6.172 Final Project

Yinzhan Xu, Haoran Xu, Yuzhou Gu, Chengkai Zhang

Outline

Outline

Bottleneck Improvement - `scout_search`

`scout_search` takes 32.55% of the time and does the following:

* Get a list of possible moves
* Sort the moves
* Check each move in order, do a recursive search if *necessary*

Bottleneck Improvement - `scout_search`

* Hash table move from pre-evaluation and killer moves are returned with high probability

  $\Rightarrow$ check them first before generating move list

* A move is ignored if the node is quiescent and there is no victim

  $\Rightarrow$ conservatively predict number of victims, exclude moves at quiescent node with no victim from move list

* About half of the moves have sort key of 0

  $\Rightarrow$ move them to end of move list directly and exclude from sorting procedure

* Maintaining node count introduces true sharing

  $\Rightarrow$ remove counting

Outline

Motivation of Openbook

Underlying Assumption:

* Good AI makes similar moves.
* Possible good moves for a given game state is limited.

Number of possible openings between two good AIs are reasonably small.

Openbook!

Motivation of Openbook

Underlying Assumption:

* Good AI makes similar moves.
* Possible good moves for a given game state is limited.

Number of possible openings between two good AIs are reasonably small.

Openbook!

Justification of Openbook

Validating the idea on real-world data:

* Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
* Training Set: about 39000 games.
* Test Set: about 44000 games.
* Consider the first 5 rounds of game.
* In Training Set, 782 (2%) openings occurred at least twice.
* In Testing Set, 2/3 of the openings falls into the 782 openings.

Hits 2/3 of the games with only 782 records!

Justification of Openbook

Validating the idea on real-world data:

* Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
* Training Set: about 39000 games.
* Test Set: about 44000 games.
* Consider the first 5 rounds of game.
* In Training Set, 782 (2%) openings occurred at least twice.
* In Testing Set, 2/3 of the openings falls into the 782 openings.

Hits 2/3 of the games with only 782 records!

Justification of Openbook

Validating the idea on real-world data:

* Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
* Training Set: about 39000 games.
* Test Set: about 44000 games.
* Consider the first 5 rounds of game.
* In Training Set, 782 (2%) openings occurred at least twice.
* In Testing Set, 2/3 of the openings falls into the 782 openings.

Hits 2/3 of the games with only 782 records!

Justification of Openbook

Validating the idea on real-world data:

* Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
* Training Set: about 39000 games.
* Test Set: about 44000 games.
* Consider the first 5 rounds of game.
* In Training Set, 782 (2%) openings occurred at least twice.
* In Testing Set, 2/3 of the openings falls into the 782 openings.

Hits 2/3 of the games with only 782 records!

Advantage of Openbook

Openbook offers two main advantages:

* We can search very deep for a good move in openbook.

  So for the first several moves, our choice is very optimized.

* And those moves take no time at all!

If tested using default timing strategy:

* Hitting 5 rounds: 20s advantage in Regular, 8s advantage in Blitz.
* Hitting 10 rounds: 38s advantage in Regular, 15s advantage in Blitz.

Advantage of Openbook

Openbook offers two main advantages:

* We can search very deep for a good move in openbook.

  So for the first several moves, our choice is very optimized.

* And those moves take no time at all!

If tested using default timing strategy:

* Hitting 5 rounds: 20s advantage in Regular, 8s advantage in Blitz.
* Hitting 10 rounds: 38s advantage in Regular, 15s advantage in Blitz.

Calculating Openbook

Generating popular openings:

* Used MySQL to manage data set for its convenience and power, and easiness to interact with web applications.
* Downloaded the most recent 83000 games from Scrimmage.
* Extracted frequent openings, and stored them into MySQL.
* Search depth varied from 9 to 11 for each opening move.
* Openings with higher # of occurrences were calculated with deeper depth, for a possibly better move.

Calculating Openbook

More than 100000 openings generated.

Impossible to calculate all of them with a single machine!

Distributed computing!

* LAMP (Linux+Apache+MySQL+PHP) web server to distribute down tasks and collect up results.
* Clients use `wget` to interact with web server.
* 150+ CPUs in Microsoft Azure.
* 15000+ CPU Hours in total.

Calculating Openbook

More than 100000 openings generated.

Impossible to calculate all of them with a single machine!

Distributed computing!

* LAMP (Linux+Apache+MySQL+PHP) web server to distribute down tasks and collect up results.
* Clients use `wget to interact with web server.`
* `150+ CPUs in Microsoft Azure.`
* `15000+ CPU Hours in total.`

Calculating Openbook

Screenshot of our web server:

6172 Final Project

Below is current progress.

Depth	Total	Calculating	Completed
9	153857	28	49144
10	64502	290	54012
11	1553	13	1540

Openbook Test Results

Original Version: 50% winrate against ReferencePlus.

Openbook VS Original: 61% winrate.

However..

Openbook VS ReferencePlus: only 45% winrate!

Openbook Test Results

Original Version: 50% winrate against ReferencePlus.

Openbook VS Original: 61% winrate.

However..

Openbook VS ReferencePlus: only 45% winrate!

Openbook Test Analysis

What might have happened?

* The opening patterns of ReferencePlus are not captured in the data (at the time we capture data ReferencePlus is still not available).
* A deeper search doesn't guaranteed a better move, but just gives a good move with higher probability. An unlucky bad move in the hotspot of openbook might actually degrade performance.

Experiments shows both explanations are correct.

Boosting Openbook

Addressing the problem caused by missing opening patterns:

Add the games played against ReferencePlus into Openbook!

* Before Boosting: 45% winrate.
* After Round 1 Boosting (1500 games): 50% winrate.
* After Round 2 Boosting (1500 games): 56% winrate.
* After Round 3 Boosting (1500 games): 61% winrate.

Steady increase in winrate!

Boosting Openbook

Addressing the problem caused by popular bad move:

The bot has a largely different winrate between moving first (30%) and moving second (60%).

* Might the opening move "h4g5" actually be a bad move?
* Rotate the King in the first move!
* Now the game is very similar to as if we were moving second.

Amazing winrate increase: from 45% to 60%!

Together with boosted openbook: 69% winrate against ReferencePlus!

Openbook Summary

In the end, our openbook:

* Contains about 200000 game states arose from 140000 games.
* Almost always hits 6 rounds.
* With good probability hits 7 or 8 rounds.
* Can sometime even reach 10 rounds or more.

Openbook Final Results against Refplus

remember to add in final result against refplus..

Outline

Constant Optimization

* Use `uint64_t` to store cells that are lasered
* Use two bitmaps to store occupied positions, one for each color
* Change `ARR_SIZE` to 10
* Precompute and use constant tables to save repeated computation in `pcentral` and remove divisions
* Pack `victims_t` in `int16_t` since storing all victims is unnecessary
* Change the set in transposition table to be 4-way set-associative

Outline

Strategies without performance gains

* Closebook: rarely used
* Range tree instead of sorting in `scout_search`