

Problem 1. Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that there exists a function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfies the separation and symmetry properties of being a metric, and in addition, has the property that $d(x, y) \geq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for every $x, y, z \in X$. Show that X must then be a singleton.

Proof: For $x, y \in X$, by assumption we have $0 = d(x, x) \geq d(x, y) + d(y, x) = 2d(x, y)$, which implies that $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$. Thus it must be a singleton. \square

Problem 2. Let X be a nonempty set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ a function which satisfies the separation and symmetry properties of being a metric, and in addition, has the property that $d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}$ for every $x, y, z \in X$. Such a function is said to be an ultrametric on X , and when d is an ultrametric on X , we refer to (X, d) as an ultrametric space. Clearly, every ultrametric space is a metric space. Give an example to show that the converse of this is false.

Proof: Consider the \mathbb{R}^2 space with Euclidean metric, then $\sqrt{2} = d((0, 0), (1, 1)) \leq d((0, 0), (1, 0)) + d((1, 0), (1, 1)) = 2$. However, $\sqrt{2} = d((0, 0), (1, 1)) > \max\{d((0, 0), (1, 0)), d((1, 0), (1, 1))\} = 1$. \square

Problem 3. Let X be a nonempty set. For any distinct x and y in X^∞ , let $k(x, y)$ be the first term at which the sequence x and y differ. Consider the function $d : X^\infty \times X^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by $d(x, y) := \frac{1}{k(x, y)}$ for every distinct $x, y \in X^\infty$, and by $d(x, x) := 0$ for every $x \in X^\infty$. Show that d is an ultrametric on X^∞ .

Proof: The separation and symmetry properties automatically hold by the definition of $k(x, y)$. For $x, y, z \in X^\infty$, suppose $k(x, y) = k$. First case is that $k' = k(x, z) \leq k$, which means that $k(y, z) = k' \leq k$, then $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{k(x, y)} \leq \frac{1}{k'} = d(x, z) = d(y, z) = \max\{d(x, z), d(y, z)\}$. The second case is that $k(x, z) > k$, then we claim that $k(y, z) \leq k$, because otherwise, at least for $i = k$, $x_i = z_i = y_i$, which contradict the fact that $k = k(x, y)$ is the smallest term where x, y differs. Thus $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{k(x, y)} \leq \frac{1}{k(y, z)} = \max\{d(x, z), d(y, z)\}$. In conclusion, d is an ultrametric on X^∞ . \square

Problem 4. Let X be an ultrametric space, and take any $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Show that if $y \in B(x, \epsilon)$, then $B(y, \epsilon) = B(x, \epsilon)$. (So, an open ball in an ultrametric space may have several centers) Also show that if $B(x, \epsilon_1)$ and $B(y, \epsilon_2)$ overlaps for some $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$, then either $B(x, \epsilon_1)$ is contained in $B(y, \epsilon_2)$ or vice versa.

Proof: Suppose $z \in B(y, \epsilon)$, then $d(z, x) \leq \max\{d(z, y), d(x, y)\} < \epsilon$, the last inequality is given by the fact that $y \in B(x, \epsilon)$. Thus $B(y, \epsilon) \subset B(x, \epsilon)$. $B(x, \epsilon) \subset B(y, \epsilon)$ is given by exact same argument, hence $B(x, \epsilon) = B(y, \epsilon)$.

Now, without loss of generality, we assume that $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2$. For $z \in B(x, \epsilon_1) \cap B(y, \epsilon_2)$, we have $d(x, z) < \epsilon_1$ and $d(y, z) < \epsilon_2$, then $d(x, y) \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(y, z)\} < \epsilon_1$, which means that $y \in B(x, \epsilon_1)$. By our previous result, $B(y, \epsilon_1) = B(x, \epsilon_1)$, then $B(y, \epsilon_2) \subset B(y, \epsilon_1) = B(x, \epsilon_1)$. \square

Problem 5. Let X be an ultrametric space, and take any $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Show that $B(x, \epsilon)$ is clopen, and conclude that $\partial B(x, \epsilon) = \emptyset$.

Proof: Suppose that $y \in B(x, \epsilon)$, then by Problem 4, $B(y, \epsilon) = B(x, \epsilon) \subset B(x, \epsilon)$, thus being open. Choose an arbitrary $z \in X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)$, suppose there doesn't exist a $\epsilon' > 0$ such that $B(z, \epsilon') \subset X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)$, then it's equivalent to say that for every $\epsilon' > 0$, $B(z, \epsilon')$ intersect with $B(x, \epsilon)$, then from Problem 4 we've already known that either $B(x, \epsilon)$ contains $B(z, \epsilon')$ or vice versa. Surely $B(z, \epsilon')$ can't be contained in $B(x, \epsilon)$ since $z \notin B(x, \epsilon)$ for a start. Now suppose that $B(x, \epsilon)$ is contained in $B(z, \epsilon')$ for all $\epsilon' > 0$, then for $\epsilon' < \epsilon$, $d(x, z) \geq \epsilon$ given that $z \notin B(x, \epsilon)$, on the other side, $d(x, z) < \epsilon'$, which is absurd. Thus, $X \setminus B(x, \epsilon)$ is open, suggesting that $B(x, \epsilon)$ is closed. To conclude, $B(x, \epsilon)$ is clopen, and by the definition of boundary, $\partial B(x, \epsilon) = \overline{B(x, \epsilon)} \setminus B(x, \epsilon) = \emptyset$. \square

Problem 6. We say that an ordered pair (X, μ) is an oriented semimetric space if X is a nonempty set and $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function that satisfies the triangular inequality and $\mu(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. We say that a subset S of X is open (relative to μ) if for every $x \in S$ there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $y \in S$ for every $y \in X$ with $\mu(x, y) < \epsilon$. We say that S is closed (relative to μ) if $X \setminus S$ is open.

a). Show that (\mathbb{R}, μ) is an oriented semimetric space where $\mu : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by $\mu(x, y) := \max\{y - x, 0\}$.

b). In the following part of this problem, (X, μ) is an arbitrarily oriented semimetric space. Define $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $d(x, y) := \mu(x, y) + \mu(y, x)$. Is d a semimetric on X ?

c). For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$, show that $B(x, \epsilon) := \{y \in X : \mu(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ is open.

d). Prove or disprove: For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$, $B[x, \epsilon] := \{y \in X : \mu(x, y) \leq \epsilon\}$ is closed.

Proof: a). Consider $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, if $x \geq y$, then $\mu(x, y) = 0 \leq \mu(x, z) + \mu(z, y)$, else if $x < y$, then $\mu(x, y) + \mu(z, y) = \max\{z - x, 0\} + \max\{y - z, 0\} \geq z - x + y - z = y - x > 0$, concluding the proof of triangular inequality. $\mu(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ is obvious.

b). First, it's obvious that $d(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Symmetry is given by $d(x, y) = \mu(x, y) + \mu(y, x) = \mu(y, x) + \mu(x, y) = d(y, x)$. Finally, to prove the triangular inequality. For any $x, y, z \in X$, $d(x, y) = \mu(x, y) + \mu(y, x) \leq \mu(x, z) + \mu(z, y) + \mu(y, z) + \mu(z, x) = d(x, z) + d(y, z)$.

c). For any $z \in B(x, \epsilon)$, choose $\delta = \epsilon - \mu(x, z)$, then we claim that $B(z, \delta) \subset B(x, \epsilon)$. Indeed, choose any $a \in B(z, \delta)$, $\mu(x, a) \leq \mu(x, z) + \mu(z, a) < \mu(x, z) + \delta = \epsilon$.

d). Consider the following function on \mathbb{R} : $\mu(x, y) = 0$ if $x \leq y$, $\mu(x, y) = 1$ if $x > y$, then $\mu(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mu(x, y) \leq \mu(x, z) + \mu(z, y)$ for every $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, then it's an oriented semimetric space. Now, consider $B[0, 1/2] = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(0, y) \leq 1/2\} = [0, +\infty)$, $\mathbb{R} \setminus [0, \infty) = (-\infty, 0)$, and consider $B(-1, \delta) = \{z : \mu(-1, z) < \delta\}$, then for arbitrary $\delta > 0$, $z \geq -1$ contains in the ball, which obviously isn't contained in $(-\infty, 0)$. \square

Problem 7. Let X and Y be two metric spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a function. If there is a real number $K \geq 0$ such that $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq K d_X(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in X$, we say that f is K - Lipschitz. If f is K - Lipschitz, it is simply referred to as a Lipschitz map. In this case, $\inf\{K \geq 0 : f \text{ is } K\text{-Lipschitz}\}$, which is denoted by $\text{Lip}(f)$, is called the Lipschitz number of f .

- a). Show that the identity function on any metric space X onto itself is 1 - Lipschitz.
- b). A differentiable real function f on a nonempty open interval is Lipschitz continuous, provided that $\sup_{x \in O} |f'(x)| < \infty$. (Recall Mean Value Theorem.)
- c). Is the function $t \mapsto \sqrt{t}$ Lipschitz?
- d). Let X be a normed linear space. Take any positive integer n and real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, and define the map $f : X^n \rightarrow X$ by $f(x) := \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n$. Where X^n is metrized by the product metric ρ , show that f is Lipschitz. (f is $\max\{|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|\}$ - Lipschitz).
- e). Let S be a nonempty subset of a metric space X . Prove that $\text{dist}(\cdot, S) := \inf_{z \in S} d(\cdot, z)$ is 1 - Lipschitz.
- f). let κ be a bounded, Riemann integrable function on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, and consider map $\Phi : \mathbf{C}[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{B}[0, 1]$ defined by $\Phi(f)(x) := \int_0^1 \kappa(x, y) f(y) dy$. Prove that Φ is $\|\kappa\|_\infty$ - Lipschitz.
- g). Let T be a nonempty set, and X a nonempty subset of $B(T)$ which is closed under addition by positive constant functions. Assume that Φ is an increasing self-map on X . If there exists a $K > 0$ such that $\Phi(f + \alpha) \leq \Phi(f) + K\alpha$ for every $f \in X$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, Φ must be K -Lipschitz.

Proof: a). Let I be the identity function on X , then $d(I(x), I(y)) = d(x, y) \leq 1 \cdot d(x, y)$, hence is a 1 - Lipschitz function.

b). Let x, y be two points in the nonempty open interval O , then by Mean Value Theorem, there exists $z \in (x, y)$, such that $d(f(x), f(y)) = f'(z) \cdot d(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in O} |f'(x)| \cdot d(x, y) = K \cdot d(x, y)$ with $K \leq \infty$, then f is Lipschitz continuous.

c). It is not Lipschitz. For arbitrary $K > 0$, consider two points $x = 0, y = t$ such that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} > K$, then $\frac{|\sqrt{t}-0|}{|t-0|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} > K$.

d).

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_X(f(x), f(y)) &= d_X(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n, \lambda_1 y_1 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n) \\
 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x_i - y_i) \right\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \cdot \|x_i - y_i\| \\
 &\leq \max\{|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|\} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i - y_i\| \\
 &= \max\{|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|\} \cdot \rho(x, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

thus is Lipschitz.

e). $\text{dist}(x, S) = \inf_{z \in S} d(x, z) \leq d(x, z') + d(z', S)$ for any $z \in S$, then $\text{dist}(x, S) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z')$, then $\text{dist}(x, S) - \text{dist}(y, S) \leq d(x, y)$. Also, we may have $\text{dist}(y, S) - \text{dist}(x, S) \leq d(x, y)$,

thus we have $|\text{dist}(x, S) - \text{dist}(y, S)| \leq d(x, y)$.

f). Let $f, g \in \mathbf{C}[0, 1]$. $d(\Phi(f), \Phi(g)) = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \left| \int_0^1 \kappa(x, y) f(y) dy - \int_0^1 \kappa(x, y) g(y) dy \right| = \left| \int_0^1 \kappa(x, y) (f(y) - g(y)) dy \right| \leq \|\kappa\|_\infty \int_0^1 |f(y) - g(y)| dy \leq \|\kappa\|_\infty \sup_{y \in [0, 1]} |f(y) - g(y)| = \|\kappa\|_\infty d(f, g)$.

g). For $f, g \in X$ and arbitrary $x \in T$, without loss of generality, assume that $f(x) > g(x)$, write $f(x) = g(x) + \alpha$ for $\alpha > 0$. By assumption, $\Phi(f)(x) = \Phi(g + \alpha)(x) \leq \Phi(g)(x) + K\alpha$, then $|\Phi(f)(x) - \Phi(g)(x)| \leq K|f(x) - g(x)|$. Taking supreme of x on both sides, we conclude that Φ is k - Lipschitz. \square

Problem 8. Take any metric space X , and let $\text{Lip}(X)$ be the set of all bounded and Lipschitz continuous real-valued maps on X .

a). Show that $\text{Lip}(\lambda f + g) \leq |\lambda| \text{Lip}(f) + \text{Lip}(g)$ for every $f, g \in \text{Lip}(X)$. Conclude that $\text{Lip}(X)$ is a linear subspace of $B(X)$. (Note that $\text{Lip}(\mathbb{N}) = l_\infty = B(\mathbb{N})$.)

b). Show that the real map $\|\cdot\|_L$ defined on $\text{Lip}(X)$ by $\|f\|_L := \|f\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(f)$, is a norm on $\text{Lip}(X)$.

c). For any $f, g \in \text{Lip}(X)$, show that $\text{Lip}(fg) \leq \|f\|_\infty \text{Lip}(g) + \|g\|_\infty \text{Lip}(f)$, and deduce that $\|fg\|_L \leq \|f\|_L \|g\|_L$.

Proof: a). For $f, g \in \text{Lip}(X)$, have $d((\lambda f + g)(x), (\lambda f + g)(y)) = |\lambda f(x) + g(x) - \lambda f(y) - g(y)| \leq |\lambda| \cdot |f(x) - f(y)| + |g(x) - g(y)|$ for $x, y \in X$, thus $d((\lambda f + g)(x), (\lambda f + g)(y)) \leq (|\lambda| \text{Lip}(f) + \text{Lip}(g)) \cdot d(x, y)$, then $\text{Lip}(\lambda f + g) \leq |\lambda| \text{Lip}(f) + \text{Lip}(g)$.

b). Firstly, $\|f\|_L = 0 \iff \|f\|_\infty = 0$ and $\text{Lip}(f) = 0$, which means that $|f(y) - f(x)| \leq 0 \cdot d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then $f \equiv 0$. Secondly, $\|\lambda f\|_L = |\lambda| \cdot \|f\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(\lambda f)$. Since $|\lambda f(x) - \lambda f(y)| = |\lambda| \cdot |f(x) - f(y)| \leq |\lambda| \cdot K \cdot d(x, y)$, then $\text{Lip}(\lambda f) = |\lambda| \text{Lip}(f)$, thus $\|\lambda f\|_L = \lambda(\|f\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(f))$. Finally, for $f, g \in \text{Lip}(X)$, $\|f + g\|_L = \|f + g\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(f + g) \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(f) + \text{Lip}(g) = \|f\|_L + \|g\|_L$.

c). Consider

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)| &= |f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(y) + f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(y)| \\ &\leq |f(x)| \cdot |g(x) - g(y)| + |g(y)| \cdot |f(x) - f(y)| \\ &\leq (\text{Lip}(g)|f(x)| + \text{Lip}(f)|g(y)|) \cdot d(x, y) \\ &\leq (\|f\|_\infty \text{Lip}(g) + \|g\|_\infty \text{Lip}(f)) \cdot d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

hence $\text{Lip}(fg) \leq \|f\|_\infty \text{Lip}(g) + \|g\|_\infty \text{Lip}(f)$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\|_L &= \|fg\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(fg) \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(fg) + \text{Lip}(f) \text{Lip}(g) \\ &= \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty \text{Lip}(g) + \text{Lip}(f) \|g\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(f) \text{Lip}(g) \\ &= (\|f\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(f))(\|g\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(g)) \\ &= \|f\|_L \|g\|_L \end{aligned}$$

\square