

QuickSort

QuickSort

- Yet another sorting algorithm!
- Usually faster than other algorithms on average, although worst-case is $O(n^2)$
- Divide-and-conquer:
 - **Divide**: Choose an element of the array for *pivot*. Divide the elements into two groups: those smaller than the pivot, and those larger or equal to the pivot.
 - **Conquer**: Recursively sort each group.
 - **Combine**: Concatenate the two sorted groups.

Example

$A = [6, 3, 5, 9, 2, 5, 7, 8, 4, 5]$
 $A = [6, 3, 5, 9, 2, 5, 7, 8, 4, \text{pivot } 5]$
 partition $\rightarrow \underbrace{3, 5, 2, 5, 4}_{\leq \text{pivot}}, \text{pivot } 5, \underbrace{6, 9, 7, 8}_{\geq \text{pivot}}$
 Quicksort each side now
 $3, 5, 2, 5, \text{pivot } 4, 6, 9, 7, \text{pivot } 8$
 partition $\rightarrow \underbrace{3, 2, 4}_{\leq \text{pivot}}, \underbrace{5, 5}_{\geq \text{pivot}}, \underbrace{6, 7, 8}_{QS}, \underbrace{9}_{QS}$
 Quicksort again
 $2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$

In-place quickSort

Algorithm quickSort(A, start, stop)

Input: An array A to sort, indices start and stop

Output: A[start...stop] is sorted

if (start < stop) **then**

 pivot \leftarrow partition(A, start, stop)

 quickSort(A, start, pivot-1)

 quickSort(A, pivot+1, stop)

Example of execution of partition

$A = [6, 3, 7, 3, 2, 5, 7, 5]$ pivot = 5
 $A = [6, 3, 7, 3, 2, 5, 7, 5]$ swap 6, 2
 $A = [2, 3, 7, 3, 6, 5, 7, 5]$
 $A = [2, 3, 7, 3, 6, 5, 7, 5]$ swap 7, 3
 $A = [2, 3, 3, 7, 6, 5, 7, 5]$
 $A = [2, 3, 3, 7, 6, 5, 7, 5]$ swap 7, pivot
 $A = [2, 3, 3, 5, 6, 5, 7, 7]$

QuickSort running time

- Worst case:
 - Already sorted array (either increasing or decreasing)
 - $T(n) = T(n-1) + c \cdot n + d$
 - $T(n)$ is $O(n^2)$
- Average case: If the array is in random order, the pivot splits the array in roughly equal parts, so the average running time is $O(n \log n)$
- Advantage over mergeSort:
 - constant hidden in $O(n \log n)$ are smaller for quickSort. Thus it is faster by a constant factor
 - QuickSort is easy to do "in-place"

Algorithm partition(A, start, stop)
Input: An array A, indices start and stop.
Output: Returns an index j and rearranges the elements of A such that for all $i < j$, $A[i] \leq A[j]$ and for all $k > j$, $A[k] \geq A[j]$.
 pivot $\leftarrow A[\text{stop}]$
 left $\leftarrow \text{start}$
 right $\leftarrow \text{stop} - 1$
while left \leq right **do**
 while left \leq right **and** $A[\text{left}] < \text{pivot}$ **do** left \leftarrow left + 1
 while (left \leq right **and** $A[\text{right}] \geq \text{pivot}$) **do** right \leftarrow right - 1
 if (left < right) **then** exchange $A[\text{left}] \leftrightarrow A[\text{right}]$
 exchange $A[\text{stop}] \leftrightarrow A[\text{left}]$
return left

In-place algorithms

- An algorithm is *in-place* if it uses only a *constant* amount of memory in addition of that used to store the input
- Importance of in-place sorting algorithms:
 - If the data set to sort barely fits into memory, we don't want an algorithm that uses twice that amount to sort the numbers
- SelectionSort and InsertionSort are in-place: all we are doing is moving elements around the array
- MergeSort is not in-place, because of the merge procedure, which requires a temporary array
- QuickSort can easily be made in-place...