

Notes on Evans PDE, Chapter 5.6

Yunzhe Zheng

2025.7

1 On Sobolev embeddings

We study various inequalities involving $u \in W^{k,p}$ and other more regular spaces (\mathcal{L}^q , Hölder Spaces), where the Sobolev norm acts as the upper-bound, to show how Sobolev spaces can be included (embedded) in these spaces.

1.1 Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

This inequality aims at embedding $W^{1,p}$ into certain \mathcal{L}^q . Notice that p should be in $[1, n)$.

The idea of the proof is to first prove the inequality for \mathcal{C}_c^1 , then utilize the extension Theorem and approximation Theorem introduced before to obtain the desired result.

1.1.1 Case of $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^1$

Theorem 1. Assume $1 \leq p < n$. There exists a constant C , depending only on p and n , such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|Du\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Sketch: First proof for $p = 1$ by inductively using Hölder inequality, then consider $v = |u|^\gamma$, where $\gamma = \frac{p(n-1)}{n-p} > 1$, and estimate

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\frac{\gamma n}{n-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$$

□

The proof uses Hölder's inequality secretly and intensively, to give one example, let's take a look at the inequality under (12):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Du| dy_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=2}^n \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Du| dy_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dx_1 \\
& \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Du| dy_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \left(\prod_{i=2}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Du| dx_1 dy_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

where we take $f_i = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Du| dy_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \in L^{n-1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, and apply Hölder inequality directly. Eventually we may get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du| dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$$

1.1.2 Case of $u \in W^{1,p}$, $1 \leq p < n$

Theorem 2. *Let U be a bounded, open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and suppose that ∂U is \mathcal{C}^1 . Assume $1 \leq p < n$, and $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$. Then $u \in \mathcal{L}^{p^*}$, with the estimate $\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p^*}} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(U)}$.*

Proof. Sketch: Apply the Extension Theorem to extend u from U to \mathbb{R}^n , where the extended function $\bar{u} = u$ in U and has compact support, and also by Approximation Theorem, we can find a sequence of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions such that $u_m \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Previous Theorem implies following two estimates $\|u_m - u_l\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p^*}} \leq C \|Du_m - Du_l\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|u_m\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|Du_m\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ (1), where the first estimate yields $u_m \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in \mathcal{L}^{p^*} , thus we have $\|u_m\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p^*}} \rightarrow \|\bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p^*}}$ (2) by the fact that $|\|u_m\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p^*}} - \|\bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p^*}}| \leq \|u_m - \bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p^*}}$. Also, convergence in $W^{1,p}$ implies that $\|Du_m - D\bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p} \rightarrow 0$, thus $\|Du_m\|_{\mathcal{L}^p} \rightarrow \|D\bar{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p}$ (3). Combining (1) (2) (3) we obtain the desired result. \square

One remark worth noting about the application of Extension Theorem is that although in the statement of Extension Theorem it is not explicitly mentioned that the extended function is compactly supported, but actually in our context it is automatic.

Definition 1.1. *The Support of a function is the smallest closed set containing all points that are not mapped to 0.*

Since in our context, the domain is always bounded, thus the support is always compact.

1.1.3 Case of $u \in W_0^{1,p}$, $1 \leq p < n$

The advantage of $W_0^{1,p}$ is that not only can we embed it into \mathcal{L}^{p^*} for specific p^* depending on p , but also we can embed it into all \mathcal{L}^q for $1 \leq q \leq p^*$.

Refer to textbook for the exact statement and proof.

1.2 Morrey's inequality

Unlike the G-N-S inequality, Morrey's inequality aims at solving the embedding problem for $n < p \leq \infty$ into Holder space.

1.2.1 Case of $u \in \mathcal{C}^1$

Lemma 1. *There exists a constant C depending on n such that*

$$\oint_{B(x,r)} |u(y) - u(x)| dy \leq \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{|Du(y)|}{|y-x|^{n-1}} dy$$

for $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

This is very important estimate, see text for proof.

I shall not repeat the statement of Morrey's inequality here, but there is another important estimate in the proof that worth mentioning:

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq Cr^{1-\frac{n}{p}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where $r = |x - y|$. In the remark after Morrey's inequality, the author claims another similar estimate without proof

$$|u(y) - u(x)| \leq Cr^{1-\frac{n}{p}} \left(\int_{B(x,2r)} |Du(z)|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

The proof of this estimate is actually immediate once we replace all r by $2r$ in the proof of the above estimate, see text for reference.

1.2.2 Case of $u \in W^{1,p}$, $n < p \leq \infty$

We again utilize Extension Theorem and Approximtion Theorem, the proof is almost identical to the case of G-N-S inequality.

1.3 Borderline Case of $p = n$

This part resolves the problem of the boundary case where $p = n$, which I believe Evans book didn't discuss explicitly. The book I'm going to refer to is "Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations" by Haim Brezis.

To achieve the border case, we need a more sophisticated estimate:

Lemma 2. *Let $N \geq 2$ and let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{L}^{N-1}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$ set*

$$\tilde{x}_i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$$

then the function $f(x) = f_1(\tilde{x}_1)f_2(\tilde{x}_2)\dots f_N(\tilde{x}_N)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and with the inequality

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^N \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^{N-1}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}$$

Proof. The case of $N = 2$ is simply Minkowski inequality. For $N = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx_3 &= |f_3(x_1, x_2)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_1(x_2, x_3)| |f_2(x_1, x_3)| dx_3 \\ &\leq |f_3(x_1, x_2)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_1(x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_3 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_2(x_1, x_3)|^2 dx_3 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over x_1, x_2 and apply Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx_3 dx_1 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_1(x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_3 \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_3(x_1, x_2)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_2(x_1, x_3)|^2 dx_3 \right)^{1/2} dx_1 \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_1(x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_3 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_3(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_2(x_1, x_3)|^2 dx_3 dx_1 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx_3 dx_1 dx_2 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f_2(x_1, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_3 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_1(x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_2 dx_3 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_3(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

which finish the case of $N = 3$.

For general N , we use induction and prove for $N + 1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} |f(x)| dx \leq \|f_{N+1}\|_{\mathcal{L}^N(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f_1 f_2 \dots f_N|^{N'} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_N \right]^{1/N'} \quad (1)$$

where $N' = N/(N - 1)$. Then by induction step, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f_1|^{N'} \dots |f_N|^{N'} dx_1 \dots dx_N \leq \prod_{i=1}^N \| |f_i|^{N'} \|_{\mathcal{L}^{N-1}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})} = \prod_{i=1}^N \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^N(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}^{N'} \quad (2)$$

where the last inequality follows from

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \left(|f_i|^{N/N-1} \right)^{N-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{N-1}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} |f_i|^N \right)^{\frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{N}{N-1}} = \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^N(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}^{N'}$$

Notice that in the induction step, the author didn't prove that $|f_i|^{N'}$ is in \mathcal{L}^{N-1} , which is a crucial criteria for induction, but that's immediate, as we see

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \left(|f_i|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \right)^{N-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{N-1}} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} |f_i|^N \right]^{\frac{1}{N-1}} < \infty$$

Plug estimate (2) into estimate (1) and we are done. \square

Now we are heading to the more sophisticated estimate that eventually leads us to the borderline case.

Lemma 3. *Let $m, p \geq 1$, and $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have following estimate*

$$\|u\|_{mN/(N-1)}^m \leq m \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| |u|^{m-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}^{1/N} \leq m \|u\|_{p'(m-1)}^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^p}^{1/N}$$

Proof.

$$|u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(t, x_2, \dots, x_N) dt \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right| dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right| dt \equiv f_i$$

then $|u|^N \leq \prod_{i=1}^N f_i$. Now apply Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{N/(N-1)} dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N [f_i(\tilde{x}_i)]^{1/(N-1)} \right) dx \leq \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \left(f_i(\tilde{x}_i)^{1/(N-1)} \right)^{N-1} dx \right]^{1/(N-1)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}^{1/(N-1)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1/(N-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|u\|_{N/(N-1)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1/N} \quad (3)$$

Now apply (3) to $|u|^{m-1}u$ for $m \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\| |u|^{m-1}u \|_{N/(N-1)} &= \left(\int (|u|^m)^{N/(N-1)} \right)^{\frac{N-1}{mN} \cdot m} = \|u\|_{mN/(N-1)}^m \\
&\leq \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} |u|^{m-1}u \right\|_1^{1/N} = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int \left| |u|^{m-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + (m-1)|u|^{m-2}u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right| dx \right)^{1/N} \\
&\leq m \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| |u|^{m-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_1^{1/N} \leq m \prod_{i=1}^N \| |u|^{m-1} \|_{p'}^{1/N} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_p^{1/N} \\
&= m \left(\int |u|^{p'(m-1)} \right)^{1/p'} \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_p^{1/N} \\
&= m \|u\|_{p'(m-1)}^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_p^{1/N}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, and we are done. \square

Theorem 3. We have $W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset \mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in [N, \infty)$.

Proof. First assume $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and then apply previous estimate on $p = N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{mN/(N-1)}^m &\leq m \|u\|_{(m-1)N/(N-1)}^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_N^{1/N} \\
&\leq m \|u\|_{(m-1)N/(N-1)}^{m-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_N \\
&\leq m \|u\|_{(m-1)N/(N-1)}^{m-1} \|Du\|_N
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the estimate $\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right| \leq |Du|$.

Now apply one version of Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{mN/(N-1)} &\leq m^{1/m} \|u\|_{(m-1)N/(N-1)}^{(m-1)/m} \|Du\|_N^{1/m} \\
&\leq m^{1/m} \left((1 - 1/m) \|u\|_{(m-1)N/(N-1)} + 1/m \|Du\|_N \right) \\
&\leq m^{1/m} (\|u\|_{(m-1)N/(N-1)} + \|Du\|_N) \\
&\leq C(\|u\|_{(m-1)N/(N-1)} + \|Du\|_N)
\end{aligned}$$

Choose $m = N$, we have

$$\|u\|_{N^2/(N-1)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,N}} \quad (4)$$

Finally we apply the interpolation inequality, with estimates of two boundary case $\|u\|_N \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,N}}$ and (4), we obtain

$$\|u\|_q \leq \|u\|_N^\theta \cdot \|u\|_{N^2/(N-1)}^{1-\theta} \leq C^{1-\theta} \|u\|_{W^{1,N}}$$

where C could be chosen such that we can omit the power, thus proved the desired embedding. \square

1.4 General Sobolev inequality

In this section, we generalize our embedding by considering not only $W^{1,p}$ spaces but $W^{k,p}$ spaces, and in turn we get (as expected) more complicated embeddings.

Theorem 4. *Let U be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , with \mathcal{C}^1 boundary. Assume that $u \in W^{k,p}$.*

(i) *If $k < \frac{n}{p}$, then $u \in \mathcal{L}^q(U)$, where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{k}{n}$. We also have the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^q(U)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{k,p}(U)}.$$

(ii) *If $k > \frac{n}{p}$, then $u \in \mathcal{C}^{k-\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil - 1, \gamma}$, where*

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil + 1 - \frac{n}{p}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} \text{ is not an integer} \\ \text{any positive number } < 1, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} \text{ is an integer} \end{cases}$$

We have in addition the estimate

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k-\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil - 1, \gamma}(\overline{U})} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{k,p}(U)}.$$

Proof. Case (i) is not hard, see textbook for reference. The only remark is that for $|\beta| \leq k-1$, $v := D^\beta u \in W^{1,p}$, given that $Dv = D^{\beta+1}u \in \mathcal{L}^p$ as $|\beta|+1 \leq k$.

Case (ii) for non-integer $\frac{n}{p}$, apply the same process in Case (i), we may get $u \in W^{k-l, r}$ where $l < \frac{n}{p} < l+1$ and $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{l}{n}$, and $r = \frac{np}{n-pl} > n$. We may apply Morrey's inequality.

Case (ii) for integer $\frac{n}{p}$, then set $l = \left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil - 1 = \frac{n}{p} - 1$, then the corresponding $r = \frac{np}{n-pl} = n$. By Theorem 3 before, we have $D^\alpha u \in \mathcal{L}^q(U)$ for all $q \geq n$ and all $|\alpha| \leq k-l-1 = k - \left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil$, therefore apply Morrey's inequality implies that $D^\alpha u \in \mathcal{C}^{0, 1-\frac{n}{q}}(\overline{U})$ for all $n < q < \infty$ and all $|\alpha| \leq k - \left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil - 1$, then $u \in \mathcal{C}^{k-\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil - 1, \gamma}(\overline{U})$ for $0 < \gamma < 1$. \square