Pigrolann)=Pigila) II, Pigtigti, te) 1. Classification : MB 1. Markov Models Xtgiven, Xtn I Xo, ... Xt+ (Hij)given kt) Oraine Bayes feature of P(Xo, XE) = P(Xo) Tt P(Xt | Lt) + transition model P(yely+, x+) = exp(wif(y+, y+, x+)) & 6 P(Y,F, Fn) = P(Y) TP(FIY) P(Xt) = Exer P(Xt+= Mt+) P(Xt | Xt+= Xt+) web link cook Z(H1, tb). Pro(X)= Pro+1(X) = \ \frac{1}{x} P(X|x) Pro(x) = stationary distribution for Pryson (ten) = Prys (Xen) IT Poft (4ty, Xen) Total para an, tree structure: [mear infer time P(4, F1, ... Fn) = [P(y, f1, ... fn)] 2. HMM Agiven, et Loverything else. + MM \$3 限注. P(gt/g+, xen)=exp(wff(g+,yt, xen)) P(Xo,X,F,,...XT,FT)=P(Xo) II P(Xt |Xt+) P(Et |Xt) and Filtering: P(Xt | E1+) P(A|B)=P(B|A) P(A) 之(はけんだいり) [P(ye,fortn)] [P(ye) TIP(foly) Pro: contextual info, relations adjacont labels + +

Con: Label Bias Problem: prefer # of transaction labels = normalize by P(fiv. fn) => P(Y|fi, -fn) P(XtH | Evity) = P(XtH | Evit, eta) = a P(Ety | XtH, Ett) P(Hyler) rolution: local por local potentials. 0 = (P(Y), P(Filt)) ordere vors (4)CRF (Conditional Random Field) = & Pietti | Xta) & Pitt leut) Pixtal Xt, eut) estimate local CPTs. P(yin | xin) = I I I exp (Wf(yer, yer, xin)) Fortext, Fi是第一个位置的word. = or Piern (Xen) EP(X+1ent) P(X+1) / product > Global normalization instead of local Viter by for inferen Bayof words model: P(Fi/Y)=P(WilY) (27) (17) P) Ms. each position has the same distribution 2 Formal Gramer > a model insensitive to word under or reorder.

(2) Testing and Training (from and test, 15 tests) Danstituency (成分).可以被认为以早一年为act的words. 相同unit: Internal, externel (6其他unit 相美) Fitering 幸福不可能转之和: e.g. noun phrase: determiner + modifier + noun + modifier () Traid - test - helikout & time hyperparas: ka, ... Partiet/afixe) 有 piercedes velts (ex) P(Ktmleitn) = Znit P(Ki: tn 101th). I Most Wheley learn paras compute occuracy (never peak at lest set!) @ Grammer {constituents} fulles of combine of Viterbi 未版好的路. argmax P(Yo:t | PI:t) explanation) relative frequency: overfit. Unseen >0 767 (3) Phrase structure gra-情報: 最初的 path an weight 主教 . O((x)) time simplest form of gammer form Para estimation: Maximum - likelihood estimation simplest form of Jammer formalisms. F(DIO) =  $\theta^{AH}$  ( $I-\theta$ ) dTF(DIO) =  $\theta^{AH}$  ( $I-\theta$ ) dTThain test heid-out  $\theta$  = argmax  $\ln P(D|\theta)$  = argmax  $\ln P^{OH}$  ( $I-\theta$ ) dT  $d \ln P(D|\theta)$ Dynamic Bayes Not

want to keep truck of 3,22 · a start symbol SeN

a set N of nontermin

a set N of nontermin

a set R of products

can product a string a set N of nonterminals (phrases)  $\frac{d \ln P(b|\theta)}{d \theta} = \frac{d}{d \theta} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \ln \theta + d_T \ln (1-\theta) \right] = \frac{dH}{\theta} - \frac{dT}{H\theta} = 0$ a set R of production rules specify how a nonterminal can produce a string of terminals and/or nonterminals. a set R of production rules specify in can produce a string of terminals and/or nonterminals. The string a string of terminals and/or nonterminals. The string a string to a gramer to a limore parse tree: Daile = 24 to the language. Likelihood of data (D) (D) Paul (r) = 3  $\begin{array}{c} \text{repent} \quad m_{1:TH} = \langle V, | \text{ERB} \mid \langle m_{1:T}, \rho_{TH} \rangle \\ \text{chis} \quad = \langle P(\rho_{H} \mid X_{TH}), m_{2} \mid P(A_{H} \mid X_{T}), m_{1:T} \mid X_{T} \rangle \\ \text{chis chief} \quad f_{\text{chi}} = \int \partial_{t} W A \mathcal{D} \left( f_{1:T}, \rho_{TH} \mid X_{T} \right) m_{1:T} \mid X_{T} \mid X_{T} \rangle \\ \end{array}$ 4. Particle Filtering: Approximate inference Propagate Forward (14+1 P(X+1 | X+) Hall John to Billmove Observe W= Plet | x+) | 1974 | 112 | 11 | 12 = (1) believed weight) eS Probablistic Enammers (stochastic grammers)  $L(x_0) = \pi P(x_i) = \theta \cdot \theta(1-\theta)$ Euchrule+p. x>B:P(x->Bla) Resample 根据W里斯选以个NEAW=1. p of a parse tree: product of p of all rules used in Staplace Smoothing pretend 引着到有台西和自身上去 Plank(x) = C(x)+k k:Strength of the prior generating the parse tree.

Dichomsky Normal Form (NF)

A > BC eq. (FS) - ABC (NF: S-XC, X->AB. P(X)根据起放估计= 光. n可张=0. N«IXIsupposed. N+KIXI 1. Probablistic Relational Models Logical language: Frame (typed relational knowledge)  $A \rightarrow w$  eq.  $S \rightarrow book 1$  inc the type of objs and their valid relations and attributes parse tree  $A \equiv S$ ,  $\rightarrow binary$  tree. PURK(XM)=((xxy)+k | Y1, 1Y) (思知意观不知) A -> w eg. S -> book | include | prefer D Linear Interpolation Eutons 中当 P(X). Relational skeleton 6: set of objects in each dass; 3. Passing brute-force. 11 words -> (n-1 exponetlal. PLZW(X/4) = & P(X/4) + (1-2) P(X) retations between them, attribute values (unknown). BN templete for object class; object attributes can depend ODP subproblem: Parse (Xi;j) (5) Confidence from a classifier Posterior over the top label: CIK (Cocke-Younger-Kasami Algo)色刷 SFGincy on attribute of related objs. ip distribution and association probability Relation Model (BN to plate) 16- runtitled BN. confidence (2) = max P(y/2). weight ve dor Apperplane PRM with AU: Aggregate dependency: sum, min, max, avg, mode, count 2. Classification: NN P(I | 6. S.D) = IT IT P(x. A | parents s. 6(x. A)) P(M)
attributes objects = = 6 x.A = attributes s. 6(x. A)) (S.D) 1) Perception (linear dossifier) feature vector [0,7]6果. ambiguity 注码 声最大的 hopefully in S. actuation(x) = Zwifi(x) = wf(x). 500 + Structural Uncertainty - use existence uncertainty (unknown relation) (Profor impossible) 4. Regular Grammer 4=4x: no change WEET , II E Regarther at 2. Markov Logic Production rules A-aB/A-a. 9+y\*: W=W+y\*f (加/咸太feature vector) Logical language: FOL. Probablistic language: Markol/Network 无句图的联合分布. mistakes bound: mistakes < 52 margin/dayrer of sepandully Probablistic RG = HMM 5. Dependency Grammer p(2) = IT V(()) C: clique formula efol.
Markov Legic Network MINI (F,W) pairs (proposional) 2) + Probablistic (logistic regression) -Focus on binary relations among words in a sentence == w.f(x),  $\phi(z) = \frac{1}{11e^{-z}}$ + constraints, quality: (proposionalization)

One node for each grounding of each predicate in the MIN 21 → 031 of the a malipromy orthand 65, +65, +65! < softmax activation · One clique for each grounding of FEMIN, potential = exp(w) for ible Fiti 明直: I otherwise.
MLN is lamplate for ground MN. max(l(w)=max > log P(y" x"); w) P(y(1) | x(1); w) = e y f(x(1)) P(x) = = 2 exprision) > # of true grandings of formula N BG MLL = Logistic (3) Solving max (11(w)) & ewy + (xa) Regression logical KB to hard constraint:一旦一下流不成正,就不能 gradient enodest ascent:  $w \leftarrow w + \alpha \times y_{w} \cdot y_{w} \cdot (\nabla_{w} \cdot y_{w}) \cdot (\nabla_$ MLUCAN template) + propositionalized (B = unrolled MN Relation to FLOweight= 00 = FOL. P(X)70台 X南北 KB. Markov Logic allows contradiction between formulas. init w Relation to PRMO MLW general ++, flexible ++. for iter=1,2, .. ②PRM→MLN 作CPT每一行较比较一个FOL, W=kay(P) WE WHO ZV (05 P(y1)) (1); W) eg. lago.1: Yxy haskevewlxy) NO(xF) M(y,F) M(x,T) stochastic: - T. P. pick random -) Plydrin mini-botch: - 12 pick random subset (1) Naive: unroll to a BN/MN and run inference algole gVF) Lifted Inference: group similar r.V. at the FOLLevel, handle them at the same time. 3. classification: Deep NN. input: soutence s= [x', x', ..., x"] Supplest: most frequest label \*\* EANT TOO !! THAM evidence: #1 (words) value: 41 ((abols) VITERBI  $Z_i^{(k)} = g(Z_i^{(k-1,k)} Z_j^{(k-1)}$ 9: monlinear activation f. P(y,) = P(y, 1 Yo = START) P(ya) Yn -> Yn+1 = STOP, AF Pro: adjacent relation ++ Cons: contextural info B. sigmoid 9(2) = 1 g'(2)=g(2)(1-g(2)) DMEMM (May-Entropy Markov models

90)= P2-P-8 1 Marker Decision Process typerbolic Tangent Approximate Q-learning Alsorated To'(3) 1. MPP Model models /dynamics 9/12)= 1-9(2) Y(s)=wifi(s)+wzfz(s) + - Wnfx(s) a set of states S, a set of actions A, Rectified Linear Unit (Reld) 0 (s, a) = wifi(s,a) + - + watals, a) 9(2)= max(0,2) 9(2)= (0, otherwise a transition function T(s,a,s')=P(s'|s,a), a reward function R(s,a,s') (/R(s)/R(s'1), CNNO local connectivity of hidden units (Q(s,a) < 10(s,a)td[diffence] a start state, sometimes a terminal state. 1 shareweights across cortain units wiewita [difference] fils,a) 1 pool midden units in the same neighborhood Ablicy T : S -> A TIX optimize expected until thes difference = [r +8 max Q(s'1a')]-Q(s,a) a Interleave feature extraction and pooling Utility of states: V\*(s)=expected utility starting ins and Farodient Descent O Pure analytically: give simbolic answer hard to compute thicks of a state (s.a): 0\*(s.a): 5 = (5) 215 act openal transition = (sia, r, s') V\*(s) = max (0\*(s,a) Back Propagation: exact answer. Nord to implement Own Q\*(s,a) = 豆 T(s,a,s') (R(s,a,s')+なV\*(s')) かか g = b+ C, b = awz, a = wi, C = sw. 連行等. Policy Search Observation: often the feature-based VA(s)=max & T(s,a,s')[R(s,a,s')+xV\*(s')] policies that work well (win games, 4. Regression maximize utilities) aren't the ones that Linear Regression 3. Value Iteration慢D(SZA), Policy to value 更平converge (max approximate V / Q best prediction hw(x) = wo+w,x

Lz loss function: L(w) = \frac{2}{3}(yi-hw(xi))^2 = \frac{2}{3}(yi-w/xi)^3 \frac{1}{3} prediction hw(x) = wo+w,x Idea: learn policies that maximize rewards, not the values that predict them Policy search: start with an OK solution (e.g., W\* = (XTX) - 1XTy Regularization allevate overfitting approximate Q-learning), then fine-tune 4. Policy extraction feature weights to find a better policy 11\*(s)= argmax \(\sigma\) \(\rangle\) (R(s,a,s') + \(\rangle\) (S')) Simplest policy search: Start with an initial linear value function or Ti\*(5) = argmax (2\*(5,0) + (more useful , compute fast) LASSO (least absolute Shrinkage and selection(Li) Q-function Policy Iteration optimal, converge faster, repeat Do \[
\( \text{cw} \) = \( \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{gi} - \text{Wix} \right)^2 + \( \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{Wix} \right] \\ \text{farge weight} \]
\[
\text{distance of the content of t Change each feature weight up and down O Pollay evaluation a. Iterative "polate O(siA) 每以iteration and see if your policy is better than before Ridge Regression (L2) Von(s)=0, Vm(s) = Ξ, T(S,πω), s')[R(S,πω), s')+ Vkn(s') Problems: How do we tell the policy got better? L(W) = \(\frac{1}{2}(\text{yi}-\text{wix}\_i)^2 + \(\frac{1}{2}\text{We's small weights}\) 直侧anunge 有水底代 O(5) [anunge 但不在optimal] b. Emax. Bellman equation 页面形 noearsystem saver部 Need to run many sample episodes! Unsupervised ML 1. k-menns If there are a lot of features, this can be impractical V\*(s)= \ \ \ \[ \( \( (s, \pi (s), s') \) \[ \( \( (s, \pi (s), s') \) + \( \( \varphi \) \] the point y with min squared Endidean distance to a set of point is their mean. Policy improvement one step look ahead: Dependency Parse } Advantages Titl (s) = argmax & Tisa, s') [ Ris, a, s') + o Vis') 问题: overlap chisters, 私些chister 气很爱, ditte } Deals well with free word order languages 2 Expectation - Maximization (EM) where the constituent structure is quite fluid 6. txx O Gaussign Mixture Model (GMM)  $\pi$   $P(x) = \sum_{k} x_k N(x|u_k, z_k)$   $\forall k : \pi_k z_0 \ge \pi_k = 1$  P(y) : Distribution over k components (clusters)} Ex: Czech, Turkish ) Parsing is much faster than CFG-bases parsers } Dependency structure often captures the Reinforcement Learning syntactic relations needed by later 1. Model: MPP & T. R. online. applications P(X|Y): Each component generates data from a multi-ver () Cearn Empirical MOP: \$\frac{1}{2} \text{(s.a.s')}, \$\frac{1}{2} \text{(s.a.s')}.

Each point is sampled from a generative process.

O choose component i with \$p = \pi; (random)

O choose component i with \$p = \pi; (random)

O choose component i with \$p = \pi; (random)

O choose component i with \$p = \pi; (random) } CFG-based approaches often extract this same information from trees anyway **Dependency Parsing** Parsing: taking a string and a grammar and returning one or more parse tree(s) for that string 1) Passive RL: policy evaluation. (volues of) 3 Gienerate data point from N(X luin Zi) There are two modern approaches to · Direct evaluation: goal: compare strifes under it. dependency parsing 2) Don't know label y. Graph-based approach: finding the Idea: werage over samples. max  $\mathcal{U} = \Pi P(X_j) = \Pi \stackrel{>}{\underset{i}{\sum}} P(y_j = i, x_j) = \Pi \stackrel{>}{\underset{i}{\sum}} \pi i \lambda(y_j | u_i \hat{z}_i)$  , 根据policy n 走, every time you visit a state, write (maximum) spanning trees of the complete graph over words SIEM (Expectation - Maximization) down what the sum of discounted rewards owned and Pro: eventually compute correct average values. } Transition-based (shift-reduce) · Pick krandom cluster models (Gausians) approach: reading words from left to right Con: waste info about state connections, each stateshold be learned seperately, where long. openione and taking a sequence of actions to · Loop with Convergence: models . Assign data instances proportionally to different construct a tree · Temporal Differente Learning learn & from overy EM in General Revise each model based on its points
Object Function: argmax [1] Zin Ply = i, x; [0] Can be used to learn any model with hidden Sample = R(site(s)s')+xY'(s') variables (missing data)  $V^{n}(s) \leftarrow (1-\alpha) V^{n}(s) + \alpha sample = V^{n}(s) + \alpha l sample = V^{n}(s)$ rata: { \* j | j=1 ... n \* Alternate: Compute distributions over hidden E-step: compute Expectation to fill in missing yvalues + exponetial moving any variables based on current parameter values 7n= xn+(1-d) xn-1+(1-d) xn-2+ ... de, converge. According to ourrent para: θ. =) 图(scample 算P(y)=iltj,θ). Compute new parameter values to H (1-d) + (1-d) + ... maximize expected log likelihood based on Brassue RL: 0-learning distributions over hidden variables M-step: reestimate the paras with neighted MIE Stop when no changes Jearn Ocsan) as you go: set 8 = argmer 66j) aurgen 1 Receive a sample (s.a.s',r)

Receive a sample (s.a.s',r)

remoder and estimate (s.a.s)

consider new estimate: sample=R(s.a.s')+8 max (s',a)

G(s,a) = (t-d) B(s,a)+d[sample] EM degrades to k-means if we assume (4) EM -> Kmeans when All the Gaussians are spherical and have identical weights and covariances QAII Catassians are spherical,  $w_i, \overline{x}_i$  same  $(\theta = \{u_i\})$  i.e., the only parameters are the means 2) hard assignment of points to Guassians, (2170) The label distributions computed at Eoff-policy planning: converges to optimal policy even step are point-estimations eacting suboptimally. [explore enough, 126 tox slowly) Q(st, at) = (1-0) Q(st, at)+2 (rt+ ymax Q(stn, 4- Exploration v.s Exploration of)) DE-Greedy: random actions with E, pollay act + E. i.e., hard-assignments of data points to Gaussians Alternatively, assume the variances are close to zero File explore the space but thrushing around, solution & Paration & exploration functions Transition matrix PIX+(Xt-1) Exploration tructions select actions based on Alia Quelue f(u,n)= u+k a o valor estantion &(s,a) = aR(s,a,s')+d maxf(a(s',a'), Nb',a')) 5. Legret 最优的分别最优 policy R-R\* PUE)=0.4<0.9,0.17+0.4<0.3,0.7> E greedy > exploration function