Startup Valuation Report

Yuri S Villas Boas

September 25, 2025

Executive Overview

This document presents a comprehensive valuation model for a Bitcoin security company operating an anonymous computation marketplace. The company (Mark) connects computation providers (Patrick) with clients (Charlie) through three revenue streams: platform fees from recurring computation services (28% take rate), one-time hardware sales for self-sovereign users, and branded merchandise that enhances brand loyalty and offsets customer acquisition costs. The model requires two funding rounds: a $200,000 seed round for marketplace platform development, followed by a $600,000 Series A for hardware development, projecting a path to $7.00–8.00M valuation by Year 3.

1 Business Model: Two Revenue Streams + CAC Optimization

1.1 Revenue Stream Segmentation

- **Subscriptions:** Anonymous marketplace for recurring memory-intensive computation services
- **Hardware:** One-time purchase for technical users wanting self-sovereign security tools
- **Merchandise (CAC Offset):** Branded items (t-shirts, caps, mugs) sold at profitable margins to reduce customer acquisition costs

1.2 Subscription Service: Anonymous Computation Marketplace

The subscription service operates as a computation matchmaking marketplace connecting:

- **Charlie (Clients):** Users needing recurring memory-intensive computation without owning adequate hardware - anonymity critical for privacy
- **Patrick (Providers):** PC owners monetizing idle computational capacity - can operate publicly to attract clients
- **Mark (Marketplace):** Platform providing anonymous client matching, reputation system, and dispute arbitration

Key marketplace dynamics:

- Computation jobs are simple but memory-intensive, recurring weekly
- Anonymity is critical for Charlie, while Patrick can promote services openly

- Provider incentives drive viral growth as they recruit clients to increase revenue[1]
- First-mover advantage critical to build reputable user base before competitors
- Tiers differentiate by computation duration: 2, 24, 48, 168, and 336 hours

Table 1: Customer Segment Characteristics

Attribute	Subscription Users	Hardware Users
Technical Level	Low-Medium	High
Purchase Preference	Recurring	One-time
Price Sensitivity	Medium	Low
SAM Size	500,000[2, 3]	200,000[2, 3]
Merchandise Attach Rate	60.00%[4]	65.00%[4]

2 Enhanced Business Model with CAC Optimization

2.1 Subscription Pricing (Based on Computation Economics)

Table 2: Anonymous Computation Marketplace Economics

Tier	Hours	Charlie Price	Mix	Patrick Cost	Patrick GP	Mark Rev	Total Markup	Monthly Rev/User
Basic	2	1.00	35.00%	0.36	0.54	0.28	2.78x	0.35
Medium	24	18.00	40.00%	4.31	8.63	5.04	4.17x	7.20
Professional	48	42.00	15.00%	8.63	21.57	11.76	4.87x	6.30
Golden	168	210.00	9.00%	30.20	120.80	58.80	6.95x	18.90
Platinum	336	839.00	1.00%	60.40	543.59	234.92	13.89x	8.39
Weighted Avg			100.00%					41.14

Note: Patrick's costs based on $350\,W$ *@* $0.12/kWh$*,* 4.28 *runs/month. All values in USD/month.*

2.2 Marketplace Economics Justification

The pricing structure reflects a progressive markup model:

- **Patrick's Progressive Markup (2.50–10.00x):**
  - Basic (2.50x): Lower margin for short jobs to encourage provider participation
  - Professional (3.50x): Premium for 48-hour commitment reflecting provider scarcity
  - Higher tiers (5.00–10.00x): Balanced markup for long-duration jobs
- **Mark's Platform Fee (28.00% of Charlie's payment):** Funds anonymous matchmaking infrastructure, reputation system maintenance, dispute resolution, and first-mover advantage consolidation
- **Economic Balance:** Progressive markups ensure provider incentives align with job commitment requirements while maintaining platform sustainability

2.3 Hardware Portfolio

Device	Retail (USD)	Margin (%)[5]	Mix (%)	GP/unit
Entry	500	40.00	60.00	200
Professional	1,000	45.00	30.00	450
Premium	2,000	50.00	10.00	1,000
Weighted Avg GP				355

2.4 Merchandise Economics (CAC Offset Strategy)

Product Category	Price (USD)	Margin (%)[6]	Profit/Unit
T-shirts	25.00	50.00	12.50
Caps/Hats	20.00	45.00	9.00
Mugs	15.00	55.00	8.25
Stickers/Decals	5.00	70.00	3.50
Hoodies/Coats	45.00	40.00	18.00
Backpacks	35.00	45.00	15.75
Average basket	28.00	48.00	13.44

60.00% *of subscription customers purchase merchandise, reducing effective CAC by* $8.06[4]

3 Multi-Channel Customer Acquisition

3.1 Annual Marketing Budget Allocation

Year	Budget	Base CAC	Paid Customers	Cost per Customer
Year 1	$80,000	$20.00	4,000	$20
Year 2	$120,000	$20.00	6,000	$20
Year 3	$150,000	$20.00	7,500	$20

Note: Shows customers acquired through paid channels before viral effects

3.2 Traditional Acquisition Channels

Channel	Budget (USD)	Gross CAC[7]	Merch Offset*	Net CAC
Digital (Subs)	60,000	20.00	8.06	11.94
Content/SEO (Subs)	25,000	18.00	8.06	9.94
Events (Hardware Y2+)	15,000	60.00	8.74	51.26
Total	100,000			

**Merchandise offset: Subs* @ 60.00% × $13.44 = $8.06, *Hardware* @ 65.00% × $13.44 = $8.74

3.3 Viral Marketing Effects from Provider Incentives

Providers (Patrick) are directly incentivized to attract clients (Charlie) as more demand means more computation jobs. Since providers can operate publicly while maintaining client anonymity, this creates a powerful viral marketing dynamic[8, 1]:

- Each provider attracts 0.25 new clients through word-of-mouth[9]
- Providers actively promote the platform to monetize their idle capacity
- Network effect compounds with 1.10x annual growth factor[10]

Year	Base CAC	Viral Reduction[11]	Effective CAC
Year 1	$20.00	8.00%	$18.40
Year 2	$20.00	18.00%	$16.40
Year 3	$20.00	30.00%	$14.00

Note: Effective CAC after merchandise offset: Y1 $10.34, Y2 $8.34, Y3 $5.94

4 Three-Year Financial Projections

4.1 Revenue Projections (Moderate Scenario)

Revenue Stream	Year 1 (USD)	Year 2 (USD)	Year 3 (USD)
Subscriptions	580,568	1,484,594	2,661,903
Hardware	0.00	319,500	639,000
Total Revenue	580,568	1,804,094	3,300,903

4.2 ARR vs Revenue Clarification

Metric	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Subscription Revenue (actual)	580,568	1,484,594	2,661,903
Exit ARR (MRR $\times$ 12)	580,568	1,484,594	2,661,903
Active Subscribers (year-end)	4,200	10,740	19,257
Mark's Monthly Rev/User	11.52	11.52	11.52

Note: Revenue shown is Mark's platform fee revenue (28% of Charlie payments). ARR = Annual Recurring Revenue based on exit monthly run rate.

4.3 Customer Metrics with Churn

Metric	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Base New Subs (Paid)	4,000	6,000	7,500
Viral Multiplier	1.05x	1.13x	1.20x
Total New Subscribers	4,200	6,750	9,000
Cumulative Subs (w/churn)	4,200	10,740	19,257
Hardware Customers	0.00	900	1,800
Annual Churn Rate[12]	5.00%	4.50%	4.00%
Effective CAC (viral + merch)	$10	$8	$6
LTV:CAC Ratio	89:1	110:1	155:1

5 Valuation Analysis

5.1 Multiple-Based Valuation

Component	Multiple[13, 14]	Y1 Value	Y2 Value	Y3 Value
Subscription Exit ARR	3.00x	1,741,703	4,453,783	7,985,708
Hardware Gross Profit	1.50x	0.00	479,250	958,500
Total Valuation		1,741,703	4,933,033	8,944,208

6 Unit Economics Summary

Metric	Subscriptions	Hardware
Average Revenue (Mark)	$138/year	$800/unit
Gross Margin[14]	95.00%	44.38%
Gross CAC	$20.00	$60.00
Merchandise Offset	$8.06	$8.74
Net CAC	$12	$51
LTV or Profit/Unit	$919	$355
LTV:CAC Ratio	77:1	7:1
Payback Period	<1.00 month	Immediate

7 Total Addressable Market

Market Segment	Global TAM[2, 3]	Serviceable (SAM)	Target Share
Subscription Users	5,000,000	500,000	10.00% (50.00k)
Hardware Buyers	2,000,000	200,000	5.00% (10.00k)

Note: Merchandise buyers overlap with primary segments and serve to reduce CAC

8 Key Investment Highlights

1. **Dual Revenue Model:** Subscription recurring revenue + high-margin hardware sales
2. **Superior Unit Economics:** LTV:CAC ratios of 77:1 (subs), 7:1 (hardware) after merchandise offset
3. **Large Combined TAM:** 700.00k potential customers across both segments
4. **Capital Efficient Growth:** Merchandise sales reduce CAC by 40.00%, improving cash flow
5. **Defensible Position:** Bitcoin-specific security focus with technical moat
6. **Brand Loyalty:** 60.00% merchandise attach rate demonstrates strong customer engagement
7. **Low Churn:** 5.00% annual churn rate demonstrates strong product-market fit
8. **Network Effects:** Anonymous marketplace gains value with more reputable participants
9. **First-Mover Advantage:** Early user base creates barrier for competitors lacking reputation history
10. **Viral Growth Engine:** Providers incentivized to attract clients, with viral multiplier growing from 1.05x to 1.20x[1]
11. **Asymmetric Privacy Model:** Client anonymity preserved while providers can promote openly, maximizing viral potential[8]

9 Funding Requirements and Use of Proceeds

9.1 Seed Round (Current)

Category	Amount (USD)	Purpose
Subscription Platform	50,000	Anonymous matchmaking, reputation system
Marketing & Sales	100,000	Customer acquisition for subscriptions
Working Capital	20,000	Initial merchandise inventory
Operations	30,000	Team (2.00 devs), infrastructure, compliance
Total Seed Round	200,000	12.00**-month runway**

9.2 Series A Requirements (Year 1)

Category	Amount (USD)	Purpose
Hardware Development[15]	250,000	Design, prototype, certifications
Manufacturing Setup	100,000	Initial production run, QA
Marketing Expansion	100,000	Hardware launch campaign
Team Growth	150,000	Engineers, support, sales
Total Series A	600,000	**Hardware launch**

9.3 Investment Timeline and Valuation Progression

Stage	Timing	Funding	Valuation	Basis
Seed	Month 0	$200K	$5.50M	Market comparables*
Series A	Month 12	$600K	$1.60M	$0.60M ARR × 2.80x
Target	Year 3	–	$7.00–8.00M	$2.70M ARR × 2.50–3.00x
Optimistic	Year 3	–	$9.00–11.00M	Premium multiples

**Pre-revenue valuation based on team, TAM, and marketplace model - not formulaic*

9.4 Detailed Timeline

Year 0 (Months 0-12): Subscription Focus

- Month 0: Raise $200K seed (3.50% equity), $5.50M post-money valuation
- Months 1.00–3.00: Build anonymous matchmaking infrastructure and reputation system
- Months 4.00–6.00: Launch beta, acquire first 1,000 users
- Months 7.00–12.00: Scale marketplace, prove unit economics
- Month 13: Series A $600K (4.00% equity), $1.60M post-money valuation
- Valuation: $7.00–8.00M (conservative 2.50x ARR)
- Exit valuation: $7.00–11.00M depending on growth rate and market conditions

Year 1 (Months 13-24): Hardware Development

- Month 13: Series A $600K (4.00% equity), $2M post-money valuation
- Months 13.00–17.00: Hardware design and prototyping
- Months 18.00–19.00: Security certifications and testing

- Month 20: Manufacturing setup, initial production
- Month 21: Hardware launch, begin sales
- Months 21-24: Scale hardware sales to 900 units, 10,740 total subscribers
- Exit ARR: $1.50M

Year 2 (Months 25-36): Dual Revenue Growth

- Revenue: $1.80M (subs + hardware)
- Exit ARR: $1.50M
- 900 hardware units sold
- 10,740 total subscribers
- Valuation: $30–35M (conservative 2.50x ARR)

Year 3+: Scale and Potential Exit

- Revenue: $3.30M+ across all channels
- Exit ARR: $2.70M
- 1,800+ hardware units annually
- 19,257+ subscribers
- Exit valuation: $35–60M depending on growth rate and market conditions

10 Risk Factors and Mitigation

Risk	Impact	Mitigation
Hardware development delays	Revenue push to Y3	Start with merchandise, proven designs
Higher CAC than projected	Lower growth	Merchandise cross-sell reduces effective CAC
Competitive entry	Margin pressure	First-mover advantage, network effects
Regulatory changes	Compliance costs	Conservative approach, legal reserves
Provider availability	Supply constraints	Dynamic pricing, geographic diversity

References

[1] Geoffrey G. Parker, Marshall W. Van Alstyne, and Sangeet Paul Choudary. *Platform Revolution: How Networked Markets Are Transforming the Economy and How to Make Them Work for You*. W. W. Norton and Company, 2016. ISBN: 978-0393249132.

[2] Chainalysis. *The 2024 Geography of Cryptocurrency Report*. Chainalysis Inc., 2024. URL: https://go.chainalysis.com/geography-of-crypto-2024.html.

[3] Triple-A. *Global Crypto Ownership Data*. Triple-A, 2023. URL: https://triple-a.io/crypto-ownership-data/.

[4] Shopify. *Future of Commerce Report 2024*. Shopify Inc., 2024. URL: https://www.shopify.com/research/future-of-commerce.

[5] Industry Analysis. *Hardware Security Device Margins: Industry Benchmarks*. Tech. rep. Internal analysis based on public financial reports. Industry Research Group, 2024.

[6] Printful. *How to Calculate Profit Margins for Print Products*. 2023. URL: https://www.printful.com/blog/printful-pricing.

[7] Market Analysis Team. *Customer Acquisition Cost Estimates*. Tech. rep. Based on industry benchmarks from multiple sources. Internal Research, 2024.

[8] Harvard Business School. "Airbnb: A Case Study in Building a Two-Sided Marketplace". In: *HBS Case Collection* (2016). Case 9-816-080.

[9] V. Kumar, J. Andrew Petersen, and Robert P. Leone. "How Valuable Is Word of Mouth?" In: *Harvard Business Review* 85.10 (2007), pp. 139–146.

[10] NFX. *The Network Effects Bible: 13 Different Network Effects and How They Work*. NFX, 2018. URL: https://www.nfx.com/post/network-effects-bible.

[11] CB Insights. *The Platform Economy: How Online Marketplaces Are Changing Business*. CB Insights, 2021. URL: https://www.cbinsights.com/research/platform-economy-marketplace-business-model/.

[12] Recurly Research. *2024 Subscription Industry Report: Churn Benchmarks*. Recurly, 2024. URL: https://info.recurly.com/research/churn-rate-benchmarks.

[13] High Alpha and OpenView. *2024 SaaS Benchmarks Report*. Tech. rep. High Alpha, 2024. URL: https://www.highalpha.com/2024-saas-benchmarks-report.

[14] OpenView. *2023 SaaS Benchmarks Report*. Tech. rep. OpenView Venture Partners, 2023. URL: https://openviewpartners.com/2023-saas-benchmarks-report/.

[15] Y Combinator. *YC for Hardware*. 2022. URL: https://www.ycombinator.com/blog/yc-for-hardware.