

Transfer Operators

(Mini-courses at Suzhou University)

Ajorda Jiao

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction to Transfer Operators | 3 |
| 1.1 | Definitions | 3 |
| 1.2 | The Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius theorem | 5 |
| 1.3 | The Lasota-Yorke inequality | 6 |
| 1.4 | Quasi-compactness | 8 |
| 1.5 | The Spectral gap | 10 |
| 1.6 | The Hilbert metric | 11 |
| 1.7 | Hyperbolic cases | 13 |
| 2 | Positive Transfer Operators with Dini Continuous Potentials | 14 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 14 |
| 2.2 | The Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius theorem | 16 |
| 2.3 | A generalized RPFT of the Dini case | 19 |
| 2.4 | A probability point of view | 21 |

1 Introduction to Transfer Operators

Notes of a mini course at Suzhou University, taught by Huyi Hu.

§1.1 Definitions

Setting

- X compact metric space, \mathcal{B} the Borel σ -algebra, ν a probability measure on (X, \mathcal{B}) .
- $T : (X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B})$ measurable.
- \mathcal{B} a Banach space consisting of functions defined on X . Let \mathcal{B}^* be the dual space of \mathcal{B} , where for $g \in \mathcal{B}^*$, we can write

$$\langle g, f \rangle = \int f \cdot g d\nu, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

ν is called a **reference measure**.

Example 1.1.1

1. $\mathcal{B} = L^p(X, \nu)$ and $\mathcal{B}^* = L^q(X, \nu)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.
2. $\mathcal{B} = C(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}^* = \{\text{signed measures on } X\}$.
3. $\mathcal{B} = H^s(X)$ and $\mathcal{B}^* = H^{-s}(X)$, the Sobolev spaces.

Definition 1.1.2. The **Koopman operator** $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}f = f \circ T, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Definition 1.1.3. The **(Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle) transfer operator** $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ is the dual operator of \mathcal{F} , that is, for any $g \in \mathcal{B}^*$,

$$\langle \mathcal{L}g, f \rangle = \langle g, \mathcal{F}f \rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Annotation 1.1.4 We can always think transfer operators acting on the measure space. Even though a function we should view as a density with respect to the reference measure.

Proposition 1.1.5

1. \mathcal{L} is a linear operator.
2. \mathcal{L} preserves integral: $\int (\mathcal{L}f) d\nu = \int f d\nu$.
3. \mathcal{L} is a contraction, that is, $\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^1} \leq 1$.
4. \mathcal{L} is a positive operator.
5. \mathcal{L}^k is a transfer operator associated to T^k .

Note that $L^\infty(X, \nu)^* \not\subseteq L^1(X, \nu)$, then if we want to define the transfer operator on L^1 , we need to check whether it is well-defined.

Lemma 1.1.6

Suppose T is nonsingular with respect to ν , i.e. $\forall E \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\nu(E) = 0, \nu(T^{-1}E) = 0$. Then $\forall f \in L^1(X, \nu), \mathcal{L}f \in L^1(X, \nu)$.

Proof. For every $E \in \mathcal{B}$, let

$$\mu(E) = \int_E \mathcal{L}f d\nu = \int_{T^{-1}E} f d\nu.$$

Because T is nonsingular, we have μ is absolutely continuous with respect to ν . Then μ admits a density, that is, $\mathcal{L}f \in L^1(X, \nu)$. \square

Proposition 1.1.7

For any nonnegative $h \in L^1(X, \nu)$, $\mathcal{L}h = h$ iff the measure $d\mu = h d\nu$ is T -invariant. In particular, $\mathcal{L}c = c$ for any nonzero constant c iff ν is T -invariant.

Proposition 1.1.8

Assume for ν -a.e. $x \in X$, there exists $E \in \mathcal{B}$ with $x \in E, \nu(E) < \infty$ and $T^{-1}E = \bigcup_{i=1}^N E_i$, $1 \leq N \leq \infty$, such that $\{E_i\}$ are pairwise disjoint and $T|_{E_i}$ is one-one onto E , and $\frac{d(\nu \circ T)}{d\nu}$ exists and not equal to 0. Then for every $f \in L^1(X, \nu)$,

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-1}x} f(y) \frac{1}{\frac{d(\nu \circ T)}{d\nu}(y)}$$

for ν -a.e. $x \in X$.

Remark 1.1.9 — If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and ν is the Lebesgue measure, and T is piecewise differentiable. By Sard's theorem, the Jacobian

$$|\det D_y T| \neq 0, \quad \nu - \text{a.e. } x \in X, y \in T^{-1}x.$$

So,

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-1}x} f(y) \frac{1}{|\det D_y T|}$$

is well-defined. In particular, if T is injective, then

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = f(T^{-1}x) \frac{1}{|\det D_{T^{-1}x} T|}.$$

Definition 1.1.10. Given a **potential function** $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the **transfer operator** \mathcal{L}_ϕ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi f(x) = \sum_{y \in T^{-1}x} e^{\phi(y)} f(y).$$

Remark 1.1.11 — If we take $\phi(x) = -\log \frac{d(\nu \circ T)}{d\nu}(x)$, then \mathcal{L}_ϕ coincides with the earlier definition of transfer operators.

Lemma 1.1.12

Suppose X is a compact metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous. For every $\phi \in C(X)$, let $\mathcal{L}_\phi : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ be the transfer operator and $\mathcal{L}_\phi^* : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ be the dual. Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\phi^* \nu = \lambda \nu$.

Suppose $\mathcal{L}_\phi^* \nu = \lambda \nu$. Then $\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\phi$ is the transfer operator \mathcal{L} defined earlier for (X, ν) . In fact, for every $f, g \in C(X)$,

$$\int \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\phi g \right) \cdot f d\nu = \int \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\phi (g \cdot f \circ T) d\nu = \int \mathcal{L} f \cdot g d\nu.$$

Definition 1.1.13. The measure ν satisfying $\mathcal{L}_\phi^* \nu = \lambda \nu$ is called a **conformal measure** for \mathcal{L}_ϕ .

If we assume that $\exists \nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\phi^* \nu = \lambda \nu$, we further assume that $\exists h \in L^1(\nu)$ with $h \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\phi h = \lambda h$. Then we get $d\mu = h d\nu$ is a T -invariant measure. This is because for every $f \in C(X)$,

$$\langle h\nu, f \circ T \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_\phi^* \nu, h(f \circ T) \rangle = \langle \nu, \mathcal{L}(h(f \circ T)) \rangle = \langle \nu, (\mathcal{L}h)f \rangle = \langle h\nu, f \rangle.$$

At this time, we define

$$\psi(x) = \phi(x) + \log h(x) - \log h(Tx) - \log \lambda,$$

then

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi g(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda h(x)} \mathcal{L}_\phi(hg)(x).$$

In particular, if we take $g = 1$, then we get $\mathcal{L}_\psi 1 = 1$. Let $d\mu = h d\nu$, we also have $\mathcal{L}_\psi^* \mu = \mu$.

Definition 1.1.14. \mathcal{L}_ψ is called the **normalized transfer operator** of \mathcal{L}_ϕ .

§1.2 The Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius theorem

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold. A map $T : M \rightarrow M$ is called **expanding** if there exists $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $x, y \in M$ close enough,

$$d(x, y) \geq \rho^{-1} d(Tx, Ty).$$

Notation 1.2.1. $C^\alpha, C^{1+\alpha}$: α -Hölder (Df is α -Hölder, resp) continuous functions/maps.

Theorem 1.2.2 (Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius Theorem)

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ expanding map and $\phi \in C^\alpha$. Then $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $h \in C^\alpha$ with $h > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi^* \nu = \lambda \nu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\phi h = \lambda h, \quad \int h d\nu = 1.$$

Consequently, the measure μ given by $d\mu = h d\nu$ is invariant.

Sketch of the proof. Let ν be the fixed point of the map

$$\mu \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{L}}_\phi^* \mu = \frac{\mathcal{L}_\phi^* \mu}{\|\mathcal{L}_\phi^* \mu\|},$$

this shows the existence of ν and λ . Denote $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_\phi = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{L}_\phi$, take the space

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_J = \left\{ f \in C^\alpha : f > 0, \int f d\nu = 1, \frac{f(x)}{f(y)} \leq e^{Jd(x,y)^\alpha}, \forall x, y \in M \right\},$$

where J is fixed constant. Then \mathcal{H} is compact by Arzela-Ascoli. Besides, $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_\phi \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}$ for J large enough. By Schauder fixed point theorem, \mathcal{L}_ϕ has a positive eigenfunction. \square

Remark 1.2.3 — The invariant measure μ is a Gibbs measure and an equilibrium of ϕ . That is,

$$P(T, \phi) = h_\mu(T) + \int \phi d\mu.$$

Also, the system has exponential decay of correlations with respect to μ . (See §1.5, §1.6)

Remark 1.2.4 — If $\phi = -\log |\det D_x T|$, then ν is the Lebesgue measure and $d\mu = h d\nu$ is the absolutely continuous invariant measure.

Remark 1.2.5 — The same arguments still apply on invariant subsets $S \subset M$ if T has a Markov partition on S .

Remark 1.2.6 — Since $\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^1(\nu)} \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{L}h = h$ means that 1 is the largest eigenvalue in $L^1(\nu)$. It can also be proved that:

1. If T is topologically transitive, then μ is ergodic and 1 is simple.
2. If T is topologically mixing, then μ is mixing and 1 is the unique eigenvalue on \mathbb{S}^1 .

§1.3 The Lasota-Yorke inequality

Let $B_w = L^1(X, \nu)$ and denote $\|\cdot\|_w = \|\cdot\|_{B_w} = \|\cdot\|_{L^1(\nu)}$ be a weaker norm. Suppose there is a stronger norm $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $L^1(X, \nu)$, and assume that

$$\mathcal{B} := \{f \in B_w : \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty\}$$

forms a Banach space. We assume that \mathcal{B} satisfying the following assumptions.

Assumption (Compactness). The inclusion $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow B_w$ is compact, that is, the unit ball in \mathcal{B} is compact in B_w .

Assumption (Lower semicontinuity). For any sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ with $f_n \rightarrow f$ ν -a.e.,

$$\|f\| \leq \liminf_n \|f_n\|.$$

Assumption (Openness). For any nonnegative function $f \in \mathcal{B}$, the set $\{f > 0\}$ is almost open with respect to the reference measure.

Definition 1.3.1. A set S is **almost open** w.r.t. ν if \exists open set $U \supset S$ such that $\nu(U \setminus S) = 0$.

Example 1.3.2

1. \mathcal{B} is the space of α -Hölder continuous functions with the norm

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_{L^1} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^\alpha}.$$

2. \mathcal{B} is the space of C^1 functions with the norm

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_{L^1} + \|Df\|.$$

3. $X = I = [0, 1]$, \mathcal{B} is the space of functions with bounded variation. Take the norm

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_{L^1} + \bigvee_0^1 f.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_w = L^1(X, \nu)$ and \mathcal{L} be a transfer operator w.r.t. a reference measure ν .

Theorem 1.3.3

Suppose there exists a Banach space \mathcal{B} satisfying the **Compactness** assumption. And there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $B > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{L}f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \theta \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} + B \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_w}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (\text{LY})$$

Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{B}_w$ such that $\mathcal{L}h = h$. Further, if \mathcal{B} satisfies **Lower semicontinuity** assumption, then $h \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 1.3.4. The inequality (LY) is called the **Lasota-Yorke inequality**.

Proof. By (LY), inductively,

$$\|\mathcal{L}^n f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \theta^n \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} + B \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta^i \right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_w}.$$

Hence, $\{\mathcal{L}^n f\}$ is bounded in \mathcal{B} . Let

$$f_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{L}^i f,$$

then there exists $h \in \mathcal{B}_w$ which is a limit point of $\{f_n\}$ in \mathcal{B}_w . Then $\mathcal{L}h = h$. Furthermore, there exists a sequence in $\{f_n\}$ converges to h ν -a.e.. Then if \mathcal{B} satisfies **Lower semicontinuity** assumption, $h \in \mathcal{B}$. \square

Another form of Lasota-Yorke inequality used frequently is

$$\|\mathcal{L}^n f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq A\theta^n \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} + B \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_w}, \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $A, B > 0$ are constants.

Application

Recall the BV space we have mentioned in example 1.3.2, we can check that \mathcal{B} satisfies the assumptions of **Compactness** and **Lower semicontinuity**.

Let $T : I \rightarrow I$ be a piecewise C^2 map, such that $\exists S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset I$ with:

- (i) $\Delta := \inf_{x \in I \setminus S} |T'(x)| > 2$,
- (ii) $\sup_{x \in I \setminus S} \frac{|T''(x)|}{|T'(x)|^2} < K < \infty$.

Let ν be the Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 1.3.5

Then T has an absolutely continuous invariant measure with $\frac{d\mu}{d\nu} \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Take $\theta = 2/\Delta$, $B = 2K + 2c$ where $c = \min \{\nu(T([x_{i-1}, x_i]))\}$, we can show that

$$\bigvee_0^1 \mathcal{L}f \leq \theta \bigvee_0^1 f + B \int_0^1 |f| d\nu.$$

Hence \mathcal{L} satisfies (LY), the statement follows. \square

§1.4 Quasi-compactness

Let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_w be Banach spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_w$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{B}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_w$ be an operator with $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}_w \subset \mathcal{B}_w$. Suppose that

- (i) For $\{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ with $\{x_n\} \leq 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|_w = 0$, implies $x \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|x\| \leq 1$.
- (ii) $\exists H > 0$ such that $\|\mathcal{P}^n\|_w \leq H, \forall n \geq 0$.
- (iii) $\exists \theta \in (0, 1), B > 0$ such that $\|\mathcal{P}f\| \leq \theta \|f\| + B \|f\|_w, \forall f \in \mathcal{B}$.
- (iv) The map $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_w$ is compact, that is, \mathcal{P} maps bounded sets to compact sets.

Theorem 1.4.1 (Ionescu-Tulcea and Marinescu's Theorem)

Then \mathcal{P} is quasi-compact in \mathcal{B} , and $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{B}}) \leq \theta$. In particular,

1. the operator $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ has finitely many number of eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$ of modulus 1 of finitely multiplicity;
2. there is a decomposition of \mathcal{P} into

$$\mathcal{P} = \sum_{i=0}^p \lambda_i \pi_i + \mathcal{Q},$$

where π_i are projection to the eigenspace of λ_i and \mathcal{Q} is a bounded operator such that $\mathcal{Q}\pi_i = \pi_i\mathcal{Q} = 0, \pi_i^2 = \pi_i, \pi_i\pi_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\rho(\mathcal{Q}) < 1$.

Remark 1.4.2 — The inequality $\|\mathcal{P}f\| \leq \theta\|f\| + B\|f\|_w$ was used by W.Doeblin and R.Fortet (1937) and is called the **Doeblin-Fortet inequality**.

Remark 1.4.3 — Lasota and Yorke (1973) obtained the inequality for the transfer operators of piecewise expanding maps on the unit interval with the Banach space $\mathcal{B} = BV$.

Sketch of the proof. We have $\|\mathcal{P}^n f\| \leq \theta^n \|f\| + B(1 - \theta)^{-1} \|f\|_w$. So $\|\mathcal{P}^n\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \|\mathcal{P}^n\|_w$ are uniformly bounded and hence $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \leq 1$. Let λ be an eigenvalue with module 1, take

$$\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \|x\|_w \leq 1, \mathcal{P}x = \lambda x\}.$$

Then \mathcal{B}_λ is bounded in \mathcal{B} and hence compact in \mathcal{B}_w . Therefore, \mathcal{B}_λ is finitely dimensional.

It takes some work to prove that there are only finite number of eigenvalues on \mathbb{S}^1 , we just accept this conclusion. Then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$, take

$$\pi_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \mathcal{P}^k.$$

The statement follows. □

Definition 1.4.4. An operator \mathcal{P} on a Banach space \mathcal{B} is called **quasi-compact** if there exists $\theta \in [0, \rho(\mathcal{P}))$ and closed subspaces F and H that satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{B} = F \oplus H$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{P}F \subset F$ and $0 < \dim F < \infty$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{P}H \subset H$ and $\rho(\mathcal{P}|_H) \leq \theta$.

Assumption (Assumption P). Suppose $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{B}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_w$ is an operator satisfying the following:

- (i) There exists $H > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_w, n \in \mathbb{N}, \|\mathcal{P}^n f\|_w \leq H \|f\|$.
- (ii) There exists $\theta \in (0, 1), A, B > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{B}, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\mathcal{P}^n f\| \leq A\theta^n \|f\| + B \|f\|_w.$$

Theorem 1.4.5

Suppose $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{B}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_w$ is an operator of a Banach space \mathcal{B}_w . Assume there is a Banach space $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}_w$ with satisfies the assumptions of **Compactness**, **Lower semicontinuity** and **Openness**. Besides, $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{P} satisfies the assumption above. Then $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is quasi-compact and $\rho(\mathcal{P}|_H) \leq \theta$.

Recall a spectral decomposition of an Axiom A system.

Theorem 1.4.6

Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be an Axiom A diffeomorphism, and $\Omega(f)$ be the non wandering set. One can write $\Omega(f) = \Omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \Omega_s$, where the Ω_i are pairwise disjoint closed sets, such that

- (i) $f(\Omega_i) = \Omega_i$ and $f|_{\Omega_i}$ is topologically transitive.
- (ii) $\Omega_i = X_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup X_{i,n_i}$ with $X_{i,j}$ are pairwise disjoint closed sets, $f(X_{i,j}) = X_{i,j}$ and $f^{n_i}|_{X_{i,j}}$ is topologically mixing.

Theorem 1.4.7

Suppose \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_w satisfy the assumptions of **Compactness**, **Lower semicontinuity** and **Openness**, and the transfer operator \mathcal{L} satisfies **Assumption P**. Then

1. T has a finite number of ergodic absolutely continuous invariant measures μ_1, \dots, μ_n with density functions $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathcal{B}$, respectively.
2. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a finite collection of disjoint sets $\{\Lambda_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{n_i}$ such that
 - (i) $d\mu_i = h_i d\nu$, and $\{h_i > 0\} = \Lambda_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_{i,n_i}$;
 - (ii) for $j = 1, \dots, n_i$, $\mathcal{L}h_{i,j} = h_{i,j+1}$, where $h_{i,j} = h_i \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_{i,j}}$;
 - (iii) for $j = 1, \dots, n_i$, $T\Lambda_{i,j} = \Lambda_{i,j+1}$, and $(\Lambda_{i,j}, T^{n_i}|_{\Lambda_{i,j}}, h_{i,j} d\nu)$ is exact, and therefore is mixing.

Sketch of the proof. A fact shows that all the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} on \mathbb{S}^1 are roots of unity. Take $k > 0$ such that $\lambda_i = 1$ for every eigenvalue $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Then 1 is the only eigenvalue of \mathcal{L}^k on \mathbb{S}^1 . Write $\mathcal{L}^k = \pi + \mathcal{Q}^k$ where π is the projection to the eigenspace of eigenvalue 1. Denote $\mathcal{E} = \pi(\mathcal{B})$, $\mathcal{E}_+ = \{h \in \pi(\mathcal{B}) : h \geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_+(1) = \{h \in \mathcal{E}_+ : \int h d\nu = 1\}$. \mathcal{E}_+ is convex.

For every distinct extreme points h_1, h_2 of $\mathcal{E}_+(1)$, we have $\min\{h_1, h_2\} = 0$, ν -a.e.. Besides, $\mathcal{E}_+(1)$ only has finitely many extremal points $\{h_i\}$. Let $\Lambda_i = \{h_i > 0\}$, the statement follows. \square

§1.5 The Spectral gap

Definition 1.5.1. A linear operator \mathcal{P} has a **spectral gap** if 1 is a simple eigenvalue and

$$\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \{1\} \cup \mathcal{S}, \text{ where } \mathcal{S} \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq z < 1\}.$$

If \mathcal{P} have a spectral gap, then we can write $\mathcal{P} = \pi + \mathcal{Q}$, where π is the projection onto the eigenspace of 1, and $\sigma(\mathcal{Q}) < 1$. Let h be an eigenvector of 1. For every $f \in \mathcal{B}$, write $f = ah + g$, where $ah = \pi f$ and $g = \mathcal{Q}g$. Then $\mathcal{P}^n g = \mathcal{Q}^n g \rightarrow 0$ exponentially fast.

From spectral gap to decay of correlation

In probability, the **covariance** of two random variable ξ and η are given by

$$\text{Cov}(\xi, \eta) = \mathbf{E}[(\xi - \mathbf{E}\xi)(\eta - \mathbf{E}\eta)] = \mathbf{E}(\xi\eta) - \mathbf{E}\xi \mathbf{E}\eta.$$

The correlation of ξ and η are given by

$$\text{Cor}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\text{Cov}(\xi, \eta)}{\sigma_\xi \sigma_\eta} = \frac{\mathbf{E}(\xi\eta) - \mathbf{E}\xi \mathbf{E}\eta}{\sigma_\xi \sigma_\eta},$$

where σ_ξ^2 and σ_η^2 are variances of ξ, η , respectively. In particular, if ξ and η are independent, then the covariance of ξ and η is zero.

In a dynamical system (M, T) , for functions $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we usually study the $\text{Cov}(f \circ T^n, g)$. It naturally to guess that

$$\text{Cov}(f \circ T^n, g) = \int f \circ T^n \cdot g d\mu - \int f d\mu \int g d\mu \rightarrow 0.$$

Which corresponds to the mixing property. **Decay of correlations** concerns the rates of convergence. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g \in \mathcal{B}^*$, then

$$\text{Cov}(f \circ T^n, g) = \left| \int f \cdot \mathcal{L}^n g d\mu - \int f d\mu \int g d\mu \right| \leq \|f - \langle \mu, f \rangle\|_{\mathcal{B}} \|\mathcal{L}^n g - \langle \mu, g \rangle\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}.$$

Then the rate of $\mathcal{L}^n g - \langle \mu, g \rangle \rightarrow 0$ leads to a rate of decay of correlations.

Definition 1.5.2. A system (T, μ) has **exponential decay of correlations** for functions in \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}^* , if there exists $\tau \in (0, 1)$ such that $\forall f \in \mathcal{B}, g \in \mathcal{B}^*$,

$$\|\text{Cov}(f \circ T^n, g)\| \leq C \cdot \tau^n,$$

where $C = C(f, g)$ is a constant depending on f, g .

Let \mathcal{L} be a normalized transfer operator, that is $\mathcal{L}c = c$. We assume that \mathcal{L} has a spectral gap. Then we can write

$$\mathcal{L} = \pi + \mathcal{Q},$$

such that $\sigma(\mathcal{Q}) < 1$. Besides, $\pi(g) = \langle \mu, g \rangle$, hence \mathcal{L} has an exponential decay of correlations.

Annotation 1.5.3 A spectral gap leads to an exponential speed of mixing.

§1.6 The Hilbert metric

Let V be a vector space.

Definition 1.6.1. A **cone** $\mathcal{C} \subset V$ is a subset such that

- (i) If $f \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\alpha f \in \mathcal{C}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- (ii) If $f, g \in \mathcal{C}$, then $f + g \in \mathcal{C}$.

We require further $0 \notin \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap -\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$.

Example 1.6.2

1. $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{x = (x_1, x_2) : 0 < x_2 \leq 2x_1\}$.
2. $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$.
3. $V = C^0(X)$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{f \in C^0(X) : f(x) > 0, \forall x \in X\}$.

Definition 1.6.3. The **projective metric (Hilbert metric)** $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ on a given cone \mathcal{C} is given by

$$\Delta(f, g) = \log \frac{a(f, g)}{b(f, g)}, \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{C},$$

where $a(f, g) = \inf \{a : ag - f \in \mathcal{C}\}$, $b(f, g) = \sup \{b : f - bg \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

Remark 1.6.4 — $\Delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is usually viewed as a metric defined on the **projective space** of \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 1.6.5

1. $\Delta(f, g) = 0$ iff $f = \alpha g$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
2. $\Delta(f, g) = \Delta(g, f)$.
3. $\Delta(f, h) \leq \Delta(f, g) + \Delta(g, h)$.

Theorem 1.6.6

Let V_1, V_2 be two vector spaces and $\mathcal{A} : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ be a linear map such that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset \mathcal{C}_2$ for cones $\mathcal{C}_i \subset V_i, \mathcal{C}_i \cap -\mathcal{C}_i = \emptyset$. Let Δ_i be the Hilbert metric corresponding to \mathcal{C}_i . Set $\Gamma = \sup_{f,g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_1)} \Delta_2(f, g)$, we have

$$\Delta_2(\mathcal{A}f, \mathcal{A}g) \leq \tanh\left(\frac{\Gamma}{4}\right) \Delta_1(f, g), \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{C}_1.$$

If we take $V = V_1 = V_2$, then we have $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\Delta(\mathcal{A}^n f, \mathcal{A}^n g) \leq \left(\tanh \frac{\Gamma}{4}\right)^n \Delta(f, g) \rightarrow 0$$

exponentially fast.

Application

Let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be an expanding map with the expanding constant $\rho^{-1} > 1$. Let ϕ be an α -Hölder potential function. Then there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ and $h \in C^\alpha$ with $h > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi^* \nu = \lambda \nu, \quad \mathcal{L}_\phi h = \lambda h, \quad \int h d\nu = 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\psi$ be the normalized transfer operator. We have $\mathcal{L}1 = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}^* \mu = \mu$ and μ is an absolutely continuous invariant measure. Let $\mathcal{B} = C^\alpha(M)$.

Aim 1.6.7. To show that $\forall f \in \mathcal{B}, \|\mathcal{L}f - \langle \mu, f \rangle\| \leq Cr^n, r < 1$.

Let $J_0 > 0$ such that $|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq J_0 d(x, y)^\alpha$. Take $J > 0$ sufficiently large such that $\rho^{-\alpha}(1 + J_0/J) < 1$. Denote $J' = \rho^{-\alpha}(J_0 + J)$. Define

$$\mathcal{C} = C_J(M) = \{f \in C^\alpha : f > 0, |\log f(x) - \log f(y)| \leq Jd(x, y)^\alpha, \forall x, y \in M\},$$

and we fix the Hilbert metric Δ on \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 1.6.8 $\mathcal{L}C_J(M) \subset C_{J'}(M)$.

Lemma 1.6.9 $\exists \Gamma > 0$ such that $\Delta(f, 1) \leq \Gamma$ for every $f \in C_{J'}(M)$.

Then for every $f \in C_J$, we have

$$\Delta(\mathcal{L}^n f, 1) \leq \left(\tanh \frac{\Gamma}{4}\right)^n \Delta(f, 1) = r^n \Delta(f, 1).$$

Assume that $\Delta(\mathcal{L}^n f, 1) = \log(a_n/b_n)$, by definition

$$a_n - \mathcal{L}^n f, \mathcal{L}^n f - b_n \in C_J.$$

In particular, $a_n > \mathcal{L}^n f > b_n$. Because $|\log a_n - \log b_n| \leq Cr^n$, we get

$$|\mathcal{L}^n f(x) - \langle \mu, f \rangle| \leq C'r^n, \quad \forall x \in M.$$

This implies that $\text{Cov}(f \circ T^n, g) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially fast for every $f \in C_J$. For every $f \in C^\alpha$, we can choose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq 0$ such that $af + b \in C_J(M)$, then the conclusion also holds.

§1.7 Hyperbolic cases

Till now, we have only considered the expanding maps. Now we consider the hyperbolic cases.

Let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be a C^r Anosov diffeomorphism, $r \geq 1$. That is, there exists constant $\lambda > 1, C > 0$, and a splitting $TM = E^u \oplus E^s$ such that for every $x \in M, n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \|DT_x^n v\| \geq C^{-1} \lambda^n \|v\|, & \forall v \in E_x^u; \\ \|DT_x^n v\| \leq C \lambda^n \|v\|, & \forall v \in E_x^s. \end{cases}$$

For each $x \in M$, take $\gamma^s(x)$ such that $\gamma(x) \subset W_{\text{loc}}^s(x)$ and $T(\gamma^s(x)) \subset \gamma^s(T(x))$. Denote

$$\Gamma^s := \{\gamma^s(x) : x \in M\}.$$

For any $f \in C^1(M)$, define the (weaker) norm by

$$\|f\|_w = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma^s} \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in C^1(\gamma), \\ \|\varphi\|_{C^1(\gamma)} \leq 1}} \left| \int_{\gamma} f \varphi dm_{\gamma} \right|,$$

where m_{γ} is the Lebesgue measure on γ . Denote by $\partial^u f$ be the directional derivative along W^u , and set

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_w + \|\partial^u f\|_w.$$

Let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_w be the completion of $C^1(M)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_w$, respectively.

Lemma 1.7.1

1. The unit ball of \mathcal{B} is compact in \mathcal{B}_w .
2. $\forall \{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ with $f_n \rightarrow f$ ν -a.e., $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Recall that for a diffeomorphism $T : M \rightarrow M$, we consider the transfer operator

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = f(T^{-1}x) \frac{1}{|\det D_{T^{-1}x} T|} = \frac{f(T^{-1}x)}{JT(T^{-1}x)}.$$

Theorem 1.7.2

1. There exists $H > 0$ such that for any $f \in C^1(X)$,

$$\|\mathcal{L}^n f\|_w \leq H \|f\|_w, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

2. $\exists \theta \in (0, 1), A > 0$ and $B_n > 0$ for each n , such that for any $f \in C^1(X)$,

$$\|\mathcal{L}^n f\| \leq A \theta^n \|f\| + B_n \|f\|_w, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

2 Positive Transfer Operators with Dini Continuous Potentials

Notes of a mini course at Suzhou University, taught by Yunping Jiang. [\[Lecture Notes\]](#)

§2.1 Introduction

- Dynamics: $f : X \rightarrow X$, induces a group/semigroup $\{f^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{N}}$.

Aim 2.1.1. For $x_0 \in X$, the forward limit $f^n(x_0) \rightarrow ?$ (study the future of x_0).

We consider the ω -limit set $\omega(x_0)$. In a invertible case, for example

Example 2.1.2

If x_0 is a fixed point, then $\omega(x_0) = \{x_0\}$. If x_0 is periodic, then $\omega(x_0) = \text{Orb}(x_0)$.

In a non-invertible case, we construct the space

$$X_{\infty, f} = \{(\cdots, x_n, x_{n-1}, \cdot, x_1, x_0) : x_n \in X, f x_n = x_{n-1}\}$$

to record the history of x_0 . Which is called an **inverse limit**.

Example 2.1.3

Let $\mathbb{S}^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, z \mapsto z^2$. The inverse limit can be viewed as

$$\Sigma^- = \prod_{-\infty}^{-1} \{0, 1\}.$$

Given a dynamical system $f : X \rightarrow X$, let

$$P_n := \{\text{the set of all periodic points of period } n\}, \quad F_n = \{x \in X : f^n(x) = x\}.$$

Then $F_n = \bigcup_{d|n} P_d$, hence $\#F_n = \sum_{d|n} \#P_d$. By the Möbius inversion, we have

$$\#P_n = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \#F_{n/d}.$$

Definition 2.1.4. The **topological entropy** is

$$h(f) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \#F_n.$$

Definition 2.1.5. The **zeta function** of the system is defined as

$$\zeta(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#F_n}{n} z^n \right).$$

Fact 2.1.6. $\zeta(z)$ is analytic in the disk of radius e^{-h} centered at 0.

Definition 2.1.7. A partition $X = X_0 \cup \cdots \cup X_{d-1}$ is called a **Markov partition** for f if

- (i) each $X_i \neq \emptyset$, compact,
- (ii) $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$,
- (iii) $f|_{X_i}$ is one-one,
- (iv) $f(X_i) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m_i} X_{i_k}$.

If f has a Markov partition η_0 , define $A = (a_{ij})_{d \times d}$, where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & f(X_i) \supset X_j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\Sigma_A := \{\omega = (i_0, i_1, \dots) : i_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}, a_{i_{n-1}i_n} = 1\},$$

let $\sigma_A : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ be the shift map. We have (if it is a conjugate)

$$\sharp F_{n,f} = \sharp F_{n,\sigma_A} = \text{tr}(A^n),$$

$$\zeta(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{tr}(A^n)}{n} z^n\right) = \exp(-\text{tr}(\log(\text{Id} - zA))) = \frac{1}{\det(\text{Id} - zA)}.$$

Theorem 2.1.8

For a mixing map $f : X \rightarrow X$ with a Markov partition. Then the unweighted zeta function $\zeta(z)$ is a rational function with a unique smallest pole $1/\rho(A)$ where $h(f) = \log \rho(A)$.

Theorem 2.1.9 (Perron-Frobenius)

If A is an $n \times n$ positive matrix, then A has a unique simple, positive, maximal eigenvalue ρ with a positive eigenvector.

Remark 2.1.10 — $\rho = \rho(A)$ is the spectral radius, $\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|A^n\|}$.

Example 2.1.11

Let $I = [0, 1]$, $I_0 = [0, a]$, $I_1 = [b, 1]$, where $a < b$. Let $f : I_0 \rightarrow I$, $I_1 \rightarrow I$ linearly respectively. Then f admits a Markov partition $\{I_0, I_1\}$ with $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\sharp F_{n,f} = 2^n, \quad \zeta(z) = \frac{1}{1 - 2z}.$$

In general, we would like to consider a weighted zeta function for a weight ψ as follows

$$\zeta(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} \left(\sum_{x \in F_n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi(f^i x) \right) \right).$$

Note that if $\psi \equiv 1$, then $\zeta(z)$ coincides with the unweighted zeta function. Define the pressure

$$P(\log \psi) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sum_{x \in F_n} \exp \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log \psi(f^i(x)) \right) \right).$$

Fact 2.1.12. $\zeta(z)$ is analytic in a disk of radius e^{-P} centered at 0.

§2.2 The Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius theorem

Setting

- X a compact metric space.
- $f : X \rightarrow X$ a **locally expanding map**, that is, $\exists C > 0, 0 < a < 1, \lambda > 1$ such that

$$d(f^n x, f^n y) \geq C \lambda^n d(x, y),$$

$$\forall x, y \in X, d_n(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} d(f^i x, f^i y) \leq a \text{ (the **Bowen metric**)}.$$

- f is **mixing**: $\forall U \subset X$ open, there exists n such that $f^n(U) = X$.
- $C(X) = \{\text{the space of all continuous functions } \varphi \text{ with the norm } \|\varphi\| = \sup_{x \in X} |\varphi(x)|\}.$

For every $\varphi \in C(X)$, we define the **modulus of continuity**

$$\omega_\varphi(t) = \max_{d(x,y) \leq t} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|.$$

Then we know that $\omega_\varphi(t) \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow 0$).

- If $\omega_\varphi(t) \leq C t^\alpha$ for some $C > 0, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then φ is called **α -Hölder**.
- We call φ is **Dini** if

$$\int_0^a \frac{\omega_\varphi(t)}{t} dt < \infty.$$

Then we have

$$C^H(X) = \bigcup_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} C^\alpha(X) \subset C^{\text{Dini}}(X).$$

Let ω be a modulus of continuity, that is, ω is nonnegative, increasing and $\omega(t) \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow 0^+$). We also call it **Dini** if

$$\int_0^a \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt < \infty.$$

For a modulus of continuity, we define the space

$$C^\omega := \{\varphi \in C(X) : \exists C > 0, \omega_\varphi(t) \leq C \omega(t)\}.$$

If ω is Dini, let

$$\tilde{\omega}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(\lambda^{-n} t),$$

which is also a modulus of continuity. $C^{\tilde{\omega}}$ plays an important role in later studies. (See §2.3)

Definition 2.2.1. A positive $\psi \in C(X)$ is called a **potential**. Given a potential, the **transfer operator** is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(x) = \sum_{y \in f^{-1}x} \psi(y)\phi(y).$$

Fact 2.2.2. If $\psi \in C^\alpha(X)$, then $\mathcal{L} : C^\alpha \rightarrow C^\alpha$.

Fact 2.2.3. If $\psi \in C^\omega$ and ω is Dini, then $\mathcal{L} : C^\omega \rightarrow C^\omega$.

Theorem 2.2.4 (Ruelle's Frobenius-Perron Theorem)

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow X$ is locally expanding and mixing. For any $\psi \in C^\alpha(X)$, \mathcal{L} has a unique, maximal, positive and simple eigenvalue $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{L})$ (the spectral radius) with a positive eigenfunction $\varphi_0 \in C^\alpha(X)$.

For $K > 0, s > 0$, let

$$C_{K,s}^\alpha = \{\varphi \in C^\alpha(X) : \varphi(x) \geq s, |\log \varphi(x) - \log \varphi(y)| \leq Kd(x,y)^\alpha, \forall x, y, d(x,y) \leq a\}.$$

Lemma 2.2.5

Any bounded sequence in $C_{K,s}^\alpha$ has a convergent subsequence in $C(X)$ whose limit is in $C_{K,s}^\alpha$.

WLOG, assume $\min_{x \in X} \psi(x) = 1$ and let

$$K_0 = \sup_{d(x,y) \leq a} \frac{|\log \psi(x) - \log \psi(y)|}{d(x,y)^\alpha}.$$

Lemma 2.2.6

Let $0 < s < 1, K > \frac{K_0}{\lambda^\alpha - 1}$. Then $\forall \varphi \in C^\alpha(X)$ nonnegative, $\|\varphi\| = 1$, there exists $N > 0$, such that $\mathcal{L}^N \varphi \in C_{K,s}^\alpha$.

Proof. Since $\|\varphi\| = 1$, take $y_0 \in X, \varphi(y_0) = 1$, choose an open neighborhood of $U \ni y_0$ such that $\varphi|_U \geq s$. By mixing, there exists $n_0 \geq 0$ such that $f^{n_0}(U) = X$. Consider

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{n_0} \varphi(x) = \sum_{y \in f^{-n_0}x} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \psi(f^i y) \right) \varphi(y) \geq s.$$

Besides, for every $x, y \in X$ closed enough,

$$\mathcal{L}\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \sum_{x' \in f^{-1}x} \psi(x')\tilde{\varphi}(x') \leq \sum_{y' \in f^{-1}y} \psi(y')\tilde{\varphi}(y')e^{(K_0+K')d(x',y')^\alpha}.$$

Note that $d(x, y) \geq \lambda d(x', y')$, hence $(K_0 + K')d(x', y')^\alpha \leq \lambda^{-\alpha}(K_0 + K')d(x, y)^\alpha$. By induction, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^n \tilde{\varphi}(x) \leq \mathcal{L}^n \tilde{\varphi}(y)e^{K_n d(x,y)^\alpha},$$

where $K_n = K_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^{-i\alpha} + \lambda^{-n\alpha} K' \rightarrow \frac{K_0}{\lambda^{-1} - 1} (n \rightarrow \infty)$. □

Remark 2.2.7 — This lemma shows that a transfer operator can improve the Hölder norm in some sense.

By this lemma, we only need to find positive eigenvalue in $C_{K,s}^\alpha$. Define

$$S = \{\mu > 0 : \exists \varphi \in C_{K,s}^\alpha(X), \mathcal{L}\varphi \geq \mu\varphi\}.$$

Lemma 2.2.8 $S \neq \emptyset$ and bounded.

Proof of RFP Theorem. Take $\rho = \sup S$, there exists $\lambda_n \rightarrow \rho$, $\lambda_n \in S$. Then there exists φ_n such that $\mathcal{L}\varphi_n \geq \lambda_n\varphi_n$. Assume that $\min \varphi_n = s$, then $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C_{K,s}^\alpha$ is bounded. Take a subsequence converges to φ_0 . Then $\varphi_0 \geq \rho\varphi_0$. By mixing, we have

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi_0 = \rho\varphi_0, \text{ and } \dim E_\rho = 1 \text{ where } E_\rho = \{\varphi \in C^\alpha : \mathcal{L}\varphi = \rho\varphi\}.$$

The maximal of ρ follows by the previous lemma. \square

Application

Suppose M is a compact Riemannian manifold, $f : M \rightarrow M$ is $C^{1+\alpha}$. A probability measure ν is called f -invariant if $\nu(f^{-1}A) = \nu(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$. We say ν is **smooth** if

$$\nu(A) = \int_A p(y) dy$$

for some $p(y) \in C(M)$.

Lemma 2.2.9

Suppose $f : M \rightarrow M$ is C^1 and $J(f) \neq 0$, and suppose $d\nu = \alpha(y)dy$. Then ν is f -invariant iff

$$\sum_{y \in f^{-1}x} \frac{p(y)}{J(f)(y)} = p(x).$$

Theorem 2.2.10 (Krzyszewski-Szlenk)

Suppose $f : M \rightarrow M$ is $C^{1+\alpha}$, locally expanding and mixing. Then f has a unique smooth f -invariant probability measure with an α -Hölder continuous density.

Proof. Take $\psi = \frac{\|J(f)\|}{|J(f)|}$, then $\min \psi = 1$ and $\psi \in C_{K,1}^\alpha$. Consider the transfer operator

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(y) = \sum_{x \in f^{-1}y} \psi(x)\varphi(x).$$

Then there exists $p(x) \in C^\alpha$ such that

$$\sum_{y \in f^{-1}x} \frac{p(y)}{J(f)(y)} = \mu_0 p(y),$$

where $\mu_0 = \frac{\rho}{\|J(f)\|} = 1$. Normalize p , the statement follows. \square

For $\psi(x) > 0$, let

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(x) = \sum_{y \in f^{-1}x} \psi(y)\varphi(y).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}^n \varphi(x) = \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x)} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi(f^i y) \right) \varphi(y),$$

write $G_n(y) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi(f^i y)$, then

$$\mathcal{L}^n \varphi(x) = \sum_{y \in f^{-n}x} G_n(y)\varphi(y).$$

§2.3 A generalized RPFT of the Dini case

Suppose $\omega(t)$ is a modulus of continuity.

Example 2.3.1

1. $\omega(t) = t^\alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$, α -Hölder.
2. $\omega(t) = \frac{1}{|\log t|^\alpha}, \alpha > 1$.
3. $\omega(t) = e^{-\alpha |\log \log t|^\beta}, \beta > 1$.

Recall $\tilde{\omega}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(\lambda^{-n}t)$, where λ is the expanding constant of f .

Lemma 2.3.2

Suppose ω is Dini, then

$$\tilde{\omega}(t) \leq \frac{1}{\log \lambda} \int_0^t \frac{\omega(s)}{s} ds \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega(\lambda^{-n}t).$$

It follows that $\tilde{\omega}$ is also a modulus of continuity. But in general, $\tilde{\omega}(t)$ is not Dini anymore.

Theorem 2.3.3 (A Generalized RPFT)

Assume $f : X \rightarrow X$ is locally expanding and mixing. Assume ψ is a Dini potential and $\omega(t) = \omega_\psi(t)$. Then the spectral radius $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{L})$ is not an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L} : C^\omega(X) \rightarrow C^\omega(X)$. Instead, ρ is the unique maximum, positive and simple eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L} : C^{\tilde{\omega}}(X) \rightarrow C^{\tilde{\omega}}(X)$.

Proof. Firstly, we check that $\mathcal{L} : C^{\tilde{\omega}}(X) \rightarrow C^{\tilde{\omega}}(X)$. For every $\varphi \in C^{\tilde{\omega}}(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}\varphi(y) - \mathcal{L}\varphi(x)| &\leq \sum_{x' \in f^{-1}x, y' \in f^{-1}y} |\psi(y')\varphi(y') - \psi(x')\varphi(x')| \\ &\leq \sum_{x', y'} |\psi(y') - \psi(x')||\varphi(y')| + |\varphi(y') - \varphi(x')||\psi(x')| \\ &\leq K(\omega(\lambda^{-1}d(x, y)) + \tilde{\omega}(\lambda^{-1}d(x, y))) = K\tilde{\omega}(d(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{L}\varphi \in C^{\tilde{\omega}}(X)$.

Recall $G_n(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi(f^i x)$, then

$$\mathcal{L}^n \varphi(x) = \sum_{x' \in f^{-n}x} G_n(x') \varphi(x').$$

Proposition 2.3.4 (Dini distortion property)

Assume $\psi \in C^\omega$, then $\exists K_0 > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in X$, $d_n(x, y) \leq a$,

$$\left| \log \frac{G_n(x)}{G_n(y)} \right| \leq K_0 \tilde{\omega}(d(f^n x, f^n y)).$$

Then for every $\varphi \in C^\omega$, $x, y \in X$, $d_n(x, y) \leq a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^n \varphi(x) &= \sum_{x' \in f^{-n}x} G_n(x') \varphi(x') \\ &\leq \sum_{y' \in f^{-n}y} G_n(y') e^{K_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(\lambda^{-i} d(x, y))} \varphi(y') e^{K' \omega(\lambda^{-n} d(x, y))} \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}^n \varphi(y) \exp \left(K_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(\lambda^{-i} d(x, y)) + K' \omega(\lambda^{-n} d(x, y)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that if ψ is only Dini continuous, even $\mathcal{L}^n \varphi$ is in $C^\omega(X)$, the limit point will not be in $C^\omega(X)$ in general. In particular, every non-negative eigenvector of \mathcal{L} can only be in $C^\omega(X)$.

For $K, s > 0$, define

$$C_{K,s}^\omega = \{ \varphi \in C(X) : \varphi(x) \geq s, |\log \varphi(x) - \log \varphi(y)| \leq K \tilde{\omega}(d(x, y)) \}.$$

Lemma 2.3.5 Any bounded sequence in $C_{K,s}^\omega$ has a convergent subsequence.

Assume $\min_{x \in X} \psi(x) = 1$.

Lemma 2.3.6 Let $0 < s < 1$, $K \geq K_0$, then $\mathcal{L}(C_{K,s}^\omega) \subset C_{K,s}^\omega$.

Let $S = \{ \mu : \exists \varphi \in C_{K,s}^\omega, \mathcal{L} \varphi \geq \mu \varphi \}$. Then $S \neq \emptyset$ and S is bounded. Let $\rho = \sup S$, then there exists $\varphi_0 \in C_{K,s}^\omega$ such that $\mathcal{L} \varphi_0 = \rho \varphi_0$. \square

Theorem 2.3.7 (Generalized KS Theorem)

Assume $f : M \rightarrow M$ is a $C^{1+\omega}$ locally expanding and mixing map where ω is Dini. Then f has a unique smooth f -invariant probability measure whose density is in $C^\omega(M)$.

Geometric Interpretation

For $\psi \in C^\alpha(X)$, let

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{ \varphi \in C^\alpha(X) : \varphi > 0, \|\varphi\| = 1 \},$$

and define $F(\varphi) = \frac{\mathcal{L}\varphi}{\|\mathcal{L}\varphi\|} : \mathcal{D}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Then RPFT implies F has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{D}_α and moreover,

$$\|F^n\varphi - \varphi_0\| \leq C\mu^n \|\varphi - \varphi_0\|, \quad \forall n.$$

Now we consider

$$\mathcal{D}_\omega = \{\varphi \in C^\omega(X) : \varphi > 0, \|\varphi\| = 1\},$$

then $F : \mathcal{D}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\omega$. By generalized RPFT, F has no fixed point in \mathcal{D}_ω . Instead, F has a fixed point in $\partial\mathcal{D}_\omega \subset \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\omega}}$. Furthermore, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$,

$$\|F^n\varphi - \varphi_0\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Two convergences above are very similar with the following complex analysis theorem.

Theorem 2.3.8 (Denjoy-Wolff)

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$, let $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic map and is not elliptic Möbius transformation (not an injection). Then

- (1) f has a unique fixed point $p \in \mathbb{D}$ such that for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $f^n(z) \rightarrow p$ exponentially fast.
- (2) $\exists p \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $f^n(z) \rightarrow p$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Annotation 2.3.9 This conclusion reminds me of the Poincaré disc model.

Indeed, the proof of this theorem applying the hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{D} . Can we do this for \mathcal{L} ? Yes. The hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{D} can also be viewed as a cross ratio. We can also define a “cross ratio” on \mathcal{L} similarly. That is the **Hilbert metric**. For some detailed discussion, see section **The Hilbert metric**.

§2.4 A probability point of view

Let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the Borel σ -algebra on X . Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the space of all finite Borel measures on X . By Riesz representation theorem, $\mathcal{M}(X)$ corresponds to the space of all positive functionals on $C(X)$, given by

$$\langle \mu, f \rangle = \int f d\mu, \quad \forall f \in C(X), \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X).$$

Endowing $\mathcal{M}(X)$ with the weak* topology, then every bounded closed set is compact in $\mathcal{M}(X)$.

Let $\text{Prob}(X)^f$ the space of all f -invariant probability measures on X . Then $\text{Prob}(X)^f$ is non-empty, convex. Every extremal point of $\text{Prob}(X)^f$ is an ergodic f -invariant measure.

For a transfer operator \mathcal{L} with a Dini continuous potential φ . Take $\varphi_0 \in C^{\tilde{\omega}}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{L}\varphi_0 = \rho\varphi_0$, where $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{L})$. Consider

$$\tilde{\psi} = \frac{\psi\varphi_0}{\rho\varphi_0 \circ f}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}\varphi(x) = \sum_{x' \in f^{-1}x} \tilde{\psi}(x')\varphi(x'),$$

then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}1 = 1$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is called the **normalized transfer operator** of \mathcal{L} . Let \mathcal{L}^* , $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^*$ be the dual operators of \mathcal{L} , $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, respectively. Then μ is f -invariant iff $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^*\mu = \mu$. In fact,

$$\mathcal{L}^n\varphi = \rho^n\varphi_0\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^n\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_0}\right), \quad \mathcal{L}^{*n}\nu = \frac{\rho^n}{\varphi_0}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{*n}(\varphi_0\nu).$$

Probability view

We consider

$$P_n \varphi(x) = (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^n \varphi)(f^n x) = \sum_{x' \in f^{-n}(f^n x)} \tilde{G}_n(x') \varphi(x').$$

Then $P_n 1 = 1$ for every $n \geq 1$.

Annotation 2.4.1 It looks like taking a conditional expectation with respect to a partition given by local stable manifolds.

Lemma 2.4.2

$\mathcal{P} = \{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a compatible chain of Markovian projections, i.e.

- (i) $P_m P_n = P_n P_m = P_m$, for every $m \geq n \geq 1$.
- (ii) Let $\Gamma_n = \text{Im } P_n$, then for every $\varphi \in C(X)$, $\chi \in \Gamma_n$,

$$P_n(\varphi \chi) = \chi P_n \varphi.$$

Let $P_n^* : M(X) \rightarrow M(X)$ be the dual of P_n , then $P_n(\text{Prob}(X)) \subset \text{Prob}(X)$. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \{\mu \in \text{Prob}(X) : P_n^* \mu = \mu\},$$

then $\mathcal{G}_m \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ for every $m \geq n$. Let $\mathcal{G}_\infty = \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{G}_n$, then $\mathcal{G}_\infty \neq \emptyset$.

Annotation 2.4.3 For every f -invariant measure μ given by the transfer operator, we have $\langle \mu, P_n \varphi \rangle = \langle \mu, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^n \varphi \rangle = \langle \mu, \varphi \rangle$, hence $\mu \in \mathcal{G}_n, \forall n \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.4.4

Any $\mu \in \mathcal{G}_\infty$ is a **Gibbs measure** associated with ψ , i.e. $\exists C = C(r) > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{\mu(B_n(x, r))}{\rho^{-n} G_n(x)} = \frac{\mu(B_n(x, r))}{\exp(-n \log \rho + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \log \psi(f^i x))} \leq C,$$

where $B_n(x, r) = \{y \in X : d_n(x, y) \leq r\}$.

Let \mathcal{B}_n be the σ -algebra generated by Γ_n , then $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{B}_n$, write $\mathcal{B}_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{B}_n$.

Definition 2.4.5. A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{G}_\infty$ is called **ergodic** if $\mu(B) = 0$ or 1 for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_\infty$.

Take $\mu \in \mathcal{G}_\infty$, for every $\chi \in \Gamma_n$, we have

$$\langle \mu, \chi P_n \varphi \rangle = \langle \mu, P_n(\varphi \chi) \rangle = \langle \mu, \varphi \chi \rangle,$$

hence $P_n \varphi = \mathbf{E}(\varphi | \mathcal{B}_n)$, μ -a.e. the conditional expectation. Then $\{P_n \varphi\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms a backward martingale, hence

$$P_n \varphi \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(\varphi | \mathcal{B}_\infty), \quad \mu - \text{a.e. and } L^1.$$

Lemma 2.4.6

1. If $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{G}_\infty$ are ergodic, then either $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ or $\mu_1 \perp \mu_2$.
2. $\mu \in \mathcal{G}_\infty$ is ergodic iff μ is an extremal point of \mathcal{G}_∞ .

Lemma 2.4.7 $\#\mathcal{G}_\infty = 1$.

Proof. By the inequality given by lemma 2.4.4, we can show that for every $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{G}_\infty$, μ_1 and μ_2 are mutually absolutely continuous. \square

Lemma 2.4.8

Suppose $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a compatible chain of Markovian projections, then the followings are equivalent:

- (1) $\#\mathcal{G}_\infty = 1$.
- (2) $\forall \varphi \in C(X)$, $P_n \varphi$ pointwise converge to a constant.
- (3) $\forall \varphi \in C(X)$, $P_n \varphi$ uniformly converge to a constant.

Theorem 2.4.9

Suppose X is a compact metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a locally expanding and mixing map. For any $\psi \in C^{\text{Dini}}(X)$, $\omega(t) = \omega_\psi(t)$, we have

1. $\rho = \rho(\mathcal{L})$ is the unique maximal positive simple eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L} : C^{\tilde{\omega}}(X) \rightarrow C^{\tilde{\omega}}(X)$ with a positive eigenfunction φ_0 , $\mathcal{L}\varphi_0 = \rho\varphi_0$.
2. there is a unique probability measure ν_0 on X such that $\mathcal{L}^*\nu_0 = \rho\nu_0$.
3. Take $\langle \nu_0, \varphi_0 \rangle = 1$, then for every $\varphi \in C(X)$,

$$\rho^{-n} \mathcal{L}^n \varphi \rightrightarrows \langle \nu_0, \varphi \rangle \varphi_0.$$

4. The probability measure $\mu_0 = \varphi_0 \nu_0$ is the unique Gibbs measure associated with ψ and is ergodic.