

## 219. Contains Duplicate II [↗ \(/problems/contains-duplicate-ii/\)](/problems/contains-duplicate-ii/)

March 20, 2016 | 15.4K views

Average Rating: 4.27 (15 votes)

Given an array of integers and an integer  $k$ , find out whether there are two distinct indices  $i$  and  $j$  in the array such that **`nums[i] = nums[j]`** and the **absolute** difference between  $i$  and  $j$  is at most  $k$ .

### Example 1:

```
Input: nums = [1,2,3,1], k = 3
Output: true
```

### Example 2:

```
Input: nums = [1,0,1,1], k = 1
Output: true
```

### Example 3:

```
Input: nums = [1,2,3,1,2,3], k = 2
Output: false
```

## Summary

This article is for beginners. It introduces the following ideas: Linear Search, Binary Search Tree and Hash Table.

# Solution

Articles > 219. Contains Duplicate II ▼

## Approach #1 (Naive Linear Search) [Time Limit Exceeded]

### Intuition

Look for duplicate element in the previous  $k$  elements.

### Algorithm

This algorithm is the same as Approach #1 in Contains Duplicate solution (<https://leetcode.com/articles/contains-duplicate/#approach-1-naive-linear-search-time-limit-exceeded>), except that it looks at previous  $k$  elements instead of all its previous elements.

Another perspective of this algorithm is to keep a virtual sliding window of the previous  $k$  elements. We scan for the duplicate in this window.

### Java

```
public boolean containsNearbyDuplicate(int[] nums, int k) {
    for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {
        for (int j = Math.max(i - k, 0); j < i; ++j) {
            if (nums[i] == nums[j]) return true;
        }
    }
    return false;
}
// Time Limit Exceeded.
```

### Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity :  $O(n \min(k, n))$ . It costs  $O(\min(k, n))$  time for each linear search. Apparently we do at most  $n$  comparisons in one search even if  $k$  can be larger than  $n$ .
- Space complexity :  $O(1)$ .

## Approach #2 (Binary Search Tree) [Time Limit Exceeded]

### Intuition

Keep a sliding window of  $k$  elements using self-balancing Binary Search Tree (BST).

### Algorithm

The key to improve upon Approach #1 above is to ~~reduce the search time of the previous  $k$  elements~~. Can we use an auxiliary data structure to maintain a sliding window of  $k$  elements with more efficient search, delete, and insert operations? Since elements in the sliding window are strictly First-In-First-Out (FIFO), queue is a natural data structure. A queue using a linked list implementation supports constant time delete and insert operations, however the search costs linear time, which is *no better* than Approach #1.

A better option is to use a self-balancing BST. A BST supports search, delete and insert operations all in  $O(\log k)$  time, where  $k$  is the number of elements in the BST. In most interviews you are not required to implement a self-balancing BST, so you may think of it as a black box. Most programming languages provide implementations of this useful data structure in its standard library. In Java, you may use a `TreeSet` or a `TreeMap`. In C++ STL, you may use a `std::set` or a `std::map`.

If you already have such a data structure available, the pseudocode is:

- Loop through the array, for each element do
  - Search current element in the BST, return `true` if found
  - Put current element in the BST
  - If the size of the BST is larger than  $k$ , remove the oldest item.
- Return `false`

## Java

```
public boolean containsNearbyDuplicate(int[] nums, int k) {
    Set<Integer> set = new TreeSet<>();
    for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {
        if (set.contains(nums[i])) return true;
        set.add(nums[i]);
        if (set.size() > k) {
            set.remove(nums[i - k]);
        }
    }
    return false;
}
// Time Limit Exceeded.
```

## Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity :  $O(n \log(\min(k, n)))$ . We do  $n$  operations of search, delete and insert. Each operation costs logarithmic time complexity in the sliding window which size is  $\min(k, n)$ . Note that even if  $k$  can be greater than  $n$ , the window size can never exceed  $n$ .
- Space complexity :  $O(\min(n, k))$ . Space is the size of the sliding window which should not

exceed  $n$  or  $k$ .

Articles > 219. Contains Duplicate II ▼

## Note

The algorithm still gets Time Limit Exceeded for large  $n$  and  $k$ .

## Approach #3 (Hash Table) [Accepted]

### Intuition

Keep a sliding window of  $k$  elements using Hash Table.

### Algorithm

From the previous approaches, we know that even logarithmic performance in `search` is not enough. In this case, we need a data structure supporting constant time `search`, `delete` and `insert` operations. Hash Table is the answer. The algorithm and implementation are almost identical to Approach #2.

- Loop through the array, for each element do
  - Search current element in the HashTable, return `true` if found
  - Put current element in the HashTable
  - If the size of the HashTable is larger than  $k$ , remove the oldest item.
- Return `false`

### Java

```
public boolean containsNearbyDuplicate(int[] nums, int k) {
    Set<Integer> set = new HashSet<>();
    for (int i = 0; i < nums.length; ++i) {
        if (set.contains(nums[i])) return true;
        set.add(nums[i]);
        if (set.size() > k) {
            set.remove(nums[i - k]);
        }
    }
    return false;
}
```

### Complexity Analysis

- Time complexity :  $O(n)$ . We do  $n$  operations of `search`, `delete` and `insert`, each with constant time complexity.
- Space complexity :  $O(\min(n, k))$ . The extra space required depends on the number of items stored in the hash table, which is the size of the sliding window,  $\min(n, k)$ .

## See Also

[Articles](#) > [219. Contains Duplicate II](#) ▼

- Problem 217 Contains Duplicate (<https://leetcode.com/articles/contains-duplicate/>)
- Problem 220 Contains Duplicate III (<https://leetcode.com/articles/contains-duplicate-iii/>)

Rate this article:

[Previous \(/articles/contains-duplicate/\)](#)[Next \(/articles/contains-duplicate-iii/\)](#)

Comments: **4**

Sort By ▼



Type comment here... (Markdown is supported)

Preview

Post



(/mikqs)

mikqs (mikqs) ★ 4 🕒 February 21, 2019 11:16 AM

Python using hash map instead of hash set:

```
hashmap = {}
for index,value in enumerate(nums):
    if hashmap.get(value, -1) >= 0:
```

[Read More](#)

1 ▲ ▼ 🔄 Share ↩ Reply



(/sum\_007)

SuM\_007 (sum\_007) ★ 63 🕒 March 21, 2019 10:50 PM

```
class Solution {
    public boolean containsNearbyDuplicate(int[] nums, int k) {
        // Make sure in the window of (i, i + k), there are no duplicate members
```

[Read More](#)

0 ▲ ▼ 🔄 Share ↩ Reply



(/bernardkjr1990)

bernardkjr1990 (bernardkjr1990) ★ 10 🕒 April 17, 2018 9:32 PM

Solution2 should be set.remove(nums[i - k - 1]

0 ▲ ▼ 🔄 Share ↩ Reply

SHOW 1 REPLY