Zaki Glenroy Lindo, Assignment 2

Assignment 2: Due Monday September 11, 2017, no later than 8pm.

p.37 - 39 # 16, 17, 21, 27 (Do not use part (iii) of Theorem 1.23 or any later theorems in your proof, since you are proving Theorem 1.23 part (iii)), 36

#16. Determine if the operation defined in Example 1.5 on the set $\{John, Sue, Henry, Pam\}$ is commutative, has an identity, and if each element has an inverse.

(I included the table below for an example on how to create a Cayley Table in TeX.)

*	John	Sue	Henry	Pam
John	John	John	John	John
			Pam	
Pam	John	Pam	Pam	Sue
Henry	John	Henry	Sue	Henry

To talk about the set above easier, let $NAMES = \{John, Sue, Henry, Pam\}$.

(Commutative) Observe Pam * Henry.

For this, Pam * Henry = Pam. However, Henry * Pam = Henry. As $Pam \neq Henry$, NAMES is not commutative under the operation *.

(Identity) Observe $Sue \in NAMES$.

Looking at the Cayley Table, we can see that for any $name \in Names$, Sue * name = name. Also, name * Sue = name. With this, we know that Sue is the identity of this set on our operation *.

(Inverse) Observe $John \in NAMES$.

Looking at the Cayley Table, we can see that for all $name \in NAMES$, John*name = John. This tells us that there does not exist $name \in NAMES$ such that John*name = Sue (The identity element of the set). Therefore John does not have an inverse.

For the inverse of each element aside from John, $name^{-1} = name$. That is, $Sue^{-1} = Sue$, $Pam^{-1} = Pam$, and $Henry^{-1} = Henry$.

This is fine. We do not say that Names is not commutative, we say that * is not commutative on the set Names. Also why use "name" for your arbitrary element when x would be much easier?

#17. Write out the Cayley table for the group $(\mathbb{Z}_6, +_6)$ and identify the inverse of each element.

$ \begin{array}{r} +_{6} \\ \hline 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{array} $	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	2	3	4	5	0
2	2	3	4	5	0	1
3	3	4	5	0	1	2
4	4	5	0	1	2	3
5	5	0	1	2	3	4

$$0^{-1} = 0$$

$$1^{-1} = 5$$

$$2^{-1} = 4$$

$$3^{-1} = 3$$

$$4^{-1} = 2$$

$$5^{-1} = 1$$

Nicely done.

#21. We can even create groups with games! Consider four cups placed in a square pattern on a table. If we have a penny in one of the cups there are four ways we can move it to another cup: Horizontally, Vertically, Diagonally, or Stay where it is. We label them as H, V, D, S. To define the operation, consider two movements in a row, i.e. x * y means first move the penny as x tells us to, then after that move the penny as y instructs. For example H * V = D since if we first move it horizontally and then vertically altogether we have moved it diagonally. Create the Cayley table for this group, identify the identity, and the inverse of each element.

(Identity) The identity in this group is S.

(Inverse) The inverse for each element is itself.

$$H^{-1}=H$$

$$V^{-1}=V$$

$$D^{-1} = D$$

$$S^{-1}=S$$

Nicely done.

#27. Assume G is a group and $a \in G$. Prove by PMI (Theorem 0.3) that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a^n)^{-1} = a^{-n}$. (Do not use part (iii) of Theorem 1.23 or any later theorems in your proof, since you are proving Theorem 1.23 part (iii).)

Let G be a group and $a \in G$.

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to show that $(a^n)^{-1} = a^{-n}$.

(Base Case): Let n = 1. As n = 1, $(a^n)^{-1} = (a^1)^{-1} = a^{1*(-1)} = a^{-1} = a^{-n}$. Thus, for n = 1, $(a^n)^{-1} = a^{-n}$.

(Inductive Hypothesis): Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that for n, $(a^n)^{-1} = a^{-n}$.

(Inductive Step): Let $n+1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we want to show that $(a^{n+1})^{-1} = a^{-(n+1)}$. By our exponent rules, $(a^{n+1})^{-1} = (a^n a^1)^{-1} = (a^n)^{-1} (a^1)^{-1}$. By our base case, we know that $(a^1)^{-1} = a^{-1}$. By our hypothesis, $(a^n)^{-1} = a^{-n}$. So now we have $(a^n)^{-1} (a^n)^{-1} (a^1)^{-1} = a^{-n} a^{-1} *$. By our exponent rules, $a^{-n}a^{-1} = a^{-n+(-1)} = a^{-(n+1)}$. As we now have $(a^{n+1})^{-1} = a^{-(n+1)}$, by PMI, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a^n)^{-1} = a^{-n}$.

I had said not to use the inverse as just a power of -1 in this proof. It ignores the importance of inverses here. Your inductive step should not say "Let $n+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ ". The fact that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was assumed already tells us this. You made one error with inverse, using Theorem 1.17 you should have $(a^n a^1)^{-1} = (a^1)^{-1} (a^n)^{-1}$. Finally where I put * you have something wrong in your equation.

#36. Suppose G is a group and $a \in G$. Prove: If ord(a) = 6 then $ord(a^5) = 6$. Do any other elements a^2 , a^3 , or a^4 have order 6? Explain.

Let G be a group and $a \in G$.

Proof: Assume ord(a) = 6. As ord(a) = 6, $a^6 = e_G$ and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, if 0 < k < 6 then $a^k \neq e_G$. We want to show that $ord(a^5) = 6$. By our exponent rules, we know that $(a^6)^5 = a^6a^6a^6a^6$. As ord(a) = 6, $a^6a^6a^6a^6a^6 = e_Ge_Ge_Ge_Ge_G = e_G$. We can rearrange the exponents of $(a^6)^5$ as multiplication is commutative. So now we have $(a^5)^6 = e_G$. Not only do we need to show this, we need to show that 6 is the smallest positive integer n for which $(a^5)^{6(n?)} = e_G$. So, we need to show that $(a^5)^1 \neq e_G$, $(a^5)^3 \neq e_G$, $(a^5)^4 \neq e_G$, $(a^5)^5 \neq e_G$. $(a^5)^1 = a^5$. As ord(a) = 6, $(a^5)^1 \neq e_G$. $(a^5)^2 = a^6a^4 = e_Ga^4 = a^4$. As ord(a) = 6, $(a^4) \neq e_G$. $(a^5)^3 = a^6a^6a^3 = e_Ge_Ga^3 = a^3$. As ord(a) = 6, $(a^3) \neq e_G$. $(a^5)^4 = a^6a^6a^6a^2 = e_Ge_Ge_Ga^2 = a^2$. As ord(a) = 6, $(a^2) \neq e_G$. Thus, for 0 < k < 4, $(a^5)^k \neq e_G$. Thus, with this and $(a^5)^6 = e_G$, $ord(a^5) = 6$.

```
a^2: no, because (a^2)^3 = a^6 = e_G.

a^3: no, because (a^3)^2 = a^6 = e_G.

a^4: no, because (a^4)^3 = a^6 a^6 = e_G e_G = e_G.
```

This is very close, but $(a^5)^5 = a$, not $(a^5)^5 = a^5$. What are the orders of those other elements?

Total Score: 33.5 out of 35