# Contents

1	The Real Numbers			2
	1.1	Fields		2
		1.1.1	Ordered Fields	2
	1.2	Proper	operties of $\mathbb R$	
		1.2.1	Absolute Value	4
		1.2.2	The Completeness Property of $\mathbb R$	6
2	Sequences and Series			7
	2.1	Seque	nces	7
		211	Subsequences	7

## The Real Numbers

## 1.1 Fields

#### **Definition 1.1.1** ▶ Field

A set *F* with two binary operations, namely addition and multiplication, is called a **field** if it satisfies the following axioms:

- 1.  $\forall a, b \in F, a +_F b = b +_F a$ .
- 2.  $\forall a, b, c \in F$ ,  $(a +_F b) +_F c = a +_F (b +_F c)$ .
- 3.  $\exists 0_F \in F$  such that  $\forall a \in F$ ,  $0_F +_F a = a +_F 0_F = a$ .
- 4.  $\forall a \in F, \exists a' \in F \text{ such that } a +_F a' = 0_F.$
- 5.  $\forall a, b \in F, a \cdot_F b = b \cdot a$ .
- 6.  $\forall a, b, c \in F, (a \cdot_F b) \cdot c = a \cdot_F (b \cdot_F c).$
- 7.  $\forall a, b, c \in F$ ,  $a \cdot_F (b +_F c) = a \cdot_F b +_F a \cdot_F b$  and  $(a +_F b) \cdot_F c = a \cdot_F c +_F b \cdot_F c$ .
- 8.  $\exists 1_F \in F$  such that  $\forall a \in F, 1_F \cdot_F a = a \cdot_F 1_F = a$ .
- 9.  $\forall a \in F, \exists a' \in F \text{ such that } a \cdot_F a' = 1_F.$

If we denote addition by " $+_F$ " and multiplication by " $\cdot_F$ " or " $\times_F$ ", then we can denote the field over F by  $(F, +_F, \cdot_F)$  or  $(F, +_F, \times_F)$ .

Among the commonly used number sets, one may check that  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are fields, while  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  are not.

#### 1.1.1 Ordered Fields

#### **Definition 1.1.2** ► Total Order

A **total order** on a set X is a binary relation  $\leq$  over X such that for all  $a, b, c \in X$ :

- 1.  $a \le a$  (reflexive).
- 2.  $a \le b$  and  $b \le c$  implies  $a \le c$  (transitive).
- 3.  $a \le b$  and  $b \le a$  implies a = b (antisymmetric).
- 4. either  $a \le b$  or  $b \le a$  (strongly connected).

#### **Definition 1.1.3** ► Strict Total Order

A strict total order on a set *X* is a binary relation < over *X* such that for all  $a, b, c \in X$ :

- 1.  $a \not< a$  (irreflexive).
- 2. a < b implies b < a (asymmetric).
- 3. a < b and b < c implies a < c (transitive).
- 4. if  $a \neq b$ , then either a < b or b < a (connected).

It is easy to see that the real numbers form the ordered fields  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, \leq)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times, <)$ . Note that this means  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfies trichotomy. If we choose any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then exactly one of x = 0, x > 0 and x < 0 is true. Therefore, we can define that if  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and x > 0, then x is said to be positive. This leads to the following axiomatic results:

- 1. If a and b are both positive, then a + b is positive;
- 2. If *a* and *b* are both positive, then *ab* is positive;
- 3. For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , either a = 0, a is positive, or -a is positive.

Note that a < b if and only if b - a is positive. So the trichotomy of  $\mathbb{R}$  guarantees that for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , either a = b, a < b or b < a (i.e., a > b).

## 1.2 Properties of $\mathbb{R}$

We can derive a few obvious minor results based on the field properties of  $\mathbb{R}$ :

- 1. If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then -ab + ab = 0;
- 2. For all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a \neq 0$ ,  $a^2 > 0$ ;
- 3. If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $0 \le a < \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then a = 0;
- 4. If a < b, then a + c < b + c for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- 5. If a < b, then ac < bc for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and ac > bc for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}^-$ .
- 6. For all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a^2 > 0$ .

We may consider the following interesting proposition:

#### **Proposition 1.2.1**

If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $0 \le a < \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then a = 0.

*Proof.* Suppose on contrary that a > 0, then we can take  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{a}{2}$ . Note that  $\epsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  but  $\epsilon_0 < a$ , which is a contradiction. So a = 0.

The above essentially asserts that a non-negative real number is strictly less than any positive real number if and only if it is 0.

The properties of  $\mathbb{R}$  also enables us to manipulate inequalities based on the following trivial results:

- 1. If ab > 0, then a and b are either both positive or both negative;
- 2. If ab < 0, then exactly one of them is positive and exactly one of them is negative.

We shall introduce a few well-known inequalities.

## Theorem 1.2.2 ▶ Bernoulli's Inequality

If x > -1, then  $(1 + x)^n \ge 1 + nx$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* The case where n = 0 is trivial.

Suppose that  $(1 + x)^k \ge 1 + kx$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider

$$(1+x)^{k+1} = (1+x)(1+x)^k$$

$$\geq (1+x)(1+kx)$$

$$= 1 + (k+1)x + kx^2$$

$$\geq 1 + (k+1)x.$$

Therefore,  $(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Theorem 1.2.3 ► AM-GM-HM Inequality

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be positive real numbers, then

$$\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_i}} \le \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \le \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i}{n}.$$

### 1.2.1 Absolute Value

Given any real number x, intuitively we sense that x possesses a certain "distance" from 0. This distance can be formalised as follows:

#### **Definition 1.2.4** ► **Absolute Value**

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the absolute value of x is defined as

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

We have some trivial properties about the absolute value:

- 1. For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , |ab| = |a||b|;
- 2. For all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|a|^2 = a^2$ ;
- 3. If  $c \ge 0$ , then  $|a| \le c$  if and only if  $-c \le a \le c$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- 4. For all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-|a| \le a \le |a|$ .

Using these basic properties, we can prove the following results:

#### Theorem 1.2.5 ▶ Triangle Inequality

For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$ .

#### **Corollary 1.2.6** ► Extended Triangle Inequality

For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$  and  $|a - b| \le |a| + |b|$ .

## **Corollary 1.2.7** ▶ **Generalised Triangle Inequality**

For all  $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right| \le \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|.$$

Analogously, if |x| represents the "distance" between x and 0, then by a simple translation we can see that |x - a| represents the "distance" between x and a. Thus, we can have the following definition:

#### **Definition 1.2.8** ▶ **Neighbourhood**

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . The  $\epsilon$ -neighbourhood of a is defined to be the set

$$V_{\epsilon}(a) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - a| < \epsilon\}.$$

Note that  $x \in V_{\epsilon}(a)$  if and only if  $-\epsilon < x - a < \epsilon$  or  $a - \epsilon < x < a + \epsilon$ . Which leads to the

following interesting result:

#### **Proposition 1.2.9**

For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $x \in V_{\epsilon}(a)$  for all  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then x = a.

*Proof.* Note that this essentially means that  $|x - a| < \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . By Proposition 1.2.1, we have |x - a| = 0 and therefore x = a.

## 1.2.2 The Completeness Property of $\mathbb{R}$

Intuitively, there are no "gaps" among the real numbers, i.e., if you take any two real numbers, between them there is nothing else than other real numbers. Therefore, we say that  $\mathbb{R}$  is *complete*. This is in contrast with  $\mathbb{Q}$  where there are gaps in between any two rational numbers (because there always exists some irrational numbers in between).

In this section, we probe into how the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$  can be established, and how the real numbers themselves can be constructed. To do that, we first establish the notion of *boundedness*.

#### **Definition 1.2.10** ▶ **Boundedness**

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We say that *S* is:

- bounded above if there exists some  $u \in R$  (known as the upper bound of S) such that  $u \ge s$  for all  $s \in S$ ;
- bounded below if there exists some  $v \in R$  (known as the lower bound of S) such that  $v \le s$  for all  $s \in S$ ;
- bounded if S has both an upper bound and a lower bound;
- **unbounded** either if *S* has no upper bound or if *S* has no lower bound;

*Remark.* Note that *S* is bounded if and only if there is some  $M \ge 0$  such that  $|s| \le M$  for all  $s \in S$ .

# Sequences and Series

## 2.1 Sequences

#### **Definition 2.1.1** ▶ Sequence

A sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a real-valued function  $X : \mathbb{N}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ . Where X(n) is called the n-th term of the sequence.

#### **Definition 2.1.2** ► Convergence of Sequences

A sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb R$  is said to be **convergent** to x if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $N \in \mathbb N$  such that whenever n > N,  $|x_n - x| < \epsilon$ . x is known as the **limit** of  $(x_n)$ , denoted as

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=x.$$

## 2.1.1 Subsequences

#### **Definition 2.1.3** ▶ Peak Point

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_m$  is called a **peak** if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with n > m,  $x_m \ge x_n$ .

#### Theorem 2.1.4 ▶ Existence of Monotone Subsequences

Every infinite sequence has an infinite monotone subsequence.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be any sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We consider the following cases:

Case 1.  $(x_n)$  has infinitely many peak points, so there exists infinitely many  $m_1, m_2, \dots \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m_j > m_i$  whenever j > i. Therefore, the subsequence  $(x_{m_n})$  is a monotone decreasing sequence.

Case 2.  $(x_n)$  has finitely many peak points, so there exists  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Theorem 2.1.5 ▶ Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

#### **Definition 2.1.6** ► Cauchy Sequence

A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $H \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n, m \geq H$ ,  $|x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$ .

### **Theorem 2.1.7 ▶ Cauchy Convergence Criterion**

A sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  is convergent if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $(x_n)$  converges to x, then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever n > N,  $|x_n - x| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Therefore, for all m, n > N, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_m - x_n| &= |x_m - x - x_n + x| \\ &\leq |x_m - x| + |x_n - x| \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Suppose conversely that  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We consider the following lemma:

#### **Lemma 2.1.8** ▶ Boundedness of Cauchy Sequences

*A Cauchy sequence in*  $\mathbb{R}$  *is bounded.* 

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence, then by Definition 2.1.6 there is some  $H \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all natural numbers  $n \geq H$ ,  $|x_n - x_H| < 1$ . By Corollary 1.2.6, we have

$$||x_n| - |x_H|| \le |x_n - x_H| < 1,$$

and so  $|x_n| < |x_H| + 1$ . Take

$$m = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \cdots, |x_H|, |x_H| + 1\},\$$

then  $|x_n| < m$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1.5 there exists a subsequence  $(x_{m_n})$  which converges to some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus there exists some  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $m_n > M$ ,  $|x_{m_n} - x| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . By Definition 2.1.6, there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_n - x_{m_n}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and for all  $n, m_n > N$ . Take  $K = \max\{M, N\}$ , then whenever n > K, there is some  $m_n > K$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - x| &= |x_n - x_{m_n} + x_{m_n} - x| \\ &\leq |x_n - x_{m_n}| + |x_{m_n} - x| \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ .