**43.** Show that the two expressions for  $r_s$  in displays (8.63) and (8.64) are equivalent.

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (R_i - R_i)(S_i - S_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (R_i - R_i)^2} \quad \text{where } S = R = \frac{N+1}{2}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (R_i - R_i)^2 = \frac{N(N+1)(2N+1)}{6} - \frac{N(N+1)^2}{4} = \frac{N(N+1)^2}{12}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (R_i - R_i)(S_i - S_i) = \frac{N}{12} R_i S_i - \frac{N(N+1)^2}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{12}{N(N+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (R_i - R_i)(S_i - S_i) = \frac{12}{N(N+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i S_i - \frac{2N+1}{N-1}$$

$$\text{Let } d_i = R_i - S_i \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i S_i$$

$$= \frac{N(N+1)[2N+1]}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_i S_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\rho} = \left[ - \frac{6 \frac{x}{2} d_i^2}{h(n^2 - 1)} \right]$$

**46.** Give an example of a data set of  $n \ge 10$  bivariate observations for which  $r_s$  has value 0.

$$\begin{cases} 3 \cdot 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ R \cdot 11 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 1 \\ d \cdot 10 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ \hline P = 1 - \frac{6 \times 220}{4(121-1)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

**49.** Let  $r_p$  be the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient defined in (8.78). Show that  $r_s$  (8.63) is simply this Pearson product moment correlation coefficient applied to the rank vectors  $(R_1, \ldots, R_n)$  and  $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$  instead of the original  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  and  $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$  vectors.

$$V_{p} = \frac{\sum_{7=1}^{n} (X_{7} - \overline{X})(Y_{7} - \overline{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^{n} (X_{7} - \overline{X})^{2} \sum_{7=1}^{n} (Y_{7} - \overline{Y})}}$$

$$V_{S} = \frac{\sum_{r=1}^{N} (R_{z} - \overline{R})(S_{z} - \overline{S})}{\sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^{N} (R_{z} - \overline{R})^{2} \sum_{r=1}^{N} (S_{z} - \overline{S})^{2}}}$$
is  $V_{P}$  w.r.t.  $(R_{1} \cdots R_{n})$ 

**53.** Let  $(S_1, ..., S_n)$  be a vector of ranks that is uniformly distributed over the set of all n! permutations of (1, 2, ..., n). Show that the marginal probability distribution of each  $S_i$ , for i = 1, ..., n, is uniform over the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Use this fact to show that  $E(S_i) = (n+1)/2$  and  $Var(S_i) = (n^2 - 1)/12$ , for i = 1, ..., n.

For 
$$f: e_{1,2,3,\cdots} = n_{3}$$
 and  $ke_{1,2,3,\cdots} = n_{3}$   
Let  $P_{7}(\cdot)$  be a parautotion function on  
 $(1,2,3,\cdots k-1,k+1,-..n) \longrightarrow (P_{7}(\cdot),P_{1}(\cdot)$ 

So is uniformly distributed over  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ then  $\mathbb{E}(Si) = \frac{n+1}{3}$ 

$$E(S_i^2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} k^2 = \frac{(N71)(29171)}{6}$$

**54.** Let  $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$  be a vector of ranks that is uniformly distributed over the set of all n!permutations of (1, 2, ..., n). Show that the joint marginal probability distribution of  $(S_i, S_j)$ , for  $i \neq j = 1, \dots, n$ , is given by

$$P(S_i = s, S_j = t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n(n-1)}, & s \neq t = 1, \dots, n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Use this fact to show that  $cov(S_i, S_j) = -(n+1)/12$ , for  $i \neq j = 1, ..., n$ .

Similar to what we do in 
$$53$$
, define  $p_{k+1}$  be the permutation

function on  $[1,2,3,...,S-1,8+1,...,t-1,t+1,...,n]$  (set WLOG)

10 be the family containing all such functions

10  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

11  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

12  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

13  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

14  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

15  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

16  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

17  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

18  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

18  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

19  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

10  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

10  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

10  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

11  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

12  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

13  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

14  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

15  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

16  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

17  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

18  $[p] = [n-2]!$ 

$$Cov(S_{7}.S_{7}) = E(S_{7}S_{7}) \sim E(S_{7})E(S_{7})$$

$$= \sum_{S\neq t} \frac{St}{N(N-1)} - \frac{(N+1)^{2}}{4}$$

$$= \frac{(N+1)(3N+2)}{12} - \frac{(N+1)^{2}}{4}$$

$$= -\frac{N+1}{12}$$

8.	Describe a situation where it is natural to expect the underlying distribution to satisfy the IFRA property but not satisfy the IFR property (i.e., where <i>F</i> might be expected to be a member of the IFRA class but not a member of the IFR class).
	Consider the faidure rate of a machine over time.
	Clearly—the failure vate increases as time goes on.
	sortsfying IFRA.
	But if given periodic maintainence, the failure rate
	drops within a time interval, disobeging IFR