

# Exercise 3.2+4.4

Yunhua Zhao

October 7, 2020

## 3.2

a) Given initial value  $\theta_0$ , recursively define the feedback process  $Y_n$  through

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \epsilon_n Y_n$$

with either fixed step size  $\epsilon$  or decreasing step size, where we typically assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n = +\infty$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n^2 < \infty$$

and  $Y_n$  given via the feedback function

$$Y_n = \phi(\xi(\theta_n), \theta_n)$$

We assume that all random variables, that is,  $\theta_0$  and  $(\xi_n(\theta) : n \geq 0, \theta \in \Theta)$ , are defined on a probability space. Running the stochastic approximation algorithm, we observe the underlying sequence

$$\xi_0(\theta_0), \xi_1(\theta_1), \dots$$

Here in the problem,

$$\xi_1(\theta_1) = (0_{initiallose}, (1 - \theta_0)_{initialAwins}, (-\theta_0)_{initialBwins})$$

$$\xi_2(\theta_2) = (0_{1thlose}, (1 - \theta_1)_{1thAwins}, (-\theta_1)_{1thBwins})$$

$$\xi_3(\theta_3) = (0_{2thlose}, (1 - \theta_2)_{2thAwins}, (-\theta_2)_{2thBwins})$$

$$\xi_4(\theta_4) = (0_{3thlose}, (1 - \theta_3)_{3thAwins}, (-\theta_3)_{3thBwins})$$

and so on, ...

b) because

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \epsilon_n Y_n$$

set  $Y_n(\xi_n(\theta_n))$  is the independent sequences of unbiased estimators of the target vector field, where

$$Y_n(\xi_n(\theta_n)) = (0_{n-1-thlose}, (1 - \theta_{n-1})_{n-1-thAwins}, (-\theta_{n-1})_{n-1-thBwins})$$

c) **Under** strict monotonicity, if choose A win,  $Y_n = \xi_n(\theta_n) = 1 - \theta_n$  the chosen direction the gradient is bigger than 0, which is always the grow direction;

**And** the probability that B win,  $Y_n = \xi_n(\theta_n) = -\theta_n$  is always a descent direction, which is always the decent direction.

**So** this means that the field is coercive for the well-posed optimization problem.

#### Mohamed4.4

Show that for a random variable  $x$  with finite variance

$$\nabla J(\theta) = (-E[Z(X) - \theta_1 - \theta_2 X], -E[XZ(X) - \theta_1 X - \theta_2 X^2])^\top \quad (1)$$

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}E[(Z(X) - (\theta_1 + \theta_2 X))^2] \quad (2)$$

Which we could get:

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_1} = -E[Z(X) - (\theta_1 - \theta_2 X)] \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_2} = -E[XZ(X) - \theta_1 X - \theta_2 X^2] \quad (4)$$

For each  $x_n$  we obtain a corresponding random observation  $\xi_n = Z(x_n)$

$$E(Z(x_n)) = h(x_n)$$

The feedback function is

$$Y_n = (\xi_n - \theta_n(1) - \theta_n(2)x_n)(1, x_n)^\top \quad (5)$$

Because:

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_n | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}] &= E[(\xi_n - \theta_n(1) - \theta_n(2)x_n)(1, x_n)^\top] \\ &= E[(Z(x_n) - \theta_n(1) - \theta_n(2)x_n, x_n Z(x_n) - \theta_n(1)x_n - \theta_n(2)x_n^2)^\top] \\ &= (E[Z(x_n) - \theta_n(1) - \theta_n(2)x_n], E[x_n Z(x_n) - \theta_n(1)x_n - \theta_n(2)x_n^2])^\top \\ &= -\nabla J(\theta_n(1), \theta_n(2)) \\ &= -\nabla J(\theta_n) \end{aligned}$$