

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Sets and Classes</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Classes . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Axiomatic Set Theory</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Axioms of Zermelo-Fraenkel (ZF) . . . . .	4
2.1.1	Extensionality . . . . .	4
2.1.2	Pairing . . . . .	5
2.1.3	Separation . . . . .	6
2.1.4	Union . . . . .	7
2.1.5	Power Set . . . . .	8

# Sets and Classes

## 1.1 Classes

*Russell's Paradox* states the following:

### Russell's Paradox

Let  $X$  be the set of all sets which do not contain themselves, i.e.,

$$X = \{S : S \notin S\}.$$

Now consider  $X$ . If  $X \in X$ , it means that  $X$  contains itself and should not be a member of  $X$ , i.e.,  $X \in X \implies X \notin X$ . If  $X \notin X$ , it means that  $X$  does not contain itself and therefore should be a member of  $X$ , i.e.  $X \notin X \implies X \in X$ . Hence, we have a paradox and such a set  $X$  does not exist.

However, in some cases it is still useful to consider the “set” of all sets for practical reasons. Therefore, we introduce the notion of a *class* to avoid Russell's Paradox.

### Definition 1.1.1 ► Class

Let  $\phi$  be some formula and  $\mathbf{u}$  be a vector, the collection

$$\mathbb{C} = \{X : \phi(X, \mathbf{u})\}$$

is called a **class** of all sets satisfying  $\phi(X, \mathbf{u})$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  is said to be **definable** from  $\mathbf{u}$ . Equivalently, we say that

$$X \in \mathbb{C} \iff \phi(X, \mathbf{u}).$$

In particular, if  $\mathbb{C} = \{X : \phi(X)\}$ , i.e.,  $\phi$  only has one free variable, then we say that  $\mathbb{C}$  is **definable**.

*Remark.* It is easy to see that every set  $X$  is a class given by  $\{x : x \in X\}$ .

Intuitively, two classes are equal if they contain exactly the same members. We are able to give the following rigorous version of the notion of equality:

**Definition 1.1.2 ▶ Equality between Classes**

Let  $\mathbb{C} = \{X : \phi(X, \mathbf{u})\}$  and  $\mathbb{D} = \{X : \psi(X, \mathbf{v})\}$ , we say that  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{D}$  if for all  $X$ ,

$$\phi(X, \mathbf{u}) \iff \psi(X, \mathbf{u}).$$

There are clearly two types of classes — the ones which are also sets and the ones which are not. Formally, this is put as follows:

**Definition 1.1.3 ▶ Proper Class**

A class  $\mathbb{C}$  is said to be a **proper class** if  $\mathbb{C} \neq X$  for all sets  $X$ .

Like sets, we can define subclasses:

**Definition 1.1.4 ▶ Subclass**

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  be classes. We say that  $\mathbb{A}$  is a **subclass** of  $\mathbb{B}$  if every member of  $\mathbb{A}$  is also a member of  $\mathbb{B}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{A} \subseteq \mathbb{B} \iff (X \in \mathbb{A} \implies X \in \mathbb{B}).$$

We shall also define the operations applicable to classes:

**Definition 1.1.5 ▶ Intersection, Union and Difference**

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  be classes. The **intersection**, **union** and **difference** between  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  are given by

$$\mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{B} := \{X : X \in \mathbb{A} \wedge X \in \mathbb{B}\},$$

$$\mathbb{A} \cup \mathbb{B} := \{X : X \in \mathbb{A} \vee X \in \mathbb{B}\},$$

$$\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{B} := \{X : X \in \mathbb{A} \wedge X \notin \mathbb{B}\}$$

respectively.

Finally, we shall introduce the universal class:

**Definition 1.1.6 ▶ Universal Class**

The **universal class** is the class of all sets, denoted by

$$V := \{X : X = X\}.$$

*Remark.* It is easy to prove that the universal class is **unique**.

# Axiomatic Set Theory

## 2.1 Axioms of Zermelo-Fraenkel (ZF)

In Naïve Set Theory, we define a set as “a collection of mathematical objects which satisfy certain definable properties”. However, such a definition is problematic (e.g. it leads to the Russell’s Paradox). Thus, instead of viewing a set as a clearly defined mathematical object, we can think a set as an object entirely defined by a set of axioms to which it complies. In this sense, we avoid paradoxes by making the notion of a set undefined but only specify rigorously the axioms a set must satisfy.

### 2.1.1 Extensionality

#### Axiom 2.1.1 ► Extensionality

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets, then  $X = Y$  if for all  $u$ ,  $u \in X$  if and only if  $u \in Y$ .*

An immediate result from Axiom 2.1.1 is that there exists a set  $X$  such that  $X = X$ , i.e. every set equals itself. Moreover, we can also prove the following:

#### Theorem 2.1.2 ► The Empty Set

*The set which has no elements is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a set with no elements. Note that this means that for all  $u$ ,  $u \notin X$ .

Let  $Y$  be another set. Note that the statement  $u \in X \implies u \in Y$  is vacuously true. Suppose that  $Y$  has no elements, then similarly for all  $u$ , the statement  $u \in Y \implies u \in X$  is also vacuously true.

Therefore, for all  $u$ , we have proven that  $u \in X$  if and only if  $u \in Y$ . By Axiom 2.1.1, this means that  $X = Y$ , i.e. the set with no elements is unique.  $\square$

This set with no elements is known as the **empty set**, denoted by  $\emptyset$ .

### 2.1.2 Pairing

#### Axiom 2.1.3 ► Pairing

*For all  $u$  and  $v$ , there exists a set  $X$  such that for all  $z$ ,  $z \in X$  if and only if  $z = u$  or  $z = v$ .*

*Remark.* Note that Axiom 2.1.3 essentially says that given any sets  $u$  and  $v$ , there exists a set whose elements are exactly  $u$  and  $v$ .

This allows us to formally define the notion of a *pair* as follows:

#### Definition 2.1.4 ► Pair

For all  $a, b$ , the **pair**  $\{a, b\}$  is defined to be the set  $C$  such that for all  $x$ ,  $x \in C$  if and only if  $x = a$  or  $x = b$ .

*Remark.* In particular, we can define the **singleton**  $\{a\}$  to be the pair  $\{a, a\}$ .

Furthermore, given any  $a$  and  $b$ , we can prove by Extensionality that the pair  $\{a, b\}$  is unique:

#### Theorem 2.1.5 ► Uniqueness of Pairs

*For all  $a, b$ , the pair  $\{a, b\}$  is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $C := \{a, b\}$  and  $D := \{a, b\}$ . Suppose  $x \in C$ , then  $x = a$  or  $x = b$ , which means  $x \in D$ . Similarly, suppose  $y \in D$ , we can prove that  $y \in C$ . Therefore, for all  $x$ , we have  $x \in C$  if and only if  $x \in D$ . By Axiom 2.1.1, this means that  $C = D$ , i.e., the pair  $\{a, b\}$  is unique.  $\square$

We can further define the notion of an *ordered pair*:

#### Definition 2.1.6 ► Ordered Pair

For all  $a$  and  $b$ , the **ordered pair**  $(a, b)$  is defined to be the set  $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ .

Again, one can use Extensionality to prove that such an ordered pair is always unique and that  $(a, b) = (c, d)$  if and only if  $a = c$  and  $b = d$ . The notions of pair and ordered pair can be extended to ordered and un-ordered  $n$ -tuples, which will have similar properties as we have proven as above. Recursively, we can write the following definition:

**Definition 2.1.7 ▶ Ordered  $n$ -tuple**

The  **$n$ -tuple** is defined as

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = ((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}), a_n).$$

By Extensionality, we can similarly prove that two ordered  $n$ -tuples  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  and  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  if and only if  $a_i = b_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**2.1.3 Separation****Axiom 2.1.8 ▶ Axiom Schema of Separation**

*If  $P$  is a property with parameter  $p$ , then for all  $X$  and  $p$  there exists a set*

$$Y := \{u \in X : P(u, p)\}.$$

The above axiom justifies our set-builder notation

$$\{x : \varphi(x, \mathbf{p})\},$$

where  $\varphi$  is some formula and  $\mathbf{p}$  is an ordered  $n$ -tuple of parameters.

Alternatively, we can write Axiom Schema 2.1.8 in the following form:

Let  $\mathbb{C} = \{u : \varphi(u, \mathbf{p})\}$  be a class, then for all sets  $X$  there exists a set  $Y$  such that  $\mathbb{C} \cap X = Y$ .

Consequently, the intersection and the difference between two sets is a set, which can be defined as

$$X \cap Y := \{u \in X : u \in Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad X - Y := \{u \in X : u \notin Y\}.$$

Suppose that there exists some set  $X$  such that  $X = X$ , we can use Separation to define the empty set as

$$\emptyset := \{u : u \neq u\}.$$

We shall define other notions related to Separation Axioms:

**Definition 2.1.9 ▶ Disjoint**

Two sets  $X$  and  $Y$  are called **disjoint** if  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 2.1.10 ▶ Unary Intersection**

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a non-empty class of sets, we define the **unary intersection** of  $\mathbb{C}$  to be

$$\bigcap \mathbb{C} := \{u : u \in X \text{ for all } X \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Note that the unary intersection helps us define the intersection of two sets as

$$X \cap Y = \bigcap \{X, Y\}.$$

**2.1.4 Union****Axiom 2.1.11 ▶ Axiom of Union**

For all  $X$ , there exists a set  $Y = \bigcup X$  whose elements are all the elements of all elements of  $X$ , i.e.

$$Y := \{u \in U : U \in X\}.$$

*Remark.* We often call  $\bigcup X$  the **unary union** of  $X$ .

The unary union defines the union of two sets as

$$X \cup Y = \bigcup \{X, Y\}.$$

One can prove that union between sets is **associative**. In general, we can also see that

$$\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{a_i\}.$$

In addition, we can also define the notion of *symmetric difference*:

**Definition 2.1.12 ▶ Symmetric Difference**

The **symmetric difference** between two sets  $X$  and  $Y$  is defined as

$$X \triangle Y := \{u : u \in X \cup Y, u \notin X \cap Y\} = (X - Y) \cup (Y - X).$$

### 2.1.5 Power Set

#### Axiom 2.1.13 ► Axiom of Power Set

For all  $X$ , there exists a set  $Y = \mathcal{P}(X)$ , known as the **power set** of  $X$ , such that

$$Y := \{U : U \subseteq X\}.$$

This allows us to define the notion of the *Cartesian product* (or simply the *product*) of two sets:

#### Definition 2.1.14 ► Cartesian Product

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be sets. The **Cartesian product** of  $X$  and  $Y$  is defined as the set

$$X \times Y := \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

*Remark.* Note that  $X \times Y$  is a set because  $X \times Y \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X \cup Y)$ .

Similar to how we defined  $n$ -tuples, we can also define Cartesian products of countably many sets recursively.

#### Definition 2.1.15 ► Cartesian Product of Countably Many Sets

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and let  $X$  be a set, we define

$$X^n := \prod_{i=1}^n X = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} X \right) \times X.$$

#### Axiom 2.1.16 ► Axiom of Infinity

There exists an infinite set.

#### Axiom 2.1.17 ► Axiom Schema of Replacement

If a class  $F$  is a function, then for all  $X$  there exists a set  $Y = F(X) = \{F(x) : x \in X\}$ .

#### Axiom 2.1.18 ► Axiom of Regularity

For every non-empty set  $X$ , there exists some  $Y \in X$  such that  $Y \cap X = \emptyset$ .

*Remark.* Axiom 2.1.18 is sometimes known as the **Axiom of Foundation**. A direct result from it is that for all sets  $X$ , there exists some  $x \in X$  such that  $x \not\subseteq X$ .



Furthermore, we can use Axiom 2.1.18 to prove the following seemingly trivial result:

**Theorem 2.1.19**

*There is no set  $A$  such that  $A \in A$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A = \emptyset$ , it is immediate that  $A \notin A$  by definition.

Suppose that there exists a non-empty set  $A$  such that  $A \in A$ . Note that  $A \in \{A\}$ , so

$$A \cap \{A\} = A.$$

However, by Axiom 2.1.18, since  $A$  is the only member of  $\{A\}$ , we have

$$A \cap \{A\} = \emptyset,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, there exists no set  $A$  such that  $A \in A$ .  $\square$

Additionally, we also introduce the Axiom of Choice:

**Axiom 2.1.20 ► Axiom of Choice**

*For every  $X$  with  $\emptyset \notin X$ , there exists a **choice function***

$$f : X \rightarrow \bigcup X$$

*such that for all  $S \in X$ , we have  $f(S) \in S$ .*

*Remark.* Essentially, the choice function maps every set which is a member of some family of sets to one and only one element in that set.