

Solution to Assignment 6

I will appreciate it if you could give me some advice on my assignment!

November 22, 2018

1. The gradient of constraints at any feasible point (x, y) are given by:

$$\nabla h_1(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla h_2(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla h_3(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix}$$

To show linear independent, for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ satisfying

$$\alpha_1 \nabla h_1(x, y) + \alpha_2 \nabla h_2(x, y) + \alpha_3 \nabla h_3(x, y) = 0, \quad (1)$$

we equivalently have:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 x + \alpha_3 y = 0 \\ \alpha_2 y + \alpha_3 x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Left-multiplying x^T for both equalities in above system, by applying feasibility, we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 x^T x + \alpha_3 x^T y = 0 \implies \alpha_1 \cdot 1 + \alpha_3 \cdot 0 = \alpha_1 = 0 \\ \alpha_2 x^T y + \alpha_3 x^T x = 0 \implies \alpha_2 \cdot 0 + \alpha_3 \cdot 1 = \alpha_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Left-multiplying y^T for the second equality in above system, we obtain:

$$\alpha_2 y^T y + \alpha_3 y^T x = \alpha_2 \cdot 1 + \alpha_3 \cdot 0 = \alpha_2 = 0$$

In other words, (1) implies $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$, which shows the linear independence for $\{\nabla h_1(x, y), \nabla h_2(x, y), \nabla h_3(x, y)\}$, i.e., the regularity holds for any feasible (x, y) .

2. Since the regularity is satisfied, we apply the KKT necessary condition. Define the Lagrangian function as follows:

$$L(x, y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = f(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i h_i(x, y) \quad (2)$$

Applying the KKT condition for the local minimum-Lagrangian pair (x, y) , we have:

$$\nabla_{x,y} L(x, y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \begin{pmatrix} Ax - b + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_3 y \\ Ay - c + \lambda_2 y + \lambda_3 x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Or equivalently, the first-order necessary conditions for this QCQP are given as:

$$Ax - b + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_3 y = 0 \quad (3a)$$

$$Ay - c + \lambda_2 y + \lambda_3 x = 0 \quad (3b)$$

3. Left-multiplying x^T for (3a) and (3b), by applying feasibility, we obtain:

$$x^T Ax - x^T b + \lambda_1 x^T x + \lambda_3 x^T y = x^T Ax - x^T b + \lambda_1 = 0 \quad (4a)$$

$$x^T Ay - x^T c + \lambda_2 x^T y + \lambda_3 x^T x = x^T Ay - x^T c + \lambda_3 = 0 \quad (4b)$$

Also, left-multiplying y^T for (3a) and (3b), by applying feasibility, we obtain:

$$y^T Ax - y^T b + \lambda_1 y^T x + \lambda_3 y^T y = y^T Ax - y^T b + \lambda_3 = 0 \quad (4c)$$

$$y^T Ay - y^T c + \lambda_2 y^T y + \lambda_3 y^T x = y^T Ay - y^T c + \lambda_2 = 0 \quad (4d)$$

Simplifying (4a) to (4d), we derive:

$$\lambda_1 = -x^T Ax + x^T b \quad (5a)$$

$$\lambda_2 = -y^T Ay + y^T c \quad (5b)$$

and

$$\lambda_3 = -y^T Ax + y^T b, \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda_3 = -x^T Ay + x^T c \quad (5c)$$

4. The expression for $\nabla h(x, y)$ is given by:

$$\nabla h(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & y \\ 0 & y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus simplifying $\nabla^T h(x, y) \cdot w = 0$ gives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^T & 0 \\ 0 & y^T \\ y^T & x^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

Or equivalently,

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = 0, \quad (7a)$$

and

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle + \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = 0 \quad (7b)$$

Thus the system (7a) and (7b) shows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, by the feasibility,

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = x^T y + y^T x = 2x^T y = 0 \implies \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix}$$

5. From (5a) to (5c), we obtain that

$$2\lambda_3 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = [-y^T Ax + y^T b] + [-x^T Ay + x^T c] \quad (8a)$$

$$- [-x^T Ax + x^T b] - [-y^T Ay + y^T c] \quad (8b)$$

$$= (x - y)^T A(x - y) + (x - y)^T (c - b) \quad (8c)$$

$$= (x - y)^T A(x - y) - \langle x - y, b - c \rangle \quad (8d)$$

$$\geq -\langle x - y, b - c \rangle \quad (8e)$$

$$= 0 \quad (8f)$$

where (8c) and (8d) is by term arrangement; (8e) is due to the semi-definiteness of A ; (8f) is because $(x - y) \perp (b - c)$.

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\lambda_3 \geq \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2}$$