

**A FIRST COURSE  
IN  
ABSTRACT ALGEBRA**



---

**A FIRST COURSE**  
**IN**  
**ABSTRACT ALGEBRA**  
**MAT3004 Notebook**

---

**Dr. Guang Rao**

*The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen*



香港中文大學(深圳)

The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen



# Contents

Acknowledgments	ix
Notations	xi
<b>1 Week1</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Monday	1
1.1.1 Introduction to Abstract Algebra	1
1.1.2 Group	1
<b>2 Week2</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Tuesday	11
2.1.1 Review	11
2.1.2 Cyclic groups	11
<b>3 Week3</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1 Tuesday	17
3.2 Thursday	22
3.2.1 Cyclic Groups	22
3.2.2 Symmetric Groups	25
3.2.3 Dihedral Groups	28
3.2.4 Free Groups	29
<b>4 Week4</b>	<b>31</b>
4.1 Subgroups	31
4.1.1 Cyclic subgroups	32
4.1.2 Direct Products	36

4.1.3	Generating Sets . . . . .	37
<b>5</b>	<b>Week4 . . . . .</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Reviewing</b>	<b>41</b>
5.1.1	Theorem of Lagrange . . . . .	43
<b>6</b>	<b>Week5 . . . . .</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Monday</b>	<b>49</b>
6.1.1	Derived subgroups . . . . .	52
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Thursday</b>	<b>57</b>
6.2.1	Homomorphisms . . . . .	57
6.2.2	Classification of cyclic groups . . . . .	61
6.2.3	Isomorphism Theorems . . . . .	62
<b>7</b>	<b>Week6 . . . . .</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>7.1</b>	<b>Ring</b>	<b>67</b>
7.1.1	Modular Arithmetic . . . . .	70
7.1.2	Rings of Polynomials . . . . .	72
7.1.3	Integral Domains and Fields . . . . .	73
7.1.4	Field of fractions . . . . .	78
<b>8</b>	<b>Week7 . . . . .</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>Field of Fractions</b>	<b>81</b>
8.1.1	Homomorphisms . . . . .	82
<b>8.2</b>	<b>Thursday</b>	<b>90</b>
8.2.1	Principal Ideal Domainas . . . . .	90
8.2.2	Qotient Ring . . . . .	92
<b>8.3</b>	<b>Friday</b>	<b>96</b>
8.3.1	Polynomials . . . . .	96
8.3.2	Polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ . . . . .	101

<b>9</b>	<b>Week8</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>9.1</b>	<b>Friday</b>	<b>107</b>
9.1.1	Classification in Chapter 7	107
9.1.2	Classification on Chapter 8	111
9.1.3	Classification on Chapter 10	115





# Acknowledgments

This book is from the MAT3004 in fall semester, 2018.

CUHK(SZ)



# Notations and Conventions

$\mathbb{R}^n$	$n$ -dimensional real space
$\mathbb{C}^n$	$n$ -dimensional complex space
$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$	set of all $m \times n$ real-valued matrices
$\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$	set of all $m \times n$ complex-valued matrices
$x_i$	$i$ th entry of column vector $\mathbf{x}$
$a_{ij}$	$(i, j)$ th entry of matrix $\mathbf{A}$
$\mathbf{a}_i$	$i$ th column of matrix $\mathbf{A}$
$\mathbf{a}_i^T$	$i$ th row of matrix $\mathbf{A}$
$\mathbb{S}^n$	set of all $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices, i.e., $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j$
$\mathbb{H}^n$	set of all $n \times n$ complex Hermitian matrices, i.e., $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $\bar{a}_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j$
$\mathbf{A}^T$	transpose of $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^T$ means $b_{ji} = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j$
$\mathbf{A}^H$	Hermitian transpose of $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^H$ means $b_{ji} = \bar{a}_{ij}$ for all $i, j$
$\text{trace}(\mathbf{A})$	sum of diagonal entries of square matrix $\mathbf{A}$
$\mathbf{1}$	A vector with all 1 entries
$\mathbf{0}$	either a vector of all zeros, or a matrix of all zeros
$\mathbf{e}_i$	a unit vector with the nonzero element at the $i$ th entry
$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$	the column space of $\mathbf{A}$
$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$	the row space of $\mathbf{A}$
$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A})$	the null space of $\mathbf{A}$
$\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{A})$	the projection of $\mathbf{A}$ onto the set $\mathcal{M}$



# Chapter 9

## Week8

### 9.1. Friday

#### 9.1.1. Classification in Chapter 7

**Definition 9.1** A ring  $R = (R, +, \cdot)$  means that:

1.  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group
  2.  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semi-group
  3.  $R$  satisfies the **distributive law**
- In addition, if  $R$  has a **multiplicative identity**  $1 \in R$ , then  $R$  is a **unital ring**.
  - A ring  $R$  is said to be commutative if its multiplication is commutative.

**Proposition 9.1** Let  $(R, +)$  is a group, and  $(R, \cdot)$  is a monoid, and  $(R, +, \cdot)$  satisfies the distributive laws, then  $+$  is **commutative**.

*Proof.* Consider distributive laws in  $(1 + 1)(x + y)$  ■

Since  $(\mathbb{Z}_m, \cdot)$  is not necessarily a group, we assume

- $(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$  is a group
- $(\mathbb{Z}_m, +, \cdot)$  is a ring. (unital and commutative)
- $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$

**Proposition 9.2** Question on  $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$  and  $b \equiv d \pmod{m}$  implies

$$a + b \equiv c + d \pmod{m}, ab \equiv cd \pmod{m}$$

**Definition 9.2** [Ring of polynomials] Let  $R$  be a **commutative ring**, then a polynomial over  $R$  is

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$$

with  $a_i \in R$ . Here  $f(x) \in R[x]$ . ■

R The image on  $R$  does not necessarily define a function  $f$ , e.g.,

$$f(x) = 1 + x + x^2, g(x) = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$$

**Definition 9.3** Let  $D$  be a ring.

- A nonzero element  $r \in D$  is called a **zero divisor** if there exists a nonzero  $s \in D$  such that  $rs = 0$  or  $sr = 0$
- If  $D$  has no zero divisors, then  $D$  is called a **domain**
- If  $D$  has no zero divisors, i.e., the product of two nonzero elements is always nonzero, and  $D$  is commutative, then  $D$  is called an **integral domain**. ■

R

- $R$  is an integral domain iff  $R[x]$  is an integral domain
- $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is not an integral domain. Note that  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is an integral domain iff  $m$  is a prime.
- $C[-1, 1]$  is not an integral domain, e.g.,  $f = (x)^+, g = (x)^-$ .

**Proposition 9.3** Let  $D$  be a commutative ring, TFAE

- $D$  is an integral domain
- For any nonzero  $a, b \in D$ , we have  $ab \neq 0$
- $D$  satisfies the cancellation law:  $ca = cb$  and  $c \neq 0$  implies  $a = b$ .

*Proof.* Consider the distributive laws on  $c[a + (-b)] = 0$ ; and  $ab = a0$ . ■

**R** Generalization into non-commutative rings.

**Definition 9.4** Let  $R$  be a ring, then  $a \in R$  is a unit if it has a multiplicative inverse  $a^{-1} \in R$ . ■

**Definition 9.5** A **divison field**  $R$  is a ring that all its nonzero elements are units.

If  $R$  is a commutative ring in which every nonzro element is a unit, then  $R$  is a field ■

**R** The quaternion is not commutative, and thus not a field.

- $\{\text{zero divisors in } \mathbb{Z}_m\} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \mid \gcd(k, m) > 1\}$
- $\{\text{units in } \mathbb{Z}_m\} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_m^* \mid \gcd(k, m) = 1\}$

**Proposition 9.4** All finite integral domain  $D$  is a field

*Proof.* For  $D = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ , consider  $a^n = a^m$  for  $a \neq 0$ , which implies  $1 \in D$ . Then consider the set

$$\{aa_1, \dots, aa_n\}$$

■

**Definition 9.6** [Char] Define

$$n \circ a = \underbrace{a + \dots + a}_{n \geq 1}, \quad 0 \circ a = 0_R$$

If there exists smallest positive  $n$  such that

$$n \circ a = 0, \forall a \in R,$$

then  $n$  is the **characteristic of the ring**  $R$ . Otherwise  $R$  is of characteristic 0. In particular, if  $R = F$  is a field, then it is the characteristic of the field. ■

*Proof.*  $\text{char}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = n$  ■

**Proposition 9.5** The characteristic of an integral domain is either 0 or a prime.

*Proof.* Consider  $n = km$ , then  $n \circ 1 = (k \circ 1)(m \circ 1)$  ■

**Theorem 9.1** The characteristic for a **unital** ring is either the smallest  $n$  s.t.  $n \circ 1 = 0$ , or 0.

*Proof.*  $n \circ a = a(n \circ 1) = 0$  ■

Given an integral domain, we want to enlarge it into a field by adding some multiplicative inverses.

**Equivalence Relation.** For the set  $R \times R_{\neq 0} = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in R, b \neq 0\}$ , define the operation

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \text{ if } ad = bc$$

**Definition 9.7** [Quotient Set] Given the equivalence relation  $\sim$ , the quotient set  $S / \sim$  is the set of all equivalence classes of  $S$ . ■

Define the operation

$$(a, b) + (c, d) = (ad + bc, bd)$$

$$(a, b)(c, d) = (ac, bd)$$

we have  $(a, b) \sim (a', b'), (c, d) \sim (c', d')$  implies

- $(a, b) + (c, d) \sim (a', b') + (c', d')$

- $(a, b)(c, d) \sim (a', b')(c', d')$



**Definition 9.8** [Fraction Field] Define  $\text{Frac}(R) = (R \times R_{\neq 0}) / \sim$ , and

$$[(a,b)] + [(c,d)] = [(ad + bc, bd)]$$

$$[(a,b)][(c,d)] = [(ac, bd)]$$

it forms a field, with additive identity  $0 := [(0,1)]$ , and multiplicative identity  $1 := [(1,1)]$ .

The multiplicative inverse of a nonzero  $[(a,b)] \in \text{Frac}(R)$  is  $[(b,a)]$  ■

Ⓡ  $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Q}$ , if identify  $[(a,b)] := a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

### 9.1.2. Classification on Chapter 8

**Definition 9.9** [Ring Homomorphism] A map  $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$  is a ring homomorphism if

1.  $\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$
2.  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$

- **Unital homomorphism:**  $R, R'$  are also unital and  $\phi(1_R) = 1_{R'}$
- If  $\phi$  is bijective, then  $\phi$  is an **isomorphism**,  $R \cong R'$
- $R, R'$  are unital but  $\phi$  does not have to be unital:

$$\phi : a \mapsto 0_{R'}$$

- $\phi(0_R) = 0_{R'}$ :  $\phi(0_R) = \phi(0_R + 0_R) = \phi(0_R) + \phi(0_R)$
- $\phi(-a) = -\phi(a)$ :  $0_{R'} = \phi(a + (-a)) = \phi(-a) + \phi(a)$
- If  $\phi$  is unital, then  $[\phi(u)]^{-1} = \phi(u^{-1})$  for each unit  $u \in R$ :

$$1_{R'} = \phi(u)\phi(u^{-1})$$

- $\text{Im}(R) = \phi(R)$  is a subring of  $R'$

**R** Let  $R$  be a ring, then  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$  is uniquely determined by

$$\phi(1) = a \in R,$$

since  $\phi(n) = n \circ a$  and  $\phi(-n) = -n \circ a = n \circ (-a)$

**Proposition 9.6** The ring  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  cannot be isomorphism, but the fields  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z})$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* Consider the map  $\phi : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z})$ :

$$\phi(a/b) = [(a, b)]$$

First it is well-defined. Second it is homomorphism. Third it is one-to-one and onto. ■

**Theorem 9.2** Let  $F$  be a field, then  $\text{Frac}(F) \cong F$ .

*Proof.* Consider the map  $\phi : F \rightarrow \text{Frac}(F)$ :

$$\phi(s) = [(s, 1)], \quad \forall s \in F.$$

■

**Definition 9.10** [Subring] Let  $R$  be a ring, a subset  $S$  of  $R$  is a **subring** if it is a ring under the same operations of  $R$ . Or equivalently,

- $a, b \in S$  implies  $a - b \in S$
- $a, b \in S$  implies  $ab \in S$

To check  $S$  is unital, we need to check  $S$  contains a multiplicative identity  $1_S$  (not necessarily  $1_R$ ) ■

**Proposition 9.7** For ring  $R$  and subring  $S$ , we have  $0_S = 0_R$

**Definition 9.11** [Kernel] The kernel of  $\phi$  is  $\ker(\phi) = \{a \in R \mid \phi(a) = 0_{R'}\}$  ■

**Proposition 9.8** For a ring homomorphism  $\phi$ ,

- $S$  is a subring implies  $\phi(S)$  is a subring
- $S'$  is a subring implies  $\phi^{-1}(S')$  is a subring.
- $\text{im}(\phi)$  is a subring.

**Corollary 9.1** If  $R, R'$  are isomorphic, then  $\phi(1_R) = 1_{R'}$

**(R)** For unital  $S'$ , the  $\phi^{-1}(S')$  is not necessarily unital. Example:  $\phi : 3\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_6$  defined by  $\phi(x) = \bar{x}$ .

**Proposition 9.9** A ring homomorphism is one-to-one iff  $\ker\phi = \{0_R\}$

**Definition 9.12** [Ring of polynomials]

$$R[x, y] = \left\{ \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} x^i y^j \mid a_{ij} \in R \right\}$$

**Proposition 9.10**

$$R[x, y] \cong (R[x])[y]$$

*Proof.* Construct the mapping

$$\phi \left( \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} x^i y^j \right) = \sum_j \left( \sum_i a_{ij} x^i \right) y^j$$

*Proof.* It is clear that is a homomorphism. The one-to-one is by showing

$$\ker\phi = \{0\}$$

To show the onto, define  $g = \sum_{j=0}^n p_j y^j$ , and let  $m = \max_j \deg p_j$ , which implies

$$g = \sum_{j=0}^n \left( \sum_{i=0}^m a_{ji} x^i \right) y^j$$

■

**Proposition 9.11** A subring of a field is an integral domain.

*Proof.* For the subring  $R \subseteq F$ , suppose  $a, b \in R, ab = 0, a, b \neq 0$ , we have

$$b = a^{-1}(ab) = 0$$

■

**R** The integral domain  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a subring of field  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition 9.13** [Ideal] A subset  $I$  in a ring  $R$  is an ideal if

- $(I, +)$  is a group
- For each  $r \in R, rI \subseteq I$  and  $Ir \subseteq I$

If  $I$  is a prproper subset, then  $I$  is a proper ideal. ■

**R**

- Improper ideal:  $R$ , trivial ideal  $\{0\}$ , containing any proper non-trivial ideals: simple.
- The first condition is replaced by:

$$0 \in I, \quad x - y \in I, \forall x, y \in I$$

- an ideal  $I$  containing 1 implies  $I = R$
- $I = m\mathbb{Z}$  is an ideal of ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  since  $mn_1 - mn_2 = m(n_1 - n_2) \in I$ , and  $d \cdot mn = mn \cdot d \in I$  for  $\forall d \in I$ .

- $I = \{f \in R \mid f(1/2) = 0\} \subseteq C[-1,1]$  is an ideal.

Now we seek a special subring  $I$  such that  $R/I$  forms a new ring.

**Theorem 9.3**  $R$  is a commutative ring,  $I$  is an ideal. Let  $R/I$  denote the set of equivalence classes of  $R$ , and each element has the form  $r + I$  for  $r \in R$ .

$$(a + I) + (b + I) = (a + b) + I$$

$$(a + I)(b + I) = ab + I$$

then  $R/I$  forms a ring.

*Proof.* Check it forms a group, associative multiplication, distributive laws. ■

**R**  $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$  is written as  $a \equiv b \pmod{I}$

Every ideal is a subring, and the converse does not necessarily hold. If the converse is true, then it is a Hamiltonian ring.

### 9.1.3. Classification on Chapter 10

**Proposition 9.12** The canonical homomorphism  $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I$  defined by  $\pi(r) = \bar{r}$  is a surjective homomorphism with  $\ker(\pi) = I$ .

**Theorem 9.4 — First Isomorphism Theorem.** Let  $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$  be a ring homomorphism, then  $e = \ker(\phi)$  is an ideal of  $R$ , and

$$R/\ker\phi \cong \text{im}(\phi)$$

*Proof.* Construct the mapping

$$\bar{\phi}(\bar{a}) = \phi(a), \quad \forall a \in R$$

Then show the well-defined, homomorphism, surjective, and one-to-one:

$$a' := \phi(a) = \bar{\phi}(\bar{a})$$

$$\bar{a} \in \ker(\bar{\phi}) \implies \phi(a) = 0, \bar{a} = \bar{0}$$

■

**Corollary 9.2** Let  $\phi$  be a surjective ring homomorphism, then

$$R/\ker(\phi) \cong R'$$

- R** Define a homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$  by  $\phi(n) = \bar{n}$ . Thus  $\phi$  is surjective and  $\ker(\phi) = m\mathbb{Z}$ , and therefore  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$

**Proposition 9.13**

$$\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+3i)$$

*Proof.* Construct a mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+3i)$  by

$$\phi(n) = \bar{n}$$

It's clear that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.

- Note that  $1+3i \equiv 0 \pmod{\langle 1+3i \rangle}$  implies  $i \equiv 3 \pmod{\langle 1+3i \rangle}$ . Therefore,

$$\overline{a+bi} = \overline{a+3b} = \phi(a+3b) \implies \phi \text{ is surjective}$$

- Suppose  $\phi(n) = \bar{0}$ , then

$$n = (a+bi)(1+3i) = (a-3b) + (3a+b)i$$

If  $3a+b=0$ , then  $n=10a$ , which implies  $\ker(\phi) \subseteq 10\mathbb{Z}$

- For each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\phi(10m) = \overline{10m} = \overline{1 + 3i(1 - 3i)m} = \bar{0} \implies 10\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \ker(\phi)$$

Thus  $\ker(\phi) = 10\mathbb{Z}$ . Applying First Isomorphic Theorem. ■

■ **Example 9.1**  $R[x]/(x^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

Define the map  $R[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by:

$$\phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k i^k$$

Check homomorphism, surjective. Let  $f(x) \in \ker(\phi)$ , then

$$f(i) = 0 \implies f(-i) = 0 \implies (x^2 + 1) | f(x) \implies \ker(\phi) \subseteq \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$$

On the other hand,

$$f(x) = (x^2 + 1)g(x) \implies f(i) = 0 \implies \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle \subseteq \ker(\phi)$$

**Definition 9.14** [Maximal] An ideal  $M$  in ring  $R$  is **maximal** if the only ideal that properly contains  $M$  is  $R$  itself. ■

**Proposition 9.14** A unital commutative ring  $R$  is **simple** iff it is a division ring.

*Proof.* Consider a nonzero ring  $R$ .

- For nonzero  $a \in R$ , the principle ideal  $\langle a \rangle = aR = \{0\}$  or  $R$ .

$$a = 1a \in \langle a \rangle \implies \langle a \rangle = aR = R$$

Thus there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $ax = xa = 1_R$

- For the converse, consider the  $aa^{-1} \in R$

■

- Ⓡ It says that a field is simple, and a simple unital commutative ring forms a field.

**Theorem 9.5** A proper ideal  $M$  of a unital commutative ring  $R$  is **maximal** iff  $R/M$  is a field.

*Proof.* It suffices to show  $M$  is maximal iff  $R/M$  is simple. ■

- Ⓡ When  $R$  is not unital, the theorem will not hold. For  $R = 2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $M = 4\mathbb{Z}$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ .  $R/M$  is not a field since  $\bar{2} \in R/M$  and  $\bar{2}\bar{2} = \bar{0}$ . The converse also holds.

Question about second.

- Ⓡ Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ , we have proper ideals

$$I_1 = \{0, 2, 4, 8, 10\} \quad I_2 = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}, \quad I_3 = \{0, 4, 8\}, \quad I_4 = \{0, 6\}$$

Here  $I_1, I_2$  are maximal, and  $R/I_1 \cong F_2$ , and  $R/I_2 \cong F_3$ .