



Sums of Reciprocals of Integers Missing a Given Digit

Author(s): Robert Baillie

Source: The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 86, No. 5 (May, 1979), pp. 372-374

Published by: Taylor & Francis, Ltd. on behalf of the Mathematical Association of America

Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/2321096

Accessed: 23-09-2024 18:26 UTC

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at https://about.jstor.org/terms



Taylor & Francis, Ltd., Mathematical Association of America are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The American Mathematical Monthly

- 2. T. J. I'A. Bromwich, An Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series, London, 1931.
- 3. Harold T. Davis, The Theory of Linear Operators from the Standpoint of Differential Equations of Infinite Order, Principia Press, Bloomington, Ind., 1936.
 - 4. A. Erdélyi, Asymptotic Expansions, Dover, New York, 1965.
 - 5. G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series, Oxford, 1949.
 - 6. Morris Kline, Mathematical Thought from Ancient to Modern Times, Oxford, New York, 1972.
 - 7. L. M. Milne-Thomson, The Calculus of Finite Differences, London, 1933.
 - 8. N. E. Nörlund, Leçons sur les Séries d'Interpolation, Paris, 1926.
 - 9. F. W. J. Olver, Asymptotics and Special Functions, Academic, New York, 1974.
 - 10. H. S. Wall, Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions, Van Nostrand, 1948.
 - 11. D. V. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton, 1941.
- 12. L. Euler, De seriebus divergentibus, Novi. Comm. Acad. Sci. Petrop., 5 (1754/55) 19-23, 205-237 = Opera Omnia (1) 14, 585-617. An English translation and paraphrase by E. J. Barbeau and P. J. Leah appears in Historia Mathematica, 3 (1976) 141-160.
- 13. L. Euler, Opera Postuma, Mathematica et Physica, 1 (ed. P. H. Fuss and N. Fuss) St. Petersburg, 1862, Six letters to N. Bernoulli, 519-549.
 - 14. C. I. Gerhardt, ed., G. W. Leibniz Mathematische Schriften 4, Olms, Hildesheim, New York, 1971.
 - 15. Judith V. Grabiner, Is mathematical truth time-dependent? this Monthly, 81 (1974) 354-365.
 - 16. G. H. Hardy, Note on a divergent series, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 37 (1941) 1-8.
- 17. Howard K. Hughes, On the analytical extension of functions defined by factorial series, Amer. J. Math., 53 (1931) 757-780.
 - 18. E. Laguerre, Sur l'intégrale $\int_{\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t}/t \, dt$, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 7 (1879) 72–81.
- 19. E. Laguerre, Sur la réduction en fractions continues d'une fonction qui satisfait une équation différentielle linéare du premier ordre dont les coefficients sont rationnels, Jour. de Math. (4), 1 (1885) 135-165.
- 20. C. N. Moore, Summability of series, this Monthly, 39 (1932) 62-71 = Selected papers on calculus (MAA, 1968) 333-341.
- 21. T. J. Stieltjes, Recherches sur quelques séries semi-convergentes. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3), 3 (1886) 201-258 = Oeuvres 2, 2-58.
- 22. T. J. Stieltjes, Recherche sur les fractions continues, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse, 8 (1894) J 1-122; 9 (1895) A 1-47=Oeuvres 2, 402-566.
- 23. John Tucciarone, The development of the theory of summable divergent series from 1880 to 1925, Arch. History Exact Sci., 10 (1973) 1-40.
- 24. A. P. Youschkevitch, The concept of function up to the middle of the 19th century. Arch. History Exact Sci., 16 (1976) 37-85.
- 25. W. T. Reid, A note on the Hamburger and Stieltjes moment problems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954) 521-525.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA M5S 1A1.

MATHEMATICAL NOTES

EDITED BY DEBORAH TEPPER HAIMO AND FRANKLIN TEPPER HAIMO

Material for this department should be sent to Deborah Tepper Haimo, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Missouri, St. Louis, MO 63121.

SUMS OF RECIPROCALS OF INTEGERS MISSING A GIVEN DIGIT

ROBERT BAILLIE

The harmonic series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n$ diverges. If we omit those terms for which n has, say, at least one "9" in its base 10 representation, then the remaining series converges [6]. In fact, this result holds for any base $b \ge 2$ and any digit m, $0 \le m \le b - 1$. (See [4, Theorem 144, p. 120].) Various

estimates for these sums are obtained in [1]-[7], although nowhere is a method discussed that easily produces accurate values of the sums.

This note gives a simple technique for accurately summing these series. We also give, to twenty decimal places, the values of the ten sums where the "missing digit" ranges from 0 through 9, base 10. (See Table 1.) This method easily generalizes to other bases.

Choose the missing digit m, $0 \le m \le 9$. Let S be the set of positive integers that have no digit equal to m, and let S_i be the set of integers in S which have exactly i digits. Define

$$s(i,j) = \sum_{x \in S_{i}} 1/x^{j} \qquad (j \ge 1).$$
 (1)

The sum we are interested in is

$$t_m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s(i, 1),$$
 (2)

the sum of the reciprocals of all positive integers missing the digit m.

Now t_m cannot be computed from (2) because the convergence is much too slow: the remainder after summing 10^{27} terms still exceeds one! We can, however, derive a recursion formula which gives s(i+1,j) from s(i,j+n), $n \ge 0$, and for small i, s(i,j+n) can be computed directly.

The recursion formula is based on the observation that

$$S_{i+1} = \bigcup_{x \in S_i} [\{10x, 10x + 1, \dots, 10x + 9\} \setminus \{10x + m\}].$$

Therefore,

$$s(i+1,j) = \sum_{x} \left[\sum_{k} (10x+k)^{-j} \right]$$
 (3)

where the outer sum extends over all x in S_i , and Σ' is over $k=0,1,\ldots,9, k\neq m$.

Let us make these definitions:

$$c(j,n) = (-1)^n {j+n-1 \choose n} \qquad (j>0, n \ge 0)$$

$$b_n = 0^n + 1^n + \dots + 9^n - m^n \qquad (n>0), b_0 = 9$$

$$a(j,n) = b_n c(j,n) / 10^{j+n}.$$

Next, rearrange (3) using the binomial theorem to expand $(10x + k)^{-j}$:

$$s(i+1,j) = \sum_{x} \left\{ (10x)^{-j} \sum_{k}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(j,n) (k/10x)^{n} \right] \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{x} \left\{ (10x)^{-j} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} c(j,n) (10x)^{-n} \right] \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{x} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(j,n) x^{-(j+n)} \right].$$

According to (1), we can write this last sum as

$$s(i+1,j) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(j,n)s(i,j+n).$$
 (4)

The needed s(i,j) for $i \le 4$ can be computed explicitly. (4) is then used with at most 10 terms to get s(i,1) for $5 \le i \le 30$. For $i \ge 31$, we use the estimate

$$\sum_{i=31}^{\infty} s(i,1) \sim 9 \cdot s(30,1).$$

This comes from using only the first term of (4). It can be shown that the round-off and truncation errors will not affect the twentieth decimal place of t_m .

It seems plausible that the t_m are irrational.

TABLE 1.

m	t_m			
0	23.10344	79094	20541	61603
1	16.17696	95281	23444	26657
2	19.25735	65328	08072	22453
3	20.56987	79509	61230	37107
4	21.32746	57995	90036	68663
5	21.83460	08122	96918	16340
6	22.20559	81595	56091	88416
7	22.49347	53117	05945	39817
8	22.72636	54026	79370	60283
9	22.92067	66192	64150	34816

References

- 1. R. Alexander, Remarks about the digits of integers, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 12 (1971) 239-241.
- 2. B. D. Craven, On digital distribution in some integer sequences, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 5 (1965) 325-330.
- 3. N. J. Fine, Solution to problem E 2204, this MONTHLY, 77 (1970) 1009-1010.
- 4. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Oxford University Press, 1965.
- 5. F. Irwin, A curious convergent series, this Monthly, 23 (1916) 149-152.
- 6. A. J. Kempner, A curious convergent series, this Monthly, 21 (1914) 48-50.
- 7. A. D. Wadhwa, An interesting subseries of the harmonic series, this MONTHLY, 82 (1975) 931-933.

COMPUTER-BASED EDUCATION RESEARCH LAB, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801.

A GENERALIZATION OF ZOLOTAREV'S THEOREM

PATRICK MORTON

In 1872 Zolotarev [4] published the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let p be an odd prime and let a be an integer not divisible by p. Let π_a be the permutation on the group of reduced residues mod p given by

$$\pi_a(k) \equiv ak \pmod{p}, k = 1, \dots, p-1.$$

Then π_a is an even or an odd permutation according as a is a quadratic residue or nonresidue mod p; in symbols

$$\operatorname{sgn} \pi_a = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right),$$

where sgn is the signum of the permutation and $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

Several proofs of this theorem have been given [1], [2], [3]. A group-theoretic proof can be constructed by considering the map $a \rightarrow \text{sgn } \pi_a$ as a homomorphism from the group of reduced residues onto the group $\{\pm 1\}$ and showing that the kernel is exactly the subgroup of squares mod p. This argument leads to the following generalization.

THEOREM 2. Let G be a finite group, and let G^+ be the subgroup of G generated by the squares in G. For a in G let π_a be the permutation on G given by

$$\pi_a(k) = ka, k \text{ in } G.$$

Then if the order of G is even and its 2-Sylow subgroups are cyclic,

The author is an NSF Predoctoral Fellow.