

# Alternative definitions of determinant

Yuxin Gong

April 2025

## 1 Introduction

This note will investigate several definitions of the determinant of a matrix in order to give a conclusion regarding benefits and drawbacks of each definition.

We will always consider a matrix over a field  $F$ . All  $n \times n$  matrices are denoted by  $F^{n \times n}$

## 2 Classical Definition

To give a definition that every one can understand, you should use a recursive definition.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix above is a normal matrix with each entry belongs to  $F$ . Instead of writing a big ‘guy’ like this, we usually write it like  $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . Further simplify it, we write  $A = (a_{ij})$  without specifying its dimension. We call the matrix which is obtained by deleting  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column  $ij$  minor of  $A$ .

If  $A$  is a  $1 \times 1$  matrix, just define its det to be  $a_{11}$ . Suppose  $A \in F^{n \times n}$ , define

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{1+i} a_{1i} \det(A_{1i})$$

This is all about the classical definition.

### 3 Permutation Definition

To define the determinant in this way, one needs to introduce the idea of group.  $S_n$  denotes the symmetric group of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . The general idea is to define a homomorphism  $\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ .

**Claim 1.** *There exists a unique homomorphism  $\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  sends all transpositions to  $-1$ .*

I will not offer the proof at this point but rather a procedure to achieve this well-defined definition for determinant. To give each permutation  $\sigma$  a unique sign, it will be sufficient to prove that

**Claim 2.**  *$S_n$  is generated by transpositions.*

After doing this, one should wonder why the homomorphism is well-defined, i.e., given  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,  $\sigma = \eta_1 \cdots \eta_m = \eta'_1 \cdots \eta'_n$ . That is to say, the way of writing  $\sigma$  as transpositions is not unique. Hence we are not able to say  $\text{sgn}$  is now a well-defined homomorphism. However an amazing proof of

**Claim 3.** *Suppose*

$$\sigma = \eta_1 \cdots \eta_m = \eta'_1 \cdots \eta'_n$$

*then  $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$ .*

Then  $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^m = (-1)^n$  will always be well-defined. I refer this proof to Cambridge Math Notes - Groups Page 19. **Note this is not the only path to define  $\text{sgn}$ .** I will state other sources where you can find other approaches

to this homomorphism from Algebra 2 by Kevin Buzzard - Chapter 3 Parity of Permutations. He uses polynomials to define  $\text{sgn}$ . This approach has an advantage that it owns a well-defined formula at beginning, so you don't need to worry about the well-defined problem. Another method to define this problem is given by Michael Artin in his book 'Algebra'. He uses classical definition for determinant and assign  $\text{sgn}$  a value by using determinant. However, this method might deviate from what we want to do.

After the terminology we introduced just now, we are able to define the determinant for  $A \in F^{n \times n}$  through  $\text{sgn}$ . Define

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

Equivalence of those definitions can be proved by showing

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{1+i} a_{1i} \det(A_{1i})$$

## 4 Summary

These two methods to calculate the determinant are both important in different situations. In some proofs like proving

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) \cdot \det(C)$$

the  $\text{sgn}$  definition leads to a simpler proof, giving amazing simplicity. However one should note that in daily applications, classical definition gives a more intuitive and easier method to calculate determinant.