# Riemann Sufaces (Spring 2022, Bohan Fang)

# Yuxiang Jiao

Contents		
1.	Feb 22	2
2.	Feb 27	4
3.	Mar 1	7
4.	Mar 6	9
5.	Mar 13	11
6.	Mar 15	13
7.	Mar 20	16
8.	Mar 27	18
9.	Mar 29	20
10.	Apr 3	22
11.	Apr 10	<b>2</b> 5
12.	Apr 12	26
13	Apr 17	29

1. Feb 22 Ajorda's Notes

# §1. Feb 22

## §1.i. Riemann surfaces

**Definition 1.1.** A Riemann surface X is a connected one dimensional complex manifold.

## Example 1.2 (Projective Line)

Let  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  . Consider

$$\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\})/\mathbb{C}^* : (z_1, z_2) \sim (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Equip with a homogeneous coordinate  $[z_0:z_1]=[\lambda z_0:\lambda z_1], \lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*$ . Let  $U_0=\{[1:z_1]\}$  and  $U_1=\{[z_0:1]\}$ , then  $\mathbb{P}=U_0\cup U_1$ .

#### Example 1.3 (Complex Tori)

Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  be two complex numbers which are linearly independent on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ , which is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Consider  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$  as a quotient space. Then

$$\pi: \mathbb{C} \to X = \mathbb{C}/L$$

is a open map. It makes  $\mathbb{C}/L$  a complex manifold.

**Definition 1.4.** Let f = f(z, w) be a polynomial in two variables. Define  $X = \{(z, w) : f(z, w) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  as an **affine plane curve**.

For a point  $p=(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^2$ , we say X is non-singular at p if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \neq 0$$
 or  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} \neq 0$ ,

We say *X* is **smooth** if *X* is non-singular at every point.

Fact 1.5. f irreducible  $\iff X$  connected.

**Fact 1.6.** If f is irreducible and non-singular, then X is a Riemann surface.

**Definition 1.7.** The projective plane is

$$\mathbb{P}^2 \coloneqq (\mathbb{C}^3 \subset \{(0,0,0)\})/\mathbb{C}^*$$

equipped the homogeneous coordinate  $[z_0:z_1:z_2]=[\lambda z_0:\lambda z_1:\lambda z_2], \forall \lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*$ , that makes  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as a 2 dimensional compact complex manifold. The local charts  $U_i=\{[z_0,z_1,z_2]:z_i=1\}$ , for i=0,1,2.

For every homogeneous polynomial F in degree d, that is

$$F(\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2, \lambda z_3) = \lambda^d F(z_1, z_2, z_3), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We say  $X = \{F = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  a projective plane curve.

**Definition 1.8.** We say F non-singular if

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_0} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_2} = F = 0$$

has no solutions.

1. Feb 22 Ajorda's Notes

**Proposition 1.9** If F is non-singular, then X is a compact Riemann surface.

*Proof.* This proposition follows by the following lemma and fact.

#### **Lemma 1.10**

Let F be a homogeneous polynomial. F is non-singular iff each  $X_i = X \cap U_i$  as an affine plane curve is smooth.

### **Corollary 1.11**

F is non-singular  $\iff X$  is a smooth one dimensional complex manifold.

**Fact 1.12.** If F is homogeneous non-singular, then F is irreducible.

**Complete intersection in**  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Let F be a homogeneous polynomial in n+1 variables. Then  $\{F=0\}$  is a hypersurface. Now we consider  $F_1, \cdots, F_{n-1}$  are (n+1) variables homogeneous polynomials. Let  $X=\bigcap \{F_i=0\}$ , which is called a **complete intersection**.

**Definition 1.13.** We call X a smooth complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  if  $\left[\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z_j}\right]$  is rank (n-1) at every point in X.

#### Theorem 1.14

If X is a smooth complete intersection of (n-1) polynomials, then X is a compact Riemann surface.

**Local complete intersection.**  $X = \bigcap_{\alpha} \{F_{\alpha} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  where  $F_{\alpha}$ 's are homogeneous polynomials. Near each point  $p \in X$ , X is given by (n-1) polynomials  $\{F_{\alpha_i} = 0\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

**Fact 1.15.** Any compact Riemann surface is a local complete intersection.

#### §1.ii. Functions

**Definition 1.16.** Define  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$  to be the holomorphic functions on a Riemann surface X. Let  $W \subset X$  be an open subset, define  $\mathcal{O}_X(W)$  to be the holomorphic functions on W.

Let f be a holomorphic function on a neighborhood of p. Then we can discuss that p is a (removable/pole/essential) singularity. We say f is meromorphic at p if p is a (removable/pole) singularity. We say f is **meromorphic** if f is meromorphic everywhere. Denote

$$\mathcal{M}_X(W) = \{ f : W \to \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is meromorphic} \}.$$

For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$  and  $p \in X$ , we can define the order  $\operatorname{ord}_p(f)$  as the order of  $f \circ \phi^{-1}$  at  $\phi(p)$  for a local chart  $\phi: U \ni p \to \mathbb{C}$ .

Some properties of meromorphic functions:

• *f* has discrete zeros and poles.

2. Feb 27 Ajorda's Notes

- If f = g on  $S \subset W$  and S has limit points in W, then f = g in W.
- If there exists  $p \in W$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq |f(p)|$  for every  $x \in W$ , then f is constant. In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathbb{C}$  for every compact Riemann surface X.

## Example 1.17 (Meromorphic functions on projective line)

Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ . For homogeneous polynomials p(x, w), q(z, w), we consider r = p/q. Then r is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  iff  $\deg f = \deg g$ . Let  $[a_i : b_i]$  be all of poles and zeros, let  $e_i = \operatorname{ord}_{[a_i : b_i]} f$ . Consider

$$r = \Pi_i (b_i z - a_i w)^{e_i},$$

then  $\sum e_i = 0$ . Moreover, every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$  is of this form up to a constant.

# §2. Feb 27

# §2.i. Examples of meromorphic functions

## Example 2.1 (Meromorphic functions on complex tori)

Let  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$  be the complex torus, where  $L = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , the upper half plane. There is no nontrivial holomorphic function on X.

Now we define a function on  $\mathbb{C}$  as

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i (n^2 \tau + 2nz)},$$

which converge locally uniformly. Hence  $\theta(z)$  is a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\theta(z+1) = \theta(z), \quad \theta(z+\tau) = e^{-\pi i(\tau+2z)}\theta(z).$$

Zeros of  $\theta$  are  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tau}{2} + L$ . Let x be a complex number, we consider

$$\theta^{(x)}(z) = \theta(z - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\tau}{2} - x).$$

Then

$$\theta^{(x)}(z+1) = \theta(z), \quad \theta^{(x)}(z+\tau) = -e^{-2\pi i(z-x)}\theta^{(x)}(z).$$

Consider the ratio

$$R(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \theta^{(x_i)}(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{(y_i)}(z)}.$$

We want R(z) to be a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}/L$ . Thus we need

$$m = n$$
, and  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i + \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then zeros of R are  $\{x_i\}$  and poles are  $\{y_i\}$ . In particular, the number of zeros equals to the number of poles. Moreover, every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}/L}$  is of this form up to a constant (see Example 3.3).

2. Feb 27 Ajorda's Notes

## Example 2.2 (Meromorphic functions on smooth plane curves)

Let  $X = \{f(x,y) = 0\}$  be a smooth plane curve in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Take two coprime polynomials g, h in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We want g/h to be a meromorphic function on X. Thus we need  $h \not\equiv 0$  on X. By Hilbert Nullstellensatz, it is equivalent to  $f \nmid h$ .

## **Example 2.3** (Meromorphic functions on projective plane curves)

Let  $X=\{F(x,y)=0\}$  be a smooth plane curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Take two coprime homogeneous polynomials G,H in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  with the same degree. We want G/H to be a meromorphic function on X. Thus we need  $H\not\equiv 0$  on X. It implies that  $F\nmid H$ . We will show later that all of meromorphic functions on X is of the form G/H by the compactness of X.

**Definition 2.4.** Let X be a Riemann surface, we say X is a **smooth projective curve** if X can be holomorphically embedded in a projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

The following fact will be shown later.

Fact 2.5. All compact Riemann surfaces are smooth projective curves.

**Example 2.6** A local complete intersection curve is a smooth projective curve.

## §2.ii. Holomorphic maps

Let X, Y be two Riemann surfaces.

**Definition 2.7.** We say a map  $F: X \to Y$  is **holomorphic at**  $p \in X$  if there are charts  $\phi_1: U_1 \ni p \to V_1$  on X and  $\phi_2: U_2 \ni F(p) \to V_2$  on Y such that  $\phi_2 \circ F \circ \phi_1$  is holomorphic. We say F is a **holomorphic map** if F is holomorphic everywhere.

Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a holomorphic map and W be an open set in Y. Then F induces

$$F^*: \mathcal{O}_Y(W) \to \mathcal{O}_X(F^{-1}W).$$

For meromorphic functions, we should be a little bit careful. That is, if F is not a constant then

$$F^*: \mathcal{M}_Y(W) \to \mathcal{M}_X(F^{-1}W).$$

**Definition 2.8.** We say X and Y are isomorphism if there exists a bijective holomorphic map  $F:X\to Y$  such that  $F^{-1}:Y\to X$  is isomorphism.

There are several basic properties of holomorphic maps.

- $F: X \to Y$  is a non-constant holomorphic map, then F is an open map.
- If  $F: X \to Y$  is injective, then F is an isomorphism onto F(X).
- If  $\{x: F(x) = G(x)\}$  contains a limit point, then F = G.

#### **Corollary 2.9**

Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map, then for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $F^{-1}(y)$  is discrete. In particular, if X is compact then  $F^{-1}(y)$  is finite.

2. Feb 27 Ajorda's Notes

#### **Proposition 2.10**

Let X be a compact Riemann surface.  $F:X\to Y$  is a non-constant holomorphic map. Then Y is compact and Y is onto.

**Meromorphic functions.** For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ , we construct

$$F:X\to \mathbb{P}^1,\quad p\mapsto \begin{cases} [1:f(p)], & p\text{ is not a pole;}\\ [0:1], & p\text{ is a pole.} \end{cases}$$

By the Lorentz series at a pole, we know that  $F: X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is indeed a holomorphic map:

$$\mathcal{M}_X(X) \stackrel{1-1}{\longleftrightarrow} \left\{ F: X \to \mathbb{P}^1: \text{ holomorphic} \right\}.$$

#### Proposition 2.11 (Local normal form)

Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map, let  $p \in X$  with F(p) = q. Then there exists a unique positive integer m such that for every local chart  $\phi_2: U_2 \ni q \to V_2$ , there exists a chart  $\phi_1: U_1 \ni p \to V_1$  such that

$$\phi_2 \circ F \circ \phi_1^{-1} : V_1 \to V_2, \quad z \mapsto z^m.$$

**Definition 2.12.** The unique integer m given above is called the **multiplicity** at p, denote it by  $\operatorname{mult}_p F = m$ .

For  $p \in X$ , take a local chart such that z(p) = 0. Locally, F is given by

$$z \mapsto c + \sum_{n \ge m} c_n z^n$$

where  $c_n \neq 0$ . Then  $\operatorname{mult}_p F = m$ . Or, if F is locally given by  $z \mapsto h(z)$ , then

$$\operatorname{mult}_{p} F = 1 + \operatorname{ord}_{z_0} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}z} \right)$$

where  $z_0 = z(p)$ .

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map. We say  $p \in X$  is a **ramification point** for F if  $\operatorname{mult}_p F \geqslant 2$ . A point  $y \in Y$  is a **branch point** if  $F^{-1}(y)$  contains a ramification point.

# Example 2.14

Let  $X = \{f(x, y) = 0\}$  be a smooth affine plane curve. We consider the holomorphic map

$$\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x.$$

Then  $\pi$  is ramified at  $p \in X$  iff  $(\partial f/\partial y)(p) = 0$ .

3. Mar 1 Ajorda's Notes

#### Example 2.15

Let  $X = \{F = 0\}$  be a smooth projective plane curve. We consider the holomorphic map

$$G: X \to \mathbb{P}^1, \quad [x:y:z] \mapsto [x:z].$$

Then G is ramified at  $p \in X$  iff  $(\partial F/\partial y)(p) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.16** The set of ramification points is discrete.

**Degree of a map.** Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces. For every  $y \in Y$ , we define

$$d_y F = \sum_{x \in F^{-1}(y)} \operatorname{mult}_x F.$$

**Proposition 2.17**  $d_y F$  is a constant, independent of y.

**Definition 2.18.** This constant is called the **degree** of F, denoted deg F.

**Remark 2.19** — We supplement the definition for a constant function as 0.

# §3. Mar 1

Proof of Proposition 2.17. It suffices to show that  $\deg_y F$  is a locally constant function. Locally, f is given by  $z\mapsto z^m$ . Then for every  $w\neq 0, \#f^{-1}(w)=m$ . Then  $\sum_{p\in f^{-1}w}\operatorname{mult}_p f$  is locally constant. Since  $f^{-1}y$  is discrete for every  $y\in Y$ , by this normal form, we know that  $\deg_y F$  is locally constant.

#### Example 3.1

Assume that X is compact. Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a holomorphic map with  $\deg F = 1$ . Then F is a bijection. Since every bijective holomorphic map has a holomorphic inverse, we know that F is isomorphism.

#### Example 3.2

Let f be a meromorphic function on a compact space X. Assume that f has only one pole. Then  $f:X\to\mathbb{P}^1$  has degree 1 and hence  $X\simeq\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Let X be a compact Riemann surface, let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ . Regard f as a holomorphic map  $f: X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $x_i$ 's be zeros of f and  $y_i$ 's be poles of f. Then

$$\deg f = \sum_{i} \operatorname{mult}_{x_i} f = \sum_{i} \operatorname{ord}_{x_i} f$$
$$= \sum_{j} \operatorname{mult}_{y_j} f = \sum_{j} - \operatorname{ord}_{y_j} f.$$

3. Mar 1 Ajorda's Notes

Which implies that  $\sum_{i} \operatorname{ord}_{x_i} f + \sum_{i} \operatorname{ord}_{y_i} f = 0$ .

#### **Example 3.3** (Meromorphic functions on complex tori)

Let  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$  be a complex torus and f is a meromorphic function on X. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  be zeros of f and  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  be poles of f. Note that X is also an abelian group, we want to show that  $\sum_{i=0}^n p_i = \sum_{i=0}^n q_i$ .

If not, we can take  $p_0, q_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sum p_i = \sum q_i$ . By the construction in Example 2.1, we can choose  $R \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$  with zeros  $x_i$  and poles  $y_i$ . Then R/f is a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}/L$  with only one pole. It follows that  $\mathbb{C}/L \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ , a contradiction.

**Topology of a compact Riemann surface.** The "topological invariant" for compact Riemann surfaces is genus g. Euler number 2-2g.

#### Theorem 3.4 (Hurwitz Formula)

Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces. Then we have

$$2g(X)-2=(\deg F)\cdot(2g(Y)-2)+\sum_{p\in X}(\operatorname{mult}_p F-1).$$

## §3.i. Examples of Riemann surfaces

**Line.** Any line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Conics.** We consider

$$F(x,y,z) = [x,y,z] \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & d & e \\ c & e & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = v^t A_F v.$$

Then F is non-singular iff  $\det A_F \neq 0$ . Note that if  $B = T^t A T$ , then the projective curves  $\{v^t A v = 0\}$  and  $\{v^t B v = 0\}$  are isomorphic. But for every complex symmetric matrix A, there exists T such that  $A = T^t T$  where rank  $T = \operatorname{rank} A$ . In particular, every conic is isomorphic to

$$\left\{ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0 \right\}.$$

Now we consider a particular conic given by  $C=\left\{xz=y^2\right\}$ , which is a smooth curve. Then every point on C can be written as  $[r^2:rs:s^2]$ . We consider the map

$$C\to \mathbb{P}^1, \quad [r^2:rs:s^2]\mapsto [r:s],$$

which gives an isomorphism between C and  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Hence every smooth conic is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . In general, we can consider non-smooth conics. If rank A=1, then the conic is a double line. If rank A=2, then the conic is two intersecting lines.

**Hyperelliptic curves.** First, we need some preparation. For two Riemann surfaces X,Y, let  $U\subset X$  and  $V\subset Y$  be two open sets. Let  $\phi:U\to V$  be an isomorphism. Then we can define the space  $X\coprod_{\phi} Y$  by gluing up U,V via  $\phi$ .

# **Proposition 3.5**

Let X, Y be two Riemann surfaces, if  $X \coprod_{\phi} Y$  is Hausdorff then it is a Riemann surface.

4. Mar 6 Ajorda's Notes

Now we consider a polynomial h(x) with  $\deg h=2g+1+\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon=0,1.$  Let  $X=\{y^2=h(x)\}$ , which is a smooth plane curve. We consider  $U=\{x\neq 0\}\cap X\subset X.$  We also take  $k(z)=z^{2g+2}h(1/z)$  and  $Y=\{w^2=k(z)\}$ . Let  $V=\{z\neq 0\}\cap Y\subset Y$  and

$$\phi: U \to V, \quad (x,y) \mapsto (z,w) = \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{y}{x^{g+1}}\right),$$

which is an isomorphism. Then  $Z=X\coprod_{\phi}Y$  is Hausdorff and compact since  $Z=\{|x|\leqslant 1\}\cup\{|z|\leqslant 1\}$ . Which implies that Z is a compact Riemann surface. The function x on X extends to a holomorphic map  $\pi:Z\to\mathbb{P}^1$ . Then  $\deg\pi=2$ .

The branch point of  $\pi$  is at 0 or  $\infty$ . If  $\epsilon=0$ , it gives 2g+1 ramification points at  $\{h=0\}$  and one ramification point at  $\infty$ . If  $\epsilon=1$ , then there are 2g+2 ramification points at  $\{h=0\}$ . By Hurwitz formula,

$$2g(Z) - 2 = 2(g(\mathbb{P}^1) - 2) + (2g + 2).$$

Hence g(Z) = g.

# §4. Mar 6

## §4.i. Examples of Riemann surfaces

Let us recall the smooth plane curve  $X = \{y^2 = h(x)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  with  $\deg h = 2g + 1$  or 2g + 2. The space Z we constructed above is a compact Riemann surface. A Riemann surface constructed in this way is called a **hyperelliptic Riemann surface**.

Now we consider an involution map  $\sigma: Z \to Z$  given by  $\sigma(x,y) = (x,-y)$ . It is called the **hyperelliptic involution**. For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}_Z(Z)$ , we consider the pullback  $\sigma^* f = f \circ \sigma$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_Z(Z)$  is called an involution invariant function if  $\sigma^* f = f$ .

#### **Proposition 4.2**

Every involution invariant function on Z is of the form  $f=\pi^*r$  where  $\pi:Z\to\mathbb{P}^1$  is the projection defined above and  $r\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ .

For general meromorphic functions  $f \in \mathcal{M}_Z(Z)$ , we can separate f into  $f = f^+ + f^-$  where

$$f^{+} = \frac{1}{2}(f + \sigma^{*}f), \quad f^{-} = \frac{1}{2}(f - \sigma^{*}f).$$

Then f can be written as f = r + ys since y is anti  $\sigma$ -invariant.

**Maps between complex tori.** We consider a holomorphic map  $F: \mathbb{C}/L \to \mathbb{C}/M$  where L, M are rank 2 lattices in  $\mathbb{C}$ . After a translation if necessary, we can assume that F(0)=0. By Hurwitz formula, F is unramified. If F is not a constant, then F is a covering map. Let  $G: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  be the corresponding map on the universal cover, we also assume that G(0)=0. Then

$$G(z+l) \equiv G(z) \mod M, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, l \in L.$$

We consider  $\omega(z,l)=G(z+l)-G(z)$ , which is constant with respect to z. We abbreviate it into  $\omega(l)$ . If  $\omega(l)=0$  then G is periodic and hence constant. Moreover, we can show that every G is of the form  $\gamma z$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\gamma L \subset M$ .

4. Mar 6 Ajorda's Notes

#### **Proposition 4.3**

Any holomorphic map  $F: \mathbb{C}/L \to \mathbb{C}/M$  can be lift to  $G = \gamma z + a$ . The degree of F equals to  $[M:\gamma L]$ .

Now we want to determine the automorphisms on  $X=\mathbb{C}/L$ . Write  $L=\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\operatorname{Im}\tau>0$ . If  $F:X\to X$  is an isomorphism, then  $\deg F=1$ . Hence  $\gamma L=L$ . Note that  $\|\gamma\|$  is forced to be 1, and we can only consider the case that  $\gamma\notin\mathbb{R}$ . Take  $l\neq 0\in L$  with the minimal length, then  $\{l,\gamma l\}$  is a basis of L. We consider  $G^2(l)=\gamma^2 l$ , which can be written as  $\gamma^2 l=m\gamma l+nl$  for some  $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is a root of  $z^2-mz-n=0$ . Combining with  $\|\gamma\|=1,\gamma$  can only 4-th or 6-th roots of unity. Then there are only three cases:

- (1) L is square, then  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/L) = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2) L is hexagonal, then  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/L) = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (3)  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/L) = \{\pm \operatorname{id}\}.$

In general, let  $\mathbb{C}/L$  and  $\mathbb{C}/L'$  be two complex tori with  $L=\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau\mathbb{Z}$  and  $L'=\mathbb{Z}\oplus\tau'\mathbb{Z}$ . They are isomorphism iff there exists  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\in\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $(a\tau+b)/(c\tau+d)=\tau'$ .

#### Plugging holes in Riemann surfaces.

**Definition 4.4.** X a Riemann surface. A **hole chart** on X is a chart  $\phi: U \subset X \to V$  such that

- (i) V contains an open punctured disc  $D_0 = \{z : 0 < ||z z_0|| < \varepsilon_0\}$ .
- $(ii) \ \overline{\phi^{-1}(D_0)} \subset U \ \text{and} \ \phi(\overline{\phi^{-1}(D_0)}) = \left\{z: 0 < \|z-z_0\| \leqslant \varepsilon_0\right\}.$

## Example 4.5

- 1.  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  has a hole chart near 0.
- 2.  $\mathbb{C}$  has a hole chart near  $\infty$ .
- 3.  $D = \{z : ||z|| < 1\}$  has no hole chart near ||z|| = 1.

If X has a hole chart, then we can construct  $\widehat{X} = X \sqcup \{ \mathrm{pt} \}$  such that  $\widehat{U} = U \sqcup \{ \mathrm{pt} \}$  is open and has a corresponding chart  $\phi : \widehat{U} \to V \cup \{ z_0 \}$ . This is the operation of plugging a hole.

**Example 4.6** The projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$  can be obtained by plugging the hole  $\infty$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Nodes of a plane curve.** Let X be an affine plane curve given by f(z,w)=0. A point p is called a **node** if  $\partial f/\partial z(p)=\partial f/\partial w(p)=0$  but the Hessian is nonsingular at p.

**Example 4.7** 
$$f = (z - z_0)(w - w_0)$$
.

If X has a node  $p = (z_0, w_0)$ . Then we can write f as

$$f(z, w) = l_1(z - z_0, w - w_0)l_2(z - z_0, w - w_0) + \text{higher order terms}$$

where  $l_i$  are distinct linear homogeneous polynomials. Then we can write f=gh locally. In particular, f=0 iff g=0 or h=0. Then we can separate X into  $X_g=\{g=0\}$  and  $X_h=\{h=0\}$  near p. We can delate p in both  $X_g$  and  $X_h$ . Then we plugging two points in  $X_g, X_h$  respectively. This is a process that we resolve a node. Such process can also be preformed for a projective plane curve.

5. Mar 13 Ajorda's Notes

#### **Proposition 4.8**

Let F(x,y,z) be an irreducible homogeneous polynomial. Let  $X=\{F=0\}\subset \mathbb{P}^2$ . Assume that F has only finitely many singularities and all of them are nodes. Then the Riemann surface obtained by resolving nodes of X is a compact Riemann surface.

**Genus of projective plane curves.** Let X be a non-singular projective plane curve with degree d. We will show that the genus of X equals to (d-1)(d-2)/2, which is known as Plücker's formula.

**Example 4.9** The Fermat curve 
$$X = \{x^d + y^d + z^d = 0\}$$
 has genus  $(d-1)(d-2)/2$ .

More general, for a nodal projective projective curve, we have a formula for the genus of resolved curve.

## Theorem 4.10 (Plücker's formula)

Let X be a projective plane curve of degree d with n nodes and no other singularities. Then

$$g(X) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - n.$$

## §5. Mar 13

#### §5.i. Forms

Let X be a Riemann surface. A **holomorphic form**  $\omega$  on X is a collection of  $\{\omega_i = f_i dz_i\}$  on an atlas  $\{U_i\}$  where  $f_i$  are holomorphic such that they agree on  $V_{i,j} = U_i \cap U_j$ . Similarly, a **meromorphic form** is a collection of  $\{\omega_i = f_i dz_i\}$  where  $f_i$  are meromorphic.

Let  $\omega$  be a meromorphic form on X. Let  $p \in X$  and  $p \in U \subset X$ . Assume that  $\omega = f(z) \mathrm{d}z$  on U. We define the **order** of  $\omega$  at p as  $\operatorname{ord}_p \omega \coloneqq \operatorname{ord}_p f$ . This definition is independent with the choice of coordinate charts.

**Differential forms.** Regarding  $\mathbb C$  as a real manifold, we write  $z=x+\sqrt{-1}y$ . Then  $\mathrm{d}z=\mathrm{d}x+\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{d}y$  and  $\mathrm{d}\bar z=\mathrm{d}x-\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{d}y$ , both of them lie in  $T^*\mathbb C$ . Now we consider the tangent bundle  $T\mathbb C$  with basis  $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x},\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right\}$ . Then the dual basis of  $\mathrm{d}z,\mathrm{d}\bar z$  in  $T\mathbb C$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

Let  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$  be a complex valued function, then f is holomorphic iff  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f = 0$  [Cauchy-Riemann function]. Similarly, we consider a  $C^{\infty}$  1-form  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is,  $\omega$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  section of  $T^*\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Locally, we write

$$\omega = f(z, \bar{z})dz + g(z, \bar{z})d\bar{z}.$$

Let z = T(w) be a change of coordinate, we have

$$\omega = f(T(w), \overline{T(w)})T'(w)dw + g(T(w), \overline{T(w)})\overline{T'(w)}dw.$$

We say an element in  $\langle dz \rangle$  a (1,0)-form and an element in  $\langle d\bar{z} \rangle$  a (0,1)-form. Then

$$T^*X\otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{(1,0)}X \oplus T^{(0,1)}X.$$

5. Mar 13 Ajorda's Notes

Now we consider the 2-form on  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e., a section in  $\bigwedge^2(T^*\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\bigwedge^2(T^*\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathbb{C}$  is spanned by  $\mathrm{d}z\wedge\mathrm{d}\bar{z}$ , we also call them (1,1)-form. The transition formula is given by

$$dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \|T'(w)\|^2 dw \wedge d\bar{w}$$

where z = T(w).

**Operations.** Let f be a  $C^{\infty}$  function. We define differentiations

$$\partial f = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f dz, \quad \bar{\partial} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f d\bar{z}.$$

Then they are (1,0)-form and (0,1)-form respectively. We define the 1-form  $\mathrm{d} f \coloneqq \partial f + \bar{\partial} f$ . For a 1-form  $\omega = f\mathrm{d} z + g\mathrm{d} \bar{z}$ , we define

$$d\omega = df dz + dg d\bar{z} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}g - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}f\right) dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Note that  $\partial \partial = 0$ ,  $\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} = 0$  and  $\partial \bar{\partial} = -\bar{\partial} \partial$ , we have  $d^2 = 0$ .

**Definition 5.1.** A  $C^{\infty}$  function f is called **harmonic** if  $\partial \bar{\partial} f = 0$ . A  $C^{\infty}$  1-form  $\omega$  is called d-closed (resp.  $\partial$ -closed,  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed) if  $d\omega = 0$  (resp.  $\partial \omega = 0$ ,  $\bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ ).

Then a (1,0)-form  $\omega$  is holomorphic iff  $d\omega = \bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ .

**Pull back.** Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a holomorphic map. Locally it is given by  $z \mapsto w(z)$ . For a 1-form  $\omega = f \mathrm{d} w + g \mathrm{d} \bar{w}$  on Y. We define the pull back

$$F^*\omega = f(w(z), \overline{w(z)})w'(z)dz + g(w(z), \overline{w(z)})\overline{w'(z)}d\overline{z}$$

on X, which is 1-form. Note that  $F^*$  commutes with all differentiations.  $F^*$  also preserves holomorphicity and the type of forms.

Let  $F:X\to Y$  be a holomorphic map and  $\omega$  be a meromorphic form on Y. For  $p\in X,$  we have

$$\operatorname{ord}_n(F^*\omega) = (1 + \operatorname{ord}_n(\omega)) \operatorname{mult}_n F - 1.$$

**Notation.** We use the following notation in later discussion.

$$\mathcal{E}^{\square}(U) = \{ C^{\infty} \square \text{-forms on } U \},\,$$

where  $\square = (0), (1), (1,0), (0,1), (2)$ , where  $C^{\infty}$  0-form is the  $C^{\infty}$  function.

 $\mathcal{O}(U) = \{ \text{holomorphic functions } f: U \to \mathbb{C} \} \,, \quad \Omega^1(U) = \{ \text{holomorphic forms on } U \} \,.$ 

 $\mathcal{M}(U) = \{ \text{meromorphic functions } f: U \to \mathbb{C} \} \,, \quad \mathcal{M}^{(1)}(U) = \{ \text{meromorphic forms on } U \} \,.$ 

# Proposition 5.2 (Poincaré's Lemma)

Let  $\omega$  be a 1-form with  $d\omega=0$  on an open set U. Let  $p\in U$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $V\ni p$  and a  $C^\infty$  function f on V such that  $\omega=\mathrm{d} f$  on V.

#### **Proposition 5.3** (Dolbeault's Lemma)

Let  $\omega$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  (0,1)-form on an open set U. Let  $p \in U$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $V \ni p$  and a  $C^{\infty}$  function f on V such that  $\omega = \bar{\partial} f$  on V.

6. Mar 15 Ajorda's Notes

## §5.ii. Integral

Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}^1(X)$  and  $\gamma:[a,b] \to X$  be a path. The integral is defined as

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = \sum_{i} \int_{a_{i}}^{b_{i}} (f_{i}(z(t), \overline{z(t)})z'(t) + g_{i}(z(t), \overline{z(t)})\overline{z'(t)})$$

where  $\omega = f_i dz + g_i d\bar{z}$  is the local representation.

Now we consider a meromorphic form  $\omega$ , let p be a pole and  $\gamma$  be a small path enclosing p and no other poles. We define the **Residue** as

$$\operatorname{Res}_p \omega = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma} \omega.$$

**Lemma 5.4** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , then

$$\operatorname{Res}_p \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{f} = \operatorname{ord}_p f.$$

We can also define the integral for 2-forms similarly.

## **Theorem 5.5** (Stoke's Theorem)

Let D be a triangulable closed set on X and  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}^1(X)$ , then

$$\int_{\partial D} \omega = \int_{D} d\omega.$$

### **Theorem 5.6** (The Residue Theorem)

Let  $\omega$  be a meromorphic 1-form on a compact Riemann surface. Then

$$\sum_{p \in X} \operatorname{Res}_p \omega = 0.$$

## §6. Mar 15

#### §6.i. Divisors

Let X be a Riemann surface. Let  $\mathbb{Z}^X$  be the set of all functions  $X \to \mathbb{Z}$ . For every  $D \in \mathbb{Z}^X$ , define the **support** supp  $D = \{x \in X : D(x) \neq 0\}$ .

**Definition 6.1.** We say  $D \in X^{\mathbb{Z}}$  a **divisor** if supp D is discrete.

For a divisor D, we write

$$D = \sum_{p \in \operatorname{supp} D} D(p) p,$$

where  $D(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In the case X is compact, we define

$$\deg D = \sum_{p \in X} D(p),$$

6. Mar 15 Ajorda's Notes

which is finite. Then

$$\mathrm{Div}(X) = \{ \mathrm{divisors} \ \mathrm{on} \ X \} \subset \mathrm{Div}_0(X) = \{ \mathrm{divisors} \ \mathrm{on} \ X \ \mathrm{with} \ \deg = 0 \}.$$

**Divisors of meromorphic functions.** For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , we define

$$\operatorname{div}(f) = \sum_{p} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(f) p \in \operatorname{Div}(X).$$

If X is compact, then  $\operatorname{div}(f) \in \operatorname{Div}_0(X)$ .

**Definition 6.2.** We define the family of **principle divisors** 

$$PDiv(X) = \{ div(f) : f \in \mathcal{M}(X) \}.$$

# **Example 6.3** If $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ then $\operatorname{PDiv}(X) = \operatorname{Div}_0(X)$ .

For  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , we denote

$$\operatorname{div}_0(f) = \sum_{\operatorname{ord}_p(f) > 0} \operatorname{ord}_p(f) p, \quad \operatorname{div}_\infty(f) = -\sum_{\operatorname{ord}_p(f) < 0} \operatorname{ord}_p(f) p.$$

Then  $\operatorname{div}(f) = \operatorname{div}_0(f) - \operatorname{div}_{\infty}(f)$ .

**Divisors of meromorphic forms.** For every  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ , we define

$$\operatorname{div}(\omega) = \sum_{p} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\omega) p \in \operatorname{Div}(X).$$

But the degree is not necessary zero. For example, we consider the  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{P}^1)$  as  $\omega = \mathrm{d}z$ . Then  $\omega = -w^{-2}\mathrm{d}w$  near  $\infty$ . Hence  $\mathrm{div}(\omega) = -2 \cdot \infty$  and  $\deg \omega = -2$ .

Similarly, we define

$$\mathrm{KDiv}(X) = \left\{ \mathrm{div}(\omega) : \omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(X) \right\}.$$

Note that for every given  $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ , the quotient  $\omega_1/\omega_0 \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . Hence

$$KDiv(X) = div(\omega) + PDiv(X)$$

for some  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ .

**Definition 6.4.** A divisor in KDiv(X) is called a **canonical divisor**.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Let  $f: X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be a non-constant holomorphic map. Let  $\omega = \mathrm{d}z \in \mathcal{M}^1(\mathbb{P}^1)$ , then  $\deg \omega = 2$ . Assume that  $\deg f = d$ , then by Hurwitz formula

$$2g - 2 = d(-2) + \sum_{p} (\text{mult}_{p} f - 1).$$

Recall that  $\operatorname{ord}_p f^*\omega = (1 + \operatorname{ord}_{f(p)} \omega) \operatorname{mult}_p f - 1$ . Let  $\eta = f^*\omega$ , we have

$$\deg \eta = \sum_{p} ((1 + \operatorname{ord}_{f(p)} \omega) \operatorname{mult}_{p} f - 1)$$

$$= \sum_{p} (\operatorname{mult}_{p} f - 1) - 2 \sum_{p \in f^{-1}(\infty)} \operatorname{mult}_{p} f = 2g - 2.$$

#### **Proposition 6.5**

Let X be a compact Riemann surface, then for every  $\omega \in \mathrm{KDiv}(X), \deg \omega = 2g-2.$ 

6. Mar 15 Ajorda's Notes

**Divisors of holomorphic maps.** Let  $F: X \to Y$  be a non-constant holomorphic map.

**Definition 6.6.** For every  $q \in Y$ , we define the **inverse image divisor** of q as

$$F^*q := \sum_{p \in F^{-1}q} (\operatorname{mult}_p F) p.$$

More general, for a divisor  $D \in \text{Div}(Y)$ , we can define the pull back  $F^*D$  to be a divisor in Div(X). Then

$$F^* : \text{Div}(Y) \to \text{Div}(X), \quad \text{PDiv}(X) \to \text{PDiv}(Y).$$

And  $\deg F^*D = \deg F \cdot \deg D$ .

**Definition 6.7.** The **ramification divisor** of F is a divisor on X as

$$R_F := \sum_{p \in X} (\operatorname{mult}_p F - 1) p.$$

The **branch divisor** of F is a divisor on Y as

$$B_F := \sum_{q \in Y} \left( \sum_{p \in F^{-1}(q)} (\operatorname{mult}_p F - 1) \right) q.$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{div}(F^*\omega) = F^*(\operatorname{div}(\omega)) + R_F.$$

Combining with  $\deg \omega = 2g - 2$ , this gives a more precise version of Hurwitz formula.

**Intersection divisors.** Let X be a smooth projective curve, that is,  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  for some n. Let G be a homogeneous polynomial with  $G \not\equiv 0$  on X. We want to define a corresponding divisor of G. For every p with  $G(p) \not\equiv 0$ , we need  $\operatorname{div}(G)(p) = 0$ . For every p with G(p) = 0, we choose a homogeneous polynomial H with  $\deg G = \deg H$  and  $H(p) \not\equiv 0$ . Then G/H is a meromorphic function on X. Then we define

$$\operatorname{div}(G)(p) := \operatorname{ord}_{p}(G/H).$$

This is well-defined.

**Definition 6.8.** The divisor div(G) is called the **intersection divisor** of G.

Note that for every  $G_1, G_2$  with the same degree, we have

$$\operatorname{div}(G_1) - \operatorname{div}(G_2) = \operatorname{div}(G_1/G_2) \in \operatorname{PDiv}(X).$$

**Partial ordering on divisors.** For two divisors, we define  $D_1 \ge D_2$  if  $D_1(p) \ge D_2(p)$  for every  $p \in X$ . For a meromorphic function f, f is holomorphic iff  $\operatorname{div}(f) \ge 0$ .

## §6.ii. Linear equivalence of divisors

For  $D_1, D_2 \in \text{Div}(X)$ , we define  $D_1 \sim D_2$  if  $D_1 - D_2 \in \text{PDiv}(X)$ .

## Example 6.9

- 1. On  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $D_1 \sim D_2$  iff  $\deg D_1 = \deg D_2$ .
- 2. On complex torus  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$ , then  $D = \sum n_i \cdot p_i \in \mathrm{PDiv}(X)$  iff  $\sum n_i p_i = 0$  (regarding X as a abelian group).

7. Mar 20 Ajorda's Notes

#### **Theorem 6.10** (Abel's Theorem)

Let X be complex torus, then  $D \in \operatorname{PDiv}(X)$  if and only if  $\deg D = 0$  and A(D) = 0, where  $A : \operatorname{Div}(X) \to X$  is the **Abel-Jacobi** map given by  $D = \sum n_i \cdot p_i \mapsto \sum n_i p_i$ .

**Degree of smooth projective curve.** Let X be a smooth projective curve. We define the **degree of** X as

$$\deg X := \deg \operatorname{div} H$$

for any linear homogeneous polynomial H with  $H|_X \not\equiv 0$ . Such div H is called **hyper-plane divisor**. For every homogeneous polynomials  $G_1, G_2$  with deg  $G_1 = \deg G_2$ , we have  $\operatorname{div}(G_1) \sim \operatorname{div}(G_2)$ .

#### **Proposition 6.11**

Let X be a smooth projective plane curve given by F(x, y, z) = 0. Then  $\deg X = \deg F$ .

*Proof.* Assume that G = x and  $[0:0:1] \notin X$ . Then h = x/y is a meromorphic function and  $\operatorname{div}(G) = \operatorname{div}_0(h)$ . Indeed,  $\operatorname{div}_0(h) = \deg F$  since it has d solutions.

#### **Theorem 6.12** (Bezout's Theorem)

Let X be a smooth projective curve. Let G be a homogeneous polynomial with  $G|_X\not\equiv 0$ . Then

$$\deg \operatorname{div}(G) = \deg(G) \deg(X).$$

**Plücker's formula.** Let X be a smooth projective plane curve given by F(x,y,z)=0. Assume that  $[0,1,0]\notin X$  and let  $\pi:X\to\mathbb{P}^1,[x,y,z]\mapsto[x:z]$ .

**Proposition 6.13**  $\operatorname{div}(\partial F/\partial y) = R_{\pi}$ .

## §7. Mar 20

Let F = F(x,y,z) be a homogeneous polynomial with degree d, then  $\deg \operatorname{div}(\partial F/\partial y) = d(d-1)$  by Bezout's theorem. Note that the degree of  $\pi$  equals d, combining with Hurwitz formula, we get

## **Theorem 7.1** (Plücker's formula)

Let X be a smooth projective plane curve of degree d. Then

$$g(X) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}.$$

#### §7.i. Space associated to a divisor

First we define  $\operatorname{div} 0 = +\infty$ . For every divisor D, we define the space

$$L(D) := \{ f \in \mathcal{M}(X) : \operatorname{div} f + D \geqslant 0 \},\,$$

7. Mar 20 Ajorda's Notes

which is a complex vector space. Note that  $L(0) = \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $L(D_1) \subset L(D_2)$  provided  $D_1 \leq D_2$ . If X is compact, then  $L(D) = \{0\}$  for every D with negative degree.

**Linear system.** Let D be a divisor and we define the **complete linear system** 

$$|D| := \{E \in \operatorname{Div}(X) : E \sim D, E \geqslant 0\}.$$

Note that

- If X is compact and  $\deg D < 0$ , then |D| is empty.
- If  $f \in L(D)$ , then  $E = \operatorname{div}(f) + D \ge 0$  hence  $E \in |D|$ .

#### Lemma 7.2

If X is compact, then  $S: \mathbb{P}(L(D)) \to |D|$  given by  $f \mapsto \operatorname{div}(f) + D$  is a 1-1 correspondence.

A general **linear system** is a subset of a complete linear system corresponding to a linear subspace of L(D).

**Linear equivalent divisors.** If  $D_1 \sim D_2$ , then there exists  $h \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $D_1 = D_2 + \operatorname{div}(h)$ . Then  $L(D_1) \simeq L(D_2)$  given by  $f \mapsto hf$ .

The space  $L^{(1)}(D)$ . Now we define the space

$$L^{(1)}(D) := \left\{ \omega \in \mathcal{M}^{(1)}(X) : \operatorname{div} \omega + D \geqslant 0 \right\}.$$

If K is a canonical divisor, that is  $K = \operatorname{div} \omega$  for some  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ . Then

$$\mu_{\omega}: L(D+K) \to L^{(1)}(D), \quad f \mapsto f\omega$$

gives an isomorphism.

#### Example 7.3 (On projective line)

We consider divisors on the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \lambda_i + e_\infty \cdot \infty$ . We consider

$$f_D = \prod_{i=1}^n (z - \lambda_i)^{-e_i}.$$

**Claim 7.4.**  $L(D) = \{g(z)f_D(z) : g \text{ is a polynomial with degree at most } \deg D\}$ .

## Example 7.5 (On complex tori)

Let D be a divisor on a complex torus  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$ . We have

- (1) If  $\deg D < 0$ , then  $L(D) = \{0\}$ .
- (2) If deg D=0 and  $D\sim 0$ , then  $L(D)\cong \mathbb{C}$ .
- (3) If deg D = 0 and  $D \nsim 0$ , then  $L(D) = \{0\}$ .
- (4) If  $\deg D > 0$ , then  $\dim L(D) = \deg D$ . This can be shown by induction on  $\deg D$ .

8. Mar 27 Ajorda's Notes

In general, we have

#### Lemma 7.6

Let X be a compact Riemann surface and D be a divisor,  $p \in X$  be a point. Then either L(D-p) = L(D) or L(D-p) has codimension one in L(D).

## **Corollary 7.7**

Let X be a compact Riemann surface and D be a divisor, then both L(D) and  $L^{(1)}(D)$  are of finite dimensional.

## §8. Mar 27

#### §8.i. Maps to the projective space

**Definition 8.1.** Let X be a Riemann surface. We call a map  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^n$  is **holomorphic at**  $p \in X$  if there are holomorphic functions  $g_0, \dots g_n$  defined near p, not all zero at p, such that  $\phi(x) = [g_0(x): \dots: g_n(x)]$  near p.

Let X be a Riemann surface and  $f = (f_0, \dots f_n)$  where  $f_i \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . We define

$$\phi_f(p) := [f_0(p) : f_1(p) : \cdots : f_n(p)] \in \mathbb{P}^n.$$

A priori,  $\phi_f$  is defined at p if

- p is not a zero of every  $f_i$ , and
- p is not a pole of any  $f_i$ .

Moreover,  $\phi_f$  is holomorphic at such p's. In fact,  $\phi_f$  can be extended to all points, in such a way that  $\phi_f$  is holomorphic. For  $p \in X$ , let  $m = \min \operatorname{ord}_p f_i$ . Then functions  $z^m f_i$  satisfy above two conditions at p. We define

$$\phi_f \coloneqq [z^{-m} f_0 : \dots : z^{-m} f_n]$$

near p. This definition corresponds to the original definition at other points by the homogeneous property of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

**Remark 8.2** — If  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^n$  is a holomorphic map, then  $\phi = \phi_f$  for some f.

4Let  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^n$  be a holomorphic map given by  $\phi = [f_0: \dots: f_n]$  where  $f_i \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . Let

$$D = -\min_{i} \operatorname{div}(f_i).$$

Then  $-D \leq \operatorname{div}(f_i)$  for each i and hence  $f_i \in L(D)$ . Let

$$V_f := \left\{ \sum_i a_i f_i : a_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

which is a subspace of L(D). We define the **linear system of**  $\phi$  as

$$|\phi| := {\operatorname{div}(q) + D : q \in V_f} \subset |D|.$$

This definition is independent with the choice of  $f_0, \dots, f_n$ . In fact, if  $\phi = [g_0, \dots, g_n]$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $g_i = \lambda f_i$ .

8. Mar 27 Ajorda's Notes

**Fact 8.3.** For every  $p \in X$ , there exists  $E \in |\phi|$  such that  $p \notin \operatorname{supp} E$ .

A linear system with dimension n whose divisors all have degree d is called a  $g_d^n$ .

**Question 8.4.** Which  $g_d^n$ 's can be the linear systems of a holomorphic map?

**Definition 8.5.** Let Q be a linear system, a point p is called a **base point** of Q if  $E \geqslant p$  for every  $E \in Q$ . We say Q is **base-point-free (or free)** if it has no base points.

In particular,  $|\phi|$  is free.

Let  $Q \subset |D|$  be a linear system and  $V \subset L(D)$  be the vector space corresponds to Q. If p is a base point of Q, then for every  $f \in V$ , we have  $f \in L(D-p)$ .

#### Lemma 8.6

A point  $p \in X$  is a base point of  $Q \subset |D|$  iff  $V \subset L(D-p)$  where V is the vector space corresponding to Q. In particular, p is a base point of |D| iff L(D) = L(D-p).

## **Proposition 8.7**

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Then  $p \in X$  is a base point of D iff  $\dim L(D) = \dim L(D-p)$ .

#### Example 8.8

If X is a complex torus, then  $\dim L(D) = \deg D$  if  $\deg D \geqslant 1$ . Then L(D) is base-point-free if  $\deg D \geqslant 2$ .

The hyperplane divisor of a holomorphic map to  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^n$  be a holomorphic map where X is a compact Riemann surface. Let H be a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  given by  $\{L=0\}$  with  $\deg L=1$ .

For every  $p \in X$ , let M be a linear homogeneous function with  $M(p) \neq 0$ . Let  $h = (L/M) \circ \phi$  which is a meromorphic function on X. We define the divisor  $\phi^*(H)$  as  $\phi^*(H)(p) = \operatorname{ord}_p h$ . This definition is independent with the choice of M. Such divisor is called the **hyperplane** divisor for the map  $\phi$ .

#### **Proposition 8.9**

If 
$$\phi = [f_0 : f_1 : \cdots : f_n]$$
 and  $H = \{\sum_i a_i x_i = 0\}$ , then

$$\phi^*(H) = \operatorname{div}\left(\sum_i a_i f_i\right) - \min_i \left\{\operatorname{div} f_i\right\}.$$

**Corollary 8.10**  $\{\phi^*(H) : H \text{ is a hyperplane}\} = |\phi|.$ 

9. Mar 29 Ajorda's Notes

### Defining a holomorphic map via a linear system.

#### **Proposition 8.11**

Let  $Q \subset |D|$  be a base-point-free linear system of (projective) dimension n on a compact Riemann surface. Then there exists a holomorphic map  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $Q = |\phi|$ . Moreover, such  $\phi$  is unique up to the choice of coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Let |D| be a complete linear system, which may has base points. Let  $F=\min\left\{E:E\in|D|\right\}$ . Then we have |D|=F+|D-F| and L(D-F)=L(D). Hence |D-F| is a base-point-free linear system which corresponds to the same linear space with |D|.

By the previous discussions, we can construct a holomorphic map  $\phi_D: X \to \mathbb{P}^n$  corresponding to a complete linear system |D| without base points. We want to study when  $\phi_D$  is an embedding.

### **Proposition 8.12**

Let X be a compact Riemann surface and |D| be a complete linear system without base points. Then there exists  $p \neq q$  such that  $\phi_D(p) = \phi_D(q)$  iff L(D-p-q) = L(D-p) = L(D-q).

## **Corollary 8.13**

 $\phi_D$  is 1-1 if dim  $L(D-p-q)=\dim L(D)-2$  for every pair of distinct points p and q.

## §9. Mar 29

#### §9.i. Maps to the projective space

Even if  $\phi_D$  is 1-1, the image of  $\phi_D$  may not be a holomorphically embedded Riemann surface.

#### Example 9.1

Consider the map  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^3$  given by  $z \mapsto [1:z^2:z^3]$ . Then it corresponds to  $\{x^3=y^2\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ .

## Lemma 9.2

Assume that  $\phi_D$  is 1-1. For every  $p \in X$ , the image of  $\phi_D$  is holomorphically embedded near  $\phi_D(p)$  iff  $L(D-2p) \neq L(D-p)$ .

## **Proposition 9.3**

Let X be a compact Riemann surface and |D| be a complete linear system without base points. The  $\phi_D$  is a holomorphic embedding iff  $\dim L(D-p-q)=\dim L(D)-2$  for every  $p,q\in X$ .

9. Mar 29 Ajorda's Notes

**Definition 9.4.** A divisor  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  is called **very ample** if D is base-point-free and  $\phi_D$  is a holomorphic embedding. D is called **ample** if there exists m > 0 such that mD is very ample.

The degree of the image and the map. Suppose that  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{P}^n$  is a holomorphic map such that  $\phi(X) = Y$  is a smooth projective curve. Let  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a hyperplane.

**Proposition 9.5**  $\deg \phi^*(H) = \deg \phi \cdot \deg Y$ .

**Corollary 9.6** If *D* is a very ample divisor, then  $\deg \phi(X) = \deg D$ .

#### §9.ii. Algebraic curves

**Definition 9.7.** A compact Riemann surface X is called an **algebraic curve** if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- **Separating points.** For every  $p \neq q \in X$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $f(p) \neq f(q)$ .
- Separating tangents. For every  $p \in X$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $\operatorname{mult}_p f = 1$ .

An algebraic curve refers to the compact Riemann surfaces with enough meromorphic functions. The following result is highly nontrivial. But we will acknowledge it in later discussions.

**Theorem 9.8** Every compact Riemann surface is an algebraic curve.

Constructing functions on algebraic curves. Let X be an algebraic curve. The for every  $p \in X$  and  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  with  $\operatorname{ord}_p(f) = N$ . Now we construct functions on X by Laurent tails.

**Definition 9.9.** A Laurent polynomial  $r(z) = \sum_{i=n}^{m} c_i z^i$  is called a **Laurent tail** of a Laurent series h(z) if h(z) - r(z) has all of its terms higher than the top degree term of r.

#### **Lemma 9.10**

Fix a point  $p \in X$  and a local coordinate centered at p. Fix any Laurent polynomial r(z), then there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  whose Laurent series at p has r(z) as a Laurent tail.

#### Lemma 9.11

For every  $p \neq q \in X$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that p is a zero and q is a pole of f.

#### **Proposition 9.12** (Laurent series approximation)

Fix a finite number of points  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in X$ , choose local coordinates  $z_i$  at each  $p_i$  and Laurent polynomials  $r_i(z_i)$ . Then there exists  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that f has  $r_i(z_i)$  as a Laurent tail at  $p_i$  for every i.

10. Apr 3 Ajorda's Notes

The function field  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ .

## **Proposition 9.13**

Let X be an algebraic curve, then  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is a finitely generated extension field of  $\mathbb C$  of transcendence degree 1.

Proof (transcendence degree). The transcendence degree is at least one since  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  contains a non constant function. Assume that there exists  $f,g\in\mathcal{M}(X)$  which are algebraically independent. Take a divisor D such that  $f,g\in L(D)$ . Then for every  $i,j\geqslant 0$  and  $i+j\leqslant n$ , we have  $f^ig^j\in L(nD)$ . It follows that

$$\dim L(nD) \geqslant \frac{n^2 + 3n + 2}{2}.$$

But dim  $L(nD) \leq 1 + \deg(nD) \leq 1 + n \deg D$ , which leads to a contradiction.

*Proof (finite generation).* Take a non constant function  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . It suffices to show  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is a finite algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{C}(f)$ .

#### Lemma 9.14

Let  $A \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$  and  $D = \operatorname{div}_{\infty}(f)$ , then there exists a positive integer m > 0 and a meromorphic function g such that  $A - \operatorname{div}(g) \leq mD$ . Moreover, g can be taken to be a polynomial of f.

#### Corollary 9.15

For every  $h, f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , there exists a polynomial  $r(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$  and m > 0 such that  $r(f)h \in L(mD)$  where  $D = \operatorname{div}_{\infty}(f)$ .

In fact, we will show that  $[\mathcal{M}(X):\mathbb{C}(f)] \leq \deg D$ , where  $D=\operatorname{div}_{\infty}(f)$ . Assume that  $k=[\mathcal{M}(X):\mathbb{C}(f)]$  and let  $g_1,\cdots,g_k\in\mathcal{M}(X)$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}(f)$ . Then there exists  $m_0>0$  and  $r_i\in\mathbb{C}[t]$  such that  $h_i=r_i(f)g_i\in L(m_0D)$  for every i. Hence for every  $m\geqslant m_0,\ f^jh_i\in L(mD)$  for every  $j\leqslant m-m_0$  and  $i\leqslant k$ . Hence  $\dim L(mD)\geqslant (m-m_0+1)k$ . On the other hand,  $\dim L(mD)\leqslant 1+\deg(mD)\leqslant 1+m\deg D$ . We get a contradiction if  $k>\deg D$ .

Fact 9.16.  $[\mathcal{M}(X):\mathbb{C}(f)]=\deg \operatorname{div}_{\infty}(f)$ .

## §10. Apr 3

#### §10.i. Laurent tail divisors

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. For every  $p \in X$ , we fix at once a local coordinate  $z_p$  centered at p.

**Definition 10.1.** A Laurent tail divisor is a finite formal sum  $\sum_p r_p(z_p) \cdot p$  where  $r_p(z_p)$  is a Laurent polynomial in the coordinate  $z_p$ . The set of Laurent tail divisors is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}(X)$ .

10. Apr 3 Ajorda's Notes

Given a divisor  $D \in Div(X)$ , we define

$$\mathcal{T}[D](X) \coloneqq \left\{ \sum r_p \cdot p \in \mathcal{T}(X) : \text{ the top term of } r_p \text{ is at most } -D(p) \right\}.$$

For every  $D_1 \leqslant D_2$ , there exists a natural truncation map

$$t_{D_2}^{D_1}: \mathcal{T}[D_1](X) \to \mathcal{T}[D_2](X).$$

For every  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ , there is a multiplication operator

$$\mu_f = \mu_f^D : \mathcal{T}[D](X) \to \mathcal{T}[D - \operatorname{div}(f)](X).$$

Note that  $\mu_f^D$  is an isomorphism, the inverse is  $\mu_{1/f}^{D-{
m div}(f)}$ .

For every  $D \in Div(X)$ , there is also a map

$$\alpha_D: \mathcal{M}(X) \to \mathcal{T}[D](X)$$

defined by  $f \mapsto \sum r_p \cdot p$  where  $r_p$  is the truncation of the Laurent series  $f(z_p)$  removing all the terms higher than -D(p). In fact,  $\ker \alpha_D = L(D)$ . Then for  $D_1 \leqslant D_2$ ,

$$\alpha_{D_2}: \mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{D_1}} \mathcal{T}[D_1](X) \xrightarrow{t_{D_2}^{D_1}} \mathcal{T}[D_2](X).$$

For every  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ ,

$$\mu_f(\alpha_D(g)) = \alpha_{D-\operatorname{div}(f)}(fg).$$

#### Mittag-Leffler Problem.

Question 10.2. Given a Laurent tail divisor  $Z \in \mathcal{T}[D](X)$ , does  $Z \in \operatorname{Im} \alpha_D$ ?

We first define the first cohomology group

$$H^1(D) := \operatorname{coker} \alpha_D = \mathcal{T}[D](X) / \operatorname{Im}(\alpha_D).$$

Then we immediately find an exact sequence

$$0 \to L(D) \to \mathcal{M}(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha_D} \mathcal{T}[D](X) \to H^1(D) \to 0,$$

which can be written as a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{M}(X)/L(D) \xrightarrow{\alpha_D} \mathcal{T}[D](X) \to H^1(D) \to 0.$$

For  $D_1 \leq D_2$ , note that  $L(D_1) \hookrightarrow L(D_2)$  and there is a map  $t : \mathcal{T}[D_1](X) \to \mathcal{T}[D_2](X)$ . Then the short exact sequence induces a map  $H^1(D_1) \to H^1(D_2)$ . By the snake lemma, we obtain

$$0 \to \ker[\mathcal{M}(X)/L(D_1) \to \mathcal{M}(X)/L(D_2)] \to \ker t_{D_2}^{D_1}) \to \ker[H^1(D_1) \to H^1(D_2)] \to 0.$$

We define

$$H^1(D_1/D_2) := \ker[H^1(D_1) \to H^1(D_2)].$$

By calculating the dimension of the short exact sequence, we obtain

$$\dim H^1(D_1/D_2) = [\deg D_2 - \dim L(D_2)] - [\dim L(D_1) - \deg D_1].$$

10. Apr 3 Ajorda's Notes

#### Lemma 10.3

Let X be an algebraic curve. Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and  $D = \operatorname{div}_{\infty}(f)$ . Then  $\operatorname{dim} H^1(0/mD)$  is bounded for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $[\mathcal{M}(X):\mathbb{C}(f)]=\deg D$ , hence  $\dim L(mD)\geqslant (m-m_0+1)\deg D$ . It follows that  $\dim H^1(0/mD)\leqslant 1+(m_0-1)\deg D$ .

#### Lemma 10.4

Let X be an algebraic curve. Then there exists M > 0 such that for every  $A \in Div(X)$ ,

$$\deg A - \dim L(A) \leqslant M.$$

*Proof.* Choose an  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and let  $D = \operatorname{div}_{\infty}(f)$ . For every  $A \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ , there exists m > 0 and  $g \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $B = A - \operatorname{div}(g) \leqslant mD$ . Note that  $\deg B = \deg A$  and  $L(B) \cong L(A)$ , the conclusion follows by

$$\deg A - \dim L(A) = \deg B - \dim L(B) \leqslant \deg(mD) - \dim L(mD) \leqslant M.$$

Then there exists  $A_0 \in \text{Div}(X)$  maximizing  $\deg A_0 - \dim L(A_0)$ ,

Claim 10.5.  $H^1(A_0) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Assume for a contradiction that  $Z \in \mathcal{T}[A](X)$  but  $Z \notin \operatorname{Im} \alpha_{A_0}$ . Take  $B \geqslant A$  such that t(Z) = 0. Then  $[Z] \in \ker(H^1(A) \to H^1(B))$ . Which leads to  $\deg B - \dim L(B) > \deg A_0 - \dim L(A)$ .

#### **Proposition 10.6**

Let X be an algebraic curve, then  $H^1(D)$  is finite dimensional for every  $D \in Div(X)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $A_0$  as above, write  $D-A_0=P-N$  where  $P,N\geqslant 0$ . Then  $H^1(A_0+P)=0$  and hence

$$H^1(D) = H^1(A_0 + P - N) \cong H^1(A_0 + P - N/A_0 + P)$$

which is finite dimensional.

Combine the identities  $\dim H^1(0/D) = \dim H^1(0) - \dim H^1(D)$  and  $\dim H^1(0/D) = \deg D - \dim L(D) + 1$ , we obtain

### **Theorem 10.7** (The Riemann-Roch theorem: first form)

Let D be a divisor on an algebraic curve, then

$$\dim L(D) - \dim H^1(D) = \deg D + 1 - \dim H^1(0).$$

11. Apr 10 Ajorda's Notes

# §11. Apr 10

# §11.i. Riemann-Roch theorem and Serre duality

Recall that  $\omega \in L^{(1)}(-D)$  iff  $\operatorname{div} \omega \geqslant D$ . Then we can write

$$\omega = \left(\sum_{n=D(p)}^{\infty} c_n z_p^n\right) \mathrm{d}z_p$$

locally at p. For an  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , write  $f = \sum_k a_k z_p^k$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Res}_p(f\omega) = \sum_{n=D(p)}^{\infty} c_n a_{-1-n}.$$

So this residue only depends on  $a_i$  for  $i \leq -1 - D(p)$ . In another word, it depends only on  $\alpha_D(f)$ .

**Definition 11.1.** For every  $\omega \in L^{(1)}(-D)$ , we define the **residue map** 

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}: \mathcal{T}[D](X) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \sum_{p} r_{p} \cdot p \mapsto \sum_{p} \operatorname{Res}_{p}(r_{p}\omega).$$

Since for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}(\alpha_D(f)) = \sum_{p} \operatorname{Res}_{p}(f\omega) = 0,$$

hence  $\alpha_D(\mathcal{M}(X)) \subset \ker \mathrm{Res}_{\omega}$ . Then we obtain a map

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\omega}: H^1(D) = \mathcal{T}[D](X)/\alpha_D(\mathcal{M}(X)) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

It means that every  $\omega \in L^{(1)}(D)$  can be regarded as an element of the dual space  $H^1(D)^*$ . We obtain a linear map, also called the **residue map** 

Res: 
$$L^{(1)}(D) \to H^1(D)^*$$
.

# Theorem 11.2 (Serre duality)

For any divisor D on an algebraic curve, the residue map

Res: 
$$L^{(1)}(D) \to H^1(D)^*$$

is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces. In particular, for any canonical divisor K,

$$\dim H^1(D) = \dim L^{(1)}(-D) = \dim L(K-D).$$

Note that  $\deg K = 2g - 2$  for any canonical divisor. By Serre duality,

$$\dim H^1(K) = \dim L(D-D) = 1.$$

Applying Riemann-Roch to K, we have

$$\dim L(K) - 1 = \dim L(K) - \dim H^{1}(K) = \deg K + 1 - \dim H^{1}(0) = 2g - 1 - \dim L(K).$$

Hence 
$$\dim H^1(0) = \dim L^{(1)}(D) = \dim L(K) = g$$
.

12. Apr 12 Ajorda's Notes

**Theorem 11.3** (The Riemann-Roch theorem: second form)

Let D be a divisor on an algebraic curve of genus g and K be a canonical divisor, then

$$\dim L(D) - \dim L(K - D) = \deg D + 1 - g.$$

**Remark 11.4** — It was Riemann's theorem that  $\dim L(D) \geqslant \deg D + 1 - g$  and then Roch provided the error term.

**Corollary 11.5** If deg  $D \ge 2g - 1$ , then  $H^1(D) = 0$  and dim  $L(D) = \deg D + 1 - g$ .

# §12. Apr 12

## §12.i. Applications of Riemann-Roch theorem

# **Proposition 12.1**

Let X be an algebraic curve of genus g. Then any divisor D with  $\deg D\geqslant 2g+1$  is very ample.

*Proof.* For every  $p, q \in X$ , we have  $deg(D - p - q) \ge 2g - 1$ . Hence

$$\deg L(D - p - q) = \deg(D - p - q) + 1 - g = \deg L(D) - 2.$$

Corollary 12.21. Every compact Riemann surface is a projective curve.

But we can say more on it since we can choose D arbitrarily. Let  $D=(2g+1)\cdot p$  for any  $p\in X$ . It induces a holomorphic embedding  $\phi_D:X\to \mathbb{P}^n$ . By Corollary 8.10, there exists a hyperplane H such that  $\phi_D^*(H)=D=(2g+1)\cdot p$ . Hence

$$\phi_D(X \setminus \{p\}) \subset \mathbb{P}^n \setminus H = \mathbb{C}^n.$$

**Genus zero curves.** We will show that the only genus zero curve is the Riemann sphere.

#### **Lemma 12.3**

Let X be a compact Riemann surface, if there exists  $p \in X$  such that  $\dim L(p) > 1$ , then  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ .

*Proof.* There exists a nonconstant  $f \in L(p)$ , hence  $f: X \to \mathbb{P}$  is with degree 1. Therefore f is an isomorphism.

**Proposition 12.4** If g(X) = 0, then  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ .

*Proof.* By Riemann-Roch theorem,  $\dim L(p) = 1 + 1 = 2 > 1$ .

12. Apr 12 Ajorda's Notes

**Genus one curves.** Now we show that genus one curves are cubic plane curves.

Let  $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{M}^1(X)$  and  $K_0 = \operatorname{div} \omega_0$  which is a canonical divisor. Then  $\operatorname{deg}(K_0) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{dim} L(K_0) = 1$ . If  $f \in L(K_0)$ , then  $\omega = f\omega_0 \in \Omega^1(X)$ .

Let Y be the universal cover of X and  $\pi: Y \to X$  is the projection. Since X is a topological torus, we know that  $Y \cong_{\text{diffeomorphism}} \mathbb{R}^2$ . We will show  $Y \cong_{\text{holomophic}} \mathbb{C}$ . We consider  $\pi^*\omega$  which is a holomorphic 1-form on Y. Fix a point  $p_0 \in Y$  and for every  $p \in Y$  set

$$\phi(p) = \int_{\gamma_p} \pi^* \omega,$$

where  $\gamma_p$  is a path connecting  $p_0$  and p. Then  $\phi: Y \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic.

**Proposition 12.5** If g(X) = 1, then X is a complex torus.

**Clifford's theorem.** A divisor D is called **special** if  $D \ge 0$  and  $H^1(D) \ne 0$ . The dimension of  $H^1(D)$  is called the **index** of D.

#### Lemma 12.6

Let  $D_1, D_2$  be two divisors, then

 $\dim L(D_1) + \dim L(D_2) \leq \dim L(\min \{D_1, D_2\}) + \dim L(\max D_1, D_2).$ 

#### **Proposition 12.7**

If dim  $L(D) \ge 1$  and dim  $L(K-D) \ge 1$ , then dim  $L(D) + \dim L(K-D) \le g+1$ .

*Proof.* Take  $D_1 \in |D|$  and  $D_2 \in |K - D|$ . If  $\max \{D_1, D_2\} = D_1 + D_2$ , we have

$$\dim L(D_1) + \dim L(D_2) \leq \dim L(D_1 + D_2) + \dim L(0) = \dim L(K) + 1 = g + 1.$$

Write |D| = F + |M| where F is the fixed part of |D| and |M| is base-point-free. Then there exists  $D_3 \in |M|$  such that supp  $D_3 \cap \text{supp } D_2 = \emptyset$ . Moreover,  $D_3 + D_2 \leqslant F + D_3 + D_2 \sim K$ , hence  $\dim L(D_2 + D_3) \leqslant g$ . By a similar inequality above, we obtain the proposition.  $\square$ 

#### **Theorem 12.8** (Clifford's Theorem)

Let D be a special divisor on an algebraic curve X. Then

$$2 \dim L(D) \leq \deg(D) + 2.$$

# §12.ii. The canonical map

#### **Lemma 12.9**

Let |K| be a canonical system on an algebraic curve X with  $g(X)\geqslant 1$ , then |K| is base-point-free.

*Proof.* By Lemma 12.3,  $1 = \dim L(p) = \dim L(K-p) + 2 - g$ , hence  $\dim L(K-p) = g - 1$ .  $\square$ 

12. Apr 12 Ajorda's Notes

Let K be a canonical divisor, when is  $\phi_K$  an embedding? If g(X)=2, then  $\phi_K:X\to\mathbb{P}^1$  is not an embedding. Now we consider the case of  $g\geqslant 3$ .

**Definition 12.10.** For  $g \geqslant 3$ , the map  $\phi_K : X \to \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$  is called the **canonical map** for X.

If  $\phi_K$  is not an embedding then there exists  $p, q \in X$  such that  $\dim L(K - p - q) = g - 1$ . Or equivalently,  $\dim L(p + q) = 2$  and any nonconstant  $f \in L(p + q)$  gives a degree two map to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . This leads to X is a hyperelliptic curve.

#### **Proposition 12.11**

Let X be an algebraic curve of genus  $g \geqslant 3$ . Then the canonical map is an embedding if and only if X is not hyperelliptic.

**Canonical map for hyperelliptic curves.** Let  $X = \{y^2 = h(x)\}$  be a hyperelliptic curve, where deg h = 2g + 1 or 2g + 2. Then

$$\Omega^1(X) = \left\{ p(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{y} : \deg p \leqslant g - 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $K=\operatorname{div}(\mathrm{d} x/y)$  be a canonical divisor, then L(K) is spanned by  $\left\{1,x,\cdots,x^{g-1}\right\}$ . Hence the canonical map is given by

$$\phi_K = [1:x:\cdots:x^{g-1}].$$

Then there exists  $\nu_{g-1}: \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$  such that  $\phi_K = \nu_{g-1} \circ \pi$  where  $\pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is the double covering map.

Classification of genus three curves. Let D be a very ample divisor on an algebraic curve and  $\phi_D: X \to \mathbb{P}^n$  is an embedding. Define  $\mathcal{P}(n,k)$  be the space of degree-k homogeneous polynomials in (n+1)-variables. Then

$$\dim \mathcal{P}(n,k) = \binom{n+k}{k}.$$

Fix  $F_0 \in \mathcal{P}(n,k)$ , which is not identically zero on X. Then the intersection divisor  $\operatorname{div}(F_0) \sim kD$ . The we get a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$R_k: \mathcal{P}(n,k) \to L(kD), \quad F \mapsto F/F_0.$$

As k grows large, there are lots of functions in  $\ker R_k$ . For  $k \ge 2$  and  $\deg D \ge g$ , we have  $H^1(kD) = 0$ . Hence

$$\dim \ker R_k \geqslant \binom{n+k}{k} - k \deg D - 1 + g.$$

For the case of g=3, if X is not a hyperelliptic curve. The  $\phi_K:X\hookrightarrow\mathbb{P}^2$  as a smooth curve of degree 4 (Plücker's formula). Note that

$$\deg \ker R_4 \geqslant \binom{6}{4} - 4 \deg K - 1 + 3 = 1.$$

Then there exists a quartic polynomial F vanishing on X. Furthermore, we can show that every polynomial vanishing on X is a multiple of F. We obtain

13. Apr 17 Ajorda's Notes

#### **Proposition 12.12**

Let X be an algebraic curve of genus 3. Then

- either X is hyperelliptic  $\{y^2 = h(x)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  where  $\deg h = 7, 8$ ;
- or the canonical map  $\phi_K$  embeds X into  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as a quartic curve.

# §13. Apr 17

#### §13.i. Presheaves and sheaves

Let X be a topological space.

**Definition 13.1.** A **presheaf of groups**  $\mathcal{F}$  on X is a collection of groups  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  for each open set U and a collection of group homomorphisms  $\rho_V^U : \mathcal{F}(U) \to \mathcal{F}(V)$  whenever  $V \subset U$ , such that

- $\mathcal{F}(\varnothing) = \{0\};$
- $\rho_U^U = \mathrm{id};$
- if  $W \subset V \subset U$ , then  $\rho_W^U = \rho_W^V \circ \rho_V^U$ .

The homomorphisms  $\rho_V^U$  are called the **restriction maps** for the presheaf.

**Definition 13.2.** An element of  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is called a **section** of  $\mathcal{F}$  over U. An element of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is called a **global section**.

We can also define similarly the (pre)sheaf of rings / vector spaces.

#### Example 13.3

- 1. Let X be a differentiable manifold and let  $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty(U)$  be the  $C^\infty$  functions over U. This is a presheaf of rings.
- 2. Let X be a Riemann surface.
  - a)  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a presheaf of rings.
  - b) Let  $\mathcal{O}_X^*(U)$  be the set of all nowhere zero holomorphic functions  $f:U\to\mathbb{C}^*$ . This is a presheaf of groups.
  - c)  $\mathcal{M}_X$  is a presheaf of rings. If X is connected then  $\mathcal{M}_X$  is a presheaf of fields.
  - d) Let  $\mathcal{M}_X^*(U)$  be the set of all not identically zero on each connected component of U.
  - e) Let  $\mathcal{O}_X[D](U)$  be the set of all meromorphic functions on U satisfying  $\operatorname{ord}_p(f) + D(p) \ge 0$ .
- 3. Let G be a group, let  $G^X(U) := G^U = \{f : U \to G\}$ .

**Definition 13.4** (The sheaf axiom). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf on X. Let U be an open set and  $\{U_i\}$  is an open covering of  $U_i$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the **sheaf axiom** if whenever one has elements  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$  which agree on the intersections:

$$\rho_{U_i \cap U_j}^{U_i}(s_i) = \rho_{U_i \cap U_j}^{U_j}(s_j), \quad \forall i, j,$$

then there exists a unique  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that

$$\rho_{U_i}^U(s) = s_i, \quad \forall i.$$

**Definition 13.5.** We call  $\mathcal{F}$  a **sheaf** if it satisfies the sheaf axiom of every open set U and every open covering  $\{U_i\}$  of U.

13. Apr 17 Ajorda's Notes

• Let G be a group, the locally constant functions  $f:U\to G$  form a sheaf called the **locally constant sheaf**, denoted by G.

• For each  $p \in X$ , endow a group  $G_p$ . Set

$$\mathcal{S}(U) := \prod_{p \in U} G_p,$$

this is called a totally discontinuous sheaf.

• Let  $G_p=G$  for a single point  $p\in X$  and  $G_q=\{0\}$  for  $q\neq p$ . Then such totally discontinuous sheaf is called a skyscraper sheaf. Then  $G_p(U) = G$  if  $p \in U$  and  $G_p(U) = \{0\} \text{ if } p \notin U.$ 

Given a totally disconnected sheaf S, let  $s \in S(U)$ . Then s may be evaluated at a point  $p \in U$ by setting s(p) equal to the p-th coordinate. The support of s is the set of  $p \in U$  that  $s(p) \neq 0$ . A variant of the skyscraper sheaf  $G_p$  is the sheaf of G-value functions that have discrete support. This is also referred to as a **skyscraper sheaf**. In the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ , the skyscraper sheaf coincides with the sheaf of divisors  $\mathcal{D}iv_X$ .

Setting  $G_p$  be the group of Laurent polynomials whose top term has degree strictly less than -D(p), then the skyscraper sheaf is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_X[D]$ . For  $D_1 \leq D_2$ , we can also define

 $\mathcal{T}_X[D_1/D_2]\coloneqq \{ ext{Laurent polynomials with terms of degree at least }D_1 ext{ and strictly less than }D_2\}$  .

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Then

- $\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathbb{C}$ ;
- $\mathcal{O}[D](X) = L(D)$ ;
- $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_X(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

We also have  $\mathcal{T}_X[D]$ ,  $\Omega_X^1$ ,  $\Omega_X^1[D]$  (meromorphic forms with  $\operatorname{div} \omega + D \geqslant 0$ ). In particular,  $\Omega_X^1[D](X) = L^{(1)}(D).$ 

## §13.ii. Sheaf maps

**Definition 13.6.** A sheaf map  $\phi: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  is a collection of homomorphisms  $\phi_U: \mathcal{F}(U) \to \mathcal{F}(U)$  $\mathcal{G}(U)$  which are compatible with the restriction maps.

## Example 13.7

- 1. Inclusion maps:  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \underline{\mathbb{R}} \subset \underline{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\underline{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathcal{O}_X \subset \mathcal{M}_X$ .
- 2. Differentiation maps:  $d: \mathcal{C}_X^{\infty} \to \mathcal{E}_X^1$ .
- 3. Divisor maps:  $\operatorname{div}: \mathcal{M}_X^* \to \mathcal{D}iv_X$ .
- 4. Evaluation map  $\operatorname{eval}_p : \mathcal{C}_X^{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}_p$ .
- 5. Taking residue  $\operatorname{Res}_p: \mathcal{M}_X^{(1)} \to \mathbb{C}_p$ . 6. Multiplications:  $\mu_f: \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{M}_X, \, \mu_f: \mathcal{O}_X[D] \to \mathcal{O}_X[D-\operatorname{div}(f)]$ .
- 7. Truncation maps:

7. Truncation maps: 
$$\alpha_D: \mathcal{M}_X \to \mathcal{T}_X[D], t_{D_2}^{D_1}: \mathcal{T}_X[D_1] \to \mathcal{T}_X[D_2], \alpha_{D_1/D_2}: \mathcal{O}_X[D_2] \to \mathcal{O}_X[D_1/D_2].$$
 8. The exponential map:  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}-): \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X^*.$ 

**Fact 13.8.** A kernel of a sheaf map is always a sheaf.

**Definition 13.9.** Let  $\phi: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  be a sheaf map. We say  $\phi$  is **injective** (resp. surjective) if for every  $p \in X$  and every  $U \ni p$ , there exists  $p \in V \subset U$  such that  $\phi_V$  is 1-1 (resp. onto).

13. Apr 17 Ajorda's Notes

#### Lemma 13.10

The following are equivalent:

- $\phi$  is injective.
- $\phi_U$  is injective for every open subset  $U \subset X$ .
- The kernel sheaf is the identically zero sheaf.

The analogous lemma is **NOT** true for onto maps, see the following example.

## Example 13.11

Let  $X = C^*$  and  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}-): \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X^*$  be the exponential map. Then the equation  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f)=1/z$  has no solution  $f\in\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ . But the exponential map is an onto sheaf map.

#### Exact sequences of sheaves.

**Definition 13.12.** We say that a sequence of sheaf maps

$$0 \to \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{G} \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence of sheaves if  $\phi$  is onto and  $\ker \phi = \mathcal{K}$ .

# **Example 13.13** (Several short exact sequences)

- $0 \to \underline{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{O} \stackrel{d=\partial}{\longrightarrow} \Omega^1 \to 0$ .
- $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}-)} \mathcal{O}^* \to 0.$
- $\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & 0 \to \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{E}^{0,1} \to 0. \\ \bullet & 0 \to \Omega^{1} \to \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{E}^{2} \to 0. \end{array}$
- $0 \to \mathcal{O}[D-p] \to \mathcal{O}[D] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{eval}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \to 0.$
- Let D be a divisor with D(p)=1, then  $0\to\Omega^1[D-p]\to\Omega^1[D]\stackrel{\mathrm{Res}_p}{\longrightarrow}\mathbb{C}_p\to 0$ .
- $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X^* \to \mathcal{M}_X^* \xrightarrow{\operatorname{div}} \mathcal{D}iv_X \to 0.$   $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X[D] \to \mathcal{M}_X \xrightarrow{\alpha_D} \mathcal{T}_X[D] \to 0.$
- For  $D_1 \leqslant D_2$ ,  $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X[D_1] \to \mathcal{O}_X[D_2] \stackrel{\alpha_{D_1/D_2}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{T}_X[D_1/D_2] \to 0$ .

**Definition 13.14.** In general, let  $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{C}$  be a sequence of sheaf maps. This sequence is **exact** at  $\mathcal{B}$  if

- $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$ , and
- for every open set U and  $p \in U$  and every section  $b \in \mathcal{B}(U)$  which is in the kernel of  $\beta_U$ , there exists an open subset  $V \subset U$  containing p such that  $\rho_V^U(b)$  is in the image of  $\alpha_V$ .

**Definition 13.15.** A sheaf map  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  is called a **sheaf isomorphism** if  $\phi$  is injective and surjective.

#### Lemma 13.16

A sheaf map is a sheaf isomorphism if and only if it has an inverse sheaf map.