ZIGAM with Repeated Sampling in a Short Period

Yunyi SHEN

November 5, 2018

1 Proof the Distribution of Latent n and Detections d is in Exponential Family

Proof. First, set (n, \vec{d}) is the latent population and detection vectors at site i. I intend to prove that the distribution of this vector is with in exponential family witch has form:

$$f(y|\theta) = b(y)exp(\eta^T T(y) - a(\eta))$$
(1)

Assume detections given latent population n were binomial distributed with parameter p_j , thus:

$$P(\vec{d}|n) = \prod_{j=1}^{w} \binom{n}{d_j} p_j^{d_j} (1 - p_j)^{d_j}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{w} \binom{n}{d_j} exp(\sum_{j=1}^{w} d_j log \frac{p_j}{1 - p_j} + n \sum_{j=1}^{w} log(1 - p_j))$$
(2)

which showed that binomial distribution given n belongs to exponential family.

Then assume latent population was Poisson distributed with rate λ

$$P(n|\theta) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} exp(nlog(\lambda) - \lambda)$$
(3)

Thus the total probability contains the latent and detections is given by:

$$\begin{split} P(n, \vec{d}|\theta) &= P(d|n, \theta) P(n|\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{j=1}^{w} \binom{n}{d_j} exp(\sum_{j=1}^{w} d_j log \frac{p_j}{1 - p_j} + n \sum_{j=1}^{w} log(1 - p_j)) exp(nlog(\lambda) - \lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{j=1}^{w} \binom{n}{d_j} exp[\sum_{j=1}^{w} d_j log \frac{p_j}{1 - p_j} + n(\sum_{j=1}^{w} log(1 - p_j) + log(\lambda)) - \lambda] \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{j=1}^{w} \binom{n}{d_j} exp(\eta^T(n, \vec{d}) - \lambda) \end{split} \tag{4}$$

in which

$$\eta^T = (\sum_{j=1}^w \log(1 - p_j) + \log(\lambda), \log\frac{\vec{p}}{1 - \vec{p}})$$

$$T(y) = y$$

 λ can be calculated using η since it contains all p_j and λ itself. Later on, we note this function as

$$f(n, d|\theta)$$

2 EM Algorithm to Deal with Missing Occupancy and Latent N

2.1 Expected logL

We first write down the total probability assuming knowing occupancy status z and latent population size n:

$$P(\vec{d}, n, z) = [\psi Pois(n|\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^{w} Bin(d_j|n, p_j)]^z (I_{\vec{d}=0}(1-\psi))^{1-z}$$

$$= (\psi f(n, \vec{d}|\theta))^z (I_{\vec{d}=0}(1-\psi))^{1-z}$$
(5)

In E step of EM algorithm, we need $P(n, z|\vec{d})$, which need to sum all n up, here, we truncated it with some large N.

$$P(n, z | \vec{d}) = \frac{P(n, z, \vec{d})}{\sum_{n=\max(d_j)}^{N} \sum_{z=0}^{1} P(n, z, \vec{d})}$$

$$= \frac{(\psi f(n, \vec{d} | \theta))^{z} (I_{\vec{d}=0} (1 - \psi))^{1-z}}{\psi \sum_{n=\max(d_j)}^{N} f(n, d | \theta) + (1 - \psi) I_{\vec{d}=0}}$$
(6)

Take expectation of logL of total likelihood under r^{th} θ given by summing every z and n up:

$$\mathbb{E}(l_p|\vec{d},\theta^{[r]}) = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N} \psi^{[r]} f(n,\vec{d}|\theta^{[r]}) log[\psi f(n,\vec{d}|\theta)] + (1-\psi^{[r]}) I_{\vec{d}=0} log[(1-\psi)I_{\vec{d}=0}]}{\sum_{n=0}^{N} \psi^{[r]} f(n,\vec{d}|\theta^{[r]}) + (1-\psi^{[r]}) I_{\vec{d}=0}}$$
(7)

This involves large number of GAMs, but still can be solved via PRILS