

**A FIRST COURSE
IN
ABSTRACT ALGEBRA**

A FIRST COURSE
IN
ABSTRACT ALGEBRA
MAT3004 Notebook

Dr. Guang Rao

The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen



香港中文大學(深圳)

The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen

Contents

Acknowledgments	vii
Notations	ix
1 Week1	1
1.1 Monday	1
1.1.1 Introduction to Abstract Algebra	1
1.1.2 Group	1
2 Week2	11
2.1 Tuesday	11
2.1.1 Review	11
2.1.2 Cyclic groups	11
3 Week3	17
3.1 Tuesday	17
3.2 Thursday	22
3.2.1 Cyclic Groups	22
3.2.2 Symmetric Groups	25
3.2.3 Dihedral Groups	28
3.2.4 Free Groups	29
4 Week4	31
4.1 Subgroups	31
4.1.1 Cyclic subgroups	32
4.1.2 Direct Products	36

4.1.3	Generating Sets	37
5	Week4	41
5.1	Reviewing	41
5.1.1	Theorem of Lagrange	43
6	Week5	49
6.1	Monday	49
6.1.1	Derived subgroups	52
6.2	Thursday	57
6.2.1	Homomorphisms	57
6.2.2	Classification of cyclic groups	61
6.2.3	Isomorphism Theorems	62
7	Week6	67
7.1	Ring	67
7.1.1	Modular Arithmetic	70
7.1.2	Rings of Polynomials	72
7.1.3	Integral Domains and Fields	73
7.1.4	Field of fractions	78
8	Week7	81
8.1	Field of Fractions	81
8.1.1	Homomorphisms	82
8.2	Thursday	90
8.2.1	Principal Ideal Domainas	90
8.2.2	Qotient Ring	92
8.3	Friday	96
8.3.1	Polynomials	96

Acknowledgments

This book is from the MAT3004 in fall semester, 2018.

CUHK(SZ)

Notations and Conventions

\mathbb{R}^n	n -dimensional real space
\mathbb{C}^n	n -dimensional complex space
$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$	set of all $m \times n$ real-valued matrices
$\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$	set of all $m \times n$ complex-valued matrices
x_i	i th entry of column vector \mathbf{x}
a_{ij}	(i, j) th entry of matrix \mathbf{A}
\mathbf{a}_i	i th column of matrix \mathbf{A}
\mathbf{a}_i^T	i th row of matrix \mathbf{A}
\mathbb{S}^n	set of all $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices, i.e., $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all i, j
\mathbb{H}^n	set of all $n \times n$ complex Hermitian matrices, i.e., $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\bar{a}_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all i, j
\mathbf{A}^T	transpose of \mathbf{A} , i.e, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^T$ means $b_{ji} = a_{ij}$ for all i, j
\mathbf{A}^H	Hermitian transpose of \mathbf{A} , i.e, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^H$ means $b_{ji} = \bar{a}_{ij}$ for all i, j
$\text{trace}(\mathbf{A})$	sum of diagonal entries of square matrix \mathbf{A}
$\mathbf{1}$	A vector with all 1 entries
$\mathbf{0}$	either a vector of all zeros, or a matrix of all zeros
\mathbf{e}_i	a unit vector with the nonzero element at the i th entry
$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$	the column space of \mathbf{A}
$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$	the row space of \mathbf{A}
$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$	the null space of \mathbf{A}
$\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{A})$	the projection of \mathbf{A} onto the set \mathcal{M}

8.3. Friday

8.3.1. Polynomials

Definition 8.13 [polynomial] Let k be a field, and $f = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i$ be a polynomial in $k[x]$.

An element $a \in k$ is a root of f if

$$f(a) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i a^i = 0$$

in k . ■

question: what is $k[x]$?

Corollary 8.2 For all $f \in k[x]$, $a \in k$, then there exists $q \in k[x]$ such that

$$f = q(x - a) + f(a)$$

Proof. By division theorem, there exists $q, r \in k[x]$ such that

$$f = q \cdot (x - a) + r, \quad \deg r < \deg(x - a) = 1$$

which implies r is a constant. Evaluate both sides for $x = a$, we have

$$f(a) = r.$$
■

Proposition 8.18 — root theorem. Let k be a field, f a polynomial in $k[x]$. Then $a \in k$ is a root of f iff $(x - a)$ divides f in $k[x]$.

Proof. For forward direction, there exists $q \in k[x]$ such that

$$f = q(x - a) + f(a) = q(x - a) \implies (x - a) \mid f$$

For the reverse direction, if $f = q(x - a)$ for some $q \in k[x]$, then $f(a) = q(a)(a - a) = 0$,

i.e., a is a root of f . ■

Theorem 8.6 Let k be a field, f a nonzero polynomial in $k[x]$

1. If f has some degree n , then it has at most n roots in k
2. If f has degree n and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in k$ are distinct roots of f , then

$$f = c \prod_{i=1}^n (x - a_i)$$

for some $c \in k$.

Proof. 1. We show the first part by induction. Suppose it holds for all nonzero polynomials with degree strictly less than n , and $\deg f = n$. If f has no roots in k , the proof is complete, otherwise suppose a root $a \in k$. There exists $q \in k[x]$ such that

$$f = q(x - a)$$

For the any other root $b \in k$, we have

$$0 = q(b)(b - a)$$

Since k is a field, it has no zero divisors, which implies $q(b) = 0$, since $b - a \neq 0$. Thus b is a root of q . Since $\deg q < n$, by induction we imply q has at most $n - 1$ roots, i.e., f has at most $n - 1$ roots that are different from a .

2. If $n = 1$, then $f = c_0 + c_1x$ for some $c_i \in k$ with $c_1 \neq 0$, which implies

$$0 = f(a_1) = c_0 + c_1a_1 \implies c_0 = -c_1a_1 \implies f = -c_1a_1 + c_1x = c_1(x - a_1)$$

Suppose $n > 1$, and the claim holds for all $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n' < n$. By previous claim, there exists $q \in k[x]$ such that

$$f = q(x - a_n)$$

Since $\deg q = n - 1$, and for $1 \leq i < n$, we have

$$0 = f(a_i) = q(a_i)(a_i - a_n) \implies q(a_i) = 0,$$

which implies a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} are $n - 1$ distinct roots of q as well. Thus there exists $c \in k$ s.t.

$$q = c(x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_{n-1}),$$

which follows that

$$f = q(x - a_n) = c(x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_n)$$

■

Corollary 8.3 Let k be a field. Let f, g be nonzero polynomials in $k[x]$. Let $n = \max\{\deg f, \deg g\}$. If $f(a) = g(a)$ for $n + 1$ distinct $a \in k$, then $f = g$.

Proof. Let $h = f - g$, then $\deg h \leq n$. There are $n + 1$ distinct elements $a \in k$ s.t. $h(a) = 0$. If $h \neq 0$, then it is a nonzero polynomial of degree $\leq n$ which has $n + 1$ distinct roots, which is a contradiction. $h = 0$ implies $f = g$.

■

Definition 8.14 A polynomial in $k[x]$ is called a **monic polynomial** if its leading coefficient is 1.

■

Theorem 8.7 Let k be a field, then the ring $k[x]$ is a PID.

Corollary 8.4 Let k be a field, and f, g be nonzero polynomials in $k[x]$. There exists a unique monic polynomial $d \in k[x]$ with the following properties:

1. $(f, g) = (d)$
2. d divides both f and g , i.e., there exists $a, b \in k[x]$ s.t. $f = ad, g = bd$
3. There are polynomials $p, q \in k[x]$ such that $d = pf + qg$
4. If $h \in k[x]$ is a divisor of f, g , then h divides d .

This $d \in k[x]$ is called the **greatest common divisor** (GCD) of f and g . We say f and g are **relatively prime** if their GCD is 1.

Proof. By the PID theorem, there exists $d = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \in k[x]$ such that $(d) = (f, g)$. Replacing d with $a_n^{-1}d$, we assume d is a monic polynomial. It remains to show that d is unique.

Suppose $(d) = (d')$, there exists nonzero $p, q \in k[x]$ such that

$$d' = pd, \quad d = qd'$$

which follows that

$$\deg d' = \deg d + \deg p, \quad \deg d = \deg q + \deg d' = \deg q + \deg d + \deg p,$$

i.e., $\deg p = \deg q = 0$. Thus $\deg d = \deg d'$. Comparing the leading coefficients of d' and pd , we have $p = 1$, i.e., $d = d'$.

The remaining part follows similarly. ■

Definition 8.15 [Irreducible] Let R be a commutative ring. A non-zero element $p \in R$ which is not a unit is said to be **irreducible** if $p = ab$ implies that either a or b is a unit. ■

■ **Example 8.10** The set of irreducible elements in the ring \mathbb{Z} is

$$\{\pm p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}$$

Let k be a field.

Proposition 8.19 A polynomial $f \in k[x]$ is a unit iff it is a **nonzero** constant polynomial.

Proposition 8.20 A nonzero nonconstant polynomial $p \in k[x]$ is **irreducible** iff there is no $f, g \in k[x]$ with $\deg f, \deg g < \deg p$, such that $fg = p$.

Proof. 1. Suppose p is irreducible, and $p = fg$ for some $f, g \in k[x]$ such that $\deg f, \deg g <$

$\deg p$. Then $p = fg$ implies that $\deg f, \deg g$ are both positive. By previous lemma, both f, g are non-units, which is a contradiction.

2. Conversely, suppose p is a nonzero non-unit in $k[x]$, which is not equal to fg for $\forall f, g \in k[x]$ with $\deg f, \deg g < \deg p$. Then $p = ab$ for $a, b \in k[x]$ implies that either a or b must have the same degree as p , and the other factor must be a nonzero constant, i.e., a unit in $k[x]$. Thus p is irreducible. ■

Proposition 8.21 — Euclid's Lemma. Let k be a field. Let f, g be polynomials in $k[x]$. Let p be an irreducible polynomial in $k[x]$. If $p \mid fg$ in $k[x]$, then $p \mid f$ or $p \mid g$.

Proof. Suppose p not divides f , then any **common divisor** of p and f must have degree strictly less than $\deg p$. Since p is irreducible, this implies that any common divisor of p and f is a nonzero constant. Thus the GCD of p and f is 1. There exists $a, b \in k[x]$ such that

$$ap + bf = 1 \implies apg + bfg = g$$

Since p divides the LHS, it also divides the RHS. ■

Proposition 8.22 If $f, g \in k[x]$ are relatively prime, and both divide $h \in k[x]$, then $fg \mid h$.

question

Theorem 8.8 — Unique Factorization. Let k be a field. Every non-constant polynomial $f \in k[x]$ may be written as

$$f = cp_1 \cdots p_n$$

where c is a non-zero constant, and each p_i is a monic irreducible polynomials in $k[x]$. The factorization is **unique** up to the ordering of the factors.

Proof. Similar to the proof of unique factorization for \mathbb{Z} ■

Theorem 8.9 Let k be a field, p be a polynomial in $k[x]$. The following statements are equivalent:

1. $k[x]/(p)$ is a field
2. $k[x]/(p)$ is an integral domain
3. p is irreducible in $k[x]$.

Proof. 1. (2) implies (3): If p is not irreducible, then there exists $f, g \in k[x]$ with degree strictly less than that of p , such that $p = fg$.

It's clear that p does not divide f or g in $k[x]$. The equivalence classes \bar{f} and \bar{g} of f and g , respectively, modulo (p) is not equal to zero in $k[x]/(p)$. (question) On the other hand, $\bar{f} \cdot \bar{g} = \overline{fg} = \bar{p} = 0$ in $k[x]/(p)$, which implies that $k[x]/(p)$ is not an integral domain, which is a contradiction.

2. (3) implies (1): By definition, the multiplicative identity 1 of a field is different from additive identity 0. We first check that the equivalence class $1 \in k[x]$ in $k[x]/(p)$ is not zero. Since p is irreducible, we have $\deg p > 0$, and $1 \notin (p)$. Therefore $1 + (p) \neq 0 + (p)$ in $k[x]/(p)$.

Next, we need to show the existence of multiplicative inverse of any nonzero element in $k[x]/(p)$. Given any $f \in k[x]$ whose equivalence \bar{f} modulo (p) is nonzero in $k[x]/(p)$, we want to construct \bar{f}^{-1} . Since $\bar{f} \neq 0$ in $k[x]/(p)$, we have $f - 0 \notin (p)$, i.e., p does not divide f . Since p is irreducible, we have $\gcd(p, f) = 1$. There exists $g, h \in k[x]$ such that $fg + hp = 1$. Thus $\bar{f}^{-1} = \bar{g}$. This is because $fg - 1 = hp$ implies $fg - 1 \in (p)$, i.e., $\bar{f}\bar{g} = \bar{fg} = 1$ in $k[x]/(p)$.

■

