Homework 3

Yuxuan Zhang

For this model, we have the following assumptions:

- Every word in the 'count_1w.txt' file is correct.
- Only one edit is needed in each incorrect word not including transposition.
- Weighted cost for edits are derived from the emprical data (additions.csv, deletions.csv, substitutions.csv).
- Words that do not appear in the dictionary ('count_1w.txt') are considered incorrect.

Here are some cases where the correction works:

```
In [ ]: from HW3 import correct
        # Deletion
        print(correct('buesiness'))
        print(correct('intellligence'))
        print(correct('bpble'))
        print(correct('homwork'))
        print(correct('basket ball'))
        # Substitution
        print(correct('superheio'))
        print(correct('corrett'))
        print(correct('beausiful'))
        # Insertion
        print(correct('condesending'))
        print(correct('populatio'))
        print(correct('amazn'))
       business
       intelligence
       bible
       homework
       basketball
       superhero
       correct
       beautiful
       condescending
       population
       amazon
```

Here are some cases where the correction does not work

Words with multiple valid edits

```
In [ ]: print(correct('speeling'))
    print("I meant to spell speeding.")
```

```
spelling
I meant to spell speeding.
```

inteligence
heirarchy

Words with error that needs more than two edits

```
In [ ]: print(correct('beyasian'))
    print(correct('condscanding'))

    beyasian
    condscanding
```

Words that are not included in the dictionary.

```
In []: print(correct('taikont'))
    print('This should be taikonaut\n')

    print(correct('pneumonoultramicroscopicsilcovolcanoconiosis'))
    print('This should be pneumonoultramicroscopicsilicovolcanoconiosis, a term in b

    trikont
    This should be taikonaut

    pneumonoultramicroscopicsilcovolcanoconiosis
    This should be pneumonoultramicroscopicsilicovolcanoconiosis, a term in biology
```

The actually incorrect word is included in the dictionary

Explaining the poor judgements and future improvements of this model:

- For words with multiple valid edits, the poor judgements is because our model does not take the surrounding text into consideration. We will need a more complicated model that can take the surrounding text as extra information and use this as another layer of prior to calculate the probabilities of each candidates.
- Words that does not have the correct form in the dictionary. To improve this, we will have to use a larger dictionary with a comprehensive set of words.
- For edits with more than one edits, it is not supported by this model. To
 implement a model with multiple edits, we will need to rewrite the generate
 candidate part, where candidates with multiple edits will be added.

•	For incorrect words in the dictionary, we will need to make sure the source of the dictionary and each word inside is correct