

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $T: {}_R\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  be an additive functor of either variance.*

- (i) *If  $0: A \rightarrow B$  is the **zero map**, that is, the map  $a \mapsto 0$  for all  $a \in A$ , then  $T(0) = 0$ .*
- (ii)  *$T(\{0\}) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.*

- (i) Since  $T$  is additive, the function  $T_{AB}$  between Hom sets is a homomorphism, and so it preserves identity elements; that is,  $T(0) = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $A$  is a left  $R$ -module, then  $0 = 1_A$  if and only if  $A = \{0\}$  [sufficiency is obvious; for necessity, if  $1_A = 0$ , then for all  $a \in A$ , we have  $a = 1_A(a) = 0(a) = 0$ , and so  $A = \{0\}$ ]. By part (i), we have  $T(1_{\{0\}}) = T(0) = 0$ , and so  $T(\{0\}) = \{0\}$ . •

We now show that many constructions made for abelian groups and for vector spaces can be generalized to left modules over any ring. A *submodule*  $S$  is a left  $R$ -module contained in a larger left  $R$ -module  $M$  such that if  $s, s' \in S$  and  $r \in R$ , then  $s + s'$  and  $rs$  have the same meaning in  $S$  as in  $M$ .

**Definition.** If  $M$  is a left  $R$ -module, then a **submodule**  $N$  of  $M$ , denoted by  $N \subseteq M$ , is an additive subgroup  $N$  of  $M$  closed under scalar multiplication:  $rn \in N$  whenever  $n \in N$  and  $r \in R$ . A similar definition holds for right modules.

### Example 2.8.

- (i) A submodule of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module (i.e., of an abelian group) is a subgroup, and a submodule of a vector space is a subspace.
- (ii) Both  $\{0\}$  and  $M$  are submodules of a module  $M$ . A **proper submodule** of  $M$  is a submodule  $N \subseteq M$  with  $N \neq M$ . In this case, we may write  $N \subsetneq M$ .
- (iii) If a ring  $R$  is viewed as a left module over itself, then a submodule of  $R$  is a left ideal;  $I$  is a proper submodule when it is a proper left ideal. Similarly, if  $R$  is viewed as a right module over itself, then its submodules are its right ideals.
- (iv) If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $r \in R$ , where  $R$  is a commutative ring, then

$$rM = \{rm : m \in M\}$$

is a submodule of  $M$ . Here is a generalization. If  $J$  is an ideal in  $R$  and  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, then

$$JM = \left\{ \sum_i j_i m_i : j_i \in J \text{ and } m_i \in M \right\}$$

is a submodule of  $M$ .

(v) If  $S$  and  $T$  are submodules of a left module  $M$ , then

$$S + T = \{s + t : s \in S \text{ and } t \in T\}$$

is a submodule of  $M$  that contains  $S$  and  $T$ .

(vi) If  $(S_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of submodules of a left  $R$ -module  $M$ , then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

(vii) A left  $R$ -module  $S$  is **cyclic** if there exists  $s \in S$  with  $S = \{rs : r \in R\}$ . If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module and  $m \in M$ , then the **cyclic submodule generated by  $m$** , denoted by  $\langle m \rangle$ , is

$$\langle m \rangle = \{rm : r \in R\}.$$

More generally, if  $X$  is a subset of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , then

$$\langle X \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} r_i x_i : r_i \in R \text{ and } x_i \in X \right\},$$

the set of all  **$R$ -linear combinations** of elements in  $X$ . We call  $\langle X \rangle$  the **submodule generated by  $X$** . Exercise 2.10 on page 66 states that  $\langle X \rangle = \bigcap_{X \subseteq S} S$ . ◀

**Definition.** A left  $R$ -module  $M$  is **finitely generated** if  $M$  is generated by a finite set; that is, if there is a finite subset  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  with  $M = \langle X \rangle$ .

For example, a vector space  $V$  over a field  $k$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -module if and only if  $V$  is finite-dimensional.

**Definition.** If  $N$  is a submodule of a left  $R$ -module  $M$ , then the **quotient module** is the quotient group  $M/N$  (remember that  $M$  is an abelian group and  $N$  is a subgroup) equipped with the scalar multiplication

$$r(m + N) = rm + N.$$

The **natural map**  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/N$ , given by  $m \mapsto m + N$ , is easily seen to be an  $R$ -map.

Scalar multiplication in the definition of quotient module is well-defined: if  $m + N = m' + N$ , then  $m - m' \in N$ . Hence,  $r(m - m') \in N$  (because  $N$  is a submodule),  $rm - rm' \in N$ , and  $rm + N = rm' + N$ .

**Example 2.9.** If  $N \subseteq M$  is merely an additive subgroup of  $M$  but not a submodule, then the abelian group  $M/N$  is not an  $R$ -module. For example, let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $k$ . If  $a \in k$  and  $v \in V$ , then  $av = 0$  if and only if  $a = 0$  or  $v = 0$  [if  $a \neq 0$ , then  $0 = a^{-1}(av) = (a^{-1}a)v = v$ ]. Now  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a vector space over itself, but  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is not a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  [we have  $2(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , and neither factor is zero]. ◀

**Example 2.10.**

- (i) Recall that an additive subgroup  $J \subseteq R$  of a ring  $R$  is a **two-sided ideal** if  $x \in J$  and  $r \in R$  imply  $rx \in J$  and  $xr \in J$ . If  $R = \text{Mat}_2(k)$ , the ring of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a field  $k$ , then  $I = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in k \right\}$  is a left ideal and  $I' = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in k \right\}$  is a right ideal, but neither is a two-sided ideal.
- (ii) If  $J$  is a left (or right) ideal in  $R$ , then  $R/J$  is a left (or right)  $R$ -module. If  $J$  is a two-sided ideal, then  $R/J$  is a ring with multiplication

$$(r + J)(s + J) = rs + J.$$

This multiplication is well-defined, for if  $r + J = r' + J$  and  $s + J = s' + J$ , then  $rs + J = r's' + J$ , because

$$rs - r's' = rs - r's + r's - r's' = (r - r')s + r'(s - s') \in J. \quad \blacktriangleleft$$

We continue extending definitions from abelian groups and vector spaces to modules.

**Definition.** If  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is an  $R$ -map between left  $R$ -modules, then

$$\text{kernel } f = \ker f = \{m \in M : f(m) = 0\},$$

$$\text{image } f = \text{im } f = \{n \in N : \text{there exists } m \in M \text{ with } n = f(m)\},$$

$$\text{cokernel } f = \text{coker } f = N / \text{im } f.$$

It is routine to check that  $\ker f$  is a submodule of  $M$  and that  $\text{im } f$  is a submodule of  $N$ .

**Theorem 2.11 (First Isomorphism Theorem).** If  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is an  $R$ -map of left  $R$ -modules, then there is an  $R$ -isomorphism

$$\varphi: M / \ker f \rightarrow \text{im } f$$

given by

$$\varphi: m + \ker f \mapsto f(m).$$

*Proof.* If we view  $M$  and  $N$  only as abelian groups, then the first isomorphism theorem for groups says that  $\varphi: M/\ker f \rightarrow \text{im } f$  is a well-defined

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ \text{nat} \downarrow & \searrow & \uparrow \text{inc} \\ M/\ker f & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \text{im } f \end{array}$$

isomorphism of abelian groups. But  $\varphi$  is an  $R$ -map: if  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ , then  $\varphi(r(m + N)) = \varphi(rm + N) = f(rm)$ ; since  $f$  is an  $R$ -map, however,  $f(rm) = rf(m) = r\varphi(m + N)$ , as desired. •

The second and third isomorphism theorems are corollaries of the first.

**Theorem 2.12 (Second Isomorphism Theorem).** *If  $S$  and  $T$  are submodules of a left  $R$ -module  $M$ , then there is an  $R$ -isomorphism*

$$S/(S \cap T) \rightarrow (S + T)/T.$$

*Proof.* If  $\pi: M \rightarrow M/T$  is the natural map, then  $\ker \pi = T$ ; define  $f = \pi|_S$ , so that  $f: S \rightarrow M/T$ . Now

$$\ker f = S \cap T \quad \text{and} \quad \text{im } f = (S + T)/T,$$

for  $(S + T)/T$  consists of all those cosets in  $M/T$  having a representative in  $S$ . The first isomorphism theorem now applies. •

**Definition.** If  $T \subseteq S \subseteq M$  is a tower of submodules of a left  $R$ -module  $M$ , then **enlargement of coset**  $e: M/T \rightarrow M/S$  is defined by

$$e: m + T \mapsto m + S$$

( $e$  is well-defined, for if  $m + T = m' + T$ , then  $m - m' \in T \subseteq S$  and  $m + S = m' + S$ ).

**Theorem 2.13 (Third Isomorphism Theorem).** *If  $T \subseteq S \subseteq M$  is a tower of submodules of a left  $R$ -module  $M$ , then enlargement of coset  $e: M/T \rightarrow M/S$  induces an  $R$ -isomorphism*

$$(M/T)/(S/T) \rightarrow M/S.$$

*Proof.* The reader may check that  $\ker e = S/T$  and  $\text{im } e = M/S$ , so that the first isomorphism theorem applies at once. •

If  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is a map of left  $R$ -modules and  $S \subseteq N$ , then the reader may check that  $f^{-1}(S) = \{m \in M: f(m) \in S\}$  is a submodule of  $M$  containing  $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = \ker f$ .

**Theorem 2.14 (Correspondence Theorem).** *If  $T$  is a submodule of a left  $R$ -module  $M$ , then  $\varphi: S \mapsto S/T$  is a bijection:*

$$\varphi: \{\text{intermediate submodules } T \subseteq S \subseteq M\} \rightarrow \{\text{submodules of } M/T\}.$$

*Moreover,  $T \subseteq S \subseteq S'$  in  $M$  if and only if  $S/T \subseteq S'/T$  in  $M/T$ .*

*Proof.* Since every module is an additive abelian group, every submodule is a subgroup, and so the usual correspondence theorem for groups shows that  $\varphi$  is an injection that preserves inclusions:  $S \subseteq S'$  in  $M$  if and only if  $S/T \subseteq S'/T$  in  $M/T$ . Moreover,  $\varphi$  is surjective: if  $S^* \subseteq M/T$ , then there is a unique submodule  $S \supseteq T$  with  $S^* = S/T$ . The remainder of this proof is a repetition of the usual proof for groups, checking only that images and inverse images of submodules are submodules. •

The correspondence theorem is usually invoked tacitly: a submodule  $S^*$  of  $M/T$  is equal to  $S^* = S/T$  for some unique intermediate submodule  $S$ .

Here is a ring-theoretic version.

**Theorem 2.15 (Correspondence Theorem for Rings).** *If  $I$  is a two-sided ideal of a ring  $R$ , then  $\varphi: J \mapsto J/I$  is a bijection:*

$$\varphi: \{\text{intermediate left ideals } I \subseteq J \subseteq R\} \rightarrow \{\text{left ideals of } R/I\}.$$

*Moreover,  $I \subseteq J \subseteq J'$  in  $R$  if and only if  $J/I \subseteq J'/I$  in  $R/I$ .*

*Proof.* The reader may supply a variant of the proof of Theorem 2.14. •

**Proposition 2.16.** *A left  $R$ -module  $M$  is cyclic if and only if  $M \cong R/I$  for some left ideal  $I$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is cyclic, then  $M = \langle m \rangle$  for some  $m \in M$ . Define  $f: R \rightarrow M$  by  $f(r) = rm$ . Now  $f$  is surjective, since  $M$  is cyclic, and its kernel is a submodule of  $R$ ; that is,  $\ker f$  is a left ideal  $I$ . The first isomorphism theorem gives  $R/I \cong M$ .

Conversely,  $R/I$  is cyclic with generator  $m = 1 + I$ . •

**Definition.** A left  $R$ -module  $M$  is *simple* (or *irreducible*) if  $M \neq \{0\}$  and  $M$  has no proper nonzero submodules; that is,  $\{0\}$  and  $M$  are the only submodules of  $M$ .

**Corollary 2.17.** *A left  $R$ -module  $M$  is simple if and only if  $M \cong R/I$ , where  $I$  is a maximal left ideal.*

*Proof.* This follows from the correspondence theorem. •

For example, an abelian group  $G$  is simple if and only if  $G$  is cyclic of order  $p$  for some prime  $p$ . The existence of maximal left ideals guarantees the existence of simple modules.