Algebra 2 Term Paper Proposal

Yuxuan Sun

March 18, 2022

1 Introduction

This paper aims to offer sufficient introductions and examples to understand that given a finite solvable group G which acts faithfully, irreducibly and quasiprimitively on a finite vector space V and G is not metacylic, G always has a regular orbit on V_{ℓ} except for a few small cases (Yang et al., 2020).

Do you plan on listing the exception?

2 Terms and Theorems

The followings are from Artin, 1991.

Or is that too technical? You should at least explain something about the exceptions. **Definition 1** (simple group). A group G is simple if it is not the trivial group and if it contains no proper normal subgroup - no normal subgroup other than $\langle 1 \rangle$ and G.

Corollary 1. Cyclic groups of prime order are simple groups.

Definition 2 (solvable). A finite group G is solvable if it contains a chain ϕ of subgroups

$$G = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_1 \subset \ldots \subset H_k = \{1\}$$

such that for every i = 1, ..., k, H_i is a normal subgroup of H_{i-1} , and the quotient group H_iH_{i+1} is a cyclic group.

The followings are from Fawcett et al., 2016.

Definition 3 (base). Let G be a finite group acting faithfully on a set Ω . A base \mathcal{B} for G is a non-empty subset of Ω with the property that only the identity fixes every element of \mathscr{B} .

Definition 4 (regular orbit). Let G be a finite group acting faithfully on a set $\widehat{\Omega}$. If \widehat{A} base $\mathscr{B} = \{\omega\}$ for some $\omega \in \Omega$, then the orbit $\{\omega g : g \in G\}$ of G on Ω is $\widehat{\Omega}$ regular.

The followings are from Colondor and Classer 2008

The followings are from Gelander and Glasner, 2008.

Definition 5. (primitive action An action of a group G on a set X is primitive if |X| > 1 and there are no G-invariant equivalence relations on X apart from the two trivial ones.

The trivial equivalence relations are those with a unique equivalence class, or with singletons as equivalence classes. When |X|=2, we require that the action is not trivial.

Definition 6. quasiprimitive action An action is called *quasiprimitive* if every normal subgroup acts either trivially or transitively.

Definition 7. quasiprimitive group A group is quasiprimitive if it admits a faithful quasiprimitive action on a set.

The following is from Li and Liu, 2021.

Definition 8. metacyclic A group G is metacyclic if it has a cyclic normal subgroup N such that GN is cyclic.

The followings are notations from Yang et al., 2020.

Notation 1. Let G be a finite group, let S be a subset of G and let π be a set of different primes.

For each prime s, we denote

$$SP_s(S) = \{\langle x \rangle | o(x) = s, x \in S\}$$
 and $EP_s(S) = \{x | o(x) = s, x \in S\}$

also, we denote

$$SP(S) = \bigcup SP_s(S)$$
 and $EP(S) = \bigcup EP_s(S)$
$$EP_{\pi}(S) = \bigcup_{s \in \pi} EP_s(S)$$

also, we denote

3

$$NEP(S)=|EP(S)|$$
 and $NEP_s(S)=|EP_s(S)|$
 $NEP_\pi(S)=|EP_\pi(S)|$
 $NEP_\pi(S)=|EP_\pi(S)|$

1. provide examples of:

- \bullet a finite solvable group G acts faithfully on a finite vector space V
 - \bullet a finite solvable group G acts faithfully, quasi-primitively on a finite
 - vector space V
 - a metacyclic group G perhaps also an example of an action that neets all the conditions,
 a finite solvable, non-metacyclic, group G acts faithfully on a finite
 - vector space Vpresumably you rant on example without a regular orbit

 a finite solvable, non-metacyclic, group G acts faithfully, quasi
 in there cases. primitively on a finite vector space V
- the notations listed above I Beyon an Example, also give context for the 2. Pick a theorem from the paper that could be expained regarding the limits Notation - from

I suggest you actually start you work here.

Before working on section 1, try to map 2

out the general outline of the theorem so

that you can plan what you want to include

in section 2; Hen, go back to section 1, emphoriting Examples that help explain what you've chosen to write about in section?

the definitions alone it's hard for me to see what the point of the notation is.

primitive action?

1 E P(1) | etc?

References

You should have annotated this bibliography, see, made some remarks about how you think each sarrie mill be useful (-I)

M. Artin. *Algebra*. Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1991. ISBN 0-13-004763-5.

J. B. Fawcett, E. A. O'Brien, and J. Saxl. Regular orbits of symmetric and alternating groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 458:21–52, July 2016. ISSN 00218693. doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.02.018. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.05880. arXiv: 1812.05880.

T. Gelander and Y. Glasner. Countable Primitive Groups. Geometric and Functional Analysis, 17(5):1479–1523, Jan. 2008. ISSN 1016-443X, 1420-8970. doi: 10.1007/s00039-007-0630-y. URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00039-007-0630-y.

P. Li and R. Liu. Finite p-groups all of whose proper subgroups of class 2 are metacyclic. $Comm.\ Algebra,\ 49(4):1667-1675,\ 2021.\ ISSN\ 0092-7872.\ doi:\ 10.\ 1080/00927872.2020.1843048.\ URL\ https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.\ 2020.1843048.$

Y. Yang, A. Vasil'ev, and E. Vdovin. Regular orbits of finite primitive solvable groups, III. arXiv:1612.05959 [math], Dec. 2020. URL http://arxiv.org/ abs/1612.05959. arXiv: 1612.05959.

Are you only using these papers to definition, or ore they substantially related to Yang, et al? If the former, it is probably better to use a general grap they fext to the definition.

This is a good Papala and you are ready to start working on the paper. See my remarks on page 2 about the strategy of starting with Section 2. You may reced a group theory text as a reference; one I often recommend is

Rotman, *An Introduction to the theory of groups* https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1307623

Have fur walling as this, and let me know if you have any questions!

- David.