

Conference Paper Title*

Yvette Espinoza
dept. name of organization (of Aff.)
name of organization (of Aff.)
Los Angeles, CA
yespinoz@purdue.edu

2nd Given Name Surname
dept. name of organization (of Aff.)
name of organization (of Aff.)
City, Country
email address or ORCID

3rd Given Name Surname
dept. name of organization (of Aff.)
name of organization (of Aff.)
City, Country
email address or ORCID

Abstract—TBD

Index Terms—component, formatting, style, styling, insert

I. INTRODUCTION

Early research in activity detection aimed at finding differences in signal characteristics of the collected accelerometer data, and determining the ideal sensor placement. In [2], activities were grouped together into four categories by levels of physical activity, and sensors were placed throughout the body to determine the best location for each of the categories. Their results found each category performed best with a different sensor location. A sensor placed on the waist was best at detecting low level activities like eating, while a sensor on the chest or wrist was best at medium level activities like housework.

While different sensor placement based on an activity would be ideal for performance, it would not be practical for widespread use. An accelerometer by itself is not enough to determine an activity, context would be needed, and different sensors on the body are an inconvenience for users. Human activity recognition is widely used in healthcare applications, with the elderly being a large part of the user demographics [4], to suit their needs the data collection would require an unobtrusive setup. To address the inconvenience of full body sensors and the need for context, [5] used a wrist-worn device equipped with an accelerometer and camera to recognize daily activities. The camera provided context for the activities, and the accelerometer provided characteristics of the body movement associated with different activities, both of which were used to train a model to predict the activity.

The advancement in smart devices, like smartwatches and conversational assistants, allow for more sensors in a user friendly device. Conversational assistants, like a Google Home, and smartwatches are used to train a model to recognize activities of daily living [1] [3].

II. SECTION

A. Subsection

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Paper we are implementing [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] Rebecca Adaimi, Howard Yong, and Edison Thomaz. “Ok Google, What Am I Doing? Acoustic Activity Recognition Bounded by Conversational Assistant Interactions”. In: *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.* 5.1 (Mar. 2021). DOI: 10.1145/3448090. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3448090>.
- [2] Louis Atallah et al. “Sensor Positioning for Activity Recognition Using Wearable Accelerometers”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems* 5.4 (2011), pp. 320–329. DOI: 10.1109/TBCAS.2011.2160540.
- [3] Sarnab Bhattacharya, Rebecca Adaimi, and Edison Thomaz. “Leveraging Sound and Wrist Motion to Detect Activities of Daily Living with Commodity Smartwatches”. In: 6.2 (July 2022). DOI: 10.1145/3534582. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3534582>.
- [4] Yi Chen et al. “Robust Activity Recognition for Aging Society”. In: *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics* 22.6 (2018), pp. 1754–1764. DOI: 10.1109/JBHI.2018.2819182.
- [5] Takuya Maekawa et al. “WristSense: Wrist-worn sensor device with camera for daily activity recognition”. In: *2012 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops*. 2012, pp. 510–512. DOI: 10.1109/PerComW.2012.6197551.