

# **CS311: Homework #2**

Due on September 23, 2013 at 4:30pm

*Professor Lathrop Section 3*

**Josh Davis**

## Problem 1

Using a loop invariant, prove that the following property holds for  $MAX$ :

$$\forall 0 \leq i < n, \text{list}[i] \leq MAX(\text{list})$$

### Solution

*Proof.* To prove the following property holds, we will use the following loop invariant:

When looping, of all of the elements in the range  $\text{list}[0 \dots i]$ ,  $\text{maxValue}$  will contain the the value that is the largest element in the range of elements.

By proving the loop invariant, it will prove the correctness of the function  $MAX(\text{list})$ . Thus the property will hold.

### Initialization

At the beginning of the loop,  $i = 0$ . Since  $\text{maxValue} = \text{list}[0]$ , there is only one value in the range of elements. It is trivially true that the max value of a range with 1 element is always that element. Thus the the loop invariant holds.

### Maintenance

During each iteration of the while loop on line 3, the value of  $\text{list}[i]$  is compared to  $\text{maxValue}$ . If  $\text{list}[i] > \text{maxValue}$ , then  $\text{maxValue} = \text{list}[i]$ .

Since this happens each loop of the iteration, everytime  $i$  is incremented on line 7, the range of elements consists of  $\text{list}[0 \dots i]$ . Thus since  $i$  is being incremented by one, the range is growing by one everytime. Since  $\text{maxValue}$  is the largest element in the range  $\text{list}[0 \dots i - 1]$ , we just need to compare the value at  $\text{list}[i]$  to see if we need to update  $\text{maxValue}$ .

This is exactly what the loop invariant says, thus it proves the maintenance step of the loop invariant.

### Termination

When the loop terminates, it terminates when  $i < n$ . Since  $i$  is incremented every iteration in the while loop on line 7, when  $i = n$  the loop will stop.

Since  $n$  is the length of the array, it is easy to tell that when  $i = n$ , all items in  $\text{list}$  have been iterated over and thus  $\text{maxValue}$  will be set to the maximum value in the whole array from lines 4-5.

Since this corresponds to the termination step of the loop invariant, it is easy to see that the loop invariant holds. □

---

## Problem 2

Prove that a tree with  $n$  vertices has precisely  $n - 1$  edges.

*Proof.* To prove that a tree with  $n$  vertices has precisely  $n - 1$  edges, we will use induction.

### Base

First consider the simplest possible tree, a tree with  $n = 0$  vertices. Since the tree consists of just one vertex, there are no edges to other vertices and a tree cannot have a cycle. The number of edges is 0 or  $n - 1 = 0$ . Thus it holds for the base case.

### Step

We want to prove that for a tree with  $n + 1$  vertices, the tree will also have  $(n + 1) - 1$  edges.

Consider a tree,  $T_0$  with  $n$  vertices. We can create a new tree,  $T$  by taking  $T_0$  and adding one vertex to it. This would give  $n + 1$  vertices. Because we'd need to connect the vertex to  $T_0$ , the number of edges would then be:

$$\text{edges} = T + 1$$

We know this because a tree must be connected and have no cycles. By assuming the induction hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{edges} &= T + 1 \\ &= (n - 1) + 1 \\ &= (n + 1) - 1\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that a tree with  $n + 1$  vertices has exactly  $(n + 1) - 1$  edges. □

### Problem 3

Prove that  $6n^4 + 2n^3 + n + 12 \in \Theta(n^4)$ .

*Proof.* To prove that  $6n^4 + 2n^3 + n + 12 \in \Theta(n^4)$ , we must show the following:

$$\exists c_1 \exists c_2 \forall n \geq n_0, c_1 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n)$$

For the first inequality, it is easy to see that  $n^4 \leq c_1 \cdot (6n^4 + 2n^3 + n + 12)$  even for  $c_1 = 1$  and  $n_0 = 1$ .

Now we must show the second part of the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} 6n^4 + 2n^3 + n + 12 &= \\ &\leq 6n^4 + 2n^4 + n^4 + 12n^4 \\ &= 24n^4 \\ &\leq c_2 \cdot n^4 \end{aligned}$$

when  $c_2 = 24$  and  $n_0 = 1$ .

Therefore, since we have found our two constants,  $c_1 = 1$  and  $c_2 = 24$ , and constrained  $n_0 = 1$ , we have proven the proposition. Thus the proof is complete. □

### Problem 4

Prove a polynomial of degree  $k$ ,  $a_k n^k + a_{k-1} n^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 n^1 + a_0 n^0$  is a member of  $\Theta(n^k)$  where  $a_k \dots a_0$  are nonnegative constants.

*Proof.* To prove that  $a_k n^k + a_{k-1} n^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 n^1 + a_0 n^0$ , we must show the following:

$$\exists c_1 \exists c_2 \forall n \geq n_0, c_1 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n)$$

For the first inequality, it is easy to see that it holds because no matter what the constants are,  $n^k \leq a_k n^k + a_{k-1} n^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 n^1 + a_0 n^0$  even if  $c_1 = 1$  and  $n_0 = 1$ . This is because  $n^k \leq c_1 \cdot a_k n^k$  for any nonnegative constant,  $c_1$  and  $a_k$ .

Taking the second inequality, we prove it in the following way. By summation,  $\sum_{i=0}^k a_i$  will give us a new constant,  $A$ . By taking this value of  $A$ , we can then do the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_k n^k + a_{k-1} n^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 n^1 + a_0 n^0 &= \\ &\leq (a_k + a_{k-1} \dots a_1 + a_0) \cdot n^k \\ &= A \cdot n^k \\ &\leq c_2 \cdot n^k \end{aligned}$$

where  $n_0 = 1$  and  $c_2 = A$ .  $c_2$  is just a constant. Thus the proof is complete. □

---

## Problem 5

Give an appropriate positive constant  $c$  such that  $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$  for all  $n > 1$ .

1.  $f(n) = n^2 + n + 1, g(n) = 2n^3$
2.  $f(n) = n\sqrt{n} + n^2, g(n) = n^2$
3.  $f(n) = n^2 - n + 1, g(n) = n^2/2$

### Solution

We solve each solution algebraically to determine a possible constant  $c$ .

#### Part One

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 + n + 1 &= \\ &\leq n^2 + n^2 + n^2 \\ &= 3n^2 \\ &\leq c \cdot 2n^3 \end{aligned}$$

Thus a valid  $c$  could be when  $c = 2$ .

#### Part Two

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 + n\sqrt{n} &= \\ &= n^2 + n^{3/2} \\ &\leq n^2 + n^{4/2} \\ &= n^2 + n^2 \\ &= 2n^2 \\ &\leq c \cdot n^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus a valid  $c$  is  $c = 2$ .

#### Part Three

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 - n + 1 &= \\ &\leq n^2 \\ &\leq c \cdot n^2/2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus a valid  $c$  is  $c = 2$ .

---

## Problem 6

Find  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  that satisfy the following relationships. Write "None" if neither exist.

1.  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  and  $f(n) \neq \Theta(g(n))$
2.  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  and  $f(n) = o(g(n))$
3.  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  and  $f(n) \neq O(g(n))$
4.  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$  and  $f(n) \neq O(g(n))$

### Solutions

**Part One**  $f(n) = n$  and  $g(n) = n^2$ .  $f(n)$  is dominated by  $g(n)$  yet  $g(n)$  isn't a tight bound on  $f(n)$ .

**Part Two** None. Any function  $f(n)$  that is tightly bounded by  $g(n)$  cannot be dominated by the same function.

**Part Three** None. If  $f(n)$  is bounded tightly with  $g(n)$  (Big Theta definition), then there is no way it isn't bounded asymptotically by  $g(n)$  which is what Big Oh means. Big Theta is essentially a stronger statement than Big Oh and thus a subset.

**Part Four**  $f(n) = n^2$  and  $g(n) = n$ . Big Theta is a lower bound thus  $f(n)$  is asymptotically greater than  $g(n)$ . However it doesn't say anything about  $f(n)$ 's upper bound.

## Problem 7

Prove that if  $f_1(n) \in O(g_1(n))$  and  $f_2(n) \in O(g_2(n))$ , then  $f_1(n) + f_2(n) \in O(g_1(n) + g_2(n))$ .

*Proof.* To prove the above proposition, we must show that there exists a  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that:

$$f_1(n) + f_2(n) \leq c \cdot (g_1(n) + g_2(n))$$

Since  $f_1(n) \in O(g_1(n))$ , there exists a  $c_1$  and  $n_1$ . Also, since  $f_2(n) \in O(g_2(n))$ , there exists a  $c_2$  and  $n_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(n) + f_2(n) &\leq c_1 \cdot g_1(n) + c_2 \cdot g_2(n) \\ &\leq (c_1 + c_2) \cdot g_1(n) + (c_1 + c_2) \cdot g_2(n) \\ &= (c_1 + c_2) \cdot (g_1(n) + g_2(n)) \\ &\leq c \cdot (g_1(n) + g_2(n)) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c = c_1 + c_2$ , it is just a constant. By choosing  $n_0$  in a similar fashion, it is easy to see that the proposition holds and thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

## Problem 8

Suppose  $f_1 \in \Theta(g_1)$  and  $f_2 \in \Theta(g_2)$ . Prove that  $f_1/f_2 \in \Theta(g_1/g_2)$ .

*Proof.* To prove the above proposition, we must show that there exists a  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$  and  $n_0$  such that:

$$c_0 \cdot \frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)} \leq \frac{f_1(n)}{f_2(n)} \leq c_1 \cdot \frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)}$$

Since  $f_1(n) \in \Theta(g_1(n))$ , there exists a  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $n_1$ . Also, since  $f_2(n) \in \Theta(g_2(n))$ , there exists a  $c_4$ ,  $c_5$  and  $n_2$ .

For the first part of the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_2 \cdot g_1(n)}{c_4 \cdot g_2(n)} &= \left( \frac{c_2}{c_4} \right) \cdot \frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)} \\ &= c_0 \cdot \frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)} \\ &\leq \frac{f_1(n)}{f_2(n)} \end{aligned}$$

For the second part of the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_1(n)}{f_2(n)} &\leq \frac{c_3 \cdot g_1(n)}{c_5 \cdot g_2(n)} \\ &= \left( \frac{c_3}{c_5} \right) \cdot \frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)} \\ &\leq c_1 \cdot \frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$  are both constants, we have shown that the proposition holds. Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

---

## Problem 9

Suppose  $f \in O(g)$ .

### Part One

Prove that  $f + g \in O(g)$ .

*Proof.* We will show that  $f + g \in O(g)$  by using the definition of Big-Oh. Suppose that  $f \in O(g)$ . That means  $\exists c_0 \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c_0 \cdot g(n)$ .

Now we must just show that  $\exists c_1 \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0 f(n) + g(n) \leq c_1 \cdot g(n)$ .

By taking  $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ , we can add  $g(n)$  to both sides giving us:

$$f(n) + g(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) + g(n)$$

Since  $c$  is just a constant, this gives us the following:

$$f(n) + g(n) \leq (c + 1) \cdot g(n)$$

Which means our  $n_0$  stays the same but our constant just becomes  $c_1 = c_0 + 1$ . Since this proves what wished to, our proof is complete.  $\square$

### Part Two

Prove that  $O(f + g) = O(g)$ .

*Proof.* To prove that  $O(f + g) = O(g)$ , we need to show that  $O(f + g) \subseteq O(g)$  and  $O(g) \subseteq O(f + g)$  because Big-Oh notation creates a set of functions.

#### Part One

First we must show that  $O(f + g) \subseteq O(g)$  First

$\square$



## Problem 10

Prove or disprove: Big Oh defines an equivalence relation on the set of all functions:  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

### Counterexample

Let  $f(n) = n$  and  $g(n) = n^2$ .

According to the definition of an equivalence relation, the relation creates essentially partitions where each element belongs to one and only one partition.

More formally, the properties that this implies are the following:

1. Reflexivity:  $aRa$ .
2. Symmetry: if  $aRb$ , then  $bRa$ .
3. Transitivity: if  $aRb$  and  $bRc$ , then  $aRc$ .

Using the second property of an equivalence relation, it is easy to see that Big-Oh isn't an equivalence relation because since  $n \in O(n^2)$ , it should follow that  $n^2 \in O(n)$ , but we know that isn't the case. Thus it is a counterexample and the proposition is false.

---

## Problem 11

Puzzle.