0.10 Closure Given Algorithm

you-said

For this algorithm: Closure of beta under F (beta+) result := beta Do For each gema \rightarrow delta in F: If (gema result): result := result Union delta While (result does not change) Return result Show if F={A \rightarrow B,A \rightarrow C,CG \rightarrow H,CG \rightarrow I,B \rightarrow H}, beta = {A,G} satisfies closure for R(A,B,C,G,H,I)

ChatGPT said:

To determine whether $\beta = \{A, G\} = \{A,$

Steps to calculate the closure β^++ :Steps to calculate the closure β^++ :

1. Initialization:

- Start with $\beta = \{A, G\} = \{A, G\}$.
- Initialize result = $\{A, G\}$ result = $\{A, G\}$.

2. Apply the algorithm:

- Loop through each functional dependency $\gamma \to \delta \to \text{in } FF$.
- If $\gamma \subseteq$ result result, add δ to result result.

first-iteration

- Current result = $\{A, G\}$ result= $\{A, G\}$
- Consider the dependency $A \rightarrow B \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$:
 - Since $A \subseteq \{A, G\} \setminus \{A, G\}$, we can apply this dependency.

- $\text{ Update result} := \text{result} \cup \{B\} = \{A,G,B\} \text{result} := \text{result} \{B\} = \{A,G,B\}.$
- Consider the dependency $A \to CA \to C$:
 - Since $A \subseteq \{A, G\} A \{A, G\}$, we can apply this dependency.
 - Update result := result \cup {C} = {A, G, B, C}result:=result{C}={A,G,B,C}.
- Consider the dependency $CG \rightarrow H CG \rightarrow H$:
 - Since $\{C,G\} \subseteq \{A,G,B,C\} \{C,G\} \{A,G,B,C\}$, we can apply this dependency.
 - Update result := result \cup {H} = {A, G, B, C, H}result:=result{H}={A,G,B,C,H}.
- Consider the dependency $CG \rightarrow ICG \rightarrow I$:
 - Since $\{C,G\}\subseteq\{A,G,B,C,H\}$ (C,G) $\{A,G,B,C,H\}$, we can apply this dependency.
 - $\ \text{Update result} := \text{result} \cup \{I\} = \{A,G,B,C,H,I\} \\ \text{result} := \text{result} \{I\} = \{A,G,B,C,H,I\}.$
- Consider the dependency $B \to H \mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{H}$:
 - Since $B \subseteq \{A, G, B, C, H, I\}$ B $\{A, G, B, C, H, I\}$, this dependency holds, but HH is already in resultresult, so no change is made.

second-iteration

- Current result = $\{A, G, B, C, H, I\}$ result= $\{A, G, B, C, H, I\}$
- Recheck all dependencies, but none of them lead to any new attributes being added to resultresult, so the closure process terminates.

conclusion

cxxi

Conclusion:

The closure of $\beta = \{A, G\} = \{A, G\}$ under FF is $\{A, G, B, C, H, I\} \{A, G, B, C, H, I\}$, which includes all the attributes of R(A, B, C, G, H, I)R(A, B, C, G, H, I).

Therefore, $\beta = \{A, G\} = \{A, G\} = \{A, G\}$ satisfies the closure for R(A, B, C, G, H, I) R(A, B, C, G, H, I). you-said-1

Run the same algorithm for $F = \{A->B, C->A, E->C, CF->A, E->F\}$, beta = $\{E\}$ and R(A,B,C,D,E,F)

ChatGPT said:

Let's run the closure algorithm for $\beta = \{E\} = \{E\}$ and the functional dependencies $F = \{A \to B, C \to A, E \to C, CF \to A, E \to F\}$ F= $\{A \to B, C \to A, E \to C, CF \to A, E \to F\}$ over the relation schema R(A, B, C, D, E, F)R(A,B,C,D,E,F).

steps-to-calculate-the-closure-betaux 3b 2-1

Steps to calculate the closure β^++ :Steps to calculate the closure \beta^{+}+:

1. Initialization:

- Start with $\beta = \{E\} = \{E\}$.
- Initialize result = $\{E\}$ result= $\{E\}$.

2. Apply the algorithm:

- Loop through each functional dependency $\gamma \to \delta \to \text{in } FF$.
- If $\gamma \subseteq$ resultresult, add δ to resultresult.

first-iteration-1

- Current result = $\{E\}$ result= $\{E\}$
- Consider the dependency $A \rightarrow B \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$:
 - Since $A \subseteq \{E\}$ A{E}, this dependency cannot be applied.
- Consider the dependency $C \to A \mathbb{C} \to A$:

- Since $C \subseteq \{E\} \subset \{E\}$, this dependency cannot be applied.
- Consider the dependency $E \to C \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{C}$:
 - Since $E \subseteq \{E\} \to \{E\}$, we can apply this dependency.
 - Update result := result $\cup \{C\} = \{E, C\}$ result := result $\{C\} = \{E, C\}$.
- Consider the dependency $CF \to A\mathbf{CF} \to \mathbf{A}$:
 - Since $\{C, F\} \subseteq \{E, C\} \{C, F\} \{E, C\}$, this dependency cannot be applied yet.
- Consider the dependency $E \to F \to F$:
 - Since $E \subseteq \{E\} \to \{E\}$, we can apply this dependency.
 - Update result := result \cup {F} = {E, C, F}result:=result{F}={E,C,F}.

second-iteration-1

- Current result = $\{E, C, F\}$ result= $\{E, C, F\}$
- Consider the dependency $A \rightarrow B \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$:
 - Since $A \subseteq \{E, C, F\}$ A $\{E, C, F\}$, this dependency cannot be applied.
- Consider the dependency $C \to A \mathbf{C} \to A$:
 - Since $C \subseteq \{E, C, F\} \subset \{E, C, F\}$, we can apply this dependency.
- Consider the dependency $E \to C \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{C}$:
 - Since CC is already in resultresult, no change is made.
- Consider the dependency $CF \to ACF \to A$:

- Since $\{C, F\} \subseteq \{E, C, F, A\}\{C, F\}\{E, C, F, A\}$, we can apply this dependency.
- Update result := result $\cup \{A\} = \{E, C, F, A\}$ result:=result $\{A\} = \{E, C, F, A\}$ (but $\{A\}\{A\}$ is already in resultresult).
- Consider the dependency $E \to F \to F$:
 - Since FF is already in resultresult, no change is made.

third-iteration

- Current result = $\{E, C, F, A\}$ result= $\{E, C, F, A\}$
- Consider the dependency $A \rightarrow B \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$:
 - Since $A \subseteq \{E, C, F, A\} A \{E, C, F, A\}$, we can apply this dependency.
 - Update result := result \cup {B} = {E, C, F, A, B}result := result{B}={E,C,F,A,B}.
- Consider the dependency $C \to A \mathbb{C} \to A$:
 - Since AA is already in resultresult, no change is made.
- Consider the dependency $E \to C \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{C}$:
 - No change as CC is already in resultresult.
- Consider the dependency $CF \to ACF \to A$:
 - No change as AA is already in resultresult.
- Consider the dependency $E \to F \to F$:
 - No change as FF is already in resultresult.

final-iteration

- Current result = $\{E, C, F, A, B\}$ result= $\{E, C, F, A, B\}$
- No further dependencies can be applied as all relevant attributes are already in resultresult.

conclusion-1

Conclusion:

For relation r1 (E,D,C,B,A); And functional dependencies $F = \{\{A,B,C\} => \{D\}, \{A,B,C\} => \{D,E\}, \{D\} => \{A,B\}, \{E\} => \{A,C\}\}$. Use this algorithm to find candidate keys: Given a set of functional dependencies F over a relation r: 1. IfanattributeaofrisnotinF,thenaisineverykey 2. If an attribute a occurs on the right-hand side of a functional dependency in F but never occurs on the left-hand side, then a is never in a key 3. If an attribute a occurs on the left-hand side of a functional dependency in F but never occurs on the right-hand side, then a is in every key 4. If an attribute a occurs on both sides of functional dependencies in F, then one cannot say anything about such attribute 1. Compute the core (cases 1 and 3 before) 2. If the closure of the core contains all attributes, break (the core is the single candidate key) 3. Let's gamma be all attributes that are not exterior (case 4) 1. Compute all possible combinations of gamma in ascending order by size (one attribute, two attributes, three attributes, ...) 2. For each combination of size x: add the core to the current combination, named delta, and check delta+; delta is candidate if it fulfills the definition 3. If all combinations of size x are superkeys; break beta is minimal if there does not exist another beta' such that beta' subset beta

ChatGPT said:

step-by-step-approach-to-finding-candidate-keys-for-the-given-relation- $r_1edcbar1edcba$ and -the-functional-dependencies-ff

Step-by-step approach to finding candidate keys for the given relation $r_1(E, D, C, B, A)$ r1 (E,D,C,B,A) and the functional dependencies FF:Step-by-step approach to finding candidate keys for the given relation $r_{-}\{1\}(E,D,C,B,A)$ r1(E,D,C,B,A) and the functional dependencies FF:

functional-dependencies-ff

1.
$$\{A, B, C\} \to \{D\} \{A, B, C\} \to \{D\}$$

- 2. $\{A, B, C\} \to \{D, E\} \{A, B, C\} \to \{D, E\}$
- 3. $\{D\} \rightarrow \{A, B\} \{D\} \rightarrow \{A, B\}$
- 4. $\{E\} \to \{A, C\} \{E\} \to \{A, C\}$

attributes-in-the-relation

• $r_1(E, D, C, B, A)$ r1(E,D,C,B,A)

step-1-compute-the-core

Step 1: Compute the core

Based on the rules mentioned:

- 1. If an attribute occurs on the right-hand side of a functional dependency but never on the left-hand side, it is not in any key:
 - In this case, **there is no attribute** that is only on the right-hand side and never on the left-hand side.
- 2. If an attribute occurs on the left-hand side but never on the right-hand side, it must be in every key:
 - In this case, **no attribute occurs only on the left-hand side** of functional dependencies.
- 3. If an attribute is not part of the functional dependencies (F), it is in every key:
 - All attributes in $r_1(E, D, C, B, A)$ r1(E,D,C,B,A) are part of the functional dependencies, so no attribute is automatically in the key due to this rule.
- 4. If an attribute appears on both sides of functional dependencies, nothing can be concluded about it directly:

• Attributes AA, BB, CC, DD, and EE appear on both sides of the functional dependencies, so we need to further explore combinations to determine whether they are part of any candidate keys.

Conclusion from step 1: There is no attribute that can be immediately identified as being in every key (i.e., no "core"). Therefore, we proceed to the next step to find the candidate keys using the closure method.

step-2-identify-attributes-involved-in-functional-dependencies

Step 2: Identify attributes involved in functional dependencies

We know from step 1 that **no attribute is excluded or automatically included in the key**, so we need to evaluate combinations of attributes from $\{A, B, C, D, E\}\{A, B, C, D, E\}$. gamma-gammaux3b3-is-the-set-of-all-attributes-that-are-not-exterior

• Since no attribute was eliminated by the previous rules, $\gamma = \{A, B, C, D, E\} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$. step-3-compute-all-combinations-of-gammaux3b3-and-their-closures

Step 3: Compute all combinations of γ and their closuresStep 3: Compute all combinations of \gamma and their closures

Now, we compute the closure of different combinations of attributes in γ . The goal is to find the minimal combination (candidate key) whose closure includes all attributes in $\{A, B, C, D, E\}\{A, B, C, D, E\}$.

closure-of-a-set-of-attributes

For a set of attributes β , compute β^+ by applying functional dependencies repeatedly until no new attributes can be added.

check-combinations-in-ascending-order-by-size

1. Size 3: Try $\{A, B, C\}\{A, B, C\}$:

- Initial closure: $\{A, B, C\}\{A, B, C\}$
- Apply $\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D: \{A, B, C, D\}\{A, B, C, D\}$
- Apply $\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E: \{A, B, C, D, E\}\{A, B, C, D, E\}$
- Closure of $\{A, B, C\} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}\{A, B, C\} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$
- Since this closure contains all attributes in r_1 r1, $\{A, B, C\}\{A, B, C\}$ is a **candidate key**.

- 2. Size 2: Try smaller subsets (e.g., $\{A, B\}\{A, B\}$, $\{A, C\}\{A, C\}$, etc.):
 - Any combination of size 2 will not generate the closure of all attributes because none of these can derive both DD and EE without including CC in the combination. So, there are no candidate keys of size 2.
- 3. Size 4 and 5:
 - Since we already found $\{A, B, C\}\{A, B, C\}$ to be a candidate key, there is no need to explore larger combinations, as they would only lead to superkeys (supersets of the candidate key).

conclusion-2

Conclusion:

• Candidate Key: $\{A, B, C\}\{A, B, C\}$

This is the minimal set of attributes whose closure contains all attributes of the relation $r_1(E, D, C, B, A)$ r1(E,D,C,B,A). Therefore, $\{A, B, C\}\{A,B,C\}$ is the **only candidate key** for this relation and set of functional dependencies.

you-said-3

use this formula: 1. Compute all possible combinations of gamma in ascending order by size (one attribute, two attributes, three attributes, ...) 2. For each combination of size x: add the core to the current combination, named delta, and check delta+; delta is candidate if it fulfills the definition 3. If all combinations of size x are superkeys; break

ChatGPT said:

Let's apply the formula you provided to find the candidate keys for the relation $r_1(E, D, C, B, A)$ r1 (E,D,C,B,A) and the functional dependencies FF:

1. Functional Dependencies:

- $\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow \{D\}\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow \{D\}$
- $\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow \{D, E\} \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow \{D, E\}$
- $\{D\} \rightarrow \{A, B\} \{D\} \rightarrow \{A, B\}$
- $\{E\} \rightarrow \{A, C\} \{E\} \rightarrow \{A, C\}$

2. Relation r_1 **r1**: (E, D, C, B, A)(E,D,C,B,A)

step-1-compute-the-core-1

Step 1: Compute the Core

- From earlier analysis, no attribute can immediately be considered part of every key (no core exists).
- So, we proceed without a core and use all attributes in $\gamma = \{A, B, C, D, E\} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ as candidates.

step-2-compute-all-possible-combinations-of-gammaux3b3-in-ascending-order-by-size

Step 2: Compute all possible combinations of γ in ascending order by sizeStep 2: Compute all possible combinations of \gamma in ascending order by size

We need to try all combinations of attributes from $\gamma = \{A, B, C, D, E\} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ in ascending order by size (one attribute, two attributes, etc.).

We'll compute the closure for each combination and check if it's a superkey (i.e., if its closure contains all attributes of r_1 r1).

combinations-of-size-1

- **Try** {*A*}{**A**}:
 - $\{A\}^+ = \{A\}\{A\} + = \{A\}$
 - No functional dependencies apply to $\{A\}\{A\}$, so the closure remains $\{A\}\{A\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try {B}{B}:
 - $-\{B\}^+ = \{B\}\{B\} + = \{B\}$
 - No functional dependencies apply to $\{B\}\{B\}$, so the closure remains $\{B\}\{B\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try {C}{C}:

$$- \{C\}^+ = \{C\}\{C\} + = \{C\}$$

– No functional dependencies apply to $\{C\}\{C\}$, so the closure remains $\{C\}\{C\}$, which is not a superkey.

• **Try** {*D*}{**D**}:

$$-\{D\}^+ = \{D, A, B\}\{D\} + = \{D, A, B\} \text{ (from } D \to A, BD \to A, B)$$

- Closure is $\{D,A,B\}\{D,A,B\}$, which is not a superkey (it does not contain all attributes).
- Try {E}{E}:

$$-\{E\}^+ = \{E, A, C\}\{E\} + = \{E, A, C\} \text{ (from } E \to A, C \to A, C)$$

- Closure is $\{E, A, C\}\{E, A, C\}$, which is not a superkey.

combinations-of-size-2

- Try $\{A, B\}\{A,B\}$:
 - $\{A, B\}^+ = \{A, B\}\{A, B\} + = \{A, B\}$
 - No functional dependencies apply to $\{A,B\}\{A,B\}$, so the closure remains $\{A,B\}\{A,B\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try {A, C}{A,C}:
 - $\{A, C\}^+ = \{A, C\}\{A, C\} + = \{A, C\}$
 - No functional dependencies apply to $\{A,C\}\{A,C\}$, so the closure remains $\{A,C\}\{A,C\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try $\{A, D\}\{A,D\}$:

$$- \{A, D\}^+ = \{A, B, D\}\{A, D\} + = \{A, B, D\}$$

- Closure is $\{A, B, D\}\{A, B, D\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try $\{A, E\}\{A, E\}$:

cxxx

- $\{A, E\}^+ = \{A, C, E\}\{A, E\} + = \{A, C, E\}$
- Closure is $\{A, C, E\}\{A, C, E\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try $\{B,C\}\{B,C\}$:
 - $-\{B,C\}^+ = \{B,C\}\{B,C\} + = \{B,C\}$
 - No functional dependencies apply to $\{B,C\}\{B,C\}$, so the closure remains $\{B,C\}\{B,C\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try $\{B,D\}\{B,D\}$:
 - $\{B, D\}^+ = \{A, B, D\}\{B, D\} + = \{A, B, D\} \text{ (from } D \to A, BD \to A, B)$
 - Closure is $\{A, B, D\}\{A, B, D\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try $\{B, E\}\{B, E\}$:
 - $\{B, E\}^+ = \{A, B, C, E\}\{B, E\} + = \{A, B, C, E\}$
 - Closure is $\{A, B, C, E\}\{A, B, C, E\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try $\{C, D\}\{C, D\}$:
 - $\{C, D\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D\}\{C, D\} + = \{A, B, C, D\}$
 - Closure is $\{A, B, C, D\}\{A, B, C, D\}$, which is not a superkey.
- Try {*C*,*E*}{**C**,**E**}:
 - $\ \{C,E\}^+ = \{A,B,C,D,E\} \\ \{{\rm C,E}\} + = \{{\rm A,B,C,D,E}\}$
 - This closure contains all attributes, so $\{C, E\}\{C, E\}$ is a superkey (and potentially a candidate key).
- Try $\{D, E\}\{D, E\}$:
 - $\{D, E\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}\{D, E\} + = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$
 - This closure contains all attributes, so $\{D, E\}\{D, E\}$ is a superkey (and potentially a candidate key).

combinations-of-size-3

• Since we already found two superkeys of size 2 ({C, E} and {D, E}), there is no need to proceed to combinations of size 3.

step-3-check-if-cece-and-dede-are-minimal

Step 3: Check if $\{C, E\}\{C, E\}$ and $\{D, E\}\{D, E\}$ are minimalStep 3: Check if $\{C, E\}\{C, E\}$ and $\{D, E\}\{D, E\}$ are minimal

- $\{C, E\}\{C, E\}$ is minimal: There is no subset of $\{C, E\}\{C, E\}$ that is a superkey.
- $\{D, E\}\{D, E\}$ is minimal: There is no subset of $\{D, E\}\{D, E\}$ that is a superkey. conclusion-3

Conclusion

The **candidate keys** for the relation $r_1(E, D, C, B, A)$ r1(E,D,C,B,A) and functional dependencies FF are:

- $\{C, E\}\{C, E\}$
- $\{D, E\}\{D,E\}$

you-said-4

use this formula for closure: Closure of beta under F (beta+) result := beta Do For each gema \rightarrow delta in F: If (gema result): result := result Union delta While (result does not change) Return result

ChatGPT said:

Let's use the closure formula you provided to compute the closures of different attribute sets for finding candidate keys. Here's the step-by-step process: given-relation

Given Relation:

$$r_1(E, D, C, B, A)$$
r $1(E,D,C,B,A)$ functional-dependencies

Functional Dependencies:

1.
$$\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D$$

2.
$$\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E$$

3.
$$\{D\} \rightarrow A, B\{D\} \rightarrow A, B$$

4.
$$\{E\} \rightarrow A, C\{E\} \rightarrow A, C$$

step-by-step-explanation-of-the-closure-formula

Step-by-step explanation of the closure formula:

We will compute the closure of different sets using the formula:

- 1. **Initialize** the result set to the initial attribute set β .
- 2. **Iterate** over the functional dependencies. If the left-hand side (LHS) of a functional dependency is a subset of the current result set, **add** the right-hand side (RHS) to the result set.
- 3. Repeat until no further attributes can be added.
- 4. **Return** the final result (closure of β).

step-1-compute-closures-of-various-sets

Step 1: Compute closures of various sets

closure-of-aa

- Start with $result = \{A\} result = \{A\}$
- Iterate over each dependency:
 - {A, B, C} → D {A,B,C} →D: LHS is not a subset of {A}{A}
 - {A, B, C} → D, E {A,B,C} → D,E: LHS is not a subset of {A}{A}
 - $\{D\} \rightarrow A, B\{D\} \rightarrow A, B$: LHS is not a subset of $\{A\}\{A\}$
 - $\{E\} \rightarrow A, C\{E\} \rightarrow A, C$: LHS is not a subset of $\{A\}\{A\}$
- Result: Closure of $\{A\} = \{A\}\{A\} = \{A\}$

closure-of-bb

- Start with $result = \{B\} result = \{B\}$
- Iterate over each dependency:

```
- \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D\{A,B,C\} \rightarrow D: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{B\}\{B\}
- \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E\{A,B,C\} \rightarrow D, E: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{B\}\{B\}
- \{D\} \rightarrow A, B\{D\} \rightarrow A, E: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{B\}\{B\}
- \{E\} \rightarrow A, C\{E\} \rightarrow A, C: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{B\}\{B\}
```

• Result: Closure of $\{B\} = \{B\}\{B\} = \{B\}$ closure-of-cc

- Start with $result = \{C\} result = \{C\}$
- Iterate over each dependency:

$$- \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D\{A,B,C\} \rightarrow D: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{C\}\{C\}$$

$$- \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E\{A,B,C\} \rightarrow D, E: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{C\}\{C\}$$

$$- \{D\} \rightarrow A, B\{D\} \rightarrow A, B: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{C\}\{C\}$$

$$- \{E\} \rightarrow A, C\{E\} \rightarrow A, C: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{C\}\{C\}$$

- Result: Closure of $\{C\} = \{C\}\{C\} = \{C\}$ closure-of-dd
- Start with $result = \{D\}$ result= $\{D\}$
- Iterate over each dependency:

```
 - \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D\{A,B,C\} \rightarrow D: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{D\}\{D\} 
 - \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E\{A,B,C\} \rightarrow D, E: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{D\}\{D\} 
 - \{D\} \rightarrow A, B\{D\} \rightarrow A, B: LHS \{D\}\{D\} \text{ is a subset of } result result, \text{ so } result = \{D, A, B\} result = \{D, A, B\}
```

$$- \{E\} \rightarrow A, C\{E\} \rightarrow A, C: LHS \text{ is not a subset of } \{D, A, B\}\{D, A, B\}$$

• Result: Closure of $\{D\} = \{D, A, B\}\{D\} = \{D, A, B\}$ closure-of-ee

- Start with $result = \{E\}$ result= $\{E\}$
- Iterate over each dependency:
 - $\{A, B, C\}$ → $D\{A,B,C\}$ →D: LHS is not a subset of $\{E\}\{E\}$
 - $\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E$: LHS is not a subset of $\{E\}\{E\}$
 - $\{D\} \rightarrow A, B\{D\} \rightarrow A, B$: LHS is not a subset of $\{E\}\{E\}$
 - $\{E\} \to A, C\{E\} \to A, C$: LHS $\{E\}\{E\}$ is a subset of result result, so result = $\{E, A, C\}$ result= $\{E, A, C\}$
- Start with $result = \{A, B, C\}$ result= $\{A, B, C\}$
- Iterate over each dependency:
 - {A, B, C} → D {A,B,C} →D: LHS {A, B, C} {A,B,C} is a subset of result result, so $result = {A, B, C, D}$ result={A,B,C,D}
 - $\{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E \{A, B, C\} \rightarrow D, E: LHS \{A, B, C\} \{A, B, C\} \text{ is a subset of } result result, so } result = \{A, B, C, D, E\} result = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$
 - $\{D\} \to A, B\{D\} \to A, B$: LHS $\{D\}\{D\}$ is a subset of result result, but all attributes $\{A, B\}\{A, B\}$ are already in the result.
 - $\{E\}$ → $A, C\{E\}$ → A, C: LHS $\{E\}$ is a subset of resultresult, but all attributes $\{A, C\}$ $\{A, C\}$ are already in the result.

Step 2: Identify Candidate Keys

Now that we have computed the closures for different sets, we can identify the candidate keys by checking if the closure of a set contains all attributes of the relation $r_1(E, D, C, B, A)r_1(E, D, C, B, A)$.

- Closure of $\{A, B, C\} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}\{A,B,C\} = \{A,B,C,D,E\}$: Contains all attributes, so $\{A,B,C\}\{A,B,C\}$ is a superkey and a candidate key.
- Closure of $\{C, E\} = \{C, E, A\}\{C, E\} = \{C, E, A\}$: Does not contain all attributes.
- Closure of $\{D, E\} = \{D, E, A, B, C\} \{D, E\} = \{D, E, A, B, C\}$: Contains all attributes, so $\{D, E\} \{D, E\}$ is also a superkey and a candidate key.

final-result

Final Result:

• Candidate keys for $r_1(E, D, C, B, A)$ r1(E,D,C,B,A):

$$- \{A, B, C\}\{A,B,C\}$$

 $- \{D, E\}\{D,E\}$

you-said-5

For relation r1 (A, B, C, D, E); And functional dependencies $F = \{C -> A, C -> D, AE -> D, BC -> E, BDE -> A\}$. Use this algorithm to find candidate keys: Given a set of functional dependencies F over a relation r: 1. IfanattributeaofrisnotinF, then ais in every key 2. If an attribute a occurs on the right-hand side of a functional dependency in F but never occurs on the left-hand side, then a is never in a key 3. If an attribute a occurs on the left-hand side of a functional dependency in F but never occurs on the right-hand side, then a is in every key 4. If an attribute a occurs on both sides of functional dependencies in F, then one cannot say anything about such attribute 1. Compute the core (cases 1 and 3 before) 2. If the closure of the core contains all attributes, break (the core is the single candidate key) 3. Let's gamma be all attributes that are not exterior (case 4) 1. Compute all possible combinations of gamma in ascending order by size (one attribute, two attributes, three attributes, ...) 2. For each combination of size x: add the core to the current combination, named delta, and check delta+; delta is candidate if it fulfills the definition 3. If all combinations of size x are superkeys; break \bullet beta is minimal if there does not exist another beta' such that beta' subset beta

ChatGPT said:

To find the candidate keys for relation $r_1(A, B, C, D, E)$ r1(A,B,C,D,E) with functional dependencies $F = \{C \to A, C \to D, AE \to D, BC \to E, BDE \to A\}$ F= $\{C \to A, C \to D, AE \to D, BC \to E, BDE \to A\}$ we will follow the algorithm provided. Let's go step by step. step-1-analyze-each-attribute-based-on-the-rules

Step 1: Analyze Each Attribute Based on the Rules

rule-1-if-an-attribute-is-not-in-any-functional-dependency-ff-then-it-must-be-in-every-key.

• All attributes A, B, C, D, EA, B, C, D, E are part of at least one functional dependency. So no attribute is required in every key just by this rule.

rule-2-if-an-attribute-appears-only-on-the-right-hand-side-rhs-of-a-functional-dependency-and-never-on-the-left-hand-side-lhs-it-is-never-in-a-key.

- Attributes on the RHS:
 - AA appears on the RHS of $C \to AC \to A$ and $BDE \to ABDE \to A$.
 - DD appears on the RHS of $C \to DC \to D$ and $AE \to DAE \to D$.
 - EE appears on the RHS of $BC \to EBC \to E$.
- Conclusion: All attributes appear on the LHS at least once, so none are excluded by Rule 2.

rule-3-if-an-attribute-appears-only-on-the-left-hand-side-lhs-of-a-functional-dependency-and-never-on-the-right-hand-side-rhs-it-must-be-in-every-key.

• All attributes appear on both sides, so this rule does not apply.

rule-4-if-an-attribute-appears-on-both-the-left-hand-and-right-hand-sides-of-functional-dependencies-we-cannot-say-anything-definitive-about-whether-it-is-in-a-key.

• Attributes A, C, D, EA, C, D, E appear on both sides, so we cannot infer their presence or absence in candidate keys by this rule.

step-2-compute-the-core-minimal-set

Step 2: Compute the Core (Minimal Set)

To compute the core, we first apply Rules 1 and 3, but neither rule gives us any fixed attributes to include in every key.

Thus, the **core** is initially **empty**.

step-3-identify-gamma-uncertain-attributes

Step 3: Identify Gamma (Uncertain Attributes)

Since none of the attributes were directly included in the core, all the attributes A, B, C, D, EA, B, C, D, E are uncertain. We will need to check combinations of these attributes to determine candidate keys.

step-4-compute-all-possible-combinations-of-gamma-in-ascending-order-by-size

Step 4: Compute All Possible Combinations of Gamma in Ascending Order by Size

We'll compute the closures for different sets in ascending order by size, starting with individual attributes, then pairs, etc.

closure-of-cc-1

- Start with $result = \{C\}$ result= $\{C\}$
- Apply functional dependencies:

$$-C \rightarrow AC \rightarrow A$$
, so $result = \{C, A\}$ result= $\{C, A\}$
 $-C \rightarrow DC \rightarrow D$, so $result = \{C, A, D\}$ result= $\{C, A, D\}$

• The closure of $\{C\} = \{C, A, D\}\{C\} = \{C, A, D\}$, which does not include all attributes. So, $\{C\}\{C\}$ is **not a candidate key**.

closure-of-bb-1

- Start with $result = \{B\} result = \{B\}$
- No functional dependency applies to $\{B\}\{B\}$, so $\{B\}\{B\}$ cannot generate any new attributes.
- The closure of $\{B\} = \{B\}\{B\} = \{B\}$, which does not include all attributes. So, $\{B\}\{B\}$ is **not a candidate key**.

closure-of-aa-1

- Start with $result = \{A\} result = \{A\}$
- No functional dependency applies to $\{A\}\{A\}$, so $\{A\}\{A\}$ cannot generate any new attributes.
- The closure of $\{A\} = \{A\}\{A\} = \{A\}$, which does not include all attributes. So, $\{A\}\{A\}$ is **not a candidate key**.

closure-of-dd-1

- Start with $result = \{D\} result = \{D\}$
- $D \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow A, B, \text{ so } result = \{D, A, B\} \text{result} = \{D, A, B\}$
- No more functional dependencies apply.
- The closure of $\{D\} = \{D, A, B\}\{D\} = \{D, A, B\}$, which does not include all attributes. So, $\{D\}\{D\}$ is **not a candidate key**.

closure-of-ee-1

- Start with $result = \{E\} result = \{E\}$
- $E \rightarrow A, C \to A, C, \text{ so } result = \{E, A, C\} \text{ result} = \{E, A, C\}$
- No more functional dependencies apply.
- The closure of $\{E\} = \{E, A, C\}\{E\} = \{E, A, C\}$, which does not include all attributes. So, $\{E\}\{E\}$ is **not a candidate key**.

step-5-check-combinations-of-size-2

Step 5: Check Combinations of Size 2

Now, we check pairs of attributes. closure-of-bcbc

- Start with $result = \{B, C\}$ result= $\{B, C\}$
- $C \to A \to A$, so $result = \{B, C, A\}$ result= $\{B, C, A\}$

- $C \to D \to D$, so $result = \{B, C, A, D\}$ result = $\{B, C, A, D\}$
- $BC \rightarrow EBC \rightarrow E$, so $result = \{B, C, A, D, E\}$ result= $\{B, C, A, D, E\}$
- The closure of $\{B,C\} = \{A,B,C,D,E\}\{B,C\} = \{A,B,C,D,E\}$, which includes all attributes. Therefore, $\{B,C\}\{B,C\}$ is a **candidate key**.

closure-of-bdbd

- Start with $result = \{B, D\}$ result= $\{B, D\}$
- $D \rightarrow A, BD \rightarrow A, B, \text{ so } result = \{B, D, A\} \text{ result} = \{B, D, A\}$
- No more functional dependencies apply.
- The closure of $\{B, D\} = \{B, D, A\}\{B,D\} = \{B,D,A\}$, which does not include all attributes. So, $\{B,D\}\{B,D\}$ is **not a candidate key**.

closure-of-bebe

- Start with $result = \{B, E\}$ result= $\{B, E\}$
- $E \rightarrow A, C \to A, C$, so $result = \{B, E, A, C\}$ result= $\{B, E, A, C\}$
- $BC \to EBC \to E$, but EE is already in the result.
- The closure of $\{B, E\} = \{B, E, A, C\}\{B, E\} = \{B, E, A, C\}$, which does not include all attributes. So, $\{B, E\}\{B, E\}$ is **not a candidate key**.

conclusion-4

Conclusion:

• The only **candidate key** we found is $\{B, C\}\{B,C\}$.

you-said-6

define Rule 3 again for these functional dependencies 2/2

ChatGPT said:

rule-3

Rule 3:Rule 3:

If an attribute appears only on the left-hand side (LHS) of a functional dependency and never on the right-hand side (RHS), then that attribute must be in every key.

This rule implies that if an attribute is necessary to determine other attributes but is not determined by any other attribute, it is essential for identifying the relation and must be part of any candidate key.

analysis-of-attributes-based-on-rule-3

Analysis of Attributes Based on Rule 3:

• Attribute CC:

- It appears on the left-hand side in: $C \to AC \to A$, $C \to DC \to D$, and $BC \to EBC \to E$.
- It never appears on the right-hand side.
- Conclusion: CC must be in every candidate key.

• Attribute BB:

- It appears on the left-hand side in: $BC \to EBC \to E$, $BDE \to ABDE \to A$.
- It never appears on the right-hand side.
- Conclusion: BB must be in every candidate key.

• Attribute AA:

- It appears on the right-hand side in: $C \to AC \to A$, $BDE \to ABDE \to A$.
- It also appears on the left-hand side in: $AE \rightarrow DAE \rightarrow D$.
- Since it appears on both sides, Rule 3 does not apply to AA.

• Attribute DD:

- It appears on the right-hand side in: $C \to D \to D$, $AE \to D \to D$.
- It also appears on the left-hand side in: $BDE \rightarrow ABDE \rightarrow A$.
- Since it appears on both sides, Rule 3 does not apply to DD.

• Attribute EE:

- It appears on the right-hand side in: $BC \to EBC \to E$.
- It also appears on the left-hand side in: $AE \rightarrow DAE \rightarrow D$, $BDE \rightarrow ABDE \rightarrow A$.
- Since it appears on both sides, Rule 3 does not apply to EE.

conclusion-5

Conclusion:

From Rule 3, we conclude that both CC and BB must be part of every candidate key. you-said-7

r(A,B,C,D,E,G) FD = {A -> B, A -> C, CD -> E, CD -> G, B -> E} Use this algorithm to find candidate keys: Given a set of functional dependencies F over a relation r: 1. IfanattributeaofrisnotinF,thenaisineverykey 2. If an attribute a occurs on the right-hand side of a functional dependency in F but never occurs on the left-hand side, then a is never in a key 3. If an attribute a occurs on the left-hand side of a functional dependency in F but never occurs on the right-hand side, then a is in every key { 1. Compute the core (cases 1 and 3 before) 2. If the closure of the core contains all attributes, break (the core is the single candidate key) } 4. If an attribute a occurs on both sides of functional dependencies in F, then { 1. Compute all possible combinations of gamma in ascending order by size (one attribute, two attributes, three attributes, ...) 2. For each combination of size x: add the core to the current combination, named delta, and check delta+; delta is candidate if it fulfills the definition 3. If all combinations of size x are superkeys; break } 2/2

ChatGPT said:

1

To find the candidate keys for relation r(A, B, C, D, E, G)r(A,B,C,D,E,G) with functional dependencies $F = \{A \to B, A \to C, CD \to E, CD \to G, B \to E\}$ F= $\{A \to B, A \to C, CD \to E, CD \to G, B \to E\}$ we will follow the steps in the algorithm.

step-1-analyze-each-attribute-based-on-the-rules-1

Step 1: Analyze Each Attribute Based on the Rules

rule-1-if-an-attribute-is-not-in-any-functional-dependency-ff-then-it-must-be-in-every-key.

• All attributes A, B, C, D, E, GA, B, C, D, E, G are part of at least one functional dependency, so none are required in every key based on this rule.

rule-2-if-an-attribute-appears-only-on-the-right-hand-side-rhs-of-a-functional-dependency-and-never-on-the-left-hand-side-lhs-it-is-never-in-a-key.-1

- Attributes on the RHS:
 - BB appears on the RHS of $A \rightarrow BA \rightarrow B$.
 - CC appears on the RHS of $A \rightarrow CA \rightarrow C$.
 - EE appears on the RHS of $CD \to ECD \to E$ and $B \to EB \to E$.
 - GG appears on the RHS of $CD \rightarrow GCD \rightarrow G$.
- Conclusion: Attributes BB, CC, EE, and GG appear only on the RHS. Therefore, B, C, E, GB, C, E, GB are never in a key.

rule-3-if-an-attribute-appears-only-on-the-left-hand-side-lhs-of-a-functional-dependency-and-never-on-the-right-hand-side-rhs-it-must-be-in-every-key.-1

- The attribute AA appears on the LHS in:
 - $-A \rightarrow BA \rightarrow B$
 - $-A \rightarrow CA \rightarrow C$
 - It does not appear on the RHS.

Therefore, AA must be in every key.

• The attributes C, DC, D appear on the LHS in $CD \to ECD \to E$, $CD \to GCD \to G$, and are on the RHS in some cases, so Rule 3 doesn't apply to them.

step-2-compute-the-core-minimal-set-1

Step 2: Compute the Core (Minimal Set)

From Rule 3, we know that AA is in every key. So the **core** is $\{A\}\{A\}$. step-3-compute-the-closure-of-the-core

Step 3: Compute the Closure of the Core

Let's compute the closure of the core $\{A\}\{A\}$ under the functional dependencies. closure-of-aa-under-ff

1. Start with $result = \{A\} result = \{A\}$.

- 2. Apply functional dependencies:
 - $A \to B A \to B$, so $result = \{A, B\}$ result = $\{A, B\}$.
 - $A \to C A \to C$, so $result = \{A, B, C\}$ result= $\{A, B, C\}$.
- 3. No other functional dependencies apply to $\{A, B, C\}\{A, B, C\}$.

The closure of $\{A\}\{A\}$ is $\{A,B,C\}\{A,B,C\}$, which does **not** include all attributes $\{A,B,C,D,E,G\}\{A,B,C,D,E,G\}$. Therefore, $\{A\}\{A\}$ alone is **not a candidate key**. step-4-identify-gamma-uncertain-attributes

Step 4: Identify Gamma (Uncertain Attributes)

The remaining attributes that are not in the core (i.e., not included by Rule 2 or Rule 3) are DD.

We now need to check combinations involving $A\mathbf{A}$ and $D\mathbf{D}$. step-5-compute-the-closure-of-adad

Step 5: Compute the Closure of $\{A,D\}\{A,D\}$ Step 5: Compute the Closure of $\{A,D\}\{A,D\}$

closure-of-adad-under-ff

- 1. Start with $result = \{A, D\}$ result= $\{A, D\}$.
- 2. Apply functional dependencies:
 - $A \rightarrow B A \rightarrow B$, so $result = \{A, B, D\}$ result = $\{A, B, D\}$.
 - $A \rightarrow C A \rightarrow C$, so $result = \{A, B, C, D\}$ result = $\{A, B, C, D\}$.
 - $CD \rightarrow ECD \rightarrow E$, so $result = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ result= $\{A, B, C, D, E\}$.
 - $CD \rightarrow GCD \rightarrow G$, so $result = \{A, B, C, D, E, G\}$ result= $\{A, B, C, D, E, G\}$.

The closure of $\{A, D\} = \{A, B, C, D, E, G\}\{A,D\} = \{A,B,C,D,E,G\}$, which includes all attributes. Therefore, $\{A,D\}\{A,D\}$ is a **candidate key**. step-6-check-for-minimality

cxliv

Step 6: Check for Minimality

- The set $\{A, D\}\{A,D\}$ is minimal because:
 - Removing AA leaves $\{D\}\{D\}$, whose closure does not include all attributes.
 - Removing DD leaves $\{A\}\{A\}$, whose closure does not include all attributes either.

conclusion-6

Conclusion:

The **candidate key** for the relation r(A, B, C, D, E, G)r(A,B,C,D,E,G) with functional dependencies FF is $\{A, D\}\{A,D\}$.

you-said-8

write down the functional dependencies

ChatGPT said:

Here are the functional dependencies you provided for the relation r(A, B, C, D, E, G)r(A,B,C,D,E,G):

- 1. $A \rightarrow B A \rightarrow B$
- 2. $A \rightarrow CA \rightarrow C$
- 3. $CD \rightarrow ECD \rightarrow E$
- 4. $CD \rightarrow GCD \rightarrow G$
- 5. $B \rightarrow EB \rightarrow E$

These are the functional dependencies we used to find the candidate key. you-said-9

divide them in 4 cases

ChatGPT said:

Let's divide the functional dependencies into four cases based on the roles of attributes (left-hand side and right-hand side) according to the rules you've specified:

case-1-attributes-that-are-not-in-any-functional-dependency

Case 1: Attributes that are not in any functional dependency

• These attributes do not appear in any functional dependency, either on the left-hand side (LHS) or right-hand side (RHS), and **must be in every key**.

In our set of functional dependencies:

 No attributes are missing from the functional dependencies, so no attribute falls into this category.

case-2-attributes-that-appear-only-on-the-right-hand-side-rhs-of-a-functional-dependency

Case 2: Attributes that appear only on the right-hand side (RHS) of a functional dependencyCase 2: Attributes that appear only on the right-hand side (RHS) of a functional dependency

• Attributes that appear only on the RHS of a functional dependency and **never on** the LHS cannot be part of a key.

From the given functional dependencies:

- BB appears on the RHS of $A \to BA \to B$ and $B \to EB \to E$.
- CC appears on the RHS of $A \to CA \to C$.
- EE appears on the RHS of $CD \to E$ CD $\to E$ and $B \to EB \to E$.
- GG appears on the RHS of $CD \to GCD \to G$.

Conclusion: The attributes BB, CC, EE, and GG cannot be part of a candidate key. case-3-attributes-that-appear-only-on-the-left-hand-side-lhs-of-a-functional-dependency

Case 3: Attributes that appear only on the left-hand side (LHS) of a functional dependencyCase 3: Attributes that appear only on the left-hand side (LHS) of a functional dependency

 Attributes that appear only on the LHS of functional dependencies and never on the RHS must be part of every key.

From the given functional dependencies:

- AA appears only on the LHS in $A \to BA \to B$ and $A \to CA \to C$.
 - Therefore, AA must be in every candidate key.

case-4-attributes-that-appear-on-both-sides-of-functional-dependencies

Case 4: Attributes that appear on both sides of functional dependenciesCase 4: Attributes that appear on both sides of functional dependencies

• Attributes that appear on both the LHS and RHS are **uncertain** (neither guaranteed nor excluded from being part of a key).

From the given functional dependencies:

• $D\mathbf{D}$ appears on the LHS of $CD \to E \, \mathrm{CD} \to \mathrm{E}$ and $CD \to G \, \mathrm{CD} \to \mathrm{G}$, and on the RHS in no dependency.

Thus, DD is an uncertain attribute and needs to be considered when checking combinations for candidate keys.

summary-of-divided-functional-dependencies

Summary of Divided Functional Dependencies:

- Case 1 (Not in any FD): None.
- Case 2 (Only on RHS): BB, CC, EE, GG.
- Case 3 (Only on LHS): AA.
- Case 4 (Appears on both sides): DD.

You've hit the Free plan limit for GPT-4o.

Responses will use another model until your limit resets after 11:08 PM.

Get Plus prompt-textarea

composer-background