

Adverse Selection and Health Care

cost

valuation

M G

M G

L 40 60

L 70 85

H 70 100

H 110 150

efficiency : $L \rightarrow M$ \swarrow value $G-M=15 \rightarrow$ cost $G-M=20$.
 $H \rightarrow G$ \swarrow value $G-M=40 \rightarrow$ cost $G-M=30$

perfect competition under complete info \rightarrow seller know

$P_M = 40$ $P_G = 100$ conditional if $L \rightarrow M, H \rightarrow G$.

$\Delta^L v = 85 - 70 = 15$ switch from $M \rightarrow G$ (value)

$\Delta^H v = 150 - 110 = 40$

$\Delta p = 100 - 40 = 60$

At these lower prices, L selects M.

there are incentives for H to choose M.

However, H applying for M would be turning down.

\hookrightarrow seller choose to sell M to H at 70, $\Delta p = 30 < 40$.

$40 = P_M^L$ $P_M^H = 70$

$60 = P_G^L$ $P_G^H = 100$

\Rightarrow ask: at these prices, which market will be open.

Benchmark \Rightarrow perfect info \Rightarrow porato optimal

\uparrow x exist. + can not offer diff price to diff people.

Asymmetric info (CE) (不对称信息).

The previous solution is not viable.

What will happen? (what is the equilibrium)

⇒ Suppose only G is offered. everyone will buy G unless they can't afford.

Average cost $AC = 80$ ($\frac{1}{2}(100 + 60)$)

↑ Is it stable (possible)? $U^L = 85 - 80 = 5$

$$U^H = 150 - 80 = 70$$

Suppose a firm enters with M and charges.

easiest to attract: lowest price (cheapest to serve).

Suppose charge \$60 $\tilde{U}^L = 70 - 60 = 10$

creamskimming

⇒ only offering G is not viable.

⇒ Suppose both G and M are offered.

competition will drive down $P^L \rightarrow 40$

$P^G \uparrow \rightarrow 100$

At these prices, H would prefer M ⇒ not viable

⇒ Suppose only M is offered

$AC = 55$

is it stable?

$$U^L = 15$$

$$U^H = 55$$

If someone enters with G and charges

① $G_{\text{lowest}} = 60$

$$U^L = 25$$

$$U^H = 90$$

attract both L and H

② $G > 70$, attract only H

↳ price will go up to 100 → H stays with M.

⇒ no price can be charged to make money for the firm with G.

This is viable to threat of entry.

What is the best society can do under asymmetric info?

design a mechanism $L \rightarrow M$

$H \rightarrow G$

⇔ can we implement ... to outcomes.

who gives what, pays what?

P_M P_G (in fact lowest type wish to buy M, higher ... G?)

design P_M, P_G such that customers optimally self select?

incentive compatibility

$$\textcircled{1} L: 70 - P_M \geq 85 - P_G \quad P_G \geq P_M + 15 \quad 15 \leq P_G - P_M \leq 40$$

$$\textcircled{2} H: 150 - P_G \geq 110 - P_M \quad P_M \geq P_G - 40$$

IR

$$\textcircled{3} L: 70 - P_M \geq 0 \quad P_M \leq 70$$

$$\textcircled{4} H: 150 - P_G \geq 0 \quad P_G \leq 150$$

Shouldn't lose any money from the mechanism.

$$\textcircled{5} \frac{1}{2} [P_M - 40] + \frac{1}{2} [P_G - 100] \geq 0 \quad P_M + P_G \geq 140$$

probability

$$105 \leq 2P_G$$

$$\underline{P_G \geq 77.5}$$

Do there exist P_M, P_G such that (1) - (5) holds ✓

eg. $P_M = 30$ $P_G = 90$

? $P_G \in [77.5, 110]$

First best is attainable under the mechanism ^{in (5)} let firm lose some and gain some
yet perfect competition is inefficient \Leftarrow why?

creamskimming

monopoly: no creamskimming happen