

```

SWI-Prolog (AMD64, Multi-threaded, version 7.6.3)
File Edit Settings Run Debug Help
Welcome to SWI-Prolog (threaded, 64 bits, version 7.6.3)
SWI-Prolog comes with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY. This is free software.
Please run ?- license. for legal details.

For online help and background, visit http://www.swi-prolog.org
For built-in help, use ?- help(Topic). or ?- apropos(Word).

?- prove([not(not,a), '|'.lp, [{'b','V'.c.'}','V'.a]}.
notnota,+
{bVc}Va,-

premises solving:
notnota,+
a,+

conclusion solving:
{bVc}Va,-
aV{bVc},-
a,-
{bVc},-
b,-
c,-

positive literals:
|a. + |
negative literals:
|a. - | b. - | c. - |
Closed branch lp has a,+ and a,-
true ;
false.

?-

```

```

SWI-Prolog (AMD64, Multi-threaded, version 7.6.3)
File Edit Settings Run Debug Help
Welcome to SWI-Prolog (threaded, 64 bits, version 7.6.3)
SWI-Prolog comes with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY. This is free software.
Please run ?- license. for legal details.

For online help and background, visit http://www.swi-prolog.org
For built-in help, use ?- help(Topic). or ?- apropos(Word).

?- prove([not(not,x), '|'.lp, [{'z','V'.y.'}','V'.x]}.
notnotx,+
{zVy}Vx,-

premises solving:
notnotx,+
x,+

conclusion solving:
{zVy}Vx,-
xV{zVy},-
x,-
{zVy},-
z,-
y,-

positive literals:
|x. + |
negative literals:
|x. - | z. - | y. - |
Closed branch lp has x,+ and x,-
true ;
false.

?-

```

```

SWI-Prolog (AMD64, Multi-threaded, version 7.6.3)
File Edit Settings Run Debug Help
?- prove(['{'.not.not.x.'&'.not.y.'}'], '|'.k3, [not(not,x,'V'.z,'V'.n
ot,y)]).
{notnotx&noty},+
notnotx&noty,+
notnotxVzVnoty,-

premises solving:
notnotx&noty,+
notnotx,+
noty,+
x,+

conclusion solving:
notnotxVzVnoty,-
notnotx,-
z,-
noty,-
x,-

positive literals:
|x. + | not y. + |
negative literals:
|x. - | z. - | not y. - |
Closed branch k3 has x,+ and x,-
Closed branch k3 has not y,+ and not y,-
true ;
false.

?-

```

```

SWI-Prolog (AMD64, Multi-threaded, version 7.6.3)
File Edit Settings Run Debug Help
?- prove(['{'.not.not.b.'&'.not.e.'}'].e], '|'.k3, [not(not,c,'V'.d,'V'
not,f)]).
{notnotb&note},+
notnotb&note,+
e,+
notnotcVdVnotf,-

premises solving:
notnotb&note,+
notnotb,+
note,+
b,+

conclusion solving:
notnotcVdVnotf,-
notnotc,-
d,-
notf,-
c,-

positive literals:
|e. + | b. + | not e. + |
negative literals:
|c. - | d. - | not f. - |
Closed branch k3 has not e,+ and e,+
true ;
false.

?-

```

```

SWI-Prolog (AMD64, Multi-threaded, version 7.6.3)
File Edit Settings Run Debug Help
?- prove([not('{'.p.'V'.not.q.'}'), '|'.fde, [r.'V'.{'p.'&'.q.'}]]).
not{pVnotq},+
rV{p&q},-

premises solving:
not{pVnotq},+
notp&notnotq,+
notp,+
notnotq,+
q,+

inferences solving:
rV{p&q},-
r,-
{p&q},-
//p&q,-
p.- OR q.-

positive literals:
|not p. + | q. + |
negative literals:
|p. - | q. - |
branch #1 fde is open, counter-example found fde:
not p,+ q.+ r,- p.-
p=? set pr1, notp=? set pr0
Set p related to false (p rho 0)
Set q related to true (q rho 1)
No other facts about rho obtain
true ;
positive literals:
|not p. + | q. + |
negative literals:
|p. - | q. - |
Closed branch fde #2 has q,+ and q,-
true ;
false.

?-

```

```

SWI-Prolog (AMD64, Multi-threaded, version 7.6.3)
File Edit Settings Run Debug Help
?- prove([not('{'.b.'V'.not.d.'}'), '|'.fde, [c.'V'.{'b.'&'.d.'}]]).
not{bVnotd},+
cV{b&d},-

premises solving:
not{bVnotd},+
notb&notnotd,+
notb,+
notnotd,+
d,+

inferences solving:
cV{b&d},-
c,-
{b&d},-
^b&d,-
b,-

positive literals:
|not b. + | d. + |
negative literals:
|c. - | b. - |
fde branch is open, counter-example found fde:
not b.+ d.+ c.- b.-
p=? set pr1, notp=? set pr0
Set b related to false (b rho 0)
Set d related to true (d rho 1)
No other facts about rho obtain
true ;
\
d,-

positive literals:
|not b. + | d. + |
negative literals:
|c. - | d. - |
Closed branch fde has d,+ and d,-
true ;
false.

?-

```

```

SWI-Prolog (AMD64, Multi-threaded, version 7.6.3)
File Edit Settings Run Debug Help

?- prove([not, s, '&'.t], '|'.lp, [not,t]).

nots&t,+
nott,-

conclusion solving:
nots&t,+
nots,+,
t,+,

positive literals:
not s, + | t, + |
negative literals:
not t, - |
branch is open, counter-example found lp:
not s + t, + not t,-
no p-? set pr1, no notp-? set pr0
Set s related to false (s rho 0)
Set t related to true (t rho 1)
No other facts about rho obtain
true;
false;

?-

```

```

SWI-Prolog (AMD64, Multi-threaded, version 7.6.3)
File Edit Settings Run Debug Help

?- prove([c, '&', not.f], '|', lp, [f]).
c.&not.f, +
f, -

conclusion solving:
c.&not.f, +
c, +
not.f, +

positive literals:
|c, + | not f, + |
negative literals:
|f, - |
lp branch is open, counter-example found lp:
c, + not f, + f, -
no p-? set pr1, no notp-? set pr0
Set c related to true (c rho 1)
Set f related to false (f rho 0)
No other facts about rho obtain
true.
false.

?- 
```

```

?- prove([not,not,'{','x','&','r','}''], '|',k3, [not,r,'&',not,not,q,'&',p
]),
notnot{x&r}.+
x&r.+
notr&notnotq&p.-

premises solving:
x&r.+
x.+
r,+

conclusion solving:
^notr&notnotq&p.-

//notr&notnotq&p.-
notr,- OR notnotq,- OR p,-
notr,- OR q,- OR p,-

positive literals:
|x, + | r, + |
negative literals:
|not r, - |
branch #1 k3 is open, counter-exaample found k3:
x.+ r.+ not r.-
p? set prl, notp? set pr0
Set x related to true (x rho 1)
Set r related to true (r rho 1)
No other facts about rho obtain
true ;
positive literals:
|x, + | r, + |
negative literals:
|q, - |
branch #2 k3 is open, counter-exaample found k3:
x.+ r.+ q.-
p? set prl, notp? set pr0
Set x related to true (x rho 1)
Set r related to true (r rho 1)
No other facts about rho obtain
true ;
positive literals:
|x, + | r, + |
negative literals:
|p, - |
branch #3 k3 is open, counter-exaample found k3:
x.+ r.+ p.-
p? set prl, notp? set pr0
Set x related to true (x rho 1)
Set r related to true (r rho 1)
No other facts about rho obtain
true ;
false.

?-

```

```

? - prove([not,not,'{','g','&','h','}''], ' ','k3, [not,f,'&','not,not,g','&','i
]])
notnot(g&h).+
g&h.+
notf&notnotg&i.-

premises solving:
g&h.+
g.+
h.+

conclusion solving:
notf&notnotg&i.-
^notf&notnotg&i.-
notf,-

positive literals:
|g. + | h. + |
negative literals:
|not f. - |
k3 branch is open, counter-example found k3:
g.+ h.+ not f.-
p? set prl, notp? set pr0
Set g related to true (g rho 1)
Set h related to true (h rho 1)
No other facts about rho obtain
true ;
\
notnotg.-
g.-

positive literals:
|g. + | h. + |
negative literals:
|g. - |
Closed branch k3 has g.+ and g.-
true ;
\
i.-

positive literals:
|g. + | h. + |
negative literals:
|i. - |
k3 branch is open, counter-exaample found k3:
g.+ h.+ i.-
p? set prl, notp? set pr0
Set g related to true (g rho 1)
Set h related to true (h rho 1)
No other facts about rho obtain
true ;
false.

?-

```

```

?- prove([not,t,'&','{','not,w,'&','q','}'], '|',fde, [not,not,'{','not,w,'&','q','}'],
nott&{notw&q}.+
notnot{notw&q}.-

premises solving:
nott&{notw&q}.+
nott.+
{notw&q}.+
notw.+
q.+

conclusion solving:
notnot{notw&q}.-
{notw&q}.-
  ^notw&q.-

//notw&q.-
notw.- OR q.-

positive literals:
[not t, + | not w, + | q, + |
negative literals:
[not w, - |
Closed branch fde #1 has not w,+ and not w,-
true ;
positive literals:
[not t, + | not w, + | q, + |
negative literals:
[q, - |
Closed branch fde #2 has q,+ and q,-
true ;
false.

?-

```



```

?- prove([not c, '&', '{', 'e, '&', not f, '}', ''], ' ', fde, [not, not, '{', 'e, '&',
not f, '}', ''],
notc{e&notf},+
notnot{e&notf},-
premises solving:
notc{e&notf},+
notc,+
{e&notf},+
e,+
notf,+
conclusion solving:
notnot{e&notf},-
{e&notf},-
e,-
e,-
positive literals:
|not c, + | e, + | not f, + |
negative literals:
|e,- |
Closed branch fde has e,+ and e,-
true ;
\
notf,-
positive literals:
|not c, + | e, + | not f, + |
negative literals:
|not f, - |
Closed branch fde has not f,+ and not f,-
true ;
false.

?-

```