

Adverse selection in credit market

private info about success prob of project

↳ interdependent valuation

↳ adverse selection

$$D = 1 + r = \frac{m}{\hat{p}} \quad m > 1 \quad (\text{if } m < 1, \text{ X finance any project}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \int_{\underline{p}}^{\hat{p}} [pD - 1] f(p) dp & \quad \text{profit of a monopoly lender} \\ = \int_{\underline{p}}^{\hat{p}} \left[p \frac{m}{\hat{p}} - 1 \right] f(p) dp & \quad (1 \geq \hat{p} \geq \underline{p}) \end{aligned}$$

\hat{p} affect profit

$D \uparrow, r \uparrow \rightarrow \hat{p} \downarrow \Rightarrow$ expected profit from a borrower of type $p \uparrow$

negative impact: lose the safest (i.e. the most profitable) borrowers

average profitability ↓
adverse selection effect.

of credit

Finally, **rationing** may be an econ equilibrium.

stop at a price do not clear the market

can rise in every market with adverse selection

— number of loans (monopoly)

$\alpha < 1$ — total demand of loan

↙ κ can not supply all demand

2 type example

fraction $(\beta), (1-\beta)$

$p_S > p_R$ (2 types borrowers, safe + risk)

$$R_R = \frac{m}{p_R} > \frac{m}{p_S} = R_S \quad (\text{still same mean return})$$

$$1 - \beta < \alpha < 1$$

assume $m > 1$

$$q(D) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 1 - \beta \end{cases}$$

$D \leq R_S$ (all borrowers can afford duty pay)

$R_S < D \leq R_R$ (safe type cannot afford,

$$D > R_R$$

2 possible optimal choices: R_S or R_R

① If lender chooses $D = R_R$ (exclude safe borrowers)

$$* (1-\beta)(R_R R_R - 1) = (1-\beta)(m-1) > 1$$

② If lender select $D = R_S$

$\alpha < 1$, more people apply for loan than lender can make loans for

but lender can not tell which is safe or risky.

calculate the average.

$$\alpha [\beta (R_S P_S - 1) + (1-\beta)(R_R R_S - 1)]$$

number of loans issued

average profitability of a loan

$$* = \alpha [\beta (m-1) + (1-\beta)(R_R R_S - 1)]$$

When is ② > ① (prefer high demand, but only sell $\alpha \Rightarrow$ rationing credit)

Suppos R_R is close to P_S (limit)

① if $R_R = P_S$

$$\textcircled{2} = \alpha (m-1) > (1-\beta)(m-1)$$

② if $1-\beta$ is small (not too many risky customer)

Externalities / External effect

unregulated economy should not exist under

actions of firms and consumers affect other participants directly
positively (may not same on everybody)
negatively

eg. pollution negative
location positive boost econ + lower price for nearby + more people
? agglomeration econ

wedge $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{social benefit of an action} \\ \text{private} \end{array} \right.$ ----- \rightarrow neg ext too much of action
pos ext too little -----

concern of offspring
family members
social groups

attrition, envy

compression consumption

neighborhood effect.

human capital

intergenerational ext

pollution

wanted disposal

non excludibile conseguenza

— — — — —