



- 1) Zxi = 219.8; n=27
 - a) point estimate of the mean value is sample mean, which is the sum of all values divided by the number of values: $\bar{x}: \frac{\sum \bar{x}i}{n} = \frac{219.8}{27} \approx 8.14.27$
- b) The point estimate that caparates weakest 50% from strongest 50% is the median. Sorted values: 5.9, 6.3, 6.3, 6.5, 6.8, 6.8, 7.0, 7.0, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.6, 7.7, 7.7, 7.8, 7.8, 7.9, 3.1, 8.2, 8.7, 9.0, 9.7, 9.7, 10.7, 11.3, 11.6, 11.3
 - Since there are 27 values in the set, the median is the middle (4^{44}) , M=7.7
- C) $\Sigma x_i^2 = 1860.94$ $\Sigma x_i = 219.8$ N = 27The point estimate of the population S.D. is the sample S.D.

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{\sum \kappa^2 - (\sum \kappa)^2 / n}{n - 1}} = 1.6595$$

- d) The point estimate of the proportion is the sample proportion.

 The sample proportion is the number of successes (values exceeding 10) divided by sample size n=27; $\hat{p}=\frac{4}{27}\approx 0.1481=14.81\%$
- Point estimate of population coefficient of variation $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is the sample coefficient of variation $\frac{S}{R}$: $CV = \frac{S}{R} = \frac{1.6595}{8.1407} = 0.2039$



8) a) Point estimate of the proportion of all such combounds that are not defective is $\rho = 0.85$

b) Both components have to work: P(system works) = 0.852 = 0.723

9) Proposition: For a random variable x with Poisson Distribution with parameter 12 >0, E(x) = V(x) = 1

The unbiased estimator of u is $\bar{\kappa}$. $E(\bar{\kappa}) = E(\bar{\eta}, \xi, \chi_i) = \bar{\eta} \xi E(\chi_i) = \bar{\eta} \cdot n \cdot E(\chi_i) = \mathcal{U}$

For n = 150, the estimate $\bar{x} = \bar{n}(x, + k_2 + ... + x_n)$ $= \bar{n} = (0.13 + 1.31 + ... + 7.1)$

distribution and are independent. $V(\bar{x}) = V(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{N}{n}, \chi_i)$ $= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\chi_i)$

S.D. of the estimator is
$$\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n}} \cdot n \cdot V(x)$$

13) Expected value of random variable Ti: (or any other) is

estimated standard error is $\sqrt{\frac{A}{n}} = \frac{\sqrt{2.11}}{\sqrt{150}} = 0.119$

$$u = Eh$$
) = $\int_{-1}^{1} x \cdot 0.5(1f \cdot \theta \kappa) dx = 0.5 \frac{x^2}{2} \Big|_{-1}^{1} + 0.5 \cdot \theta \left(\frac{x^3}{3}\right) \Big|_{-1}^{1} = \frac{1}{3}\theta$
If the expected values of estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is θ , then the estimator is unbaised.
The expected value is $E(\hat{\theta}) = E(3\pi) = 3 \cdot E(\pi) = 3 \cdot E(\pi + \pi)$

= $\frac{3}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(N) = \frac{3}{4}$ = $\frac{3}{4}$ = $\frac{3}{4}$ = $\frac{3}{4}$ = $\frac{3}{4}$



20) a) In order to obtain maximum likelihood estimator, find p which maximize pmf. To all that look at natural logarithm of pmf. By finding max of $\ln \left[\binom{n}{x}\right] p^{x} (1-p)^{n-x}$ one also find the maximum of $p^{x} (1-p)^{n-x}$ because In won't change max value. To find max of $\ln (x_j, p)$, that take derivative and set it equal to be 0, and solve for p

 $\frac{d}{dp} \left(|n| I(\frac{n}{n}) p^{n} (1-p)^{n-n} I \right) = \frac{d}{dp} \left(|m| (\frac{n}{n}) + \kappa |n| p + (n-\kappa) |n| (1-p) \right)$ $= 0 + \kappa \cdot \frac{1}{p} + (n-\kappa) \cdot \frac{1}{1-p} \cdot (-1)$ $= \frac{\frac{\pi}{p} - \frac{n-\kappa}{1-p}}{1-p} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\pi}{p} = \frac{n-\kappa}{1-p} \Rightarrow \frac{1-p}{p} = \frac{n-\kappa}{\kappa} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{p} - \frac{n-\kappa}{n} = 0$

b) The estinator is unbiased if the expected value of the estinator is p. The following holds: $E(\hat{p}) = E(\frac{\lambda}{n}) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot E(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot p$

estimator is $\hat{\rho} = \frac{3}{n} = \frac{3}{20} = 0.15$

Since $E(\hat{\rho}) = P$, the estimator is unbiased

For a binomial random variable x with parameters $n_i p_i$ and $q = (-p_i) = n_i p_i$; $V(n_i) = n_i p_i (1-p_i) = n_i p_i p_i$; $v(n_i) = n_i p_i p_i$

C) Let θ_i , i=1,2,...,n be max likelihood estimates of parameters θ_i , i=1,2,...,n. The rule of any function of parameters θ_i is the function of rule δ

The mle of function $h(p) = (1-p)^5$ is $h(\hat{p}) = (1-\hat{p})^5 = (1-0.15)^5$ = 0.4437



21) a) Sample moment of first order: $\pi = \frac{1}{n}(\pi_1 + \pi_2 + \dots + \pi_n)$ population (1,11.1): E(R)=B. [(1+ tx)

Somple " " 2nd ": [(Ret 1/2 + ... + 1/2)

population " " 2 nd " : E (x2) = V(N) + [E(N)]2 = 82 { [(1+ =)-[[(1+ =]2] - { B. [(1+ =)]2 = B2. [(1+=) The 2"d equation in the system of equation from which the

moment estimators are obtained is in \$\frac{2}{n} \text{K}; \frac{2}{n} = \mathbb{E}(\gamma^2)

There, System of equations which needs to be solved for a and $\hat{\beta}$ is $\bar{x} = \bar{\beta} \cdot \bar{\Gamma}(1 + \frac{1}{4})$, $\frac{1}{n} \cdot \bar{\Sigma} \cdot \bar{\chi}_1^2 = -\hat{\beta}^2 \bar{\Gamma}(1 + \frac{2}{4})$ $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\bar{\Gamma}(1 + \frac{1}{4})}, \quad \hat{\beta} \quad \text{can be computed from } 1^{\text{st}} \quad \text{equation}$ From 1st equation, Squaring both sides: $\pi^2 = \beta^2 \beta^2 (1 + \frac{1}{6k})$ or $\beta^2 = \beta^2 \beta^2 (1 + \frac{1}{6k})$

b) n= 20, x=28, Σx; = 16500

 $\frac{1}{20}$. $\left(\frac{1650^{\circ}}{283^{\circ}}\right) = 1.05$, therefore $\frac{P(1+\frac{1}{2})}{P(1+\frac{1}{2})} = 1.05$ $\frac{\left[P(1,2)\right]^2}{P(1,4)} = 0.95 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{P(1+\alpha^4)}{P(1+\alpha^2)} = \frac{1}{0.95} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{P(1+\alpha^4)}{P^2(1+\alpha^2)} = 1.05$

which means that P(112) = (.05 = [7(1+a4)]

2 =0.4 => & =5

estinator $\hat{\beta} = \overline{P(1+\frac{1}{6})} = \overline{P(1,2)}$



29) a) In f(x, x2, x3, ..., xn; x, 0) = In [x = > Eig (x-0)]

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(N_{1}, N_{2}, \dots, N_{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \right\} d\lambda \left[N_{1} N_{1} \lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(N_{i} - \theta \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[N_{i} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(N_{1}, N_{2}, \dots, N_{n} \right) \lambda_{n} \right\} d\lambda \left[N_{1} N_{1} \lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right\} d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(N_{1} - \theta \right) \right] d\lambda \left[N_{1} - \theta \right] d\lambda \left[N$$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i} = 3.11 + 0.64 + \cdots + 1.3 = 55.8$ $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\kappa_{i} = 0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i} = 0.202$

32) 0) The cdf of a random variable y can be can be computed as follows $F_{y}(y) = P(y \leq y) = P(\max_{i}(x_{i} \leq y))$ $= P(x_{i} \leq y, x_{i} \leq y, ..., x_{n} \leq y)$

$$= P(X_1 \le y). P(X_2 \le y) \dots P(X_n \le y)$$

$$= (\frac{1}{6})^n, \quad 0 \le y \le 0$$

Having cdf it's easy to obtain pdf as derivative of cdf $\frac{1}{2}y(y) = \frac{1}{2}y(y) =$

b) If
$$E(y)=0$$
, the estimator is unbiased, however

 $E(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty}y\cdot\frac{ny^{n-1}}{n}\,dy=\frac{n}{n}=\frac{y^{n+1}}{n+1}\left(\frac{n}{n}=\frac{n}{n}\theta\neq\theta\right)$

which means estimator is not unbased. However, estimator y
 $y=\frac{n+1}{n}$ is unbiased because $E(y)=E(\frac{n+1}{n})=\frac{n+1}{n}E(x)$

hence x is unbiased