1. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be iid from a distribution F with density f. The likelihood function for f is

$$\mathcal{L}_n(f) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i).$$

If the model is set \mathcal{F} of all probability density functions, what is the maximum likelihood estimator of f?

2. Prove equation (4.10).

$$R(f(x), \widehat{f}_n(x)) = \mathsf{bias}_x^2 + \mathbb{V}_x \tag{4.10}$$

Proof.

$$R(f(x), f(x)) = E(f(x) - f(x))^{2}$$

$$= E(f(x)) - 2E(f(x) - f(x)) + E(f(x))$$

$$= f(x) - 2f(x) E(f(x)) + E(f(x))$$

$$= f(x) - 2f(x) E(f(x)) + E(f(x)) - E(f(x)) + E(f(x))$$

$$= (E(f(x) - f(x))^{2} + E(f(x)) - E(f(x))$$

$$= Bia^{2} + Var(f(x))$$

3. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be an IID sample from a $N(\theta, 1)$ distribution with density $f_{\theta}(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-(x-\theta)^2/2}$. Consider the density estimator $\widehat{f}(x) = f_{\widehat{\theta}}(x)$ where $\widehat{\theta} = \overline{X}_n$ is the sample mean. Find the risk of \widehat{f} .

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1} \exp\left(-n(x-t)^{2}/2\right)$$
Fix any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\hat{x})^{2n}\right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-t)^{2}/2\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2\pi \sqrt{n}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-n(t-0)^{2}/2\right) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1-60} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[x^{2}-t^{2}+n(t-0)^{2}\right]\right) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1-60} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[x^{2}-2xt+x^{2}+nt^{2}-2n0t+n0^{2}\right]\right) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1-60} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(n+1)\left[t^{2}-2(x+nQ)t+\frac{n0^{2}+x^{2}}{n+1}\right]\right) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1-60} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[t^{2}-2(x+nQ)t+\frac{n0^{2}+x^{2}}{n+1}\right]\right) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1-60} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[t^{2}-2(x+nQ)t+\frac{n0^{2}+x^{2}}{n+1}\right]\right) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1-60} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[t^{2}-2(x+nQ)t+\frac{n0^{2}+x^{2}}{n+1}\right]\right) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1-60} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[t^{2}-2(x+nQ)t+\frac{n0^{2}+x^{2}}{n+1}\right] dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{1-6$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] - n(t-0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right] d\tau$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{n+2}{2}\left(t - \frac{2x + n\theta}{n+2}\right)^{2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{n(x-\theta)^{2}}{n+2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n+2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{n(x-\theta)^{2}}{n+2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{n(x-\theta)^{2}}{n+2}\right)$$

$$MSE = E(f(x) - f(x))^{2} = E[f(x)] - 2f_{0}(x) E[f(x)] + f_{0}(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \left[\frac{n}{m+2} \cdot exp \left(-\frac{n(x-0)^{2}}{n+2} \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}} exp \left(-\frac{12n+1}{2(n+1)} \frac{(x-0)^{2}}{2(n+1)} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} exp \left(-(x-0)^{2} \right)$$

4. Recall that the Kullback-Leibler distance between two densities f and g is $D(f,g) = \int f(x) \log(f(x)/g(x)) dx$. Consider a one-dimensional parametric model $\{f_{\theta}(x) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Establish an approximation relationship between L_2 loss for the parameter θ and Kullback-Leibler loss. Specifically, show that $D(f_{\theta}, f_{\psi}) \approx (\theta - \psi)^2 I(\theta)/2$ where θ is the true value, ψ is close to θ and $I(\theta)$ denotes the Fisher information.

$$D(f_0.f_{\psi}) = \int f_{\theta}(x) \cdot \log(f_{\theta}(x)/f_{\psi}(x)) dx$$

$$= \int f_{\theta}(x) \cdot \left(\log f_{\theta}(x) - \log f_{\psi}(x) \right) dx$$

By Taylor expansion at 0

leg fo(x) - log fy(x) =
$$(y-10) \cdot \frac{1}{f_{\theta}(x)} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta}$$

+ $\frac{(0-y)^2}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{f_{\theta}(x)} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{f_{\theta}(x)} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta^2}\right)$

+ $0(0-y)^2$

$$D(f_0, f_{\psi}) \simeq (\psi - 0) E_0 \left[\frac{3}{30} l_m f_0(x)\right] - \frac{(\psi - \psi)^2}{2} \cdot E_0 \left[\frac{3^2}{30^2} l_m f_0(x)\right]$$

$$-\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}\ln f_{\theta}(x)\right] = I_{(\theta)}$$

$$\Rightarrow D(f_0.f_b) \approx \frac{(0-\psi)^2}{2} I(0)$$

1. In Example 5.24, construct the smoothing matrix L and verify that $\nu=m$.

5.24 Example (Regressogram). Suppose that $a \le x_i \le b$ i = 1, ..., n. Divide (a, b) into m equally spaced bins denoted by $B_1, B_2, ..., B_m$. Define $\widehat{r}_n(x)$ by

$$\widehat{r}_n(x) = \frac{1}{k_j} \sum_{i: x_i \in B_j} Y_i, \quad \text{for } x \in B_j$$
(5.25)

where k_j is the number of points in B_j . In other words, the estimate \hat{r}_n is a step function obtained by averaging the Y_i s over each bin. This estimate is called the **regressogram**. An example is given in Figure 4.6. For $x \in B_j$ define

$$m - h = b - a$$

 $\ell_i(x) = 1/k_j$ if $x_i \in B_j$ and $\ell_i(x) = 0$ otherwise. Thus, $\widehat{r}_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \ell_i(x)$. The vector of weights $\ell(x)$ looks like this:

$$\ell(x)^T = \left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{k_j}, \dots, \frac{1}{k_j}, 0, \dots, 0\right).$$

To see what the smoothing matrix L looks like, suppose that n = 9, m = 3 and $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 3$. Then,

In general, it is easy to see that there are $\nu=\operatorname{tr}(L)=m$ effective degrees of freedom. The binwidth h=(b-a)/m controls how smooth the estimate is. and the smoothing matrix L has the form \blacksquare

$$l_{j}(x) = [0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{k_{j}}, \dots, \frac{1}{k_{j}}, 0, 0, \dots, 0] \quad \text{where} \quad k_{j} = [B_{j}]$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{1}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{2}}^{k_{3}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{2}}^{k_{3}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{2}}^{k_{3}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{3}}^{k_{4}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{2}}^{k_{3}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{3}}^{k_{4}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{4}}^{k_{5}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{4}}^{k_{5}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{4}}^{k_{5}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{5}}^{k_{5}} k_{j} = n$$

$$\sum_{k_{5$$

$$\gamma = tr(L) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} k_j \cdot \frac{l}{k_j} = 1 \times m = m$$

2. Prove Theorem 5.34.

5.34 Theorem. Let \hat{r}_n be a linear smoother. Then the leave-one-out cross-validation score $\widehat{R}(h)$ can be written as

$$\widehat{R}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{Y_i - \widehat{r}_n(x_i)}{1 - L_{ii}} \right)^2$$
(5.35)

where $L_{ii} = \ell_i(x_i)$ is the ith diagonal element of the smoothing matrix L.

Proof: Fix any positive real number h

where
$$\hat{Y}_{-1}(x_{i}) = \frac{\sum_{i} \left(\frac{\omega_{i}(x_{i})Y_{i}}{\sum_{k\neq i} \omega_{k}(x_{i})}\right)}{\sum_{k\neq i} \omega_{k}(x_{i})} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{j}(x_{i})Y_{j} - \omega_{i}(x_{i})}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{j}(x_{i}) - \omega_{i}(x_{i})} = \frac{\hat{Y}_{n}(x_{i}) - \hat{Z}_{i}Y_{i}}{1 - \hat{Z}_{i}}$$

$$\widehat{R}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_{i} - \widehat{Y}_{-i}(x_{i}) \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_{i} - \frac{\widehat{Y}_{n}(x_{i}) - 2\pi i Y_{i}}{r - 2\pi i} \right)^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{Y_{i} - \widehat{Y}_{n}(x_{i})}{1 - 2\pi i} \right)^{2}$$