector field, where

$$Y_n(\xi_n(\theta_n)) = (0_{n-1-thlose}, (1-\theta_{n-1})_{n-1-thAwins}, (-\theta_{n-1})_{n-1-thBwins})$$

c) **Under** strict monotonicity, if choose A win, $Y_n = \xi_n(\theta_n) = 1 - \theta_n$ the chosen direction the gradient is bigger than 0, which is always the grow direction:

And the probability that B win, $Y_n = \xi_n(\theta_n) = -\theta_n$ is always a descent direction, which is always the decent direction.

So this means that the field is coercive for the well-posed optimization problem.

Mohamed4.4

Show that for a random variable x with finite variance

$$\nabla J(\theta) = (-E[Z(X) - \theta_1 - \theta_2 X], -E[XZ(X) - \theta_1 X - \theta_2 X^2])^{\mathsf{T}} \quad (1)$$
$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} E[(Z(X) - (\theta_1 + \theta_2 X))^2] \quad (2)$$

Which we could get:

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_1} = -E[Z(X) - (\theta_1 - \theta_2 X)] \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_2} = -E[XZ(X) - \theta_1 X - \theta_2 X^2] \quad (4)$$

For each x_n we obtain a corresponding random observation $\xi_n = Z(x_n)$

$$E(Z(x_n)) = h(x_n)$$

The feedback function is

$$Y_n = (\xi_n - \theta_n(1) - \theta_n(2)x_n)(1, x_n)^{\mathsf{T}}$$
 (5)

Because x_n and $Z(x_n)$ are random, so Y_n is independent:

$$\begin{split} E[Y_n|\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{n}-1}] &= E[(\xi_n - \theta_n(1) - \theta_n(2)x_n)(1,x_n)^\intercal] \\ &= E[(Z(x_n) - \theta_n(1) - \theta_n(2)x_n, x_nZ(x_n) - \theta_n(1)x_n - \theta_n(2)x_n^2)^\intercal] \\ &= (E[Z(x_n) - \theta_n(1) - \theta_n(2)x_n], E[x_nZ(x_n) - \theta_n(1)x_n - \theta_n(2)x_n^2])^\intercal \\ &= -\nabla J(\theta_n(1), \theta_n(2)) \\ &= -\nabla J(\theta_n) \end{split}$$