

Homework 4

1. Create a class named **Accumulator** that keeps a long value, with these public methods:.

`void add(int amount)` - add the amount to the total value (a long) of accumulator

`long get()` - return the total value in the Accumulator

Then, create an application class that launches 2 threads as shown here.

```
public class ThreadSum {
    public static void main( String[] args )
    {
        // upper limit of numbers to add/subtract to Accumulator
        int LIMIT = 10000;
        Accumulator accumulator = new Accumulator();
        runThreads( accumulator, LIMIT );
    }

    public static void runThreads(Accumulatr accum, int limit )
    {
        // two tasks that add and subtract values using same Accumulator
        AddTask addtask = new AddTask( accum, limit );
        SubtractTask subtask = new SubtractTask( accum, limit );
        // threads to run the tasks
        Thread thread1 = new Thread( addtask );
        Thread thread2 = new Thread( subtask );
        // start the tasks
        System.out.println("Starting threads");
        long startTime = System.nanoTime();
        thread1.start();
        thread2.start();
        // wait for threads to finish
        try {
            thread1.join();
            thread2.join();
        } catch (InterruptedException e) {
            System.out.println("Threads interrupted");
        }
        double elapsed = 1.0E-9*( System.nanoTime() - startTime );
        // the sum should be 0. Is it?
        System.out.printf("Accumulator total is %d\n", accum.get() );
        System.out.printf("Elapsed %.6f sec\n", elapsed);
    }

    /** AddTask adds number 1... limit to the accumulator total. */
    public static class AddTask implements Runnable {
        private Accumulator acc;
        private int limit;
        public AddTask(Accumulator acc, int limit)
        { this.acc = acc; this.limit = limit; }

        public void run() {
            for(int k=1; k<=limit; k++) {
                acc.add(k);
            }
        }
    }

    /** SubtractTask subtracts 1 ... limit from the accumulator total. */
    public static class SubtractTask implements Runnable {
        private Accumulator acc;
        private int limit;
        public SubtractTask(Accumulator acc, int limit)
        { this.acc = acc; this.limit = limit; }
```

```

        public void run() {
            for(int k=1; k<=limit; k++) {
                acc.add(-k);
            }
        }
    }
}

```

The **AddTask** adds 1, 2, .., LIMIT to the accumulator, and **SubtractTask** adds -1, -2, .. -LIMIT to the accumulator. Obviously the total is $1 + 2 + \dots + \text{LIMIT} - 1 - 2 \dots - \text{LIMIT} = 0$. (If you *consistently* get 0 when you run this, then set LIMIT to a larger value.)

Test your Accumulator. For example (in BlueJ):

```

> Accumulator acc = new Accumulator();
> acc.add(50);
> acc.add(-15);
> acc.get()           // returns 35

```

1.1 Run the program several times and describe the results.

1.2 Explain the results. Why is the accumulator total sometimes not zero? Why is it not consistent?

2. Explain how this behavior could affect a banking application, where customers can deposit, withdraw, or transfer money via ATM, e-banking, or bank teller. Many transaction involving the same account could occur at the same time.

3. Create a subclass of **Accumulator** named **AccumulatorWithLock**. Override the `add()` method to use a **ReentrantLock** (see the BIGJ chapter 20, section 20.4 for ReentrantLock). The code is like this:

```

public class AccumulatorWithLock extends Accumulator {
    private Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();

    public void add(int amount) {
        try {
            lock.lock();
            super.add(amount);
        } finally {
            lock.unlock();
        }
    }
}

```

Modify the application class to create an **AccumulatorWithLock** instead of **Accumulator**:

```

Accumulator accumulator = new AccumulatorWithLock();

```

3.1 Run the program a few times and describe the results.

3.2 Explain why the results are different from problem 1.

4. Create another subclass of **Accumulator** named **SynchronousAccumulator**.

In **SynchronousAccumulator**, override the `add()` method and declare it to be "synchronized" (see BIGJ, section 20.5 and the box "Special Topic 20.2"). **Don't** use a ReentrantLock in this class!

```

public class SynchronousAccumulator extends Accumulator {

    //TODO override add(int amount) method and declare it "synchronized"
}

```

Modify the application class to create a **SynchronousAccumulator** instead of **Accumulator**.

```

Accumulator accumulator = new SynchronousAccumulator();

```

4.1 Run the program a few times and describe the results.

4.2 Explain why the results are different from problem 1.

5. Finally, create another subclass of **Accumulator** named **AtomicAccumulator**. In this class, change **total** to be an **AtomicLong**.

```
public class AtomicAccumulator extends Accumulator {
    private AtomicLong total;

    public AtomicAccumulator() {
        total = new AtomicLong();
    }
    /** add amount to the total. */
    public void add(int amount) {
        total.getAndAdd(amount);
    }
    /** return the total as a long value. */
    public long get() {
        return total.get();
    }
}
```

Modify the application class to use an **AtomicAccumulator**:

```
Accumulator accumulator = new AtomicAccumulator( );
```

5.1 Run the program a few times. **AtomicAccumulator** does not use a lock (like problem 3) and the **add** method isn't synchronized, but it still fixes the error in problem 1. Explain why.

6.1 Now you have 3 "thread safe" solutions to the **Accumulator** in problem 1. Which one is fastest? Which is slowest?

6.2 Which of the above solutions can be applied to the broadest range of problems where you need to ensure that only thread modifies the resource at any one time? The "resource" could be a lot more complex than adding to a single variable (such as a **List**). Give an explanation for your answer.

Optional: Try each of the above cases using different number of threads. For example, 10 adder threads and 20 subtracter threads. Does it affect which implementation is fastest?

