6.172 Final Project

Yinzhan Xu, Haoran Xu, Yuzhou Gu, Chengkai Zhang

 ${\bf Underlying} \ {\bf Assumption}:$

Underlying Assumption:

* Good AI makes similar moves.

Underlying Assumption:

- * Good AI makes similar moves.
- * Possible good moves for a given game state is limited.

Underlying Assumption:

- * Good AI makes similar moves.
- * Possible good moves for a given game state is limited.

Number of possible openings between two good Als are reasonably small.

Underlying Assumption:

- * Good AI makes similar moves.
- * Possible good moves for a given game state is limited.

Number of possible openings between two good Als are reasonably small.

Openbook!

- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
- * Training Set: about 39000 Scrimmage games.

- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
- * Training Set: about 39000 Scrimmage games.
- * Test Set: about 44000 Scrimmage games.

- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
- * Training Set: about 39000 Scrimmage games.
- * Test Set: about 44000 Scrimmage games.
- * Consider the first 5 rounds of game.

- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
- * Training Set: about 39000 Scrimmage games.
- * Test Set: about 44000 Scrimmage games.
- * Consider the first 5 rounds of game.
- * In Training Set, 782 openings occurred at least twice.

- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
- * Training Set: about 39000 Scrimmage games.
- * Test Set: about 44000 Scrimmage games.
- * Consider the first 5 rounds of game.
- * In Training Set, 782 openings occurred at least twice.
- * In Testing Set, 2/3 of the openings falls into the 782 openings.

Validating the idea on real-world data:

- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
- * Training Set: about 39000 Scrimmage games.
- * Test Set: about 44000 Scrimmage games.
- * Consider the first 5 rounds of game.
- * In Training Set, 782 openings occurred at least twice.
- * In Testing Set, 2/3 of the openings falls into the 782 openings.

Hits 2/3 of the games with only 782 records!

Openbook offers two main advantages:

Openbook offers two main advantages:

* We can search very deep for a good move in openbook.

Openbook offers two main advantages:

- * We can search very deep for a good move in openbook.
- * So for the first several moves, our choice is very optimized.

Openbook offers two main advantages:

- * We can search very deep for a good move in openbook.
- * So for the first several moves, our choice is very optimized.
- * And those moves take no time at all!

Openbook offers two main advantages:

- * We can search very deep for a good move in openbook.
- * So for the first several moves, our choice is very optimized.
- * And those moves take no time at all!

If the bots use default timing strategy:

Openbook offers two main advantages:

- * We can search very deep for a good move in openbook.
- * So for the first several moves, our choice is very optimized.
- * And those moves take no time at all!

If the bots use default timing strategy:

- * Hitting 5 rounds: 20s advantage in Regular, 8s advantage in Blitz.
- * Hitting 10 rounds: 38s advantage in Regular, 15s advantage in Blitz.

Generating popular openings:

* Used MySQL to manage data set for its convience and power, and easiness to interact with web applications.

- * Used MySQL to manage data set for its convience and power, and easiness to interact with web applications.
- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.

- * Used MySQL to manage data set for its convience and power, and easiness to interact with web applications.
- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
- * Extracted frequent openings, and store them into MySQL.

- * Used MySQL to manage data set for its convience and power, and easiness to interact with web applications.
- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
- * Extracted frequent openings, and store them into MySQL.
- * Search depth varies from 9 to 11 for each opening move.
- * Openings with higher # of occurences are calculated with deeper depth, for a possibly better move.

- * Used MySQL to manage data set for its convience and power, and easiness to interact with web applications.
- * Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
- * Extracted frequent openings, and store them into MySQL.
- * Search depth varies from 9 to 11 for each opening move.
- * Openings with higher # of occurences are calculated with deeper depth, for a possibly better move.

More than 100000 openings generated.

More than 100000 openings generated.

Impossible to calculate all of them with a single machine!

More than 100000 openings generated.

Impossible to calculate all of them with a single machine!

Distributed computing!

* LAMP (Linux+Apache+MySQL+PHP) web server to distribute down tasks and collect up results.

More than 100000 openings generated.

Impossible to calculate all of them with a single machine!

Distributed computing!

- * LAMP (Linux+Apache+MySQL+PHP) web server to distribute down tasks and collect up results.
- * Clients use WGET to interact with web server.

More than 100000 openings generated.

Impossible to calculate all of them with a single machine!

Distributed computing!

- * LAMP (Linux+Apache+MySQL+PHP) web server to distribute down tasks and collect up results.
- * Clients use WGET to interact with web server.
- * 150+ CPUs in Microsoft Azure.

More than 100000 openings generated.

Impossible to calculate all of them with a single machine!

Distributed computing!

- * LAMP (Linux+Apache+MySQL+PHP) web server to distribute down tasks and collect up results.
- * Clients use WGET to interact with web server.
- * 150+ CPUs in Microsoft Azure.
- * 15000+ CPU Hours in total.

Screenshot of our web server:

6172 Final Project

Below is current progress.

Depth	Total	Calculating	Completed
9	153857	28	49144
10	64502	290	54012
11	1553	13	1540

Original Version: 50% winrate against ReferencePlus.

Original Version: 50% winrate against ReferencePlus.

Openbook VS Original: 61% winrate.

Original Version: 50% winrate against ReferencePlus.

Openbook VS Original: 61% winrate.

However..

Original Version: 50% winrate against ReferencePlus.

Openbook VS Original: 61% winrate.

However..

Openbook VS ReferencePlus: only 45% winrate!

What might have happened?

What might have happened?

* The opening patterns of ReferencePlus are not captured in the data (at the time we capture data ReferencePlus is still not available).

What might have happened?

- * The opening patterns of ReferencePlus are not captured in the data (at the time we capture data ReferencePlus is still not available).
- * A deeper search doesn't gaurenteed a better move, but just gives a good move with higher probability. An unlucky bad move in the hotspot of openbook might actually degrade performance.

What might have happened?

- * The opening patterns of ReferencePlus are not captured in the data (at the time we capture data ReferencePlus is still not available).
- * A deeper search doesn't gaurenteed a better move, but just gives a good move with higher probability. An unlucky bad move in the hotspot of openbook might actually degrade performance.

Experiments shows both explanations are correct.

Addressing the first possibility:

Addressing the first possibility:

Addressing the first possibility:

Add the games played against ReferencePlus into Openbook!

* Before Boosting: 45% winrate.

Addressing the first possibility:

- * Before Boosting: 45% winrate.
- * After Round 1 Boosting (1500 games): 50% winrate.

Addressing the first possibility:

- * Before Boosting: 45% winrate.
- * After Round 1 Boosting (1500 games): 50% winrate.
- * After Round 2 Boosting (1500 games): 56% winrate.

Addressing the first possibility:

- * Before Boosting: 45% winrate.
- * After Round 1 Boosting (1500 games): 50% winrate.
- * After Round 2 Boosting (1500 games): 56% winrate.
- * After Round 3 Boosting (1500 games): 61% winrate.

Addressing the first possibility:

Add the games played against ReferencePlus into Openbook!

- * Before Boosting: 45% winrate.
- * After Round 1 Boosting (1500 games): 50% winrate.
- * After Round 2 Boosting (1500 games): 56% winrate.
- * After Round 3 Boosting (1500 games): 61% winrate.

Steady increase in winrate!

Addressing the second possibility:

Addressing the second possibility:

The bot has a largely different winrate between moving first (30%) and moving second (60%).

Addressing the second possibility:

The bot has a largely different winrate between moving first (30%) and moving second (60%).

* Might the opening move "h4g5" actually be a bad move?

Addressing the second possibility:

The bot has a largely different winrate between moving first (30%) and moving second (60%).

- * Might the opening move "h4g5" actually be a bad move?
- * Rotate the King in the first move!

Addressing the second possibility:

The bot has a largely different winrate between moving first (30%) and moving second (60%).

- * Might the opening move "h4g5" actually be a bad move?
- * Rotate the King in the first move!
- * Now the game is very similar to as if we were moving second.

Addressing the second possibility:

The bot has a largely different winrate between moving first (30%) and moving second (60%).

- * Might the opening move "h4g5" actually be a bad move?
- * Rotate the King in the first move!
- * Now the game is very similar to as if we were moving second.

Amazing winrate increase: from 45% to 60%!

Addressing the second possibility:

The bot has a largely different winrate between moving first (30%) and moving second (60%).

- * Might the opening move "h4g5" actually be a bad move?
- * Rotate the King in the first move!
- * Now the game is very similar to as if we were moving second.

Amazing winrate increase: from 45% to 60%!

Together with boosted openbook: 69% winrate against ReferencePlus!

remember to add in final result against refplus..