

Final Report

By Project Prime

March 12, 2019

1 Introduction

In this project, we were tasked with building a multi-host file synchroniser consisting of three components: a server, and mobile and desktop client. The server is the "hub" whilst the clients are "spokes". The server was created using Node.js, where it acted as an interface between both clients and the database (which was created using MongoDB). In addition, this server processed HTTP GET and POST requests to deliver/updates files on the client's behalf. The mobile client was made using Java and XML on Android Studio, whereas the desktop client was created using Javascript, HTML and CSS on Electron. The result of this project is a fully-functioning file synchroniser that interacts with and manages multiple, heterogeneous clients via a Node.js server. Furthermore, this synchroniser possesses the necessary algorithms (e.g. rsync) to resolve file conflicts caused by clients.

2 Review

3 Requirements and Design

Requirements have been derived for each component. The requirements have been analysed using the MoSCoW prioritisation technique (Madsen, 2017); as a result, each requirement has been placed into one of the following categories: *Must Have* (critical requirements with the highest priority), *Should Have* (Important but unnecessary requirements for the final product), *Could Have* (Lowest-priority requirements that would be implemented if time permits) and *Won't Have* (Least critical requirements that are unrequired for project success). In addition, the subsections will show the designs that reflect the corresponding component.

3.1 Desktop Client

| MoSCoW requirements for The Desktop Client | | |
|--|---|-------------|
| Requirement No. | Requirement | Priority |
| 1 | Must be able to communicate with the Server Application | Must Have |
| 2 | Has to be able to upload files | Must Have |
| 3 | Has to be able to download files from database via server | Must Have |
| 4 | Make use of a file management system to upload any file from the user's system | Must Have |
| 5 | Check for updates regularly so that the desktop client is in sync with the Server and Mobile Client | Must Have |
| 6 | Display the current list of files from the database in the centre of the page | Should Have |
| 7 | Include a delete file functionality | Should Have |
| 8 | Provide a way to track and check recent changes to files | Could Have |
| 9 | Include a search bar to quickly find files if the list of files is too large | Could Have |
| 10 | Have User profiles for personalised access | Won't Have |

3.2 Mobile Client

| MoSCoW requirements for The Mobile Client | | |
|---|---|-------------|
| Requirement No. | Requirement | Priority |
| 1 | Must be able to communicate with the Server Application | Must Have |
| 2 | Must be able to upload files into the database through the server | Must Have |
| 3 | Must be able to delete files | Must Have |
| 4 | All changes must be reflected in the files stored in the server | Must Have |
| 5 | The mobile UI must be simple and easy to navigate through | Should Have |

3.3 Server Application

| MoSCoW requirements for Server Application | | |
|--|--|-------------|
| Requirement No. | Requirement | Priority |
| 1 | Has to be connected to a database in order to store and retrieve files | Must Have |
| 2 | Must be able to communicate with the Desktop and Mobile Clients simultaneously | Must Have |
| 3 | Use HTTP requests to send data to the clients | Must Have |
| 4 | Handle conflicts using Rsync | Should Have |
| 5 | Use security encryption to protect the data | Could Have |

4 Implementation

4.1 Server Application

The server was developed using Node.js and the database used is a cloud service by MongoDB.

4.2 Desktop Client

The desktop client was built using the Electron framework; thus, the implementation primarily involved HTML, Javascript and CSS coding. Electron provides Javascript code to create windows and handle all system events, and HTML code for a blank webpage (Electron, n.d.); thus, we reused the pre-existing JS and HTML code as the foundational starting point for the development of the desktop client. Afterwards, we modified the webpage by adding a title (i.e. "Project Prime Desktop"), a file upload bar and a display of the list of server-contained files using HTML and CSS. To upload a file into the database, the desktop client would transmit a POST request to the server after the user selects a file; as a result, the file gets stored in the database. Next, in order to display the database-contained files, the client sends a GET request to the server, which relays the files to the client. In addition, a delete button is underneath each file on the desktop client, which after being pressed, deletes the corresponding file in the server; thus, removing the file from the client UI. The rationale behind this layout is to grant simplicity to the desktop application.

4.3 Mobile Client

The mobile client was developed using Android Studio; thus, the implementation primarily involved Java and XML.

5 Teamwork

Project Prime consists of six members: Yusaf, Sandipan, Saloni, Shefali, Cameron and Manny. To balance the workload, the team was divided into three subgroups of two teammates and each subgroup was assigned to the development of one component. As a result, Yusaf and Sandipan were assigned to the desktop client, Saloni and Shefali were assigned to the server, and Manny and Cameron were assigned to the mobile client. Within these subgroups, the work was distributed between both teammates through discussion. Despite the team division, members from other subgroups were permitted to intervene in the development of a component that they weren't assigned to, in order to fix issues that the assigned subgroup couldn't correct, for example. Moving onto communication, the team remotely communicated using an instant messaging app known as "Whatsapp", which allowed us to arrange group sessions at a certain date-and-time, notify teammates of submitted pull requests, etc. Furthermore, the sessions were conducted in booked study rooms at least once per week and these sessions involved group discussion and coding of all components. Next, the project involved Github where the project implementation was contained in a public repository called "Project Prime Dev". In addition to Git, the team followed the feature branch workflow, where a component feature was developed inside a branch separate from the master branch and subsequently, the former would be merged into the latter branch.

6 Evaluation

From start to finish, the team experienced positive and negative events of the project. To start with the positives, the biggest highlight of this project was the strong team communication, as each member confidently conveyed their own thoughts and opinions during group discussions in physical meetings and WhatsApp. In addition, when disagreements regarding the project arose, debates were conducted in a respectful manner by not saying rude or offensive statements. Each member also made the effort to attend each group meeting throughout the project, unless there was a genuine reason for their absence. Another positive was our ability to quickly adapt to changing circumstances. For instance, as initially planned, we were going to contain files in an SQL database, but upon discovering that SQL databases were not ideal for file storage, we got stuck in a dilemma. Fortunately, after thorough research, we decided to use a MongoDB database since the latter is a document-oriented database system that's recommended for storing files. However, moving onto the negatives, the biggest drawback was how weak the plan was since each member was inexperienced in developing a multi-host file synchroniser, which led to us being unsure of how to approach the task. In addition, the level of inexperience formerly impacted our confidence to build the system. However, as the project progressed, the Internet became a major learning tool to gain new skills to become confident in achieving the project aims.

In conclusion, this project caused the realisation that despite our computer science backgrounds, our technical prowess is still very basic and there is much for us to learn. In response to this discovery, we will commit to thoroughly relearning and practising how to use different technologies in our spare time, including programming languages and Git (e.g. BitBucket). In retrospect, if this project was repeated, our different approach would be to partner stronger members with novice ones, in terms of technological skill; thus, providing novice members with the opportunity to enhance their skills by learning whilst working on the job.

7 Peer Assessment

| Peer Assessment of Project Prime | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------|---------|---------|-------|
| Yusaf | Sandipan | Saloni | Shefali | Cameron | Manny |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

8 References

- Madsen, S. (2017) *How to Prioritize with the MoSCoW Technique* [online] Available at: <https://www.projectmanager.com/training/prioritize-moscow-technique>, [Accessed on 10 March 2019]
- Electron (n.d.) *Writing your First Electron App — Electron* [online] Available at: <https://electronjs.org/docs/tutorial/first-app>, [Accessed on 11 March 2019]