$$E[X] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m R[x=m]$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{n!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m-1) + m \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \sum_{m=0$$

(Ex 4.2.) Zet's prove by moduction: (Pm): | Vm ETN*, if (xi) are indep rand van s.t. xi ~ Poison (>i) | ⇒ = x: ~ Povison (= x:) m=1: $\tilde{Z}_{x}:=x_{1} \sim Poulson(\lambda_{1})=Poulson(\tilde{Z}_{x}:)$ Let m EIN" A.t. (Bm) holds: Let (x:) be indep rand van p.t. x: ~ Poison (>i). By (Pm), we have Ex: ~ Painon (= x:) $P(\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_i = k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} P(\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} x_i = k, x_{m+1} = j)$ $= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i = k - j, x_{nv_i} = j\right)$ $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{i} P(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i-1}) P(x_{n-1}, i)$ $=\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{1})}{(k-\lambda_{1})!}\frac{e^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{1}}}{\delta!}$ = (\(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{n1} \rangle e^{-(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{n1})} \) $\Longrightarrow \stackrel{\stackrel{n+1}{\leq}}{}_{i} \times \operatorname{Polynon} \left(\stackrel{n+1}{\leq} \lambda_{i} \right) = \left(\stackrel{n}{>}_{i} \right)$ Thus, by induction, Ymen", (Pm) holds

we have: Di = (a(1)(4,+m1,-, a(M)(4,+m)) (Dx 4.3.) In deed, the denoising procedure with the Std van stabilizing transformation $\Rightarrow \| \mathcal{A} - D \|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} [A_{i} - a(i)(A_{i} + n_{i})]^{2}$ (VST) procedure Jollous 3 steps: $= \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[H - \alpha(i) \right] \alpha_i - \alpha(i) m_i$ (1.) Apply VST to approximate homoxedasticity: Given the Povinon model with the Gaussian $= \sum_{i=1}^{M} (1-a(i)) A_i^2 + a(i)^2 m_i^2 + 2(1-a(i))a(i) x_i^2 m_i^2$ approximation, we can write the maisy image > E(114-DAI)) = E (1-a(i)) "ui +a(i) 4" eit) as: ili)= u(i)+ (u(i)mli) Vi a pixel. = En di (ali) Then applying the VST: f: x > dc vx where fix +> (1-x)2xi+ + 2002 T2 for a given est c #0 (usually c ~ 1), one can write the 1st order Taylor approximation Vx ER, f: (x)= 2(x-1) 4; +2x 5as follows: Le Tu(i) = Le Tu(i) + C Tu(i) m(i) f"(x) = 2 Ni2 + 25 > 0 >> VISIEM, fi is strongly CVX 2 c \u2 (i) ~ 2 c \u1(i) + c m (i) and since lim fi(x) = +0, then (2.) Now, to denote the transformed data the minimum of fi F and is 1. Le Su(i), we write it as follows: Since Was on the coefficients a(i) one independent we can write 20 Juli 2 20 (Ali) - cmli) min & f. (ali) = & min f. (ali)
alijer in f. (ali) (3.) Now, we apply the inverse VST: $f': x \mapsto \frac{x'}{4c^2}$, we get: Each Q(i) is characterized by : f! (a(ii)=0 M(i)~ [2c(A(i)) - cm(i)] $\Rightarrow 2a(i)\left(v_{i}^{2}+\nabla^{2}\right)-2u_{i}^{2}=0$ => YISISM, a(i)= < M, G:> (N, G;>+ 72 → [VIII) - (TIII) For the consponding operator Dint Ex 4.5.) In the orthonormal basis B= (G:) of RM, one can write by the existence and uniqueness one can write: of the minimum: Ding = argmin E[||M_DAI||2] M = ((< N, Gi>)) T = (N, ..., MM) T Dinthemore: E[NU-Dinj 211] N = ((<N, G:)) = (mn, - , mm) $= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_i^2}{\mu_i^2 + \sigma^2}\right)^2 \mu_i^2 + \frac{\nu_i^4}{(\mu_i^2 + \sigma^2)^2} \sigma^2$ W = (M+ M1, ..., Mn + MM) For any given diagonal operator D

(Ex 4.5.) "Follow- up" ETIM- Pig XII) = = 04/11/2 + 11/4 72 = i=1 (u:+ 5-) ⇒ [|| N- Dig mi] = ∑ < M, 6;>2 - 2 (tx 4.6.) We use one more the same motation as in (Ex 4.5). Hence, as we have proved there, one can write: E(|| 11- Pig III) = E (1-a(i)) Hi + a(i) = + 2

Let 1 si < M. · Mi > CTE: a(i)=1 => (1-a(i)) Mi + a(i) T= T Since c>13 02 < col= min (Mit, c52) · 112 < CT: a(i)=0 => (1-a(i)) 4, + a(i) T'= 11,0 Since Mi (cots min (Mi, cot)= Ni > Ni Thus, \$1 < i < M, (1-a(i)) & Ni + a(i) + of (min (li, 5)) E[IIN-Digit] < Emin (< U, G;), C72) For the case it Live we always have the equality (1 - a(i)) Mi + a(i) T = Mi = min (Mi2, CT2) Hower, if c=1, we have as well the second equality Extracortant in the case Mit 7, CT = T': (1-a(i)) " Mit + ati) " = T= min (Mit, T) Thus, the previous inequality be comes modered on equality for c=1

(2x 4.7.) Let's denote by A and B Sthe mutix of respectively DCT and IDCT. Thus by (4.12) and (4.13), we have: ALj = Ldk Cos (T(j+1)), 0 < kij < N-1 $B_{j} = \begin{cases} \beta_{0} & \text{if } k=0 \\ 2\beta_{k} \cos(\pi(j+\frac{1}{2}) \frac{k}{N}) & \text{if } k\neq 0 \end{cases}$ = AjhThus $B = A^{T}$ Hence, if we prove that A is an isometry we have indeed B is an isometry also and it's its where i.e B = A = A To prove that, we show that the row Vectors of A form an orthonormal basis of TRN. A = (40) where $Y_{\ell} = (A_{\ell 0}, \dots, A_{\ell \ell}, N_{-1})$ Let oxlik < N-1 < Yk, Ye> = \(\frac{N-1}{2} Akj Aej \) = 4 dede j=0 (\$\tag{\Pi(j+\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}\) = 20/2 = (= (= (| + 1) (| + 1) (| + 1)) + (= (| | | | | + 1) (| + 1) (| + 1)) For this, we conside in general for m -E Cos (T (j+1) n) = Re(E e Tn (j+1)) m EZZ and IMI & dN-13 m

Σ e « π e π d $= e^{i \frac{\pi}{N}} \frac{1 - e^{i \frac{\pi}{N}}}{1 - e^{i \frac{\pi}{N}}}$ = 10 if m is even = lein 1 - ein if mbodd = 2e 1 1 1 - e N 1 - e N 1 = 1 - e N 1 = 2 $= \frac{2}{1-1^2} \left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{2N}} - e^{i\frac{\pi}{2N}} \right)$ = 4 pm (IIn) EiR Thus, in both cases Re(\(\sum_{N=1}^{N-1} e^{i \frac{1}{N}m(j+\frac{1}{2})} \) = 0 for all MEZLAN, IMI <2N Flace, it 0 & l+k & N-1 we have indeed &-l, l+k & Z2* and |k-l| < 2N and |k+k| < 2N >< 46,4e>=0 = See If l= k=0,800 bake (4, 907 = 2 5 (1+1) = 4N = 1 = 500 If l=k+0 => l+k &Z* and |l+k| <2N $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} cos(\sqrt{N}(i+\frac{1}{2})(i+4)) = 0$ => V o < k, 1 5 N-1, < 9 E, 9 e) = SEP

So indeed A is an isometry and Bis its miles and is an isometry (Bx4.8.) (PC): min f(d)
g(d)=0 where I flat = 2 dt Th lg(d) = 1 - 2 2h Since g is differentiable, we have Jac (91(2) = (1 -1 -- -1) which is a non-zao linear form on R => Jac(g)(db) is purjective & & we also have h = 0 (no inequality constraints) Thus the penjectivity constraintqualification is julfilled KKT If x is a local optimical of (PC)

Then IXER, Vf(x)+ XVg(x) =0 => Vk, LdkTk=x (Ex 4,9.) For the k-th patch, an estimate of the vaniance with the modified moise remaining in the partch is Je & f(1) = 50 11/16 where IPE (f(j)) Thus, de = 5-2/1/pell-2 = Z Tilpin Z Ilpin