

SMS Spam Classification Project

Task Objective

The objective of this project is to develop a robust and accurate machine learning model that classifies SMS messages as either spam or ham (legitimate). The classification is performed using a stacking ensemble method, combining multiple machine learning models to enhance prediction accuracy.

Approach

1. Dataset

The dataset used for this project is the SMS Spam Collection Dataset, which contains:

5,574 SMS messages tagged as either ham or spam.

The dataset was preprocessed to remove unnecessary columns and encode the labels for classification.

2. Methodology

Data Preprocessing:

Text Vectorization: The raw SMS text was converted into numerical representations using the TF-IDF (Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency) vectorizer, limited to the top 5000 features.

Label Encoding: The labels (ham and spam) were encoded into numerical values (0 for ham, 1 for spam).

Stacking Ensemble Technique:

To maximize classification performance, a stacking ensemble approach was employed:

Base Models:

Logistic Regression

Random Forest Classifier

Gradient Boosting Classifier

Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Meta Model:

Logistic Regression: Combines predictions from base models to make final classifications.

Model Training:

The dataset was split into 80% training and 20% testing sets using stratified sampling to preserve class distribution.

The stacking classifier was trained on the training data, leveraging cross-validation to ensure robustness.

Evaluation:

The model was evaluated on the test set using metrics such as:

Precision

Recall

F1-Score

Accuracy

A confusion matrix was generated to visualize classification performance.

3. Results

The model achieved the following metrics:

| Metric | Ham (Legitimate) | Spam | Overall |
|-----------|------------------|------|------------------|
| Precision | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.98 (Macro Avg) |
| Recall | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.96 (Macro Avg) |
| F1-Score | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.97 (Macro Avg) |
| Accuracy | - | - | 0.99 |

Confusion Matrix:

| | Predicted Ham | Predicted Spam |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| Actual Ham | 966 | 0 |
| Actual Spam | 12 | 137 |

4. Challenges Faced

Handling Class Imbalance: The dataset contained a significantly higher number of legitimate messages (ham) compared to spam, which required stratified sampling and robust evaluation to ensure fair performance.

Feature Engineering: Determining the optimal number of features for the TF-IDF vectorizer required experimentation to balance model complexity and performance.

Model Integration: Combining diverse base models using a stacking ensemble approach required careful parameter tuning and cross-validation.

5. Results Achieved

The stacking ensemble model successfully achieved:

99% accuracy on the test dataset.

High precision, recall, and F1-scores for both spam and ham classes.

A clear and interpretable confusion matrix highlighting the model's ability to correctly classify legitimate and spam messages.