Introduction to algorithms The set-intersection problem

Lecture 2

Algorithms

- A systematic and unambiguous procedure that produces in a finite number of steps the answer to a question or the solution of a problem
- An algorithm has an input:
 - Example?
 - Sometimes, the algorithm works only if the input satisfies some conditions (pre-conditions).

These need to be specified clearly!!!

- Examples?
- An algorithm has an output:
 - The solution to the problem (hopefully!)
 - Examples?

What is a *good* algorithms?

- Correctness:
 - Ideally: always returns the right answer
 - When the problem is too hard, we want the algo to
 - · Return the right answer most of the time, or
 - Returns an answer that is guaranteed to be close to the right answer
- Speed: Time it takes to solve the problem
- · Space: Amount of memory required
- · Simplicity:
 - Easy to understand and analyze
 - Easy to implement
 - Easy to debug, modify, update

Running time

- How to measure the speed of an algorithm?
- Problem #1: Running time depends on the size of input.
- intersecting two big lists takes more time than two small ones
- · Solution:
 - Describe running time as a function of input size

Examples:

To compute the average of a set of n numbers, the running time may be T(n) = 123*n + 0.3 microseconds.

To compute the intersection of a list of m students with a list of n students, the running time may be

 $T(m, n) = 234 m (n \log(n) + 53 \log(n) + 123)$

Running time (2)

Problem #2:

Running time depends not only on the *size* of the input but sometimes also on the *content* of the input itself (called the instance of the problem)

Example: For the list-intersection problem, an algorithm may be fast on

(Alice, Bob, Carl, Don) vs (Alice, Bob, Carl, Don)

but it may be slow on (Alice, Bob, Carl, Don) vs (Don, Carl, Bob, Alice)

Running time (3)

Three possibilities to measure running time

- Best case: Time on the easiest input of fixed size.
 - Usually meaningless
- · Average case: Time on average input
 - Good measure, but very hard to calculate.
 - "Average" according to what input distribution?
- Worst case: Time on most difficult input
 - Good for safety critical systems: airplane traffic control
 - Easier to estimate

Languages for describing algorithms

· English Prose:

To find the maximum element of an array, initialize m to the value of the first element. Then, for each subsequent element, if that element is larger than m, replace m with the value of that element. Return the value of m.

· Binary language:

00101010100101110

• Programming language (Java, C...) int findMax(int A[], int n) {

```
int m=A[0];
for (int i=1;i<n;i++)
      if (m<A[i]) m=A[i]
return m;
```

- + Human readable
- Too vague
- Too verbose
- + Very precise
- Human unreadable
- + Precise,
- +- Human readable
- Requires knowledge of PL used
- Requires
- implementation

Pseudo-code

- · Universal language to describe algorithms to human, independent of the programming language
- · Has common constructs like

Assignments: $x \leftarrow x+1$ Conditionals: if (x=0) then ...
Loops: for $i \leftarrow 0$ to n do ...
Dojects and function calls: triangle getArea()
Mathematical notation: $x \leftarrow \lfloor y^3/2 \rfloor$ Blocks, indicated by indentation Algorithm findMax(A, n) Input: An array A of n numbers Output: The largest element of the array

for i ← 1 to n-1 do { if (m < A[i]) then $m \leftarrow A[i]$

return m

List-intersection problem

- - The names of a set of students taking COMP250
 - The names of a set of students taking MATH240.
 - Assumption: No two students have the same name
- · Question:
 - How many students are taking both classes?
- · How do I minimize the number of times I need to compare two names?

Solution 1 –Nested for-loops

```
Algorithm ListIntersection(A,m, B,n)
Input: An array A of m strings and an array B of m strings. The elements of A and B are assumed to be distinct.
Output: The number of elements present in both A and B
inter ← 0
for i ←0 to m-1 do {
  for j \leftarrow 0 to n-1 do {
     if ( A[i] = B[j] ) then {
        inter ← inter + 1
return inter
```

Solution 2 – Binary search

Algorithm listIntersection(A,m, B,n) Input: same as before Output: same as before B ← sort (B,n) for i ← 0 to m-1 do { $\textbf{if} \ (binarySearch(B, \, n, \, A[i])) \ \textbf{then} \ \{$ inter ← inter+1 return inter

Algorithm sort(A n) Input: An array A of n elements. Output: The array sorted in increasing orde [Assumed to be given]

Algorithm binarySearch(A,n, k) Input: A sorted array A of n elements. Key I Output: True iif A contains k left ← 0 right ← n while (right > left+1) do { mid ← [(left+right)/2] if (A[mid]>k) then right ← mid else left ← mid if (A[left] = k) then return True;

lse return False

Solution 2 – Binary search Number of comparisons: Algorithm sort(A.n) Input: An array A of n elements. $\lceil n \log_2(n) \rceil$ Output: The array sorted in increasing order We'll see why next month... [Assumed to be given] Algorithm binarySearch(A,n, k) Input: A sorted array A of n elements. A key k Output: True if A contains k, False otherwise This function left ← 0 Makes right ← n while (right > left+1) do This loop makes $\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil + 1$ mid ← [(left+right)/2] comparisons [log₂(n)] name if (A[mid]>k) then right comparisons else left ← mid if (A[left] = k) then return True; else return False:

$\begin{tabular}{lll} Solution $2-$Binary search \\ \hline & Algorithm listIntersection(A,m,B,n) \\ Input: same as before \\ \hline & Output: same as before \\ \hline & Interfect of the same as before \\$

```
Does it matter?
                Nested loops
                                Sort + Binary search
(m,n)
                m*n
                                (n+m) \lceil \log_2(n) \rceil + m
(8,8)
                64
                                56
(16, 16)
                256
                                144
(32,32)
                1024
                                352
(64,64)
                4096
                                1086
(1024, 1024)
                1 048 576
                                21 504
(106, 106)
                                 ~4 * 107
                ~1012
                 25000 times faster!
```

```
Solution 3: Sorting and parallel pointers
     Algorithm ListIntersection (A,m, B,n)
     Input: Same as before
Output: Same as before
                                                                        Total:
     A ← sort (A,m)
                                                                        m \lceil log_2(m) \rceil +
     B ← sort (B,n)
                                                                        n \lceil \log_2(n) \rceil +
     PtrA ← 0
     PtrB ← 0
                                                                        2* (m+n)
      while ( ptrA < m and ptrB < n) do {
    if ( A[ptrA] = B[ptrB] ) then {</pre>
                                                 Worst case: the
            inter ← inter+1
                                                 two lists are
            ptrA ← ptrA +1
                                                 disjoint:
            ptrB ← ptrB +1
                                                 (m+n) *2 comps
        else if ( A[ptrA] < B[ptrB] ) ptrA ← ptrA+1
              else ptrB ← ptrB+1
     return inter
```

```
Solution 4: Merge-then-sort
Algorithm ListIntersection (A,m, B,n)
Input: Same as before
Output: Same as before
Array C[m+n];
for i \leftarrow 0 to m-1 do C[i] \leftarrow A[i];
\quad \text{for } i \leftarrow 0 \text{ to } n\text{-}1 \text{ do } C[\text{ } i\text{+}m\text{ }] \leftarrow B[i];
                                            ^{(m+n)\left\lceil log(m+n)\right\rceil}
C \leftarrow sort(C, m+n);
                                                                             Total:
ptr ← 0
while ( ptr < m+n-1 ) do {
                                                                              (m+n) *
   \textbf{if} \; (\; C[ptr] = C[ptr+1] \;) \; \textbf{then} \; \{
                                                                              (\lceil log(m+n) \rceil)
                                            Worst case: The
        inter ← inter+1
                                            lists are disjoint:
                                                                              m + n - 1
        ptr ← ptr+2
                                            (m+n-1) comps.
   else ptr ← ptr+1
return inter
```

Summary

- Algorithms can be described at different levels. Pseudo-code is appropriate for human
- Many algorithms exist for solving any problem.
- For big inputs, good algorithms and data structures make a BIG difference