

A large, reflective sphere with a blue and grey pattern, surrounded by smaller spheres and a grey landscape.

# Linear Alegbra MathNoteBook

Pro. Tom Luo

## Tuesday

Introduction  
Gaussian Elimination  
Complexity Analysis

## Friday

Matrix Multiplication  
Elementary Matrix  
Properties of Matrix  
Permutation Matrix  
LU decomposition  
LDU decomposition  
LU Decomposition with row exchanges

## Tuesday

Review  
Special matrix multiplication case  
Inverse

# 4 — Week2

## 4.1 Tuesday

### 4.1.1 Review

#### Solving a system of linear Equations

- **Gaussian Elimination** For the system of equations  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ , it has three cases for its solutions:

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b} \begin{cases} \text{unique solution} \\ \text{no solution} \\ \text{infinitely many solutions} \end{cases}$$

And we claim that if for this system of equation it has **infinitely** many solutions, then *its columns(or rows) could be linearly combined to zero nontrivially*. To explain it more specifically, let's use augmented matrix to represent  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  (Let's assume it's  $3 \times 3$  matrix):

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b} \iff \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & b_1 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & b_2 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & b_3 \end{array} \right]$$

When we focus on the columns, we may have the question: in which case does its columns could be linear combined to zero? That means we need to choose the coefficients  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  such that

$$c_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ a_{31} \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \\ a_{32} \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} a_{13} \\ a_{23} \\ a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

It's obvious that when  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$  we can linearly combine the columns. So  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$  is the *trivial* solution. But is there any nontrivial solution? We claim that if this system of equation has *infinitely* many solutions, we could linearly combine the columns *nontrivially*. And we will prove it in the end of this lecture.

And if we focus on the rows, we may have the similar question. And its conclusion is similar.

- **matrix notation to describe Gaussian Elimination** Firstly let's consider we don't need to do row exchange case. For nonsingular matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , We find that postmultiplying elementary matrix has the same effect as doing gaussian elimination. If we finally convert  $\mathbf{A}$  into upper triangular matrix  $\mathbf{U}$ , we can write this process in matrix notation:

$$\mathbf{E}_n \dots \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \implies \mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{E}_n \dots \mathbf{E}_1)^{-1} \mathbf{U} \implies \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{E}_1^{-1} \dots \mathbf{E}_n^{-1} \mathbf{U}$$

And if we define  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{E}_1^{-1} \dots \mathbf{E}_n^{-1}$ , which is easy to verify that it is lower triangular matrix. So finally we decompose  $\mathbf{A}$  into the product of two triangular matrix:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LU}$$

Further more, we can decompose  $\mathbf{A}$  into product of three matrix to make the diagonal entries of  $\mathbf{U}$  to be zero:

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LDU}$$

Note that the LDU decomposition is unique for any matrix, though we don't prove it. If we have to do row exchange, the process for converting  $\mathbf{A}$  into  $\mathbf{U}$  may be like

$$\mathbf{E} \dots \mathbf{EP} \dots \mathbf{EP} \dots \mathbf{EA} = \mathbf{U}$$

but we can always do row exchange first to combine all elementary matrix together, which means we can change the process into:

$$\mathbf{E}_n \dots \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{PA} = \mathbf{U} \implies \mathbf{PA} = \mathbf{LU}$$

And also, we can do LDU decomposition to get  $\mathbf{PA} = \mathbf{LDU}$ .

### 4.1.2 Special matrix multiplication case

- Firstly let's introduce a new type of vector called unit vector:

#### Definition 4.1 — unit vector.

An  $i$ th unit vector is given by:

$$e_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Only in  $i$ th row its entry is 1, other entries of  $e_i$  are all 0. ■

Given  $m \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$ , the product of  $\mathbf{A}e_i$  is given by (verify by yourself!):

$$\mathbf{A}e_i = [a_{:i}]$$

Note that we use notation  $[a_{:i}]$  to denote the  $i$ th column of  $\mathbf{A}$ . (MATLAB or Julia language.) And given row vector  $e_j^T := [0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0]$ , the product of  $e_j^T \mathbf{A}$  is given by:

$$e_j^T \mathbf{A} = [a_{j:}]$$

Note that we use notation  $[a_{j:}]$  to denote the  $j$ th row of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

- Secondly we want to compute the product  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  denotes a column vector that all entres of  $\mathbf{1}$  are 1.

Let's first compute  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{A}$  is a  $m \times n$  matrix and  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{mj} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}^T \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{mj} \end{pmatrix} = \langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{1} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$$

where  $\mathbf{1}^T$  is a  $1 \times m$  row vector.

- If vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we can compute  $x^T \mathbf{A} y$ :

$$x^T \mathbf{A} y = x^T \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} y_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{2j} y_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{mj} y_j \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} y_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i y_j$$

- If vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , you should distinguish  $x^T y$  and  $xy^T$ :

$$x^T y = \langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$$

$$xy^T = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1 & x_1 y_2 & \dots & x_1 y_n \\ x_2 y_1 & x_2 y_2 & \dots & x_2 y_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n y_1 & x_n y_2 & \dots & x_n y_n \end{bmatrix} = [x_i y_j]_{n \times n}$$

- If vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we can compute  $x^T \mathbf{A} y$  by using block matrix:  
Firstly, We partition  $\mathbf{A}$  into four parts:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_{11}$  is  $m_1 \times n_1$  matrix,  $\mathbf{A}_{22}$  is  $m_2 \times n_2$  matrix. Then we partition vector  $x$  and  $y$  respectively:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $x_1$  has  $m_1$  rows,  $x_2$  has  $m_2$  rows,  $y_1$  has  $n_1$  rows,  $y_2$  has  $n_2$  rows.  
Then we can compute  $x^T \mathbf{A} y$ :

$$x^T \mathbf{A} y = [x_1^T \quad x_2^T] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_i^T \mathbf{A}_{ij} y_j$$

•

**Proposition 4.1** Postmultiplying  $\mathbf{Q}$  for vector  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$  rotates  $\mathbf{v}$  in the plane anticlockwise by the angle  $\theta$ :

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

*Proof.* We convert vector  $\mathbf{v}$  into the form  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho \cos\phi \\ \rho \sin\phi \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\rho = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ , and  $\phi = \arctan(\frac{x_2}{x_1})$ . Hence we obtain the product of  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ :

$$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \cos\phi \\ \rho \sin\phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho \cos\theta \cos\phi - \rho \sin\theta \sin\phi \\ \rho \cos\theta \sin\phi + \rho \sin\theta \cos\phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho \cos(\theta + \phi) \\ \rho \sin(\theta + \phi) \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the form that this vector has been rotated anticlockwise by the angle  $\theta$ . ■

- Given  $m \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ , how to flip this matrix vertically? We just need to postmultiply a matrix to obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & \ddots & & \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \\ a_{(m-1)1} & a_{(m-1)2} & \cdots & a_{(m-1)n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \end{bmatrix}$$

If we aftermultiply this matrix into the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , we can flip  $\mathbf{A}$  horizontally:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & \ddots & & \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1n} & a_{1(n-1)} & \cdots & a_{11} \\ a_{2n} & a_{2(n-1)} & \cdots & a_{21} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{mn} & a_{m(n-1)} & \cdots & a_{m1} \end{bmatrix}$$

### 4.1.3 Inverse

Let's introduce the definition for inverse matrix:

**Definition 4.2 — Inverse matrix.** For  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  is said to be the **inverse** of  $\mathbf{A}$  if we have  $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{BA} = \mathbf{I}$ . If such  $\mathbf{B}$  exists, we say matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is **invertible** or **nonsingular**. ■

And inverse matrix has some interesting properties:

**Proposition 4.2** Matrix inverse is *unique*. In other words, if we have  $\mathbf{AB}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{AB}_2 = \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ , then we obtain  $\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{B}_2$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{AB}_1 = \mathbf{I} &\implies \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{AB}_1 = \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{I} \implies \mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{AB}_1 = \mathbf{B}_2 \\ &\implies (\mathbf{B}_2\mathbf{A})\mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{IB}_1 = \mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{B}_2. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Proposition 4.3** If we have both  $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{CA} = \mathbf{I}$ , then we have  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{B}$ .

*Proof.* On the one hand, we have

$$\mathbf{CAB} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{AB}) = \mathbf{CI} = \mathbf{C}$$

On the other hand, we obtain:

$$\mathbf{CAB} = (\mathbf{CA})\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{IB} = \mathbf{B}$$

Hence we have  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{B}$ . ■

**How to compute inverse? When does it exist?**

Assuming the inverse of  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  exists, and we define it to be

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} := \mathbf{X} = [x_1 \mid x_2 \mid \dots \mid x_n] = [x_{ij}]$$

By definition, we have  $\mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{I}$ , from the left side we derive

$$\mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{A} [x_1 \mid x_2 \mid \dots \mid x_n]$$

from the right side we have

$$\mathbf{I} = [e_1 \mid e_2 \mid \dots \mid e_n]$$

where  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  are all unit vectors.

Hence we obtain  $\mathbf{A} [x_1 \mid x_2 \mid \dots \mid x_n] = [\mathbf{Ax}_1 \mid \mathbf{Ax}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{Ax}_n] = [e_1 \mid e_2 \mid \dots \mid e_n]$ .

Thus we only need to compute  $n$  system of equations  $\mathbf{Ax}_i = e_i$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence we have to do  $n$  Gaussian Elimination to convert  $\mathbf{A}$  into identity matrix  $\mathbf{I}$ . Once we have done that, we get the inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  immediately. Let's discuss an example to show how to achieve it:

■ **Example 4.1** Assuming we have only 3 systems of equations to solve. And we put them altogether into one Augmented matrix. And the right side of augmented matrix has three columns:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{A} \mid e_1 \mid e_2 \mid e_3] &= \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 7 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow[\mathbf{E}_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}]{\mathbf{E}_{31} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -8 & -2 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow[\mathbf{E}_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}]{\mathbf{E}_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -8 & -2 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow[\mathbf{E}_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}]{\mathbf{E}_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -8 & 0 & -4 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \\ &\Rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow[\mathbf{E}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}]{} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 0 & 0 & \frac{12}{8} & -\frac{5}{8} & -\frac{6}{8} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{12}{16} & -\frac{5}{16} & -\frac{6}{16} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

which is equivalent to  $\mathbf{IX} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{12}{16} & -\frac{5}{16} & -\frac{6}{16} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Hence we obtain  $\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{12}{16} & -\frac{5}{16} & -\frac{6}{16} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . ■

Let's discuss in which case does the inverse exist:

**Theorem 4.1** The inverse of  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  exists if and only if  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution.

*Proofoutline.* The inverse of  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  exists

$\Leftrightarrow$  none pivot values of  $\mathbf{A}$  is zero.  $\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ . ■

Finally let's discuss an interesting theorem that gives equivalent condition for columns combination and rows combination.

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be  $n \times n$  matrix, the followings are equivalent:

1. Columns of  $\mathbf{A}$  can be linearly combined to zero nontrivially.
2.  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$  has infinitely many solutions.
3. Row vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  can be linearly combined to zero nontrivially.

*Proofoutline.* Columns of  $\mathbf{A}$  can be linearly combined to zero nontrivially.

$\Leftrightarrow$  If  $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \mid \mathbf{a}_2 \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{a}_n]$ , then there exists  $x_i \neq 0$  such that

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$  has nonzero solution  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ .

$\Leftrightarrow 2\bar{\mathbf{x}}, 3\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \dots$  are also solutions to  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$  has infinitely many solutions.

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}^{-1}$  does not exist, otherwise we will only have unique solution  $\mathbf{A}^{-1} \times \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$\Leftrightarrow$  Gaussian Elimination breaks down.

$\Leftrightarrow$  There exists zero row in the row echelon form.

$\Leftrightarrow$  Row vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  can be linearly combined to zero nontrivially. ■

