

R&D REPORT: MULTIPLE TESTING IN AB EXPERIMENTS AND PRE-EXPERIMENT BALANCE CHECKS

Table of Contents

1. Problem statement and motivation
2. Questions under review
3. Main conclusions
4. Recommended decision framework for rerandomization
5. Simulation summary
6. Representative artifact package
7. Bibliography

1. Problem statement and motivation

The team develops a Python tool for AB experiments. Before the pilot starts, the population is split into treatment/control (or several homogeneous groups), and balance is checked with t-tests, Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, and chi-square tests over pre-defined covariates, including lagged target values. If balance is poor, randomization is repeated.

2. Questions under review

Q1: If the AB test has multiple target metrics, should we apply multiple-testing correction?
Q2: If homogeneity checks include many features and tests, should we also adjust p-value thresholds for multiple testing during balancing?

3. Main conclusions

Conclusion for Q1: Yes. For final product decisions on multiple target metrics, correction is usually required. Choose FWER control (Bonferroni/Holm) for strict false-positive protection, or FDR control (Benjamini-Hochberg) when power is prioritized.

Conclusion for Q2: Usually, not as a primary mechanism. Balance checks are quality diagnostics of randomization, not confirmatory causal inference. Rule "reject split if any $p < \alpha$ " becomes unstable when the number of checked covariates grows. Prefer effect-size based acceptance criteria (e.g., max absolute SMD threshold), an aggregate imbalance score, and a pre-defined maximum number of rerandomization iterations.

4. Recommended decision framework for rerandomization

Step A (before launch): pre-register covariates, prioritize key lagged targets, define balance metric(s), define acceptance thresholds, define max iterations K_{\max} .
Step B (during splitting): random split \rightarrow compute imbalance score \rightarrow accept if score \leq threshold, otherwise iterate.
Step C (if no acceptable split by K_{\max}): avoid post-hoc threshold tuning; switch to stronger design (stratified/block randomization) or model-based adjustment in final analysis (e.g., CUPED/regression).

Step D (final AB analysis): apply pre-registered multiple-testing correction across final target metrics
and report corrected + uncorrected values for transparency.

5. Simulation summary

The repository contains simulation code showing that with increasing number of checked covariates,
probability of at least one significant p-value rises quickly even under valid randomization.

This supports using stable balance criteria based on effect sizes, not only multiple p-values.

6. Representative artifact package

- This report in PDF and markdown.
- Reproducible simulation script with fixed random seed and CSV outputs.
- Executed notebooks with outputs.
- README with full runbook and repository structure.

7. Bibliography

Fisher (1935), Pocock (1983), Holm (1979), Benjamini & Hochberg (1995), Morgan & Rubin (2012),
Lin (2013), Kohavi et al. (2020).

Links:

Morgan & Rubin: <https://projecteuclid.org/journals/annals-of-statistics/volume-40/issue-2/Rerandomization-to-improve-covariate-balance-in-experiments/10.1214/12-AOS1008.full>

Benjamini & Hochberg: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2346101>

Holm: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4615733>

Kohavi et al.:

<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/trustworthy-online-controlled-experiments/>