

1.2.10

$$a) \quad a < b \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad a < b + \varepsilon.$$

$$b) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad a < b + \varepsilon \Rightarrow a < b.$$

Both a) and b) are false by the counterexample

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad a < a + \varepsilon \quad \text{but} \quad a = a.$$

$$c) \quad a \leq b \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad a < b + \varepsilon \quad \text{is true.}$$

Proof. " \Rightarrow "

$$a \leq b \Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad a \leq b < b + \varepsilon.$$

" \Leftarrow " proof by contradiction

Assume $a > b$, then take $\varepsilon = a - b > 0$,

$$a < b + \varepsilon = b + (a - b) = a.$$

A contradiction, so $a \leq b$.

1. 3. 9

a) Take $\varepsilon = \sup B - \sup A > 0$, $\exists b \in B$
s.t. $\sup B - \varepsilon = \sup A < b$.

$\forall a \in A$, $a \leq \sup A < b$, so b is an
upper bound of A .

b) Take $A = B = (0, 1)$, $\sup A = \sup B = 1$.

There is no $b \in B$ that is an upper bound
of A .

1. 4. 3

Proof. Assume $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (0, \frac{1}{n}) \neq \emptyset$, take $x \in A$.

$x > 0$, by Archimedean property,

$\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $\frac{1}{m} < x$, so $x \notin (0, \frac{1}{m})$.

Hence $x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (0, \frac{1}{n}) = A$, a contradiction.

So $A = \emptyset$.

1.5.6

a) $A_n = (n, n+1)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

b) No such collection exists.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{O_\lambda = (a_\lambda, b_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a collection of disjoint open intervals.

Since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} , $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$, $\exists r_\lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \cap O_\lambda$.

$\forall \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$, $r_{\lambda_1} \neq r_{\lambda_2}$ since $O_{\lambda_1} \cap O_{\lambda_2} = \emptyset$.

Now we have a 1-1 correspondence between \mathcal{S} and a subset of \mathbb{Q} given by

$$O_\lambda \mapsto r_\lambda,$$

Since \mathbb{Q} is countable and its subsets are countable or finite, \mathcal{S} is countable or finite.

2.2.2 b)

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N, \frac{1}{n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now $\forall n \geq N$,

$$\left| \frac{2n^2}{n^3+3} - 0 \right| < \frac{2n^2}{n^3} = \frac{2}{n} < 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

$$\text{So } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2n^2}{n^3+3} = 0.$$

2.3.1 b)

We may assume $x > 0$ since the case $x = 0$ is done in part a). Since $(x_n) \rightarrow x$,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N, |x_n - x| < \varepsilon \sqrt{x}.$$

Now $\forall n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sqrt{x_n} - \sqrt{x}| &= \frac{|\sqrt{x_n} - \sqrt{x}| \cdot (\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{x}} \\ &= \frac{|x_n - x|}{\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{x}} \\ &\leq \frac{|x_n - x|}{\sqrt{x}} < \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So $(\sqrt{x_n}) \rightarrow \sqrt{x}$.

2.3.3

Proof. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N_1$, $l - \varepsilon < x_n < l + \varepsilon$,
 $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N_2$, $l - \varepsilon < z_n < l + \varepsilon$,

since $\lim x_n = \lim z_n = l$.

Take $N = \max \{N_1, N_2\}$, $\forall n \geq N$,

$$l - \varepsilon < x_n \leq y_n \leq z_n < l + \varepsilon.$$

So $\lim y_n = l$.

* Note that we can not use algebraic limit theorem here
since it is not given that (y_n) converges.

2.4.3 b)

The sequence a_n is given by $a_1 = \sqrt{2}$, $a_{n+1} = \sqrt{2a_n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

i) $a_n \nearrow$

$$a_2 = \sqrt{2\sqrt{2}} > \sqrt{2} = a_1.$$

$$\text{If } a_{n+1} > a_n, \text{ then } a_{n+2} = \sqrt{2a_{n+1}} > \sqrt{2a_n} = a_{n+1}.$$

ii) $\sqrt{2} \leq a_n < 2$.

$$\sqrt{2} \leq a_1 = \sqrt{2} < 2.$$

$$\text{If } \sqrt{2} \leq a_n < 2, \text{ then } \sqrt{2} \leq a_n < a_{n+1} = \sqrt{2a_n} < \sqrt{2 \cdot 2} = 2.$$

Now by Monotone Convergence Theorem, (a_n) converges.

Let $\lim(a_n) = a$, take limits of $a_{n+1} = \sqrt{2a_n}$, we have $a = \sqrt{2a}$ (Note that we used the result of 2.3.1 here), $a=0$ or $a=2$.

Since $\sqrt{2} \leq a_n < 2$, $\sqrt{2} \leq a \leq 2$, hence $a=2$.

2.5.5

Proof. Assume that all convergent subsequence of a bounded sequence (a_n) converge to $a \in \mathbb{R}$ but (a_n) does not converge to a .

$\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. \exists infinitely many terms of (a_n) not in $V_\varepsilon(a)$.

So (a_n) has subsequence (a_{n_k}) s.t.

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, a_{n_k} \notin V_\varepsilon(a)$.

Now (a_{n_k}) is a bounded sequence and hence by Bolzano-Weierstrass Thm it has a convergent subsequence $(a_{n_{k_i}})$. From the assumption

$(a_{n_{k_i}}) \rightarrow a$ but $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, a_{n_{k_i}} \notin V_\varepsilon(a)$,
a contradiction.

So $(a_n) \rightarrow a$.

2.6.5

i False.

Take $S_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N$,

$$|S_{n+1} - S_n| = \frac{1}{n+1} < \varepsilon.$$

(S_n) is not bounded

ii True.

If $(x_n), (y_n)$ are pseudo-Cauchy,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N_1, |x_{n+1} - x_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

$\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N_2, |y_{n+1} - y_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Take $N = \max \{N_1, N_2\}$, $\forall n \geq N$,

$$|(x_{n+1} + y_{n+1}) - (x_n + y_n)|$$

$$\leq |x_{n+1} - x_n| + |y_{n+1} - y_n|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

So $(x_n + y_n)$ is pseudo-Cauchy.

2.7.2

$$c) a_n = (-1)^{n+1} \cdot \frac{n+1}{2n}.$$

$$|a_n| = \frac{n+1}{2n} > \frac{n}{2n} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

So (a_n) does not converge to 0 and $\sum a_n$ diverges.

e) The partial sum

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2n} &= 1 - \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{(2n)^2} \\ &> 1 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{(2n-1)(2n)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(S_{2n}) is unbounded, so the series diverges.