

A Consistent Histogram Estimator for Exchangeable Graph Models

(Supplementary Material)

Stanley H. Chan and Edoardo M. Airoldi

1 Proof of Lemma 1

We first prove the forward direction. Suppose that $\left| \frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - \frac{\sigma(j)}{n} \right| < \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(|U_{\sigma(i)} - U_{\sigma(j)}| > 3\delta) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|U_{\sigma(i)} - \frac{\sigma(i)}{n}\right| + \left|U_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{\sigma(j)}{n}\right| + \left|\frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - \frac{\sigma(j)}{n}\right| > 3\delta\right) \\
 &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|U_{\sigma(i)} - \frac{\sigma(i)}{n}\right| + \left|U_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{\sigma(j)}{n}\right| > 2\delta\right) \\
 &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|U_{\sigma(i)} - \frac{\sigma(i)}{n}\right| > \delta\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\left|U_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{\sigma(j)}{n}\right| > \delta\right) \\
 &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} 2\exp\{-2n\delta^2\} + 2\exp\{-2n\delta^2\} \\
 &= 4\exp\{-2n\delta^2\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is due to Dvoretzky. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{P}(|g(U_{\sigma(i)}) - g(U_{\sigma(j)})| > 3L_1\delta) &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \mathbb{P}(|U_{\sigma(i)} - U_{\sigma(j)}| > 3\delta) \\
 &\leq 4\exp\{-2n\delta^2\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where (b) is due to Lipschitz. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathbb{P}\left(|d_{\sigma(i)} - d_{\sigma(j)}| > 6L_1\delta \mid U_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(j)}\right) \\
 &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(|d_{\sigma(i)} - g(U_{\sigma(i)})| + |d_{\sigma(j)} - g(U_{\sigma(j)})| + |g(U_{\sigma(i)}) - g(U_{\sigma(j)})| > 6L_1\delta \mid U_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(j)}\right) \\
 &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq_p} \mathbb{P}\left(|d_{\sigma(i)} - g(U_{\sigma(i)})| + |d_{\sigma(j)} - g(U_{\sigma(j)})| > 3L_1\delta \mid U_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(j)}\right) \\
 &\leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(|d_{\sigma(i)} - g(U_{\sigma(i)})| > \frac{3}{2}L_1\delta \mid U_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(j)}\right) \\
 &\stackrel{(d)}{\leq} 4\exp\left\{-2n^2\left(\frac{3}{2}L_1\delta\right)^2\right\} \\
 &= 4\exp\left\{-\frac{9}{2}n^2L_1^2\delta^2\right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, (d) is due to Hoeffding. The inequality in (c) holds with probability at least $1 - 4\exp\{-2n\delta^2\}$. Letting two events

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}_1 &= \left\{|d_{\sigma(i)} - d_{\sigma(j)}| > 6L_1\delta \mid U_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(j)}\right\} \\
 \mathcal{E}_2 &= \left\{|g(U_{\sigma(i)}) - g(U_{\sigma(j)})| < 3L_1\delta\right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1) &= \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2^c) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_2^c),\end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(|d_{\sigma(i)} - d_{\sigma(j)}| > 6L_1\delta \mid U_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(j)}\right) &\leq 4 \exp\left\{-\frac{9}{2}n^2L_1^2\delta^2\right\} + 4 \exp\{-2n\delta^2\} \\ &\leq 8 \exp\{-2n\delta^2\},\end{aligned}$$

when $n > \frac{4}{9L_1^2}$. Putting $\delta = \frac{1}{6L_1}\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|d_{\sigma(i)} - d_{\sigma(j)}| > \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} \mid U_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(j)}\right) \leq 8e^{-\frac{1}{18L_1^2}\log n}.$$

We next prove the converse. First, by inverse Lipschitz we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - \frac{\sigma(j)}{n}\right| &\leq \left|\frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - U_{\sigma(i)}\right| + \left|\frac{\sigma(j)}{n} - U_{\sigma(j)}\right| + |U_{\sigma(i)} - U_{\sigma(j)}| \\ &\leq \left|\frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - U_{\sigma(i)}\right| + \left|\frac{\sigma(j)}{n} - U_{\sigma(j)}\right| + \frac{1}{L_2} |g(U_{\sigma(i)}) - g(U_{\sigma(j)})|. \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

By Dvoretzky, we have $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - U_{\sigma(i)}\right| > \eta\right) \leq 2 \exp\{-2n\eta^2\}$ for any $\eta > 0$. Putting $\eta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)}$, then $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - U_{\sigma(i)}\right| > \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)}\right) \leq \alpha$. That is,

$$\left|\frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - U_{\sigma(i)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)} \quad (2)$$

with probability at least $1 - \alpha$.

Next, we note that

$$|g(U_{\sigma(i)}) - g(U_{\sigma(j)})| \leq |g(U_{\sigma(i)}) - d_{\sigma(i)}| + |g(U_{\sigma(j)}) - d_{\sigma(j)}| + |d_{\sigma(i)} - d_{\sigma(j)}|.$$

By Hoeffding, we know $\mathbb{P}(|g(U_{\sigma(i)}) - d_{\sigma(i)}| > \delta) \leq 2 \exp\{-2n^2\delta\}$ for any $\delta > 0$. Putting $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n^2} \log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)}$, then

$$|g(U_{\sigma(i)}) - d_{\sigma(i)}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n^2} \log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)}, \quad (3)$$

with probability at least $1 - \alpha$.

Substituting (2), (3) and that $|d_{\sigma(i)} - d_{\sigma(j)}| < \epsilon$ with probability at least $1 - \alpha$ into (1), we have

$$\left|\frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - \frac{\sigma(j)}{n}\right| \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)} + \frac{2}{L_2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2n^2} \log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)} + \frac{\epsilon}{L_2}, \quad (4)$$

which holds with probability at least $(1 - \alpha)^5$.

Putting $\alpha = 8e^{-\frac{1}{18L_1^2} \log n}$, $\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$, and using the fact that

$$\log\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) = \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{\log n}{18L_1^2} \leq \frac{\log n}{18L_1^2},$$

we have

$$\left| \frac{\sigma(i)}{n} - \frac{\sigma(j)}{n} \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{3L_1} + \frac{1}{3L_1 L_2 \sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{L_2} \right),$$

with probability at least $(1 - 8e^{-\frac{1}{18L_1^2} \log n})^5 \approx 1 - 40e^{-\frac{1}{18L_1^2} \log n}$ for large n .

2 Proof of Lemma 2

For clarity and notational simplicity we prove a continuous version of the lemma. First, we define $w^{step} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as the continuous version of $H^w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{h \times h}$. That is, we equally partition $[0, 1]$ into k sub-intervals with width h/n . Then, for any (x, y) in the (i, j) th sub-interval $[i(h/n), (i + 1)(h/n)] \times [j(h/n), (j + 1)(h/n)]$, we let $w^{step}(x, y) = H_{i,j}^w$.

By assumption that w is smooth, there exists $\zeta_i \in [\frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k}]$ and $\xi_j \in [\frac{j-1}{k}, \frac{j}{k}]$ such that

$$w^{step}(u, v) = w(\zeta_i, \xi_j),$$

for $u \in [\frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k}]$, and $v \in [\frac{j-1}{k}, \frac{j}{k}]$. Therefore, the approximation error is bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} \|w - w^{step}\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\frac{i-1}{k}}^{\frac{i}{k}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{k}}^{\frac{j}{k}} (w(u, v) - w^{step}(u, v))^2 dv du \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\frac{i-1}{k}}^{\frac{i}{k}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{k}}^{\frac{j}{k}} (w(u, v) - w^{step}(\zeta_i, \xi_j))^2 dv du \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\frac{i-1}{k}}^{\frac{i}{k}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{k}}^{\frac{j}{k}} \sup_{\substack{u \in [\frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k}] \\ v \in [\frac{j-1}{k}, \frac{j}{k}]}} |\nabla w(u, v)|^2 dv du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^2} \sup_{u \in [0, 1], v \in [0, 1]} |\nabla w(u, v)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|w - w^{step}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{k} \sup_{u, v \in [0, 1]} |\nabla w(u, v)|. \quad (5)$$

3 Proof of Lemma 3

First, by definition of \widehat{H} and H , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\widehat{H} - H\|_2^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h \left(\widehat{A}_{ih+i_1, jh+j_1} - A_{ih+i_1, jh+j_1} \right) \right)^2 \right]. \quad (6)$$

To evaluate (6), it is clear that we have to estimate

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\widehat{A}_{ij} - A_{ij})^2 \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{A}_{ij} - A_{ij} \right]$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. Let w_{ij} be the true graphon and

$$\widehat{w}_{ij} = w(U_{\widehat{\sigma}(i)}, U_{\widehat{\sigma}(j)})$$

be the empirical graphon ordered by $\widehat{\sigma}(1), \dots, \widehat{\sigma}(n)$. Then it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\widehat{A}_{ij} - A_{ij})^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} [(\widehat{A}_{ij} - \widehat{w}_{ij})^2 + (A_{ij} - w_{ij})^2 + (w_{ij} - \widehat{w}_{ij})^2], \quad (7)$$

because $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{A}_{ij}] = \widehat{w}_{ij}$ and $\mathbb{E}[A_{ij}] = w_{ij}$.

To bound (7), we first show that

$$\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{A}_{ij} - \widehat{w}_{ij})^2] = \text{Var}[\widehat{A}_{ij}] \leq 1, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(A_{ij} - w_{ij})^2] = \text{Var}[A_{ij}] \leq 1. \quad (9)$$

Next, we bound the term $(w_{ij} - \widehat{w}_{ij})^2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (w_{ij} - \widehat{w}_{ij})^2 &\stackrel{(a)}{=} [w(U_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(j)}) - w(U_{\widehat{\sigma}(i)}, U_{\widehat{\sigma}(j)})]^2 \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} [L (|U_{\sigma(i)} - U_{\widehat{\sigma}(i)}| + |U_{\sigma(j)} - U_{\widehat{\sigma}(j)}|)]^2 \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} 4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $C = \frac{1}{3L_1} + \frac{1}{3L_1L_2} + \frac{1}{L_2}$. Here, in (a) we write $w_{ij} = w(U_{\sigma(i)}, U_{\sigma(j)})$. Since w is the true graphon, the permutation σ is the identity operator: $\sigma(i) = i$ for all i . The inequality in (b) holds because of the Lipschitz condition on w . The inequality in (c) is due to (4). Substituting (8), (9) and (10) into (7) yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\widehat{A}_{ij} - A_{ij})^2 \right] \leq 2 + 4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n}. \quad (11)$$

Similarly, $\mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{A}_{ij} - A_{ij} \right]$ can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{A}_{ij} - A_{ij} \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{A}_{ij} - \widehat{w}_{ij} \right] + \mathbb{E} [w_{ij} - A_{ij}] + |\widehat{w}_{ij} - w_{ij}| \\ &\leq 2CL \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Going back to (6), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h \left(\hat{A}_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1} - A_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1} \right) \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{h^4} \left(h^2 \left(2 + 4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n} \right) + \frac{h^2(h^2-1)}{2} \left(2CL \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} \right)^2 \right) \\
& \leq \frac{k^2}{h^2} \left(2 + 4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n} \right) + k^2 \left(2C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $n = kh$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{H} - H\|_2^2] \leq \frac{k^4}{n^2} \left(2 + 4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n} \right) + k^2 \left(4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n} \right). \quad (13)$$

4 Proof of Lemma 4

By definitions of H_{ij} and H_{ij}^w , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[H_{ij}] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h A_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1} \right] = \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h \mathbb{E}[A_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1}] \\
&= \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h w_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1} = H_{ij}^w.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we can show that

$$\mathbb{E}[(H_{ij} - H_{ij}^w)^2] = \mathbb{E}[(H_{ij})^2] - (H_{ij}^w)^2,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[H_{ij}^2] &= \frac{1}{h^4} \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1}^h \sum_{j_2 \neq j_1}^h \mathbb{E}[A_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1} A_{ih+i_2,jh+j_2}] + \sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h \mathbb{E}[A_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1}^2] \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{h^4} \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1}^h \sum_{j_2 \neq j_1}^h w_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1} w_{ih+i_2,jh+j_2} + \sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h w_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1}^2 \right) \\
&= (H_{ij}^w)^2 + \frac{1}{h^4} \sum_{i_1=1}^h \sum_{j_1=1}^h w_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1} (1 - w_{ih+i_1,jh+j_1}) \\
&\leq (H_{ij}^w)^2 + \frac{1}{h^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|H - H^w\|_2^2] = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[(H_{ij} - H_{ij}^w)^2] \leq \frac{k^2}{h^2} = \frac{k^4}{n^2}.$$

5 Proof of Theorem 3

By the definition of MSE, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{w}^{est} - w\|_2^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\mathbb{E}[h^2 \|\hat{w}^{tv} - H^w\|_2^2] + \mathbb{E}[\|H^w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{h \times h} - w\|_2^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[(\hat{w}^{tv} - H^w)^T (H^w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{h \times h} - w)] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The first term above can be bounded by Lemma 5:

$$\|\hat{w}^{tv} - H^w\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon^2,$$

because by assumption $\|\nabla H^w - (\nabla H^w)_s\|_1 = 0$. Now, ε can further be bounded by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[\|\eta + \rho\|_2^2] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{H} - H\|_2^2] + \mathbb{E}[\|H - H^w\|_{\ell_2}^2], \\ &\leq \frac{k^4}{n^2} \left(2 + 4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n} \right) + k^2 \left(4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n} \right) + \frac{k^4}{n^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where in (a) we used the fact that $\mathbb{E}[H_{ij}] = H_{ij}^w$ so that $\mathbb{E}[\rho] = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}[h^2 \|\hat{w}^{tv} - H^w\|_2^2] \\ &= \frac{k^2}{n^2} \left(2 + 4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n} \right) + \left(4C^2 L^2 \frac{\log n}{n} \right) + \frac{k^2}{n^2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $k/n \rightarrow 0$.

The second term in (14) can be bounded by Lemma 2, which gives

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \|H^w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{h \times h} - w\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C'}{k^2 n^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (16)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $C' = \sup |\nabla w|^2$.

Substituting (15) and (16) into (14) completes the proof.