Prediction of age-structured model for SARS-CoV-2 in Seoul and Gyeonggi

Yunjeong Lee ¹ Jeongjoo Seok ²

 1 School of Mathematics and Computing (Computational Science and Engineering)

²School of Mathematics and Computing (Mathematics)

October 18, 2021

Data

- 1. Daily confirmed cases in Seoul and Gyeonggi
- 2. Vaccine
 - ▶ Daily number of vaccination for 1st dose (by age)
 - ▶ Daily number of vaccination for 2nd dose (by age)
 - ► Vaccine efficacy
- 3. Proportion of δ variant

1. Daily number of vaccination for 1st dose (all ages)




Figure 1: The daily number vaccination for 1st dose and 2nd dose from 2021/02/15 to 2021/09/01

1. Daily number of vaccination for 1st dose (by age)

- ▶ The daily number of vaccination by age is generated by the ratio between ages of vaccinated people.
- ► The ratio is based on KDCA reports.




Figure 2: The daily number vaccination for 1st dose by age from 2021/02/15 to 2021/09/01

2. Daily number of vaccination for 2nd dose (by age)

- ▶ The daily number of vaccination by age is generated by the ratio between ages of vaccinated people.
- ► The ratio is based on KDCA reports.




Figure 3: The daily number vaccination for 2nd dose by age from 2021/02/15 to 2021/09/01

3. Vaccine efficacy

- ▶ The vaccine efficacies for α variant and δ variant are different.
- \triangleright We use weighted sum of vaccine efficacies where weights are based on proportion of δ variant

	Dose	Astrazeneca	Pfizer
α variant	1st dose 2nd dose	48.7% $74.5%$	47.5% $93.7%$
δ variant	1st dose 2nd dose	$30.0\% \\ 67\%$	35.6% $88%$

Table 1: The vaccine efficacies according to the vaccine type, variant and dose.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Jamie}$ Lopez Bernal et al. (2021). "Effectiveness of Covid-19 vaccines against the B. 1.617. 2 (Delta) variant". In: New England Journal of Medicine

3. Vaccine efficacy





Figure 4: The estimated daily vaccine efficacy for 1st dose and 2nd dose.

4. Proportion of δ variant






Figure 5: Estimates of proportion of δ variant.




Figure 6: Diagram of age-structured model for SARS-CoV-2.

Model

Notation	Interpretation
S	Susceptibles
E	Exposed
I	Infectious
H	Hospitalized
R	Removed (or recovered)
V_1	Vaccinated (1st dose)
V_2	Vaccinated (2nd dose)
λ	Force of infection
κ	Latent period
α	Infectious period
γ	Hospitalization period
e_1	Vaccine efficacy for 1st dose
e_2	Vaccine efficacy for 2nd dose

Table 2: Definition of states and parameters.

Social distancing

Social distance level

- ▶ 0.5단계 감소: transmission rate 전단계 대비 40% 증가
- ▶ 0.5단계 증가: transmission rate 전단계 대비 32% 감소

Date	Social distancing level	Change of transmission rate
2021/02/15- $2021/06/30$	2	
2021/07/01- $2021/07/11$	1.5	$\beta \times 1.4$
$2021/07/12-2021/09/01^2$	-	-

Table 3: The change of transmission rate according to the social distancing level from 2021/02/15 to 2021/09/01.

 $^{^2\}mathrm{It}$ will be changed according to the experiments.

Definition of λ

Motivation

- ▶ In general, $\lambda(t)$ is defined by $W \times I(t)$ where W is the WAIFW matrix, and I(t) is the number of infectious at time t.
- \triangleright To reflect the non-pharmaceutical intervention, we consider time-dependent W(t).

Definition of WAIFW matrix

Let p(t) and SD(t) be the proportion of δ variant and proportionate of the corresponding social distancing level at time t. Let C(t) be the contact rate at time t.

$$W(t) = ((1 - p(t) + p(t)\delta) \times \beta \times SD(t) \times C(t)$$

Experiments

- ▶ The social distancing effects after 2021/07/12 are assumed differently in experiments.
- \blacktriangleright We assume that the number of contacts from 2021/07/01 to 2021/07/11 increases 41.61%.

No. Experiments	SD (2/15-6/30)	SD (7/1-7/11)	SD (7/12-9/1)
1	2단계	1.5단계	2단계
2	2단계	1.5단계	3단계
3	2단계	1.5단계	4단계

Experiment 1: 2단계 (2021/07/12-2021/09/01)

$$\hat{\beta} = 0.0426$$

Parameter	Initial	Estimate
δ	1.0000e+00	1.6183e + 00
Cost	4.1009e+04	1.3724e + 04
Time	0.0000e+00	7.7904e+01

Table 4: Parameter estimates obtained by maximum likelihood estimation.

Experiment 1: 2단계 (2021/07/12-2021/09/01)




Figure 7: The model prediction and data for daily confirmed cases (top) and cumulative confirmed cases (bottom).

Experiment 1: 2단계 (2021/07/12-2021/09/01)




Figure 8: The estimated reproduction number from 2021/02/15 to 2021/09/01.

Experiment 2: 3단계 (2021/07/12-2021/09/01)

$$\hat{\beta} = 0.0426$$

Parameter	Initial	Estimate
δ	1.0000e+00	2.5070e+00
Cost	8.3990e + 04	1.1944e + 04
Time	0.0000e+00	8.0985e + 01

Table 5: Parameter estimates obtained by maximum likelihood estimation.

Experiment 2: 3단계 (2021/07/12-2021/09/01)




Figure 9: The model prediction and data for daily confirmed cases (top) and cumulative confirmed cases (bottom).

Experiment 2: 3단계 (2021/07/12-2021/09/01)




Figure 10: The estimated reproduction number from 2021/02/15 to 2021/09/01.

Experiment 3: 4단계 (2021/07/12-2021/09/01)

$$\hat{\beta} = 0.0426$$

Parameter	Initial	Estimate
δ	1.0000e+00	3.5707e + 00
Cost	1.1534e + 05	1.1989e + 04
Time	0.0000e+00	8.6907e + 01

Table 6: Parameter estimates obtained by maximum likelihood estimation.

Experiment 3: 4단계 (2021/07/12-2021/09/01)




Figure 11: The model prediction and data for daily confirmed cases (top) and cumulative confirmed cases (bottom).

Experiment 3: 4단계 (2021/07/12-2021/09/01)




Figure 12: The estimated reproduction number from 2021/02/15 to 2021/09/01.