

# Riemann Surfaces (Spring 2022, Bohan Fang)

Ajorda Jiao

## Contents

1. Feb 22	2
2. Feb 27	4
3. Mar 1	7
4. Mar 6	9
5. Mar 13	11
6. Mar 15	13
7. Mar 20	16
8. Mar 27	18
9. Mar 29	20
10. Apr 3	22
11. Apr 10	25
12. Apr 12	26
13. Apr 17	29

## §1. Feb 22

### §1.i. Riemann surfaces

**Definition 1.1.** A **Riemann surface**  $X$  is a connected one dimensional complex manifold.

#### Example 1.2 (Projective Line)

Let  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Consider

$$\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\})/\mathbb{C}^* : (z_1, z_2) \sim (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Equip with a homogeneous coordinate  $[z_0 : z_1] = [\lambda z_0 : \lambda z_1], \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Let  $U_0 = \{[1 : z_1]\}$  and  $U_1 = \{[z_0 : 1]\}$ , then  $\mathbb{P} = U_0 \cup U_1$ .

#### Example 1.3 (Complex Tori)

Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  be two complex numbers which are linearly independent on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ , which is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Consider  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$  as a quotient space. Then

$$\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X = \mathbb{C}/L$$

is a open map. It makes  $\mathbb{C}/L$  a complex manifold.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $f = f(z, w)$  be a polynomial in two variables. Define  $X = \{(z, w) : f(z, w) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  as an **affine plane curve**.

For a point  $p = (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , we say  $X$  is non-singular at  $p$  if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial w} \neq 0,$$

We say  $X$  is **smooth** if  $X$  is non-singular at every point.

**Fact 1.5.**  $f$  irreducible  $\iff X$  connected.

**Fact 1.6.** If  $f$  is irreducible and non-singular, then  $X$  is a Riemann surface.

**Definition 1.7.** The **projective plane** is

$$\mathbb{P}^2 := (\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{(0,0,0)\})/\mathbb{C}^*$$

equipped the homogeneous coordinate  $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] = [\lambda z_0 : \lambda z_1 : \lambda z_2], \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , that makes  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as a 2 dimensional compact complex manifold. The local charts  $U_i = \{[z_0, z_1, z_2] : z_i = 1\}$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .

For every homogeneous polynomial  $F$  in degree  $d$ , that is

$$F(\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2, \lambda z_3) = \lambda^d F(z_1, z_2, z_3), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We say  $X = \{F = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  a **projective plane curve**.

**Definition 1.8.** We say  $F$  **non-singular** if

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_0} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_2} = F = 0$$

has no solutions.

**Proposition 1.9** If  $F$  is non-singular, then  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface.

*Proof.* This proposition follows by the following lemma and fact. □

**Lemma 1.10**

Let  $F$  be a homogeneous polynomial.  $F$  is non-singular iff each  $X_i = X \cap U_i$  as an affine plane curve is smooth.

**Corollary 1.11**

$F$  is non-singular  $\iff X$  is a smooth one dimensional complex manifold.

**Fact 1.12.** If  $F$  is homogeneous non-singular, then  $F$  is irreducible.

**Complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .** Let  $F$  be a homogeneous polynomial in  $n + 1$  variables. Then  $\{F = 0\}$  is a hypersurface. Now we consider  $F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}$  are  $(n + 1)$  variables homogeneous polynomials. Let  $X = \bigcap \{F_i = 0\}$ , which is called a **complete intersection**.

**Definition 1.13.** We call  $X$  a **smooth complete intersection** in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if  $\left[ \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z_j} \right]$  is rank  $(n - 1)$  at every point in  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.14**

If  $X$  is a smooth complete intersection of  $(n - 1)$  polynomials, then  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface.

**Local complete intersection.**  $X = \bigcap_{\alpha} \{F_{\alpha} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  where  $F_{\alpha}$ 's are homogeneous polynomials. Near each point  $p \in X$ ,  $X$  is given by  $(n - 1)$  polynomials  $\{F_{\alpha_i} = 0\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .

**Fact 1.15.** Any compact Riemann surface is a local complete intersection.

## §1.ii. Functions

**Definition 1.16.** Define  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$  to be the holomorphic functions on a Riemann surface  $X$ . Let  $W \subset X$  be an open subset, define  $\mathcal{O}_X(W)$  to be the holomorphic functions on  $W$ .

Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function on a neighborhood of  $p$ . Then we can discuss that  $p$  is a (removable/pole/essential) singularity. We say  $f$  is meromorphic at  $p$  if  $p$  is a (removable/pole) singularity. We say  $f$  is **meromorphic** if  $f$  is meromorphic everywhere. Denote

$$\mathcal{M}_X(W) = \{f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is meromorphic}\}.$$

For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$  and  $p \in X$ , we can define the order  $\text{ord}_p(f)$  as the order of  $f \circ \phi^{-1}$  at  $\phi(p)$  for a local chart  $\phi : U \ni p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Some properties of meromorphic functions:

- $f$  has discrete zeros and poles.

- If  $f = g$  on  $S \subset W$  and  $S$  has limit points in  $W$ , then  $f = g$  in  $W$ .
- If there exists  $p \in W$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq |f(p)|$  for every  $x \in W$ , then  $f$  is constant. In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathbb{C}$  for every compact Riemann surface  $X$ .

**Example 1.17 (Meromorphic functions on projective line)**

Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ . For homogeneous polynomials  $p(x, w), q(z, w)$ , we consider  $r = p/q$ . Then  $r$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  iff  $\deg p = \deg q$ . Let  $[a_i : b_i]$  be all of poles and zeros, let  $e_i = \text{ord}_{[a_i : b_i]} f$ . Consider

$$r = \prod_i (b_i z - a_i w)^{e_i},$$

then  $\sum e_i = 0$ . Moreover, every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$  is of this form up to a constant.

## §2. Feb 27

### §2.i. Examples of meromorphic functions

**Example 2.1 (Meromorphic functions on complex tori)**

Let  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$  be the complex torus, where  $L = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , the upper half plane. There is no nontrivial holomorphic function on  $X$ .

Now we define a function on  $\mathbb{C}$  as

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i(n^2 \tau + 2nz)},$$

which converge locally uniformly. Hence  $\theta(z)$  is a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\theta(z+1) = \theta(z), \quad \theta(z+\tau) = e^{-\pi i(\tau+2z)} \theta(z).$$

Zeros of  $\theta$  are  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tau}{2} + L$ . Let  $x$  be a complex number, we consider

$$\theta^{(x)}(z) = \theta\left(z - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\tau}{2} - x\right).$$

Then

$$\theta^{(x)}(z+1) = \theta(z), \quad \theta^{(x)}(z+\tau) = -e^{-2\pi i(z-x)} \theta^{(x)}(z).$$

Consider the ratio

$$R(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m \theta^{(x_i)}(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{(y_i)}(z)}.$$

We want  $R(z)$  to be a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}/L$ . Thus we need

$$m = n, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then zeros of  $R$  are  $\{x_i\}$  and poles are  $\{y_i\}$ . In particular, the number of zeros equals to the number of poles. Moreover, every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}/L}$  is of this form up to a constant (see Example 3.3).

**Example 2.2** (Meromorphic functions on smooth plane curves)

Let  $X = \{f(x, y) = 0\}$  be a smooth plane curve in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Take two coprime polynomials  $g, h$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We want  $g/h$  to be a meromorphic function on  $X$ . Thus we need  $h \not\equiv 0$  on  $X$ . By Hilbert Nullstellensatz, it is equivalent to  $f \nmid h$ .

**Example 2.3** (Meromorphic functions on projective plane curves)

Let  $X = \{F(x, y) = 0\}$  be a smooth plane curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Take two coprime homogeneous polynomials  $G, H$  in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  with the same degree. We want  $G/H$  to be a meromorphic function on  $X$ . Thus we need  $H \not\equiv 0$  on  $X$ . It implies that  $F \nmid H$ . We will show later that all of meromorphic functions on  $X$  is of the form  $G/H$  by the compactness of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface, we say  $X$  is a **smooth projective curve** if  $X$  can be holomorphically embedded in a projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

The following fact will be shown later.

**Fact 2.5.** All compact Riemann surfaces are smooth projective curves.

**Example 2.6** A local complete intersection curve is a smooth projective curve.

**§2.ii. Holomorphic maps**

Let  $X, Y$  be two Riemann surfaces.

**Definition 2.7.** We say a map  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is **holomorphic at  $p \in X$**  if there are charts  $\phi_1 : U_1 \ni p \rightarrow V_1$  on  $X$  and  $\phi_2 : U_2 \ni F(p) \rightarrow V_2$  on  $Y$  such that  $\phi_2 \circ F \circ \phi_1$  is holomorphic. We say  $F$  is a **holomorphic map** if  $F$  is holomorphic everywhere.

Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic map and  $W$  be an open set in  $Y$ . Then  $F$  induces

$$F^* : \mathcal{O}_Y(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(F^{-1}W).$$

For meromorphic functions, we should be a little bit careful. That is, if  $F$  is not a constant then

$$F^* : \mathcal{M}_Y(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(F^{-1}W).$$

**Definition 2.8.** We say  $X$  and  $Y$  are isomorphism if there exists a bijective holomorphic map  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is isomorphism.

There are several basic properties of holomorphic maps.

- $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is a non-constant holomorphic map, then  $F$  is an open map.
- If  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is injective, then  $F$  is an isomorphism onto  $F(X)$ .
- If  $\{x : F(x) = G(x)\}$  contains a limit point, then  $F = G$ .

**Corollary 2.9**

Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map, then for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $F^{-1}(y)$  is discrete. In particular, if  $X$  is compact then  $F^{-1}(y)$  is finite.

**Proposition 2.10**

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface.  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is a non-constant holomorphic map. Then  $Y$  is compact and  $F$  is onto.

**Meromorphic functions.** For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ , we construct

$$F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad p \mapsto \begin{cases} [1 : f(p)], & p \text{ is not a pole;} \\ [0 : 1], & p \text{ is a pole.} \end{cases}$$

By the Laurent series at a pole, we know that  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is indeed a holomorphic map:

$$\mathcal{M}_X(X) \xrightarrow{1-1} \{F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 : \text{holomorphic}\}.$$

**Proposition 2.11 (Local normal form)**

Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map, let  $p \in X$  with  $F(p) = q$ . Then there exists a unique positive integer  $m$  such that for every local chart  $\phi_2 : U_2 \ni q \rightarrow V_2$ , there exists a chart  $\phi_1 : U_1 \ni p \rightarrow V_1$  such that

$$\phi_2 \circ F \circ \phi_1^{-1} : V_1 \rightarrow V_2, \quad z \mapsto z^m.$$

**Definition 2.12.** The unique integer  $m$  given above is called the **multiplicity** at  $p$ , denote it by  $\text{mult}_p F = m$ .

For  $p \in X$ , take a local chart such that  $z(p) = 0$ . Locally,  $F$  is given by

$$z \mapsto c + \sum_{n \geq m} c_n z^n$$

where  $c_n \neq 0$ . Then  $\text{mult}_p F = m$ . Or, if  $F$  is locally given by  $z \mapsto h(z)$ , then

$$\text{mult}_p F = 1 + \text{ord}_{z_0} \left( \frac{dh}{dz} \right)$$

where  $z_0 = z(p)$ .

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map. We say  $p \in X$  is a **ramification point** for  $F$  if  $\text{mult}_p F \geq 2$ . A point  $y \in Y$  is a **branch point** if  $F^{-1}(y)$  contains a ramification point.

**Example 2.14**

Let  $X = \{f(x, y) = 0\}$  be a smooth affine plane curve. We consider the holomorphic map

$$\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x.$$

Then  $\pi$  is ramified at  $p \in X$  iff  $(\partial f / \partial y)(p) = 0$ .

**Example 2.15**

Let  $X = \{F = 0\}$  be a smooth projective plane curve. We consider the holomorphic map

$$G : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad [x : y : z] \mapsto [x : z].$$

Then  $G$  is ramified at  $p \in X$  iff  $(\partial F / \partial y)(p) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.16** The set of ramification points is discrete.

**Degree of a map.** Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces. For every  $y \in Y$ , we define

$$d_y F = \sum_{x \in F^{-1}(y)} \text{mult}_x F.$$

**Proposition 2.17**  $d_y F$  is a constant, independent of  $y$ .

**Definition 2.18.** This constant is called the **degree** of  $F$ , denoted  $\deg F$ .

**Remark 2.19 —** We supplement the definition for a constant function as 0.

**§3. Mar 1**

*Proof of Proposition 2.17.* It suffices to show that  $\deg_y F$  is a locally constant function. Locally,  $f$  is given by  $z \mapsto z^m$ . Then for every  $w \neq 0$ ,  $\#f^{-1}(w) = m$ . Then  $\sum_{p \in f^{-1}w} \text{mult}_p f$  is locally constant. Since  $f^{-1}y$  is discrete for every  $y \in Y$ , by this normal form, we know that  $\deg_y F$  is locally constant.  $\square$

**Example 3.1**

Assume that  $X$  is compact. Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic map with  $\deg F = 1$ . Then  $F$  is a bijection. Since every bijective holomorphic map has a holomorphic inverse, we know that  $F$  is isomorphism.

**Example 3.2**

Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function on a compact space  $X$ . Assume that  $f$  has only one pole. Then  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  has degree 1 and hence  $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ .

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface, let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ . Regard  $f$  as a holomorphic map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $x_i$ 's be zeros of  $f$  and  $y_j$ 's be poles of  $f$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg f &= \sum_i \text{mult}_{x_i} f = \sum_i \text{ord}_{x_i} f \\ &= \sum_j \text{mult}_{y_j} f = \sum_j -\text{ord}_{y_j} f. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that  $\sum_i \text{ord}_{x_i} f + \sum_j \text{ord}_{y_j} f = 0$ .

**Example 3.3 (Meromorphic functions on complex tori)**

Let  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$  be a complex torus and  $f$  is a meromorphic function on  $X$ . Let  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  be zeros of  $f$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  be poles of  $f$ . Note that  $X$  is also an abelian group, we want to show that  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i$ .

If not, we can take  $p_0, q_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sum p_i = \sum q_i$ . By the construction in Example 2.1, we can choose  $R \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$  with zeros  $x_i$  and poles  $y_i$ . Then  $R/f$  is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}/L$  with only one pole. It follows that  $\mathbb{C}/L \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ , a contradiction.

**Topology of a compact Riemann surface.** The “topological invariant” for compact Riemann surfaces is genus  $g$ . Euler number  $2 - 2g$ .

**Theorem 3.4 (Hurwitz Formula)**

Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces. Then we have

$$2g(X) - 2 = (\deg F) \cdot (2g(Y) - 2) + \sum_{p \in X} (\text{mult}_p F - 1).$$

### §3.i. Examples of Riemann surfaces

**Line.** Any line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Conics.** We consider

$$F(x, y, z) = [x, y, z] \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & d & e \\ c & e & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = v^t A_F v.$$

Then  $F$  is non-singular iff  $\det A_F \neq 0$ . Note that if  $B = T^t A T$ , then the projective curves  $\{v^t A v = 0\}$  and  $\{v^t B v = 0\}$  are isomorphic. But for every complex symmetric matrix  $A$ , there exists  $T$  such that  $A = T^t T$  where  $\text{rank } T = \text{rank } A$ . In particular, every conic is isomorphic to

$$\{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0\}.$$

Now we consider a particular conic given by  $C = \{xz = y^2\}$ , which is a smooth curve. Then every point on  $C$  can be written as  $[r^2 : rs : s^2]$ . We consider the map

$$C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, \quad [r^2 : rs : s^2] \mapsto [r : s],$$

which gives an isomorphism between  $C$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Hence every smooth conic is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

In general, we can consider non-smooth conics. If  $\text{rank } A = 1$ , then the conic is a double line. If  $\text{rank } A = 2$ , then the conic is two intersecting lines.

**Hyperelliptic curves.** First, we need some preparation. For two Riemann surfaces  $X, Y$ , let  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  be two open sets. Let  $\phi : U \rightarrow V$  be an isomorphism. Then we can define the space  $X \coprod_{\phi} Y$  by gluing up  $U, V$  via  $\phi$ .

**Proposition 3.5**

Let  $X, Y$  be two Riemann surfaces, if  $X \coprod_{\phi} Y$  is Hausdorff then it is a Riemann surface.



Now we consider a polynomial  $h(x)$  with  $\deg h = 2g + 1 + \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon = 0, 1$ . Let  $X = \{y^2 = h(x)\}$ , which is a smooth plane curve. We consider  $U = \{x \neq 0\} \cap X \subset X$ . We also take  $k(z) = z^{2g+2}h(1/z)$  and  $Y = \{w^2 = k(z)\}$ . Let  $V = \{z \neq 0\} \cap Y \subset Y$  and

$$\phi : U \rightarrow V, \quad (x, y) \mapsto (z, w) = \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{y}{x^{g+1}}\right),$$

which is an isomorphism. Then  $Z = X \coprod_{\phi} Y$  is Hausdorff and compact since  $Z = \{|x| \leq 1\} \cup \{|z| \leq 1\}$ . Which implies that  $Z$  is a compact Riemann surface. The function  $x$  on  $X$  extends to a holomorphic map  $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then  $\deg \pi = 2$ .

The branch point of  $\pi$  is at 0 or  $\infty$ . If  $\epsilon = 0$ , it gives  $2g + 1$  ramification points at  $\{h = 0\}$  and one ramification point at  $\infty$ . If  $\epsilon = 1$ , then there are  $2g + 2$  ramification points at  $\{h = 0\}$ . By Hurwitz formula,

$$2g(Z) - 2 = 2(g(\mathbb{P}^1) - 2) + (2g + 2).$$

Hence  $g(Z) = g$ .

## §4. Mar 6

### §4.i. Examples of Riemann surfaces

Let us recall the smooth plane curve  $X = \{y^2 = h(x)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  with  $\deg h = 2g + 1$  or  $2g + 2$ . The space  $Z$  we constructed above is a compact Riemann surface. A Riemann surface constructed in this way is called a **hyperelliptic Riemann surface**.

Now we consider an involution map  $\sigma : Z \rightarrow Z$  given by  $\sigma(x, y) = (x, -y)$ . It is called the **hyperelliptic involution**. For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_Z(Z)$ , we consider the pullback  $\sigma^*f = f \circ \sigma$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_Z(Z)$  is called an involution invariant function if  $\sigma^*f = f$ .

#### Proposition 4.2

Every involution invariant function on  $Z$  is of the form  $f = \pi^*r$  where  $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is the projection defined above and  $r \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ .

For general meromorphic functions  $f \in \mathcal{M}_Z(Z)$ , we can separate  $f$  into  $f = f^+ + f^-$  where

$$f^+ = \frac{1}{2}(f + \sigma^*f), \quad f^- = \frac{1}{2}(f - \sigma^*f).$$

Then  $f$  can be written as  $f = r + ys$  since  $y$  is anti  $\sigma$ -invariant.

**Maps between complex tori.** We consider a holomorphic map  $F : \mathbb{C}/L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/M$  where  $L, M$  are rank 2 lattices in  $\mathbb{C}$ . After a translation if necessary, we can assume that  $F(0) = 0$ . By Hurwitz formula,  $F$  is unramified. If  $F$  is not a constant, then  $F$  is a covering map. Let  $G : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the corresponding map on the universal cover, we also assume that  $G(0) = 0$ . Then

$$G(z + l) \equiv G(z) \pmod{M}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, l \in L.$$

We consider  $\omega(z, l) = G(z + l) - G(z)$ , which is constant with respect to  $z$ . We abbreviate it into  $\omega(l)$ . If  $\omega(l) = 0$  then  $G$  is periodic and hence constant. Moreover, we can show that every  $G$  is of the form  $\gamma z$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\gamma L \subset M$ .

**Proposition 4.3**

Any holomorphic map  $F : \mathbb{C}/L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/M$  can be lift to  $G = \gamma z + a$ . The degree of  $F$  equals to  $[M : \gamma L]$ .

Now we want to determine the automorphisms on  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$ . Write  $L = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ . If  $F : X \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism, then  $\deg F = 1$ . Hence  $\gamma L = L$ . Note that  $\|\gamma\|$  is forced to be 1, and we can only consider the case that  $\gamma \notin \mathbb{R}$ . Take  $l \neq 0 \in L$  with the minimal length, then  $\{l, \gamma l\}$  is a basis of  $L$ . We consider  $G^2(l) = \gamma^2 l$ , which can be written as  $\gamma^2 l = m\gamma l + nl$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is a root of  $z^2 - mz - n = 0$ . Combining with  $\|\gamma\| = 1$ ,  $\gamma$  can only 4-th or 6-th roots of unity. Then there are only three cases:

- (1)  $L$  is square, then  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/L) = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2)  $L$  is hexagonal, then  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/L) = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (3)  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/L) = \{\pm \text{id}\}$ .

In general, let  $\mathbb{C}/L$  and  $\mathbb{C}/L'$  be two complex tori with  $L = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$  and  $L' = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau'\mathbb{Z}$ . They are isomorphism iff there exists  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $(a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d) = \tau'$ .

**Plugging holes in Riemann surfaces.**

**Definition 4.4.**  $X$  a Riemann surface. A **hole chart** on  $X$  is a chart  $\phi : U \subset X \rightarrow V$  such that

- (i)  $V$  contains an open punctured disc  $D_0 = \{z : 0 < \|z - z_0\| < \varepsilon_0\}$ .
- (ii)  $\phi^{-1}(D_0) \subset U$  and  $\phi(\phi^{-1}(D_0)) = \{z : 0 < \|z - z_0\| \leq \varepsilon_0\}$ .

**Example 4.5**

1.  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  has a hole chart near 0.
2.  $\mathbb{C}$  has a hole chart near  $\infty$ .
3.  $D = \{z : \|z\| < 1\}$  has no hole chart near  $\|z\| = 1$ .

If  $X$  has a hole chart, then we can construct  $\widehat{X} = X \sqcup \{\text{pt}\}$  such that  $\widehat{U} = U \sqcup \{\text{pt}\}$  is open and has a corresponding chart  $\phi : \widehat{U} \rightarrow V \cup \{z_0\}$ . This is the operation of plugging a hole.

**Example 4.6** The projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$  can be obtained by plugging the hole  $\infty$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Nodes of a plane curve.** Let  $X$  be an affine plane curve given by  $f(z, w) = 0$ . A point  $p$  is called a **node** if  $\partial f / \partial z(p) = \partial f / \partial w(p) = 0$  but the Hessian is nonsingular at  $p$ .

**Example 4.7**  $f = (z - z_0)(w - w_0)$ .

If  $X$  has a node  $p = (z_0, w_0)$ . Then we can write  $f$  as

$$f(z, w) = l_1(z - z_0, w - w_0)l_2(z - z_0, w - w_0) + \text{higher order terms}$$

where  $l_i$  are distinct linear homogeneous polynomials. Then we can write  $f = gh$  locally. In particular,  $f = 0$  iff  $g = 0$  or  $h = 0$ . Then we can separate  $X$  into  $X_g = \{g = 0\}$  and  $X_h = \{h = 0\}$  near  $p$ . We can delate  $p$  in both  $X_g$  and  $X_h$ . Then we plugging two points in  $X_g, X_h$  respectively. This is a process that we resolve a node. Such process can also be preformed for a projective plane curve.

**Proposition 4.8**

Let  $F(x, y, z)$  be an irreducible homogeneous polynomial. Let  $X = \{F = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ . Assume that  $F$  has only finitely many singularities and all of them are nodes. Then the Riemann surface obtained by resolving nodes of  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface.

**Genus of projective plane curves.** Let  $X$  be a non-singular projective plane curve with degree  $d$ . We will show that the genus of  $X$  equals to  $(d-1)(d-2)/2$ , which is known as Plücker's formula.

**Example 4.9** The Fermat curve  $X = \{x^d + y^d + z^d = 0\}$  has genus  $(d-1)(d-2)/2$ .

More general, for a nodal projective curve, we have a formula for the genus of resolved curve.

**Theorem 4.10** (Plücker's formula)

Let  $X$  be a projective plane curve of degree  $d$  with  $n$  nodes and no other singularities. Then

$$g(X) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - n.$$

**§5. Mar 13****§5.i. Forms**

Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface. A **holomorphic form**  $\omega$  on  $X$  is a collection of  $\{\omega_i = f_i dz_i\}$  on an atlas  $\{U_i\}$  where  $f_i$  are holomorphic such that they agree on  $V_{i,j} = U_i \cap U_j$ . Similarly, a **meromorphic form** is a collection of  $\{\omega_i = f_i dz_i\}$  where  $f_i$  are meromorphic.

Let  $\omega$  be a meromorphic form on  $X$ . Let  $p \in X$  and  $p \in U \subset X$ . Assume that  $\omega = f(z)dz$  on  $U$ . We define the **order** of  $\omega$  at  $p$  as  $\text{ord}_p \omega := \text{ord}_p f$ . This definition is independent with the choice of coordinate charts.

**Differential forms.** Regarding  $\mathbb{C}$  as a real manifold, we write  $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$ . Then  $dz = dx + \sqrt{-1}dy$  and  $d\bar{z} = dx - \sqrt{-1}dy$ , both of them lie in  $T^*\mathbb{C}$ . Now we consider the tangent bundle  $T\mathbb{C}$  with basis  $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right\}$ . Then the dual basis of  $dz, d\bar{z}$  in  $T\mathbb{C}$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

Let  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  be a complex valued function, then  $f$  is holomorphic iff  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f = 0$  [Cauchy-Riemann function]. Similarly, we consider a  $C^\infty$  1-form  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is,  $\omega$  is a  $C^\infty$  section of  $T^*\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Locally, we write

$$\omega = f(z, \bar{z})dz + g(z, \bar{z})d\bar{z}.$$

Let  $z = T(w)$  be a change of coordinate, we have

$$\omega = f(T(w), \overline{T(w)})T'(w)dw + g(T(w), \overline{T(w)})\overline{T'(w)}d\bar{w}.$$

We say an element in  $\langle dz \rangle$  a **(1, 0)-form** and an element in  $\langle d\bar{z} \rangle$  a **(0, 1)-form**. Then

$$T^*X \otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{(1,0)}X \oplus T^{(0,1)}X.$$

Now we consider the 2-form on  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e., a section in  $\bigwedge^2(T^*\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\bigwedge^2(T^*\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is spanned by  $dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ , we also call them  $(1, 1)$ -form. The transition formula is given by

$$dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \|T'(w)\|^2 dw \wedge d\bar{w}$$

where  $z = T(w)$ .

**Operations.** Let  $f$  be a  $C^\infty$  function. We define differentiations

$$\partial f = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f dz, \quad \bar{\partial} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f d\bar{z}.$$

Then they are  $(1, 0)$ -form and  $(0, 1)$ -form respectively. We define the 1-form  $df := \partial f + \bar{\partial} f$ .

For a 1-form  $\omega = f dz + g d\bar{z}$ , we define

$$d\omega = df dz + dg d\bar{z} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Note that  $\partial\partial = 0$ ,  $\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial} = 0$  and  $\partial\bar{\partial} = -\bar{\partial}\partial$ , we have  $d^2 = 0$ .

**Definition 5.1.** A  $C^\infty$  function  $f$  is called **harmonic** if  $\partial\bar{\partial}f = 0$ . A  $C^\infty$  1-form  $\omega$  is called  $d$ -closed (resp.  $\partial$ -closed,  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed) if  $d\omega = 0$  (resp.  $\partial\omega = 0$ ,  $\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ ).

Then a  $(1, 0)$ -form  $\omega$  is holomorphic iff  $d\omega = \bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ .

**Pull back.** Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic map. Locally it is given by  $z \mapsto w(z)$ . For a 1-form  $\omega = f dw + g d\bar{w}$  on  $Y$ . We define the pull back

$$F^*\omega = f(w(z), \overline{w(z)})w'(z)dz + g(w(z), \overline{w(z)})\overline{w'(z)}d\bar{z}$$

on  $X$ , which is 1-form. Note that  $F^*$  commutes with all differentiations.  $F^*$  also preserves holomorphicity and the type of forms.

Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a holomorphic map and  $\omega$  be a meromorphic form on  $Y$ . For  $p \in X$ , we have

$$\text{ord}_p(F^*\omega) = (1 + \text{ord}_p(\omega)) \text{mult}_p F - 1.$$

**Notation.** We use the following notation in later discussion.

$$\mathcal{E}^\square(U) = \{C^\infty \square\text{-forms on } U\},$$

where  $\square = (0), (1), (1, 0), (0, 1), (2)$ , where  $C^\infty$  0-form is the  $C^\infty$  function.

$$\mathcal{O}(U) = \{\text{holomorphic functions } f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}, \quad \Omega^1(U) = \{\text{holomorphic forms on } U\}.$$

$$\mathcal{M}(U) = \{\text{meromorphic functions } f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}, \quad \mathcal{M}^{(1)}(U) = \{\text{meromorphic forms on } U\}.$$

**Proposition 5.2 (Poincaré's Lemma)**

Let  $\omega$  be a 1-form with  $d\omega = 0$  on an open set  $U$ . Let  $p \in U$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $V \ni p$  and a  $C^\infty$  function  $f$  on  $V$  such that  $\omega = df$  on  $V$ .

**Proposition 5.3 (Dolbeault's Lemma)**

Let  $\omega$  be a  $C^\infty$   $(0, 1)$ -form on an open set  $U$ . Let  $p \in U$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $V \ni p$  and a  $C^\infty$  function  $f$  on  $V$  such that  $\omega = \bar{\partial}f$  on  $V$ .

### §5.ii. Integral

Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}^1(X)$  and  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$  be a path. The integral is defined as

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = \sum_i \int_{a_i}^{b_i} (f_i(z(t), \overline{z(t)})z'(t) + g_i(z(t), \overline{z(t)})\overline{z'(t)})$$

where  $\omega = f_i dz + g_i d\bar{z}$  is the local representation.

Now we consider a meromorphic form  $\omega$ , let  $p$  be a pole and  $\gamma$  be a small path enclosing  $p$  and no other poles. We define the **Residue** as

$$\text{Res}_p \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma} \omega.$$

**Lemma 5.4** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , then

$$\text{Res}_p \frac{df}{f} = \text{ord}_p f.$$

We can also define the integral for 2-forms similarly.

**Theorem 5.5 (Stoke's Theorem)**

Let  $D$  be a triangulable closed set on  $X$  and  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}^1(X)$ , then

$$\int_{\partial D} \omega = \int_D d\omega.$$

**Theorem 5.6 (The Residue Theorem)**

Let  $\omega$  be a meromorphic 1-form on a compact Riemann surface. Then

$$\sum_{p \in X} \text{Res}_p \omega = 0.$$

## §6. Mar 15

### §6.i. Divisors

Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface. Let  $\mathbb{Z}^X$  be the set of all functions  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . For every  $D \in \mathbb{Z}^X$ , define the **support**  $\text{supp } D = \{x \in X : D(x) \neq 0\}$ .

**Definition 6.1.** We say  $D \in \mathbb{Z}^X$  a **divisor** if  $\text{supp } D$  is discrete.

For a divisor  $D$ , we write

$$D = \sum_{p \in \text{supp } D} D(p)p,$$

where  $D(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In the case  $X$  is compact, we define

$$\deg D = \sum_{p \in X} D(p),$$

which is finite. Then

$$\text{Div}(X) = \{\text{divisors on } X\} \subset \text{Div}_0(X) = \{\text{divisors on } X \text{ with } \deg = 0\}.$$

**Divisors of meromorphic functions.** For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , we define

$$\text{div}(f) = \sum_p \text{ord}_p(f)p \in \text{Div}(X).$$

If  $X$  is compact, then  $\text{div}(f) \in \text{Div}_0(X)$ .

**Definition 6.2.** We define the family of **principle divisors**

$$\text{PDiv}(X) = \{\text{div}(f) : f \in \mathcal{M}(X)\}.$$

**Example 6.3** If  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  then  $\text{PDiv}(X) = \text{Div}_0(X)$ .

For  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , we denote

$$\text{div}_0(f) = \sum_{\text{ord}_p(f) > 0} \text{ord}_p(f)p, \quad \text{div}_\infty(f) = - \sum_{\text{ord}_p(f) < 0} \text{ord}_p(f)p.$$

Then  $\text{div}(f) = \text{div}_0(f) - \text{div}_\infty(f)$ .

**Divisors of meromorphic forms.** For every  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ , we define

$$\text{div}(\omega) = \sum_p \text{ord}_p(\omega)p \in \text{Div}(X).$$

But the degree is not necessary zero. For example, we consider the  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{P}^1)$  as  $\omega = dz$ . Then  $\omega = -w^{-2}dw$  near  $\infty$ . Hence  $\text{div}(\omega) = -2 \cdot \infty$  and  $\deg \omega = -2$ .

Similarly, we define

$$\text{KDiv}(X) = \{\text{div}(\omega) : \omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)\}.$$

Note that for every given  $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ , the quotient  $\omega_1/\omega_0 \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . Hence

$$\text{KDiv}(X) = \text{div}(\omega) + \text{PDiv}(X)$$

for some  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ .

**Definition 6.4.** A divisor in  $\text{KDiv}(X)$  is called a **canonical divisor**.

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface. Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be a non-constant holomorphic map. Let  $\omega = dz \in \mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{P}^1)$ , then  $\deg \omega = 2$ . Assume that  $\deg f = d$ , then by Hurwitz formula

$$2g - 2 = d(-2) + \sum_p (\text{mult}_p f - 1).$$

Recall that  $\text{ord}_p f^* \omega = (1 + \text{ord}_{f(p)} \omega) \text{mult}_p f - 1$ . Let  $\eta = f^* \omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \eta &= \sum_p ((1 + \text{ord}_{f(p)} \omega) \text{mult}_p f - 1) \\ &= \sum_p (\text{mult}_p f - 1) - 2 \sum_{p \in f^{-1}(\infty)} \text{mult}_p f = 2g - 2. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 6.5**

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface, then for every  $\omega \in \text{KDiv}(X)$ ,  $\deg \omega = 2g - 2$ .

**Divisors of holomorphic maps.** Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map.

**Definition 6.6.** For every  $q \in Y$ , we define the **inverse image divisor** of  $q$  as

$$F^*q := \sum_{p \in F^{-1}q} (\text{mult}_p F) p.$$

More general, for a divisor  $D \in \text{Div}(Y)$ , we can define the pull back  $F^*D$  to be a divisor in  $\text{Div}(X)$ . Then

$$F^* : \text{Div}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X), \quad \text{PDiv}(X) \rightarrow \text{PDiv}(Y).$$

And  $\deg F^*D = \deg F \cdot \deg D$ .

**Definition 6.7.** The **ramification divisor** of  $F$  is a divisor on  $X$  as

$$R_F := \sum_{p \in X} (\text{mult}_p F - 1) p.$$

The **branch divisor** of  $F$  is a divisor on  $Y$  as

$$B_F := \sum_{q \in Y} \left( \sum_{p \in F^{-1}(q)} (\text{mult}_p F - 1) \right) q.$$

Then we have

$$\text{div}(F^*\omega) = F^*(\text{div}(\omega)) + R_F.$$

Combining with  $\deg \omega = 2g - 2$ , this gives a more precise version of Hurwitz formula.

**Intersection divisors.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve, that is,  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  for some  $n$ . Let  $G$  be a homogeneous polynomial with  $G \not\equiv 0$  on  $X$ . We want to define a corresponding divisor of  $G$ . For every  $p$  with  $G(p) \neq 0$ , we need  $\text{div}(G)(p) = 0$ . For every  $p$  with  $G(p) = 0$ , we choose a homogeneous polynomial  $H$  with  $\deg G = \deg H$  and  $H(p) \neq 0$ . Then  $G/H$  is a meromorphic function on  $X$ . Then we define

$$\text{div}(G)(p) := \text{ord}_p(G/H).$$

This is well-defined.

**Definition 6.8.** The divisor  $\text{div}(G)$  is called the **intersection divisor** of  $G$ .

Note that for every  $G_1, G_2$  with the same degree, we have

$$\text{div}(G_1) - \text{div}(G_2) = \text{div}(G_1/G_2) \in \text{PDiv}(X).$$

**Partial ordering on divisors.** For two divisors, we define  $D_1 \geq D_2$  if  $D_1(p) \geq D_2(p)$  for every  $p \in X$ . For a meromorphic function  $f$ ,  $f$  is holomorphic iff  $\text{div}(f) \geq 0$ .

## §6.ii. Linear equivalence of divisors

For  $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(X)$ , we define  $D_1 \sim D_2$  if  $D_1 - D_2 \in \text{PDiv}(X)$ .

### Example 6.9

1. On  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $D_1 \sim D_2$  iff  $\deg D_1 = \deg D_2$ .
2. On complex torus  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$ , then  $D = \sum n_i \cdot p_i \in \text{PDiv}(X)$  iff  $\sum n_i p_i = 0$  (regarding  $X$  as a abelian group).

**Theorem 6.10** (Abel's Theorem)

Let  $X$  be complex torus, then  $D \in \text{PDiv}(X)$  if and only if  $\deg D = 0$  and  $A(D) = 0$ , where  $A : \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow X$  is the **Abel-Jacobi** map given by  $D = \sum n_i \cdot p_i \mapsto \sum n_i p_i$ .

**Degree of smooth projective curve.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve. We define the **degree of  $X$**  as

$$\deg X := \deg \text{div } H$$

for any linear homogeneous polynomial  $H$  with  $H|_X \neq 0$ . Such  $\text{div } H$  is called **hyperplane divisor**. For every homogeneous polynomials  $G_1, G_2$  with  $\deg G_1 = \deg G_2$ , we have  $\text{div}(G_1) \sim \text{div}(G_2)$ .

**Proposition 6.11**

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective plane curve given by  $F(x, y, z) = 0$ . Then  $\deg X = \deg F$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $G = x$  and  $[0 : 0 : 1] \notin X$ . Then  $h = x/y$  is a meromorphic function and  $\text{div}(G) = \text{div}_0(h)$ . Indeed,  $\text{div}_0(h) = \deg F$  since it has  $d$  solutions.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.12** (Bezout's Theorem)

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve. Let  $G$  be a homogeneous polynomial with  $G|_X \neq 0$ . Then

$$\deg \text{div}(G) = \deg(G) \deg(X).$$

**Plücker's formula.** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective plane curve given by  $F(x, y, z) = 0$ . Assume that  $[0, 1, 0] \notin X$  and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $[x, y, z] \mapsto [x : z]$ .

**Proposition 6.13**  $\text{div}(\partial F / \partial y) = R_\pi$ .

**§7. Mar 20**

Let  $F = F(x, y, z)$  be a homogeneous polynomial with degree  $d$ , then  $\deg \text{div}(\partial F / \partial y) = d(d-1)$  by Bezout's theorem. Note that the degree of  $\pi$  equals  $d$ , combining with Hurwitz formula, we get

**Theorem 7.1** (Plücker's formula)

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective plane curve of degree  $d$ . Then

$$g(X) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}.$$

**§7.i. Space associated to a divisor**

First we define  $\text{div } 0 = +\infty$ . For every divisor  $D$ , we define the space

$$L(D) := \{f \in \mathcal{M}(X) : \text{div } f + D \geq 0\},$$



which is a complex vector space. Note that  $L(0) = \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $L(D_1) \subset L(D_2)$  provided  $D_1 \leq D_2$ . If  $X$  is compact, then  $L(D) = \{0\}$  for every  $D$  with negative degree.

**Linear system.** Let  $D$  be a divisor and we define the **complete linear system**

$$|D| := \{E \in \text{Div}(X) : E \sim D, E \geq 0\}.$$

Note that

- If  $X$  is compact and  $\deg D < 0$ , then  $|D|$  is empty.
- If  $f \in L(D)$ , then  $E = \text{div}(f) + D \geq 0$  hence  $E \in |D|$ .

### Lemma 7.2

If  $X$  is compact, then  $S : \mathbb{P}(L(D)) \rightarrow |D|$  given by  $f \mapsto \text{div}(f) + D$  is a 1-1 correspondence.

A general **linear system** is a subset of a complete linear system corresponding to a linear subspace of  $L(D)$ .

**Linear equivalent divisors.** If  $D_1 \sim D_2$ , then there exists  $h \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $D_1 = D_2 + \text{div}(h)$ . Then  $L(D_1) \simeq L(D_2)$  given by  $f \mapsto hf$ .

**The space  $L^{(1)}(D)$ .** Now we define the space

$$L^{(1)}(D) := \left\{ \omega \in \mathcal{M}^{(1)}(X) : \text{div } \omega + D \geq 0 \right\}.$$

If  $K$  is a canonical divisor, that is  $K = \text{div } \omega$  for some  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ . Then

$$\mu_\omega : L(D + K) \rightarrow L^{(1)}(D), \quad f \mapsto f\omega$$

gives an isomorphism.

### Example 7.3 (On projective line)

We consider divisors on the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \lambda_i + e_\infty \cdot \infty$ . We consider

$$f_D = \prod_{i=1}^n (z - \lambda_i)^{-e_i}.$$

**Claim 7.4.**  $L(D) = \{g(z)f_D(z) : g \text{ is a polynomial with degree at most } \deg D\}$ .

### Example 7.5 (On complex tori)

Let  $D$  be a divisor on a complex torus  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$ . We have

- (1) If  $\deg D < 0$ , then  $L(D) = \{0\}$ .
- (2) If  $\deg D = 0$  and  $D \sim 0$ , then  $L(D) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .
- (3) If  $\deg D = 0$  and  $D \not\sim 0$ , then  $L(D) = \{0\}$ .
- (4) If  $\deg D > 0$ , then  $\dim L(D) = \deg D$ . This can be shown by induction on  $\deg D$ .

In general, we have

**Lemma 7.6**

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface and  $D$  be a divisor,  $p \in X$  be a point. Then either  $L(D - p) = L(D)$  or  $L(D - p)$  has codimension one in  $L(D)$ .

**Corollary 7.7**

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface and  $D$  be a divisor, then both  $L(D)$  and  $L^{(1)}(D)$  are of finite dimensional.

## §8. Mar 27

### §8.i. Maps to the projective space

**Definition 8.1.** Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface. We call a map  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is **holomorphic at**  $p \in X$  if there are holomorphic functions  $g_0, \dots, g_n$  defined near  $p$ , not all zero at  $p$ , such that  $\phi(x) = [g_0(x) : \dots : g_n(x)]$  near  $p$ .

Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface and  $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$  where  $f_i \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . We define

$$\phi_f(p) := [f_0(p) : f_1(p) : \dots : f_n(p)] \in \mathbb{P}^n.$$

A priori,  $\phi_f$  is defined at  $p$  if

- $p$  is not a zero of every  $f_i$ , and
- $p$  is not a pole of any  $f_i$ .

Moreover,  $\phi_f$  is holomorphic at such  $p$ 's. In fact,  $\phi_f$  can be extended to all points, in such a way that  $\phi_f$  is holomorphic. For  $p \in X$ , let  $m = \min \text{ord}_p f_i$ . Then functions  $z^m f_i$  satisfy above two conditions at  $p$ . We define

$$\phi_f := [z^{-m} f_0 : \dots : z^{-m} f_n]$$

near  $p$ . This definition corresponds to the original definition at other points by the homogeneous property of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

**Remark 8.2** — If  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is a holomorphic map, then  $\phi = \phi_f$  for some  $f$ .

Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a holomorphic map given by  $\phi = [f_0 : \dots : f_n]$  where  $f_i \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . Let

$$D = -\min_i \text{div}(f_i).$$

Then  $-D \leq \text{div}(f_i)$  for each  $i$  and hence  $f_i \in L(D)$ . Let

$$V_f := \left\{ \sum_i a_i f_i : a_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

which is a subspace of  $L(D)$ . We define the **linear system of  $\phi$**  as

$$|\phi| := \{ \text{div}(g) + D : g \in V_f \} \subset |D|.$$

This definition is independent with the choice of  $f_0, \dots, f_n$ . In fact, if  $\phi = [g_0, \dots, g_n]$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $g_i = \lambda f_i$ .

**Fact 8.3.** For every  $p \in X$ , there exists  $E \in |\phi|$  such that  $p \notin \text{supp } E$ .

A linear system with dimension  $n$  whose divisors all have degree  $d$  is called a  $g_d^n$ .

**Question 8.4.** Which  $g_d^n$ 's can be the linear systems of a holomorphic map?

**Definition 8.5.** Let  $Q$  be a linear system, a point  $p$  is called a **base point** of  $Q$  if  $E \geq p$  for every  $E \in Q$ . We say  $Q$  is **base-point-free (or free)** if it has no base points.

In particular,  $|\phi|$  is free.

Let  $Q \subset |D|$  be a linear system and  $V \subset L(D)$  be the vector space corresponds to  $Q$ . If  $p$  is a base point of  $Q$ , then for every  $f \in V$ , we have  $f \in L(D - p)$ .

**Lemma 8.6**

A point  $p \in X$  is a base point of  $Q \subset |D|$  iff  $V \subset L(D - p)$  where  $V$  is the vector space corresponding to  $Q$ . In particular,  $p$  is a base point of  $|D|$  iff  $L(D) = L(D - p)$ .

**Proposition 8.7**

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface. Then  $p \in X$  is a base point of  $D$  iff  $\dim L(D) = \dim L(D - p)$ .

**Example 8.8**

If  $X$  is a complex torus, then  $\dim L(D) = \deg D$  if  $\deg D \geq 1$ . Then  $L(D)$  is base-point-free if  $\deg D \geq 2$ .

**The hyperplane divisor of a holomorphic map to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .** Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a holomorphic map where  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface. Let  $H$  be a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  given by  $\{L = 0\}$  with  $\deg L = 1$ .

For every  $p \in X$ , let  $M$  be a linear homogeneous function with  $M(p) \neq 0$ . Let  $h = (L/M) \circ \phi$  which is a meromorphic function on  $X$ . We define the divisor  $\phi^*(H)$  as  $\phi^*(H)(p) = \text{ord}_p h$ . This definition is independent with the choice of  $M$ . Such divisor is called the **hyperplane divisor** for the map  $\phi$ .

**Proposition 8.9**

If  $\phi = [f_0 : f_1 : \dots : f_n]$  and  $H = \{\sum_i a_i x_i = 0\}$ , then

$$\phi^*(H) = \text{div} \left( \sum_i a_i f_i \right) - \min_i \{ \text{div } f_i \}.$$

**Corollary 8.10**  $\{\phi^*(H) : H \text{ is a hyperplane}\} = |\phi|$ .

### Defining a holomorphic map via a linear system.

#### Proposition 8.11

Let  $Q \subset |D|$  be a base-point-free linear system of (projective) dimension  $n$  on a compact Riemann surface. Then there exists a holomorphic map  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $Q = |\phi|$ . Moreover, such  $\phi$  is unique up to the choice of coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Let  $|D|$  be a complete linear system, which may have base points. Let  $F = \min \{E : E \in |D|\}$ . Then we have  $|D| = F + |D - F|$  and  $L(D - F) = L(D)$ . Hence  $|D - F|$  is a base-point-free linear system which corresponds to the same linear space with  $|D|$ .

By the previous discussions, we can construct a holomorphic map  $\phi_D : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  corresponding to a complete linear system  $|D|$  without base points. We want to study when  $\phi_D$  is an embedding.

#### Proposition 8.12

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface and  $|D|$  be a complete linear system without base points. Then there exists  $p \neq q$  such that  $\phi_D(p) = \phi_D(q)$  iff  $L(D - p - q) = L(D - p) = L(D - q)$ .

#### Corollary 8.13

$\phi_D$  is 1-1 if  $\dim L(D - p - q) = \dim L(D) - 2$  for every pair of distinct points  $p$  and  $q$ .

## §9. Mar 29

### §9.i. Maps to the projective space

Even if  $\phi_D$  is 1-1, the image of  $\phi_D$  may not be a holomorphically embedded Riemann surface.

#### Example 9.1

Consider the map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  given by  $z \mapsto [1 : z^2 : z^3]$ . Then it corresponds to  $\{x^3 = y^2\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ .

#### Lemma 9.2

Assume that  $\phi_D$  is 1-1. For every  $p \in X$ , the image of  $\phi_D$  is holomorphically embedded near  $\phi_D(p)$  iff  $L(D - 2p) \neq L(D - p)$ .

#### Proposition 9.3

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface and  $|D|$  be a complete linear system without base points. The  $\phi_D$  is a holomorphic embedding iff  $\dim L(D - p - q) = \dim L(D) - 2$  for every  $p, q \in X$ .

**Definition 9.4.** A divisor  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  is called **very ample** if  $D$  is base-point-free and  $\phi_D$  is a holomorphic embedding.  $D$  is called **ample** if there exists  $m > 0$  such that  $mD$  is very ample.

**The degree of the image and the map.** Suppose that  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is a holomorphic map such that  $\phi(X) = Y$  is a smooth projective curve. Let  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a hyperplane.

**Proposition 9.5**  $\deg \phi^*(H) = \deg \phi \cdot \deg Y$ .

**Corollary 9.6** If  $D$  is a very ample divisor, then  $\deg \phi(X) = \deg D$ .

## §9.ii. Algebraic curves

**Definition 9.7.** A compact Riemann surface  $X$  is called an **algebraic curve** if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- **Separating points.** For every  $p \neq q \in X$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $f(p) \neq f(q)$ .
- **Separating tangents.** For every  $p \in X$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $\text{mult}_p f = 1$ .

An algebraic curve refers to the compact Riemann surfaces with enough meromorphic functions. The following result is highly nontrivial. But we will acknowledge it in later discussions.

**Theorem 9.8** Every compact Riemann surface is an algebraic curve.

**Constructing functions on algebraic curves.** Let  $X$  be an algebraic curve. The for every  $p \in X$  and  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  with  $\text{ord}_p(f) = N$ . Now we construct functions on  $X$  by Laurent tails.

**Definition 9.9.** A Laurent polynomial  $r(z) = \sum_{i=n}^m c_i z^i$  is called a **Laurent tail** of a Laurent series  $h(z)$  if  $h(z) - r(z)$  has all of its terms higher than the top degree term of  $r$ .

### Lemma 9.10

Fix a point  $p \in X$  and a local coordinate centered at  $p$ . Fix any Laurent polynomial  $r(z)$ , then there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  whose Laurent series at  $p$  has  $r(z)$  as a Laurent tail.

### Lemma 9.11

For every  $p \neq q \in X$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $p$  is a zero and  $q$  is a pole of  $f$ .

### Proposition 9.12 (Laurent series approximation)

Fix a finite number of points  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in X$ , choose local coordinates  $z_i$  at each  $p_i$  and Laurent polynomials  $r_i(z_i)$ . Then there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $f$  has  $r_i(z_i)$  as a Laurent tail at  $p_i$  for every  $i$ .

## The function field $\mathcal{M}(X)$ .

### Proposition 9.13

Let  $X$  be an algebraic curve, then  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is a finitely generated extension field of  $\mathbb{C}$  of transcendence degree 1.

*Proof (transcendence degree).* The transcendence degree is at least one since  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  contains a non constant function. Assume that there exists  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  which are algebraically independent. Take a divisor  $D$  such that  $f, g \in L(D)$ . Then for every  $i, j \geq 0$  and  $i + j \leq n$ , we have  $f^i g^j \in L(nD)$ . It follows that

$$\dim L(nD) \geq \frac{n^2 + 3n + 2}{2}.$$

But  $\dim L(nD) \leq 1 + \deg(nD) \leq 1 + n \deg D$ , which leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

*Proof (finite generation).* Take a non constant function  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . It suffices to show  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is a finite algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{C}(f)$ .

### Lemma 9.14

Let  $A \in \text{Div}(X)$  and  $D = \text{div}_\infty(f)$ , then there exists a positive integer  $m > 0$  and a meromorphic function  $g$  such that  $A - \text{div}(g) \leq mD$ . Moreover,  $g$  can be taken to be a polynomial of  $f$ .

### Corollary 9.15

For every  $h, f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , there exists a polynomial  $r(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$  and  $m > 0$  such that  $r(f)h \in L(mD)$  where  $D = \text{div}_\infty(f)$ .

In fact, we will show that  $[\mathcal{M}(X) : \mathbb{C}(f)] \leq \deg D$ , where  $D = \text{div}_\infty(f)$ . Assume that  $k = [\mathcal{M}(X) : \mathbb{C}(f)]$  and let  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}(f)$ . Then there exists  $m_0 > 0$  and  $r_i \in \mathbb{C}[t]$  such that  $h_i = r_i(f)g_i \in L(m_0 D)$  for every  $i$ . Hence for every  $m \geq m_0$ ,  $f^j h_i \in L(mD)$  for every  $j \leq m - m_0$  and  $i \leq k$ . Hence  $\dim L(mD) \geq (m - m_0 + 1)k$ . On the other hand,  $\dim L(mD) \leq 1 + \deg(mD) \leq 1 + m \deg D$ . We get a contradiction if  $k > \deg D$ .  $\square$

**Fact 9.16.**  $[\mathcal{M}(X) : \mathbb{C}(f)] = \deg \text{div}_\infty(f)$ .

## §10. Apr 3

### §10.i. Laurent tail divisors

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface. For every  $p \in X$ , we fix at once a local coordinate  $z_p$  centered at  $p$ .

**Definition 10.1.** A **Laurent tail divisor** is a finite formal sum  $\sum_p r_p(z_p) \cdot p$  where  $r_p(z_p)$  is a Laurent polynomial in the coordinate  $z_p$ . The set of Laurent tail divisors is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}(X)$ .

Given a divisor  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ , we define

$$\mathcal{T}[D](X) := \left\{ \sum r_p \cdot p \in \mathcal{T}(X) : \text{the top term of } r_p \text{ is at most } -D(p) \right\}.$$

For every  $D_1 \leq D_2$ , there exists a natural truncation map

$$t_{D_2}^{D_1} : \mathcal{T}[D_1](X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}[D_2](X).$$

For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ , there is a multiplication operator

$$\mu_f = \mu_f^D : \mathcal{T}[D](X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}[D - \text{div}(f)](X).$$

Note that  $\mu_f^D$  is an isomorphism, the inverse is  $\mu_{1/f}^{D - \text{div}(f)}$ .

For every  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ , there is also a map

$$\alpha_D : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}[D](X)$$

defined by  $f \mapsto \sum r_p \cdot p$  where  $r_p$  is the truncation of the Laurent series  $f(z_p)$  removing all the terms higher than  $-D(p)$ . In fact,  $\ker \alpha_D = L(D)$ . Then for  $D_1 \leq D_2$ ,

$$\alpha_{D_2} : \mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{D_1}} \mathcal{T}[D_1](X) \xrightarrow{t_{D_2}^{D_1}} \mathcal{T}[D_2](X).$$

For every  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ ,

$$\mu_f(\alpha_D(g)) = \alpha_{D - \text{div}(f)}(fg).$$

### Mittag-Leffler Problem.

**Question 10.2.** Given a Laurent tail divisor  $Z \in \mathcal{T}[D](X)$ , does  $Z \in \text{Im } \alpha_D$ ?

We first define the first cohomology group

$$H^1(D) := \text{coker } \alpha_D = \mathcal{T}[D](X) / \text{Im}(\alpha_D).$$

Then we immediately find an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha_D} \mathcal{T}[D](X) \rightarrow H^1(D) \rightarrow 0,$$

which can be written as a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)/L(D) \xrightarrow{\alpha_D} \mathcal{T}[D](X) \rightarrow H^1(D) \rightarrow 0.$$

For  $D_1 \leq D_2$ , note that  $L(D_1) \hookrightarrow L(D_2)$  and there is a map  $t : \mathcal{T}[D_1](X) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}[D_2](X)$ . Then the short exact sequence induces a map  $H^1(D_1) \rightarrow H^1(D_2)$ . By the snake lemma, we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \ker[\mathcal{M}(X)/L(D_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)/L(D_2)] \rightarrow \ker t_{D_2}^{D_1} \rightarrow \ker[H^1(D_1) \rightarrow H^1(D_2)] \rightarrow 0.$$

We define

$$H^1(D_1/D_2) := \ker[H^1(D_1) \rightarrow H^1(D_2)].$$

By calculating the dimension of the short exact sequence, we obtain

$$\dim H^1(D_1/D_2) = [\deg D_2 - \dim L(D_2)] - [\dim L(D_1) - \deg D_1].$$

**Lemma 10.3**

Let  $X$  be an algebraic curve. Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and  $D = \operatorname{div}_\infty(f)$ . Then  $\dim H^1(0/mD)$  is bounded for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $[\mathcal{M}(X) : \mathbb{C}(f)] = \deg D$ , hence  $\dim L(mD) \geq (m - m_0 + 1) \deg D$ . It follows that  $\dim H^1(0/mD) \leq 1 + (m_0 - 1) \deg D$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.4**

Let  $X$  be an algebraic curve. Then there exists  $M > 0$  such that for every  $A \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ ,

$$\deg A - \dim L(A) \leq M.$$

*Proof.* Choose an  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and let  $D = \operatorname{div}_\infty(f)$ . For every  $A \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ , there exists  $m > 0$  and  $g \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $B = A - \operatorname{div}(g) \leq mD$ . Note that  $\deg B = \deg A$  and  $L(B) \cong L(A)$ , the conclusion follows by

$$\deg A - \dim L(A) = \deg B - \dim L(B) \leq \deg(mD) - \dim L(mD) \leq M.$$

$\square$

Then there exists  $A_0 \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$  maximizing  $\deg A_0 - \dim L(A_0)$ ,

**Claim 10.5.**  $H^1(A_0) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Assume for a contradiction that  $Z \in \mathcal{T}[A](X)$  but  $Z \notin \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{A_0}$ . Take  $B \geq A$  such that  $t(Z) = 0$ . Then  $[Z] \in \ker(H^1(A) \rightarrow H^1(B))$ . Which leads to  $\deg B - \dim L(B) > \deg A_0 - \dim L(A_0)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 10.6**

Let  $X$  be an algebraic curve, then  $H^1(D)$  is finite dimensional for every  $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $A_0$  as above, write  $D - A_0 = P - N$  where  $P, N \geq 0$ . Then  $H^1(A_0 + P) = 0$  and hence

$$H^1(D) = H^1(A_0 + P - N) \cong H^1(A_0 + P - N/A_0 + P)$$

which is finite dimensional.  $\square$

Combine the identities  $\dim H^1(0/D) = \dim H^1(0) - \dim H^1(D)$  and  $\dim H^1(0/D) = \deg D - \dim L(D) + 1$ , we obtain

**Theorem 10.7 (The Riemann-Roch theorem: first form)**

Let  $D$  be a divisor on an algebraic curve, then

$$\dim L(D) - \dim H^1(D) = \deg D + 1 - \dim H^1(0).$$



## §11. Apr 10

### §11.i. Riemann-Roch theorem and Serre duality

Recall that  $\omega \in L^{(1)}(-D)$  iff  $\operatorname{div} \omega \geq D$ . Then we can write

$$\omega = \left( \sum_{n=D(p)}^{\infty} c_n z_p^n \right) dz_p$$

locally at  $p$ . For an  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , write  $f = \sum_k a_k z_p^k$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Res}_p(f\omega) = \sum_{n=D(p)}^{\infty} c_n a_{-1-n}.$$

So this residue only depends on  $a_i$  for  $i \leq -1 - D(p)$ . In another word, it depends only on  $\alpha_D(f)$ .

**Definition 11.1.** For every  $\omega \in L^{(1)}(-D)$ , we define the **residue map**

$$\operatorname{Res}_\omega : \mathcal{T}[D](X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \sum_p r_p \cdot p \mapsto \sum_p \operatorname{Res}_p(r_p \omega).$$

Since for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_\omega(\alpha_D(f)) = \sum_p \operatorname{Res}_p(f\omega) = 0,$$

hence  $\alpha_D(\mathcal{M}(X)) \subset \ker \operatorname{Res}_\omega$ . Then we obtain a map

$$\operatorname{Res}_\omega : H^1(D) = \mathcal{T}[D](X)/\alpha_D(\mathcal{M}(X)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

It means that every  $\omega \in L^{(1)}(D)$  can be regarded as an element of the dual space  $H^1(D)^*$ . We obtain a linear map, also called the **residue map**

$$\operatorname{Res} : L^{(1)}(D) \rightarrow H^1(D)^*.$$

#### **Theorem 11.2** (Serre duality)

For any divisor  $D$  on an algebraic curve, the residue map

$$\operatorname{Res} : L^{(1)}(D) \rightarrow H^1(D)^*$$

is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces. In particular, for any canonical divisor  $K$ ,

$$\dim H^1(D) = \dim L^{(1)}(-D) = \dim L(K - D).$$

Note that  $\deg K = 2g - 2$  for any canonical divisor. By Serre duality,

$$\dim H^1(K) = \dim L(D - D) = 1.$$

Applying Riemann-Roch to  $K$ , we have

$$\dim L(K) - 1 = \dim L(K) - \dim H^1(K) = \deg K + 1 - \dim H^1(0) = 2g - 1 - \dim L(K).$$

Hence  $\dim H^1(0) = \dim L^{(1)}(D) = \dim L(K) = g$ .

**Theorem 11.3** (The Riemann-Roch theorem: second form)

Let  $D$  be a divisor on an algebraic curve of genus  $g$  and  $K$  be a canonical divisor, then

$$\dim L(D) - \dim L(K - D) = \deg D + 1 - g.$$

**Remark 11.4** — It was Riemann's theorem that  $\dim L(D) \geq \deg D + 1 - g$  and then Roch provided the error term.

**Corollary 11.5** If  $\deg D \geq 2g - 1$ , then  $H^1(D) = 0$  and  $\dim L(D) = \deg D + 1 - g$ .

**§12. Apr 12****§12.i. Applications of Riemann-Roch theorem****Proposition 12.1**

Let  $X$  be an algebraic curve of genus  $g$ . Then any divisor  $D$  with  $\deg D \geq 2g + 1$  is very ample.

*Proof.* For every  $p, q \in X$ , we have  $\deg(D - p - q) \geq 2g - 1$ . Hence

$$\deg L(D - p - q) = \deg(D - p - q) + 1 - g = \deg L(D) - 2.$$

□

**Corollary 12.21.** Every compact Riemann surface is a projective curve.

But we can say more on it since we can choose  $D$  arbitrarily. Let  $D = (2g + 1) \cdot p$  for any  $p \in X$ . It induces a holomorphic embedding  $\phi_D : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ . By Corollary 8.10, there exists a hyperplane  $H$  such that  $\phi_D^*(H) = D = (2g + 1) \cdot p$ . Hence

$$\phi_D(X \setminus \{p\}) \subset \mathbb{P}^n \setminus H = \mathbb{C}^n.$$

**Genus zero curves.** We will show that the only genus zero curve is the Riemann sphere.

**Lemma 12.3**

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface, if there exists  $p \in X$  such that  $\dim L(p) > 1$ , then  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ .

*Proof.* There exists a nonconstant  $f \in L(p)$ , hence  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  is with degree 1. Therefore  $f$  is an isomorphism. □

**Proposition 12.4** If  $g(X) = 0$ , then  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ .

*Proof.* By Riemann-Roch theorem,  $\dim L(p) = 1 + 1 = 2 > 1$ . □

**Genus one curves.** Now we show that genus one curves are cubic plane curves.

Let  $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$  and  $K_0 = \text{div } \omega_0$  which is a canonical divisor. Then  $\deg(K_0) = 0$  and  $\dim L(K_0) = 1$ . If  $f \in L(K_0)$ , then  $\omega = f\omega_0 \in \Omega^1(X)$ .

Let  $Y$  be the universal cover of  $X$  and  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is the projection. Since  $X$  is a topological torus, we know that  $Y \cong_{\text{diffeomorphism}} \mathbb{R}^2$ . We will show  $Y \cong_{\text{holomorphic}} \mathbb{C}$ . We consider  $\pi^*\omega$  which is a holomorphic 1-form on  $Y$ . Fix a point  $p_0 \in Y$  and for every  $p \in Y$  set

$$\phi(p) = \int_{\gamma_p} \pi^*\omega,$$

where  $\gamma_p$  is a path connecting  $p_0$  and  $p$ . Then  $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic.

**Proposition 12.5** If  $g(X) = 1$ , then  $X$  is a complex torus.

**Clifford's theorem.** A divisor  $D$  is called **special** if  $D \geq 0$  and  $H^1(D) \neq 0$ . The dimension of  $H^1(D)$  is called the **index** of  $D$ .

**Lemma 12.6**

Let  $D_1, D_2$  be two divisors, then

$$\dim L(D_1) + \dim L(D_2) \leq \dim L(\min \{D_1, D_2\}) + \dim L(\max D_1, D_2).$$

**Proposition 12.7**

If  $\dim L(D) \geq 1$  and  $\dim L(K - D) \geq 1$ , then  $\dim L(D) + \dim L(K - D) \leq g + 1$ .

*Proof.* Take  $D_1 \in |D|$  and  $D_2 \in |K - D|$ . If  $\max \{D_1, D_2\} = D_1 + D_2$ , we have

$$\dim L(D_1) + \dim L(D_2) \leq \dim L(D_1 + D_2) + \dim L(0) = \dim L(K) + 1 = g + 1.$$

Write  $|D| = F + |M|$  where  $F$  is the fixed part of  $|D|$  and  $|M|$  is base-point-free. Then there exists  $D_3 \in |M|$  such that  $\text{supp } D_3 \cap \text{supp } D_2 = \emptyset$ . Moreover,  $D_3 + D_2 \leq F + D_3 + D_2 \sim K$ , hence  $\dim L(D_2 + D_3) \leq g$ . By a similar inequality above, we obtain the proposition.  $\square$

**Theorem 12.8 (Clifford's Theorem)**

Let  $D$  be a special divisor on an algebraic curve  $X$ . Then

$$2 \dim L(D) \leq \deg(D) + 2.$$

**§12.ii. The canonical map**

**Lemma 12.9**

Let  $|K|$  be a canonical system on an algebraic curve  $X$  with  $g(X) \geq 1$ , then  $|K|$  is base-point-free.

*Proof.* By Lemma 12.3,  $1 = \dim L(p) = \dim L(K - p) + 2 - g$ , hence  $\dim L(K - p) = g - 1$ .  $\square$

Let  $K$  be a canonical divisor, when is  $\phi_K$  an embedding? If  $g(X) = 2$ , then  $\phi_K : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is not an embedding. Now we consider the case of  $g \geq 3$ .

**Definition 12.10.** For  $g \geq 3$ , the map  $\phi_K : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$  is called the **canonical map** for  $X$ .

If  $\phi_K$  is not an embedding then there exists  $p, q \in X$  such that  $\dim L(K - p - q) = g - 1$ . Or equivalently,  $\dim L(p + q) = 2$  and any nonconstant  $f \in L(p + q)$  gives a degree two map to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . This leads to  $X$  is a hyperelliptic curve.

**Proposition 12.11**

Let  $X$  be an algebraic curve of genus  $g \geq 3$ . Then the canonical map is an embedding if and only if  $X$  is not hyperelliptic.

**Canonical map for hyperelliptic curves.** Let  $X = \{y^2 = h(x)\}$  be a hyperelliptic curve, where  $\deg h = 2g + 1$  or  $2g + 2$ . Then

$$\Omega^1(X) = \left\{ p(x) \frac{dx}{y} : \deg p \leq g - 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $K = \operatorname{div}(dx/y)$  be a canonical divisor, then  $L(K)$  is spanned by  $\{1, x, \dots, x^{g-1}\}$ . Hence the canonical map is given by

$$\phi_K = [1 : x : \dots : x^{g-1}].$$

Then there exists  $\nu_{g-1} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$  such that  $\phi_K = \nu_{g-1} \circ \pi$  where  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is the double covering map.

**Classification of genus three curves.** Let  $D$  be a very ample divisor on an algebraic curve and  $\phi_D : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is an embedding. Define  $\mathcal{P}(n, k)$  be the space of degree- $k$  homogeneous polynomials in  $(n + 1)$ -variables. Then

$$\dim \mathcal{P}(n, k) = \binom{n+k}{k}.$$

Fix  $F_0 \in \mathcal{P}(n, k)$ , which is not identically zero on  $X$ . Then the intersection divisor  $\operatorname{div}(F_0) \sim kD$ . Then we get a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$R_k : \mathcal{P}(n, k) \rightarrow L(kD), \quad F \mapsto F/F_0.$$

As  $k$  grows large, there are lots of functions in  $\ker R_k$ . For  $k \geq 2$  and  $\deg D \geq g$ , we have  $H^1(kD) = 0$ . Hence

$$\dim \ker R_k \geq \binom{n+k}{k} - k \deg D - 1 + g.$$

For the case of  $g = 3$ , if  $X$  is not a hyperelliptic curve. The  $\phi_K : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  as a smooth curve of degree 4 (Plücker's formula). Note that

$$\deg \ker R_4 \geq \binom{6}{4} - 4 \deg K - 1 + 3 = 1.$$

Then there exists a quartic polynomial  $F$  vanishing on  $X$ . Furthermore, we can show that every polynomial vanishing on  $X$  is a multiple of  $F$ . We obtain

**Proposition 12.12**

Let  $X$  be an algebraic curve of genus 3. Then

- either  $X$  is hyperelliptic  $\{y^2 = h(x)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  where  $\deg h = 7, 8$ ;
- or the canonical map  $\phi_K$  embeds  $X$  into  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as a quartic curve.

**§13. Apr 17****§13.i. Presheaves and sheaves**

Let  $X$  be a topological space.

**Definition 13.1.** A **presheaf of groups**  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is a collection of groups  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  for each open set  $U$  and a collection of group homomorphisms  $\rho_V^U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$  whenever  $V \subset U$ , such that

- $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ ;
- $\rho_U^U = \text{id}$ ;
- if  $W \subset V \subset U$ , then  $\rho_W^U = \rho_W^V \circ \rho_V^U$ .

The homomorphisms  $\rho_V^U$  are called the **restriction maps** for the presheaf.

**Definition 13.2.** An element of  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is called a **section** of  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $U$ . An element of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is called a **global section**.

We can also define similarly the (pre)sheaf of rings / vector spaces.

**Example 13.3**

1. Let  $X$  be a differentiable manifold and let  $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty(U)$  be the  $C^\infty$  functions over  $U$ . This is a presheaf of rings.
2. Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface.
  - a)  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a presheaf of rings.
  - b) Let  $\mathcal{O}_X^*(U)$  be the set of all nowhere zero holomorphic functions  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . This is a presheaf of groups.
  - c)  $\mathcal{M}_X$  is a presheaf of rings. If  $X$  is connected then  $\mathcal{M}_X$  is a presheaf of fields.
  - d) Let  $\mathcal{M}_X^*(U)$  be the set of all not identically zero on each connected component of  $U$ .
  - e) Let  $\mathcal{O}_X[D](U)$  be the set of all meromorphic functions on  $U$  satisfying  $\text{ord}_p(f) + D(p) \geq 0$ .
3. Let  $G$  be a group, let  $G^X(U) := G^U = \{f : U \rightarrow G\}$ .

**Definition 13.4** (The sheaf axiom). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf on  $X$ . Let  $U$  be an open set and  $\{U_i\}$  is an open covering of  $U$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the **sheaf axiom** if whenever one has elements  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$  which agree on the intersections:

$$\rho_{U_i \cap U_j}^{U_i}(s_i) = \rho_{U_i \cap U_j}^{U_j}(s_j), \quad \forall i, j,$$

then there exists a unique  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that

$$\rho_{U_i}^U(s) = s_i, \quad \forall i.$$

**Definition 13.5.** We call  $\mathcal{F}$  a **sheaf** if it satisfies the sheaf axiom of every open set  $U$  and every open covering  $\{U_i\}$  of  $U$ .

- Let  $G$  be a group, the locally constant functions  $f : U \rightarrow G$  form a sheaf called the **locally constant sheaf**, denoted by  $\underline{G}$ .
- For each  $p \in X$ , endow a group  $G_p$ . Set

$$\mathcal{S}(U) := \prod_{p \in U} G_p,$$

this is called a **totally discontinuous sheaf**.

- Let  $G_p = G$  for a single point  $p \in X$  and  $G_q = \{0\}$  for  $q \neq p$ . Then such totally discontinuous sheaf is called a **skyscraper sheaf**. Then  $G_p(U) = G$  if  $p \in U$  and  $G_p(U) = \{0\}$  if  $p \notin U$ .

Given a totally disconnected sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$ , let  $s \in \mathcal{S}(U)$ . Then  $s$  may be evaluated at a point  $p \in U$  by setting  $s(p)$  equal to the  $p$ -th coordinate. The support of  $s$  is the set of  $p \in U$  that  $s(p) \neq 0$ . A variant of the skyscraper sheaf  $G_p$  is the sheaf of  $G$ -value functions that have discrete support. This is also referred to as a **skyscraper sheaf**. In the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ , the skyscraper sheaf coincides with the sheaf of divisors  $\mathcal{D}iv_X$ .

Setting  $G_p$  be the group of Laurent polynomials whose top term has degree strictly less than  $-D(p)$ , then the skyscraper sheaf is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_X[D]$ . For  $D_1 \leq D_2$ , we can also define

$$\mathcal{T}_X[D_1/D_2] := \{\text{Laurent polynomials with terms of degree at least } D_1 \text{ and strictly less than } D_2\}.$$

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface. Then

- $\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathbb{C}$ ;
- $\mathcal{O}[D](X) = L(D)$ ;
- $\mathbb{Z}_X(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

We also have  $\mathcal{T}_X[D]$ ,  $\Omega_X^1$ ,  $\Omega_X^1[D]$  (meromorphic forms with  $\text{div } \omega + D \geq 0$ ). In particular,  $\Omega_X^1[D](X) = L^{(1)}(D)$ .

### §13.ii. Sheaf maps

**Definition 13.6.** A **sheaf map**  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a collection of homomorphisms  $\phi_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  which are compatible with the restriction maps.

#### Example 13.7

1. Inclusion maps:  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathcal{O}_X \subset \mathcal{M}_X$ .
2. Differentiation maps:  $d : \mathcal{C}_X^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X^1$ .
3. Divisor maps:  $\text{div} : \mathcal{M}_X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}iv_X$ .
4. Evaluation map  $\text{eval}_p : \mathcal{C}_X^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ .
5. Taking residue  $\text{Res}_p : \mathcal{M}_X^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ .
6. Multiplications:  $\mu_f : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ ,  $\mu_f : \mathcal{O}_X[D] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X[D - \text{div}(f)]$ .
7. Truncation maps:  
 $\alpha_D : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X[D]$ ,  $t_{D_2}^{D_1} : \mathcal{T}_X[D_1] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X[D_2]$ ,  $\alpha_{D_1/D_2} : \mathcal{O}_X[D_2] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X[D_1/D_2]$ .
8. The exponential map:  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}-) : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^*$ .

**Fact 13.8.** A kernel of a sheaf map is always a sheaf.

**Definition 13.9.** Let  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a sheaf map. We say  $\phi$  is **injective** (resp. **surjective**) if for every  $p \in X$  and every  $U \ni p$ , there exists  $p \in V \subset U$  such that  $\phi_V$  is 1-1 (resp. onto).

**Lemma 13.10**

The following are equivalent:

- $\phi$  is injective.
- $\phi_U$  is injective for every open subset  $U \subset X$ .
- The kernel sheaf is the identically zero sheaf.

The analogous lemma is **NOT** true for onto maps, see the following example.

**Example 13.11**

Let  $X = \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}-) : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^*$  be the exponential map. Then the equation  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f) = 1/z$  has no solution  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ . But the exponential map is an onto sheaf map.

**Exact sequences of sheaves.**

**Definition 13.12.** We say that a sequence of sheaf maps

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

is a **short exact sequence of sheaves** if  $\phi$  is onto and  $\ker \phi = \mathcal{K}$ .

**Example 13.13 (Several short exact sequences)**

- $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{d=\partial} \Omega^1 \rightarrow 0$ .
- $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}-)} \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0$ .
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \rightarrow 0$ .
- $0 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{E}^2 \rightarrow 0$ .
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[D-p] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[D] \xrightarrow{\text{eval}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow 0$ .
- Let  $D$  be a divisor with  $D(p) = 1$ , then  $0 \rightarrow \Omega^1[D-p] \rightarrow \Omega^1[D] \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow 0$ .
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X^* \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathcal{D}iv_X \rightarrow 0$ .
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X[D] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X \xrightarrow{\alpha_D} \mathcal{T}_X[D] \rightarrow 0$ .
- For  $D_1 \leq D_2$ ,  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X[D_1] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X[D_2] \xrightarrow{\alpha_{D_1/D_2}} \mathcal{T}_X[D_1/D_2] \rightarrow 0$ .

**Definition 13.14.** In general, let  $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{C}$  be a sequence of sheaf maps. This sequence is **exact** at  $\mathcal{B}$  if

- $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$ , and
- for every open set  $U$  and  $p \in U$  and every section  $b \in \mathcal{B}(U)$  which is in the kernel of  $\beta_U$ , there exists an open subset  $V \subset U$  containing  $p$  such that  $\rho_V^U(b)$  is in the image of  $\alpha_V$ .

**Definition 13.15.** A sheaf map  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is called a **sheaf isomorphism** if  $\phi$  is injective and surjective.

**Lemma 13.16**

A sheaf map is a sheaf isomorphism if and only if it has an inverse sheaf map.