

The grand reveal

- Questions on the "things to integrate" line: **PDEs**
 1. We know $\text{curl}(\text{grad } f) = \vec{0}$ but if $\text{curl } \vec{F} = \vec{0}$ does there exist f such that $\vec{\nabla} f = \vec{F}$
 2. We know $\text{div}(\text{curl } \vec{G}) = \vec{0}$ but if $\text{div } \vec{F} = \vec{0}$ does there exist \vec{G} such that $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{G} = \vec{F}$
- Answers to 1 and 2 are equivalent to the answers to the analogous questions 1 and 2
- Questions on the "places to integrate" line: **Topology**
 1. We know that $\partial(\partial S) = \emptyset$ but if $\partial C = \emptyset$ does there exist S with $\partial S = C$?
 2. We know that $\partial(\partial E) = \emptyset$ but if $\partial S = \emptyset$ does there exist E with $\partial E = S$?
- All of this begs the question: is there some unifying principal? YES!! TO see it requires us to translate this all into a different and better language. **Differential Forms**

Differential Forms

- Let x_1, \dots, x_n be coordinate on \mathbb{R}^n . Introduce symbols dx_1, \dots, dx_n and the "wedge product" a satisfying the one rule $dx_i \wedge dx_j = -dx_j \wedge dx_i$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set
- A differential k -form on U is a formal linear combination of k -fold wedge products of the dx_i 's where the coefficients are functions on U
- For example let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and let x, y, z be the usual coordinates on \mathbb{R}^3 . Then for example 1-forms are given by expressions of the form $Pdx + Qdy + Rdz$ where P, Q, R are functions on U .
- Since our rules tell us $dx \wedge dy = -dy \wedge dx$, etc we find all 2-forms can be written in the form $Pdy \wedge dz + Qdz \wedge dx + Rdx \wedge dy$ note the rule forces $dx \wedge dx = 0, dy \wedge dy = 0, \dots$
- Similarly, since $dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = -dx \wedge dz \wedge dy = dz \wedge dx \wedge dy$ etc... all 3-forms can be written as $f(x, y, z)dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$. f is a function on U . There can't be any k -forms for $k \geq 4$ and 0-forms are just functions. We denote the set of k -forms on U by $\Omega^k(u)$
 - $f(x, y, z) \quad \Omega^0 \longleftrightarrow \text{functions } f$
 - $Pdx + Qdy + Rdz \quad \Omega^1(u) \longleftrightarrow \text{vector fields } \langle P, Q, R \rangle$
 - $Pdy \wedge dz + Qdz \wedge dx + Rdx \wedge dy \quad \Omega^2(u) \longleftrightarrow \text{Vector fields } \langle P, Q, R \rangle$
 - $f dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \quad \Omega^3(u) \longleftrightarrow \text{functions } f$
- Wedge product extends to forms $\wedge : \Omega^k(u) \times \Omega^l(u) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k+l}$ you just multiply, expand, then apply the rule

≡ Example

$$\begin{aligned} & (F_1 dx + F_2 dy + F_3 dz) \wedge (G_1 dx + G_2 dy + G_3 dz) \\ &= F_1 G_1 dx \wedge dx + F_1 G_2 dx \wedge dy + F_1 G_3 dx \wedge dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +F_2G_1dy \wedge dx + F_2G_2dy \wedge dy + F_2G_3dy \wedge dz \\
& +F_3G_1dz \wedge dx + F_3G_2dz \wedge dy + F_3G_3dz \wedge dz \\
& = (F_2G_3 - F_3G_2)dy \wedge dz + (F_3G_1 - F_1G_3)dz \wedge dx + (F_1G_2 - F_2G_1)dx \wedge dy \leftarrow \\
& < F_1, F_2, F_3 > \times < G_1, G_2, G_3 >
\end{aligned}$$

- So $\wedge : \Omega^1(u) \times \Omega^1(u) \rightarrow \Omega^2(u)$ corresponds to the cross-product of vector fields under our dictionary

≡ Example

$$\begin{aligned}
& (F_1dx + F_2dy + F_3dz) \wedge (G_1dy \wedge dz + G_2dz \wedge dx + G_3dx \wedge dz) \\
& = \dots \\
& = (F_1G_1 + F_2G_2 + F_3G_3)dx \wedge dy \wedge dz
\end{aligned}$$

- So $\wedge : \Omega^1(u) \times \Omega^2(u) \rightarrow \Omega^3(u)$ corresponds to dot product of vector fields under our dictionary
- In general, if $w \in \Omega^k(u)$ and $n \in \Omega^l(u)$ $w \wedge n = (-1)^k n \wedge w$

The One Derivative to Rule Them All

- We now define the **One Derivative to Rule Them All**: $d : \Omega^k(u) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(u)$ for $k = 0$ we define $df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}dz$ for all other k , apply d to each coefficient and then use wedge product to expand

≡ Example

$$\begin{aligned}
& w = Pdx + Qdy + Rdz \text{ then } dw = dP \wedge dx + dQ \wedge dy + dR \wedge dz \\
& = (P_xdx + P_ydy + P_zdz) \wedge dx \\
& + (Q_xdx + Q_ydy + Q_zdz) \wedge dy \\
& + (R_xdx + R_ydy + R_zdz) \wedge dz \\
& = (R_y - Q_z)dy \wedge dz + (P_z - R_x)dz \wedge dx + (Q_x - P_y)dx \wedge dy
\end{aligned}$$

- So $d : \Omega^1(u) \rightarrow \Omega^2(u)$ corresponds to curl under our dictionary
- Similarly $d(Pdy \wedge dz + Qdz \wedge dx + Rdx \wedge dy) = (P_x + Q_y + R_z)dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$ so $d : \Omega^2(u) \rightarrow \Omega^3(u)$ corresponds to div under our dictionary
- $\Omega^0 \rightarrow \Omega^1(u) \rightarrow \Omega^2(u) \rightarrow \Omega^3(u)$
- functions \rightarrow (grad) vector fields \rightarrow (curl) vector fields \rightarrow (div) functions
- In general we have $d^2 = 0$
- We also have $\alpha \in \Omega^k(u)$ then $d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (d\alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge d\beta$

≡ Example

α is a 0-form, f is a function

β is a 1-form, \vec{F} is a vector field

$$d(\alpha \wedge \beta), (\text{curl}(f\vec{F})) = d\alpha \wedge \beta(\vec{\nabla} f \times \vec{F}) + \alpha \wedge d\beta(f\text{curl}\vec{F})$$

Example

α is a 1-form, \vec{F} is a function

β is a 1-form, \vec{G} is a vector field

$$d(\alpha \wedge \beta), (\text{div}(\vec{F} \times \vec{G})) = d\alpha \wedge \beta(\text{curl}\vec{F} \cdot \vec{G}) - \alpha \wedge d\beta(\vec{F} \cdot \text{curl}\vec{G})$$

Excursion into \mathbb{R}^4 . Maxwell's equations

- $\vec{B} = \langle B_1, B_2, B_3 \rangle$ magnetic field
- $\vec{E} = \langle E_1, E_2, E_3 \rangle$ electric field
- $\vec{J} = \langle j_1, j_2, j_3 \rangle$ current density
- ρ
- $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = \vec{0} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \vec{J}$
- ϵ_0, μ_0, c are electric constant, magnetic constant, speed of light, we can use units where these are all 1
- This formulation makes it look like \vec{E} and \vec{B} are 2 different things, but under a Lorentz transformation, \vec{E} and \vec{B} get scrambled. They are really two components of a single two form
- \mathbb{R}^4 has coordinates x, y, z, t the electromagnetic 2-form F is given by

$$F = B_1 dy \wedge dz + B_2 dz \wedge dx + B_3 dx \wedge dy + (E_1 dx + E_2 dy + E_3 dz) \wedge dt$$
- $J = \rho dx \wedge dy \wedge dz - (j_1 dy \wedge dz + j_2 dz \wedge dx + j_3 dx \wedge dy) \wedge dt$ current 3-form
- $F \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^4) \quad J \in \Omega^3(\mathbb{R}^4)$
- **Hodge star operator** $\star : \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^4) \longrightarrow \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$
 - For any coordinate 2-form α , define $\star\alpha$ to be the coordinate 2-form such that

$$\alpha \wedge \star\alpha = dt \wedge dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$
 - $\star(dx \wedge dy) = dt \wedge dz$
 - $\star(dy \wedge dz) = dt \wedge dx$
 - $\star(dz \wedge dx) = dt \wedge dy$
 - $\star(dx \wedge dt) = dy \wedge dz$
 - $\star(dy \wedge dt) = dz \wedge dx$
 - $\star(dz \wedge dt) = dx \wedge dy$
 - $\circ \wedge \circ$
- Maxwell's equations: $dF = 0 \quad d(\star F) = J$

- Note: if the domain is \mathbb{R}^4 , then $dF = 0 \Rightarrow \exists A \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^4)$ such that $F = dA$. A is called the electromagnetic gauge field. It is the fundamental object. It is a 1-form, unique up to a gauge transformation $A \mapsto A + df$ where f is a function
- Note $d^2 = 0 \Rightarrow dJ = 0$ the so called continuity equation
- The above description allows for a coordinate free description. Very important in understanding the symmetries of the equations.

Integration, change of variables, (orientation)

- A k -form can be integrated on a k -dimensional (oriented) manifold
- We've already seen the integral of a 1-form over a 1-manifold C
- (i.e. a curve): $\int_C Pdx + Qdy + Rdz$ this was one of our ways of writing a work integral
- Also the integral of a 3-form $f dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$ over a 3-manifold E (a.k.a a solid) is just the usual triple integral $\int \int \int_E f dx dy dz$
- A 0-manifold is a collection of points p_1, \dots, p_k (orientation associates a sign to each point)
- The integral of a 0-form f over $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is a (signal) sum: $\sum_{i=1}^k \pm f(p_i)$
- Consider a surface S and a 2-form $w = Pdy \wedge dz + Qdz \wedge dx + Rdx \wedge dy$. To define the integral $\int \int_S w$, we use the chain rule to write the 2-form in terms of the variables in a parameterization of S
- Let $\vec{r}(u, v) = \langle x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v) \rangle$, $(u, v) \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ then $dx = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} du + \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} dv$, $dy = \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} du + \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} dv$, $dz = \frac{\partial z}{\partial u} du + \frac{\partial z}{\partial v} dv$ so:
 - $dy \wedge dz = (y_u du + y_v dv) \wedge (z_u du + z_v dv) = (y_u z_v - y_v z_u) du \wedge dv$
 - $dz \wedge dx = (z_u x_v - z_v x_u) du \wedge dv$
 - $dx \wedge dy = (x_u y_v - x_v y_u) du \wedge dv$
 - and so

$$Pdy \wedge dz + Qdz \wedge dx + Rdx \wedge dy = \{P(y_u z_v - y_v z_u) + Q(z_u x_v - z_v x_u) + R(x_u y_v - x_v y_u)\} \langle P, Q, R \rangle \cdot (\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v)$$
- Thus $\int \int_S Pdy \wedge dz + Qdz \wedge dx + Rdx \wedge dy = \int \int_D \langle P, Q, R \rangle \cdot (\vec{r}_u \times \vec{r}_v) du dv$ which was exactly our notion of flux
- The way we just defined integration of 2-forms over surfaces basically works in general: we can define integration of n -forms over n -manifolds in the same way.
- **Generalized Stoke's Theorem:** Suppose $w \in \Omega^{k-1}(u)$ and M is a k -manifold then $\int_M dw = \int_{\partial M} w$
 - $\Omega^0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1(u) \longrightarrow \Omega^2(u) \longrightarrow \Omega^3(u)$
 - functions \longrightarrow (grad) vector fields \longrightarrow (curl) vector fields \longrightarrow (div) functions
 - points \longleftarrow curves \longleftarrow surfaces \longleftarrow solids

- |Sums (FTLI)| line integrals (Stoke's theorem)| flux integrals (divergence theorem) | triple integrals
- A differential form $w \in \Omega^k(u)$ is called closed if $dw = 0$. It is called exact if there is some $\alpha \in \Omega^{k-1}(u)$ such that $w = d\alpha$
- What are all the closed k -forms? In other words, what are all the solutions the equation $dw = 0$ (really a system of PDEs). There are of course the "trivial" solutions: pick any $k - 1$ form α and let $w = d\alpha$. The non-trivial solutions are given by closed k -form which are not exact. (For $k = 1$ this is equivalent to asking for a vector field \vec{F} such that $\text{curl}\vec{F} = \vec{0}$ but \vec{F} is not conservative)
- The k -th DeRham cohomology can be thought of the space of solutions to PDE $dw = 0$ modulo the space of trivial solutions: $H_{DR}^k(u) = \frac{\{\text{closed } k\text{-forms}\}}{\{\text{exact } k\text{-forms}\}}$
- A k -manifold M in U is closed if $\partial M = \emptyset$. A closed k -manifold M is called a boundary if there is a $(k + 1)$ -manifold E such that $\partial E = M$. the k -th homology of U is $H_k(u) = \frac{\{\text{closed } k\text{-manifolds in } U\}}{\{\text{boundaries}\}}$ To fully make sense of this quotient, we need to make these sets into vector spaces: we do this by taking formal sums of manifolds
- For example $H_1(u) = 0$ if every loop bounds a disk. If $H_1(u) \neq 0$ then U is not simply connected
- The Derham theorem: $H_{DR}^k(u) \cong H_k(u)$ Integration is the connection between forms (H_{DR}^k) and manifolds (H_k) Stoke's theorem makes this work