

# On the dimension of limit sets on $\mathbb{RP}^2$

Jialun Li

Notes: Yuxiang Jiao

## Contents

1	Introduction (Nov 14)	1
2	Entropy growth argument of Hochman (Nov 16)	4
3	Variational principle of affinity exponents (Nov 21)	6

## §1 Introduction (Nov 14)

This minicourse is based on [LPX, arXiv:2311.10265] and [JLPX, arXiv:2311.10262].

**Rigidity of quasi-circles (Bowen 70s).** Let  $\Gamma = \pi_1(S_g)$ , where  $S_g$  is the closed surface of genus  $g$ . Let  $\rho_0 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^2)$  be the natural embedding. Let  $\iota_0 : \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  be the embedding. Therefore,

$$\iota_0 \circ \rho_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})),$$

where  $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$  is a finite dimensional space. We consider **deformations** of  $\iota_0 \circ \rho_0$ , that is,  $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$  close to  $\iota_0 \circ \rho_0$ . Such  $\rho$  are quasi-Fuchsian representations.

Note that  $\iota_0 \circ \rho_0(\Gamma)$  preserves a minimal invariant closed set in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , the  $\mathbb{RP}^1$ , which is called the **limit set** of  $\iota_0 \circ \rho_0$  and denoted by  $L(\iota_0 \circ \rho_0)$ . If  $\rho$  is closed to  $\iota_0 \circ \rho_0$ , then there also exists a  $\rho$ -limit set  $L(\rho)$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ , which is a topological circle.

**Theorem 1.1 (Bowen)**  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(\rho) > 1$  if  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is not contained in a conjugate of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Some related results:

- (Ruelle)  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(\rho)$  depends analytically on  $\rho$ .
- (McMullen, Bridgemen) They studied the Hessian of  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(\rho)$ . This also relates to the Weil-Petersson metric on Teichmüller spaces.
- (Sullivan, Lax-Philips)  $\#\{\gamma : \|\rho(\gamma)\| \leq T\} = C \cdot T^{\dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(\rho)}(1 + O(T^{-\varepsilon}))$ .
- Critical exponents (Patterson, Sullivan): consider the Poincaré series

$$P_\rho(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s d_{\mathbb{H}^3}(o, \rho(\gamma)o)}.$$

Let  $s(\rho) := \min\{s > 0 : P_s(\rho) < \infty\}$ . Then  $s(\rho) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(\rho)$ .

**Limit sets on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ .** Let  $\Gamma$  be as above. Let  $\rho_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\iota_1 : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$  be the embedding. Similarly,  $\iota_1 \circ \rho_1(\Gamma)$  acts on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  preserving the limit set  $L(\iota_1 \circ \rho_1) = \mathbb{RP}^1$ . We consider  $\rho$  close to  $\iota_1 \circ \rho_1$ . Sullivan showed that the limit set  $L(\rho) \subset \mathbb{RP}^2$  is a topological circle.

**Theorem 1.2** (Li-Pan-Xu, 2023)

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}))$  of  $\iota_1 \circ \rho_1$  such that for every  $\rho \in \mathcal{O}$ :

- either  $\rho(\Gamma)$  reducibly on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ ,
- or  $|\dim_{\mathrm{H}} L(\rho) - 3/2| < \varepsilon$ .

**Conjecture 1.3** If  $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} L(\rho) = 1$  then  $L(\rho) = \mathbb{RP}^1$ .

To compute the Hausdorff dimension of limit sets, we recall the **affinity exponent**. For every  $\rho(\gamma) \in \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ , let  $\rho(\gamma) = k_1 a k_2$  be its Cartan decomposition, where  $a = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  with  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \sigma_3$ . For  $s > 0$ , let

$$P_\rho(s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)^s (\rho(\gamma)), & 0 < s \leq 1; \\ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right) (\rho(\gamma)) \left(\frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1}\right)^{s-1} (\rho(\gamma)), & 1 < s \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

The affinity exponent is defined to be  $s_A(\rho) := \min\{s > 0 : P_\rho(s) < \infty\}$ .

**Theorem 1.4** (Li-Pan-Xu)  $s(\rho) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} L(\rho)$ .

**Why affinity exponent?** Recall the definition of Hausdorff dimensions. For a set  $X$ , we have

$$\mathcal{H}^s(X) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum (\mathrm{diam} U_i)^s : \bigcup U_i \supset X, \mathrm{diam} X \leq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then  $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(X) := \min\{s > 0 : \mathcal{H}^s(X) < \infty\}$ .

To cover  $L(\rho)$ , we consider the image of a unit ball on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  by  $\rho(\gamma)$ . This is an ellipse with two axes of lengths  $\sigma_2/\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_3/\sigma_1$ . We can cover this ellipse by two ways: use a ball of radius  $\sigma_2/\sigma_1$  or use  $\sigma_2/\sigma_3$  balls of radius  $\sigma_3/\sigma_1$ . If such ellipses is not too much, the first way is more optimal. This corresponds to the case  $s \leq 1$ , where  $P_\rho(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)^s (\rho(\gamma))$ . For the case when there are much ellipses, we use the second way to cover each ellipse. This gives the expression of series for  $s > 1$  as  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right) (\rho(\gamma)) \left(\frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1}\right)^{s-1} (\rho(\gamma))$ .

**Anosov representations.**

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$  be a homomorphism. We say  $\rho$  is **Anosov** if there exists  $c > 0$  such that for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(\rho(\gamma)) < C e^{-|\gamma|/C},$$

where  $|\gamma|$  is the word length of  $\gamma$  with respect to a fixed symmetric generating set.

This concept was introduced by Labourie (2000s). He studied the Hitchin component, which is related to higher Teichmüller theory.

**Property.** Anosov representations form an open subset in  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}))$ .

Note that  $\iota_1 \circ \rho_1(\Gamma)$  is Anosov since  $\rho_1(\Gamma)$  is cocompact in  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Consequently,  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is also Anosov. Theorem 1.4 holds for Zariski dense Anosov representations.

The crucial part of Theorem 1.4 is the lower bound of  $\dim_{\text{H}} L(\rho)$ . We make use of stationary measures. A basic fact is  $\dim_{\text{H}} X \geq \dim_{\text{H}} \mu$  if  $\text{supp } \mu \subset X$ . The lower bound of  $\dim_{\text{H}} L(\rho)$  is given by the following two ingredients.

- **Variational principle of affinity exponents:**  $s(\rho) = \sup_{\text{supp } \mu \subset L(\rho)} \{ \dim_{\text{LY}} \mu \}$ . This part is joint with Jiao.
- **Dimension formula of stationary measures:**  $\dim_{\text{H}} \mu = \dim_{\text{LY}} \mu$ .

**Definition 1.6** (Stationary measures).  $\nu$  a finitely supported probability measure on  $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ . A probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  is  **$\nu$ -stationary** if

$$\mu = \nu * \mu = \int_{\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})} g_* \mu d\nu(g).$$

**Definition 1.7** (Lyapunov exponents). The **Lyapunov exponents** of  $\nu$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\nu) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \log \|g_1 \cdots g_n\| d\nu(g_1) \cdots \nu(g_n), \\ \lambda_2(\nu) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \log \frac{\| \wedge^2 (g_1 \cdots g_n) \|}{\|g_1 \cdots g_n\|} d\nu(g_1) \cdots \nu(g_n), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\lambda_3 = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ .

If  $\langle \text{supp } \nu \rangle$  is Zariski dense in  $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\lambda_1(\nu) > \lambda_2(\nu) > \lambda_3(\nu)$ .

**Definition 1.8.** The **Furstenberg entropy** is given by

$$h_{\text{F}}(\mu, \nu) = \int \log \frac{dg\mu}{d\mu}(\xi) \left( \frac{dg\mu}{d\mu}(\xi) \right) d\nu(g) d\mu(\xi). \quad (1.1)$$

**Definition 1.9.** The **Lyapunov dimension** of  $\mu$  is

$$\dim_{\text{LY}} \mu = \begin{cases} \frac{h_{\text{F}}(\mu, \nu)}{\lambda_1(\nu) - \lambda_2(\nu)}, & \text{if } h_{\text{F}}(\mu, \nu) \leq \lambda_1(\nu) - \lambda_2(\nu); \\ 1 + \frac{h_{\text{F}}(\mu, \nu) - (\lambda_1(\nu) - \lambda_2(\nu))}{\lambda_1(\nu) - \lambda_3(\nu)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.10** (Li-Pan-Xu)

If  $\nu$  is finitely supported,  $\langle \text{supp } \nu \rangle$  is Zariski dense and exponential separation, then

$$\dim_{\text{H}} \mu = \dim_{\text{LY}} \mu.$$

The equality  $\dim_{\text{H}} \mu = \dim_{\text{LY}} \mu$  was conjectured by Kaplan-Yorke and Douady-Oesterlé.

**Ledrappier-Young formula and projections.** The following is shown by Ledrappier-Lessa and Rapaport that there exists  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$  such that for almost every direction  $V$  and points  $y$ , the projection  $\dim \pi_{V^\perp} \mu = \gamma_1$  and the fiber  $\dim \mu_y^V = \gamma_2$ . Moreover, we have the **Ledrappier-Young formula**

$$\dim \mu = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, \quad h_F = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\gamma_1 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)\gamma_2.$$

Theorem 1.10 is then a direct consequence of the following result on the dimension of projection measures.

**Theorem 1.11** (Li-Pan-Xu)  $\gamma_1 = \min \{1, h_F/(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\}.$

## §2 Entropy growth argument of Hochman (Nov 16)

Last time we have mentioned that we want to compute the dimension of projection measures. The entropy growth argument of Hochman is a powerful tool to compute these quantities.

Recall the example of standard  $1/3$ -Cantor set. Let  $f_1, f_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  where  $f_1(x) = x/3$  and  $f_2(x) = (x+2)/3$ . The standard Cantor set

$$C_3 = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} [0, 1].$$

Consider the random walk  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{f_1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{f_2}$ . We have the weak convergence  $\nu^{*n} * \delta_0 \rightarrow \mu_3$ . For almost every  $x \in C_3$ , we have

$$\dim \mu_3 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_3(B(x, 1/3^n))}{\log(1/3^n)} = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3} = \frac{\text{Entropy}}{\text{Lyapunov exponent}}.$$

**Bernoulli convolution.** For  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we consider two matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -1 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with the fraction action on  $\mathbb{R}$ . That is,  $A_1 x = \lambda x + 1$  and  $A_2 x = \lambda x - 1$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{A_1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{A_2}$ . Then

$$\mu^{*n} * \delta_0 \rightarrow \mu_\lambda,$$

where  $\mu_\lambda$  is called **Bernoulli convolution**. Here  $\mu_\lambda$  is supported on  $I_\lambda = [-1/(1-\lambda), 1/(1-\lambda)]$ . The difficulty of studying  $\mu_\lambda$  comes from that  $A_1 I_\lambda$  and  $A_2 I_\lambda$  have some overlapping. Erdős first studied the absolute continuity of  $\mu_\lambda$ 's.

**Theorem 2.1** (Erdős)

$|\hat{\mu}_\lambda(\xi)| \not\rightarrow 0$  ( $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ ) if  $1/\lambda$  is a Pisot number ( $x$  is Pisot if  $x$  is an algebraic integer and all its Galois conjugates have absolute values less than 1).

**Conjecture 2.2**

$\mu_\lambda$  is absolutely continuous if  $\lambda > 1/2$  and  $1/\lambda$  is not Pisot.

**Theorem 2.3** (Solomyak) For almost every  $\lambda > 1/2$ ,  $\mu_\lambda$  is absolutely continuous.

**Theorem 2.4 (Shmerkin)**  $\dim_H \{ \lambda > 1/2 : \mu_\lambda \text{ is not absolutely continuous} \} = 0$ .

An application of Hochman's argument is computing the dimension of  $\mu_\lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.5 (Hochman)**

If  $\lambda$  is an algebraic number then  $\dim \mu_\lambda = \min \{ 1, -h_\lambda / (\log \lambda) \}$ , where  $h_\lambda$  is the Garcia entropy given by  $h_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(v^{*n}) = h_{RW}(v)$ .

In fact, Hochman's result requires such **exponential separation condition**: there exists  $C > 0$  such that for every  $n$  large enough and  $g_1 \neq g_2 \in \text{supp } v^{*n}$ ,  $d(g_1, g_2) > C^{-n}$ .

**Theorem 2.6 (Varjú)** If  $\lambda$  is transcendental then  $\dim \mu_\lambda = 1$ .

**The entropy argument.** We first recall some notions of the dimension of measures.

**Definition 2.7.**  $\mu$  is called **exact dimensional** if there exists  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x, r))}{\log r} = \alpha,$$

and  $\alpha$  is called the **exact dimension** of  $\mu$ .

Feng-Hu showed that  $\mu_\lambda$  is exact dimensional.

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\vartheta$  be a measurable partition of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The entropy

$$H(\mu, \vartheta) = \sum_{I \in \vartheta} -\mu(I) \log \mu(I).$$

Let  $\vartheta_n$  be the dyadic partition on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The following is a basic fact of exact dimensional measures (log is taking in base 2).

**Proposition 2.8**

If  $\mu$  is an exact dimensional probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\dim \mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\mu, \vartheta_n).$$

By stationarity, we have

$$H(\mu_\lambda, \vartheta_n) = H(v^{*n} * \mu, \vartheta_n).$$

We identify  $v^{*n}$  as probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  by the map

$$\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^n & \pm 1 \pm \lambda \pm \dots \pm \lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \pm 1 \pm \lambda \pm \dots \pm \lambda^{n-1}.$$

Then we have

$$v^{*n} = \sum_{I \in \vartheta_n} \mu^{*n}(I) \cdot \mu_I^{*n},$$

where  $\mu_I^{*n} = \mu^{*n}|_I / \mu^{*n}(I)$ .

Fix  $q$  sufficiently large. We choose  $n' = \lfloor n \log(1/\lambda) \rfloor$  and  $m = n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{qn} H(\mu, \vartheta_{qn+n'} | \vartheta_{n'}) &= \frac{1}{qn} H(v_I^{*n} * \mu, \vartheta_{qn+n'} | \vartheta_{n'}) \\ &\geq \sum_{I \in \vartheta_{n'}} v_I^{*n}(I) \frac{1}{qn} H(v_I^{*n} * \mu, \vartheta_{qn+n'} | \vartheta_{n'}) \quad (\text{concavity of entropy}) \\ &\geq \sum_{I \in \vartheta_{n'}} v_I^{*n}(I) \frac{1}{qn} H((v_I^{*n} * \delta_0) \boxplus (S_{\lambda^n})_* \mu, \vartheta_{qn+n'}). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $S_\lambda : x \mapsto \lambda x$ . The last inequality comes from  $\text{diam supp}(v_I^{*n} * \mu) \approx \text{diam supp}(v_I^{*n} * \delta_0) \approx \lambda^n$ . By our choice of  $n$ ,  $v_I^{*n} * \mu$  only intersects finite items of  $\vartheta_{n'}$ . If we consider the trivial bound of the above computation, we have

$$\frac{1}{qn} H((v_I^{*n} * \delta_0) \boxplus (S_{\lambda^n})_* \mu, \vartheta_{qn+n'}) \geq \frac{1}{qn} H((S_{\lambda^n})_* \mu, \vartheta_{qn+n'}) - o(1) \approx \frac{1}{qn} H(\mu, \vartheta_{qn}) \rightarrow \dim \mu.$$

This tells nothing to us. But if we assume for a contradiction that  $\dim \mu$  is strictly less than the expected value then there will be an entropy growth.

**Theorem 2.9 (Hochman, 14)**

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfying

- (1)  $\text{diam supp } \eta_1, \text{diam supp } \eta_2 \approx 2^{-k}$ ,
- (2)  $\frac{1}{n} H(\eta_1, \vartheta_{n+k})$ ,
- (3)  $\eta_2$  is  $\varepsilon$ -entropy porous and  $\dim \eta_2 < 1 - \varepsilon$ .

Then  $\frac{1}{n} H(\eta_1 \boxplus \eta_2, \vartheta_{n+k}) \geq \frac{1}{n} H(\mu, \vartheta_{n+k}) + \delta$ .

**Why positive entropy of  $v_I^{*n} * \delta_0$ ?** Here we will take  $\eta_1 = v_I^{*n} * \delta_0$  to obtain an entropy growth. The positivity of  $H(v_I^{*n} * \delta_0 | \vartheta_{n'})$  comes from the exponential separation and the contradiction hypothesis. Assume that  $\dim \mu < \min\{1, -h_\lambda / \log \lambda\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{qn} H(v_I^{*n}, \vartheta_{qn+n'} | \vartheta_{n'}) &= \frac{1}{qn} (H(v_I^{*n}, \vartheta_{qn+n'}) - H(v_I^{*n}, \vartheta_{n'})) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{qn} (H(v_I^{*n}) - H(v_I^{*n} * \delta_0, \vartheta_{n'})) \approx \frac{1}{qn} (nh_\lambda - n' \dim \mu) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

### §3 Variational principle of affinity exponents (Nov 21)

**Recall.**  $\Gamma = \pi_1(S_g)$  where  $S_g$  is the closed surface with genus  $g \geq 2$ .  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$  is an Anosov representation: there is  $C > 0$  such that for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}(\rho(\gamma)) < C e^{-|\gamma|/C},$$

where  $|\gamma|$  is the word length and  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \sigma_3$  are singular values. The affinity exponent is the critical exponent  $s_A(\rho) = \min\{s > 0 : P_\rho(s) < \infty\}$  where

$$P_\rho(s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)^s(\rho(\gamma)), & 0 < s \leq 1; \\ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)(\rho(\gamma)) \left(\frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1}\right)^{s-1}(\rho(\gamma)), & 1 < s \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

We further assume that  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ . We have

$$s_A(\rho) \geq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} L(\rho) \geq \sup \{ \mu : \mu \text{ is } \nu\text{-stationary} \},$$

where  $\nu$  is taken over all finitely supported probability measure on  $\rho(\Gamma)$  whose support generates a Zariski dense subgroup. We have shown that for these stationary measures  $\mu$  we have the dimension formula  $\dim \mu = \dim_{\mathrm{LY}} \mu$  [which is the most difficult part of the proof].

**Recall.** The Lyapunov dimension of  $\mu$  is

$$\dim_{\mathrm{LY}} \mu = \begin{cases} \frac{h_{\mathrm{F}}(\mu, \nu)}{\lambda_1(\nu) - \lambda_2(\nu)}, & \text{if } h_{\mathrm{F}}(\mu, \nu) \leq \lambda_1(\nu) - \lambda_2(\nu); \\ 1 + \frac{h_{\mathrm{F}}(\mu, \nu) - (\lambda_1(\nu) - \lambda_2(\nu))}{\lambda_1(\nu) - \lambda_3(\nu)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $h_{\mathrm{F}}(\mu, \nu)$  is the Furstenberg entropy and  $\lambda_i$  are Lyapunov exponents.

In practice, we want to replace the Furstenberg entropy with a more computable entropy, the **random walk entropy**

$$h_{\mathrm{RW}}(\nu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(\nu^{*n}).$$

A natural bound is  $h_{\mathrm{F}}(\mu, \nu) \leq h_{\mathrm{RW}}(\nu)$ . Conversely, let  $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$  be the unique  $\nu$ -stationary measure on the flag variety  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 : \dim V_1 = 1, \dim V_2 = 2\}$ , then

$$h_{\mathrm{RW}}(\nu) = h_{\mathrm{F}}(\mu_{\mathcal{F}}, \nu) \geq h_{\mathrm{F}}(\mu, \nu),$$

providing that  $\langle \mathrm{supp} \nu \rangle$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$  [Kaimanovich-Ledrappier].

Let us use the random walk on  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  to explain why the Furstenberg entropy occurs. Let  $\mu$  be a  $\nu$ -stationary measure on  $\mathbb{RP}^1$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{RP}^1$ , write  $x = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} y$ . Then

$$\mu(B(g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} y, r)) = \frac{\mu(B(g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} y, r))}{\mu(g_{i_1}^{-1} B(g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} y, r))} \times \frac{\mu(g_{i_1}^{-1} B(g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} y, r))}{\mu(g_{i_2}^{-1} g_{i_1}^{-1} B(g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} y, r))} \times \cdots.$$

The right hand side can be replace with

$$\frac{dg_{i_1}^{-1} \mu}{d\mu}(g_{i_1}^{-1} x) \times \frac{dg_{i_2}^{-1} \mu}{d\mu}(g_{i_2}^{-1} g_{i_1}^{-1} x) \times \cdots.$$

Using the ergodic theorem, the Furstenberg entropy occurs.

The identity between the Furstenberg entropy and the random walk entropy follows from properties of Anosov representations. For a representation  $\rho$ , there are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant maps  $\iota : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$  and  $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} : \partial\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  satisfying the following naturality commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{RP}^2 \\ & \searrow \iota_{\mathcal{F}} & \uparrow \pi \\ & & \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3) \end{array}.$$

We note that the image of  $\iota$  and  $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}$  are exactly the limit sets of  $\rho(\Gamma)$  on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  respectively. Moreover,  $\pi|_{\iota_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial\Gamma)}$  is injective. Therefore,  $\pi_* \mu_{\mathcal{F}} = \mu$  has the trivial fiber property. This gives the desired identity

$$h_{\mathrm{F}}(\mu, \nu) = h_{\mathrm{F}}(\mu_{\mathcal{F}}, \nu).$$

**Idea of finding good random walks.** We want to find  $\nu$  supported on  $\rho(\Gamma_N)$  where  $\Gamma_N = \{\gamma : |\gamma| = N\}$  satisfying

- $\text{supp } \nu$  freely generates a free semigroup. Then for  $\nu$  equally weighted on  $\text{supp } \nu$ , we have  $h_{\text{RW}}(\nu) = \log(\#\text{supp } \nu)$ .
- There exists  $x \in \mathfrak{a}^{++}$ , a positive Weyl chamber of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{R})$ , such that for every  $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_\ell \in \text{supp } \nu$ ,

$$|\kappa(\rho(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell)) - \ell x| < \varepsilon \ell,$$

where  $\kappa(\cdot)$  is the Cartan projection given by  $g \mapsto \log a$ , where  $k_1' a k_2 \in KA^+K$  is the Cartan decomposition of  $g$ . Then the Lyapunov vector is near  $x$ .

In this case, we can estimate the Lyapunov dimension of the  $\nu$ -stationary measure.

The approximation of affinity exponent by Lyapunov exponent follows by the expression of affinity exponents. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $s = s_A(\rho) - \varepsilon$ . Then the series  $P_\rho(s)$  diverges. Consequently, there is a sequence  $N_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$S_{N_k} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \psi_s(\rho(\gamma)) \in [N_k - 1, N_k]\}$$

has the cardinality at least  $e^{(1-\varepsilon)N_k}$ . Here,  $\psi_s$  is a linear form on  $\mathfrak{a} = \{\lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{R})$  given by

$$\psi_s(\lambda) = \begin{cases} s(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2), & s \leq 1; \\ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + (s-1)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3), & s > 1. \end{cases}$$

Applying a geometric group theoretic argument. We can find  $S'_{N_k} \subset S_{N_k}$  (not really contained in this  $S_{N_k}$ ) satisfying two conditions above and  $\#S'_{N_k} \geq e^{-\varepsilon N_k} \#S_{N_k}$ .

**Another application: the dimension gap of Anosov representations.**

**Theorem 3.1 (Ledrappier-Lessa, 23)**

For every Zariski dense Anosov representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} L_{\mathcal{F}}(\rho) \leq 5/2 < 3 = \dim \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

where  $L_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the limit set on the flag variety.

One of key ingredients of this result is the Ledrappier-Young formula. Every probability measure on  $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$  gives three random walks: on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . By applying Ledrappier-Young formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{RW}} &= r_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + r_2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) = r_1'(\lambda_2 - \lambda_2) + r_2'(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) \\ &= r_1''(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + r_2''(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) + r_3''(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $r_1, r_2, r_1', r_2' \leq 1$ , we obtain an upper bound of  $h_{\text{RW}}$ . By a direct computation, we have

$$\dim_{\text{LY}} \mu_{\mathcal{F}} = \max\{r_1'' + r_2'' + r_3'' : 0 \leq r_1'', r_2'', r_3'' \leq 1\} \leq \frac{5}{2}.$$

Applying the variational principle on the flag variety, we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}} L_{\mathcal{F}}(\rho) \leq s_A(\rho) \leq \sup\{\dim_{\text{LY}} \mu_{\mathcal{F}}\} \leq \frac{5}{2}.$$