Week 5

Announcements

• Basic, Advanced 4 out, due Oct 14

Lecture 5: git gud

"Boy I sure do love creating a merge commit every time I pull!"

Overview

- Review
- Rewriting history
 - Fixing mistakes
 - Cleaning up
- Stashing
- Understanding remotes
- Workflows
 - Overview
 - Centralized workflow
 - Merge conflicts

Review

- git init
- git status
- git add
- git reset
- git checkout (git restore)
- git commit
- git status
- git remote
- git push
- git pull
- git branch
- git checkout (git switch)
- git merge

Review

- Creating a local repository
- Staging files
- Making commits
- Making branches
- Merging branches
- Interacting with remotes

Rewriting history

- This section involves changing up commit history
- Use with caution if you have already pushed them to a branch that's shared with other people
- If you force the remote branch to take on the rewritten history, it'll cause the other peoples' local branches to be incoherent

Fixing mistakes

Scenario: made a commit by accident and want to "uncommit"

- git reset to the rescue!
- git reset HEAD~1 is a common internet answer given without explanation

Dissecting it:

- **git reset** sets the HEAD to a specified state (bringing the current branch along for the ride)
- **HEAD~1** specifies that we want the HEAD to take on the state of the commit that is 1 before the HEAD; we could provide the commit's hash instead.
- git reset has three major modes in this application:
 - -- soft: undoes the commit, leaves the Working Directory untouched, and leaves the changed files staged
 - **--mixed**: default, undoes the commit, leaves the Working Directory untouched, and leaves the changed files **unstaged**
 - --hard: undoes the commit and brings the Working Directory to the state of the commit, discarding the changes

Fixing mistakes

Scenario: forgot to add a file

- git add <file>
- git commit --amend
- **git commit --amend** will bring the currently staged changes into the current commit and allow you to edit the commit message
 - If you forgot to delete a file, just git rm <file> to remove and stage the removal, the git commit --amend

Scenario: typo in commit message

• **git commit --amend** with no staged files will just have you edit the commit message

Cleaning up

- git rebase is an incredible powerful command that allows you to rewrite history
- git rebase -i <base tip> is the form you most likely will use
 - <base tip> is either a commit hash or branch that you want to replay commits
 onto

Common use-cases include:

- "Squashing" commits
 - This allows you to put multiple minor commits into a single more substantial one
- Reordering commits
- Rewording commits
- Playing back commits on top of another branch (more on this later)

Caveats of rewriting commits

- rebase and commit --amend rewrite commits
- A commit's hash depends on the files' data, the commit message, commit info, and the parent

What does this mean?

- A reworded commit is technically a *new* commit
- Adding a file to a commit turns it into a new commit
- Be wary if you have rewritten commits that have already made it to a remote branch that other people access
- If you force the remote branch to take on your rewritten history, people who have previously pulled to their local repositories will no longer have coherent histories

Stashing

- git stash allows you to save the state of your Index and Working Directory into the "stash" (acts like a stack) and rolls you back to a clean Working Directory
- This is particularly useful if you need to jump around different branches while you have some modified files hanging about that would be changed by the other branches
 - **git checkout <branch>** won't let you switch branches if the target branch modifies files that are currently already modified
 - Stashing allows you to save these modifications to a "stash" and bring make the Working Directory clean so you can make this branch switch
- git stash will implicitly perform a git stash push and putting your current Index and Working Directory's state onto the stash's stack
- **git stash pop** will bring the top entry of the stash's stack into your Index and Working Directory, deleting that entry from the stash
- Note how **pop** will delete automatically delete that entry
- **git stash apply** will do the same thing as **pop** but without the automatic entry deletion: useful in case the **pop** fails/has issues
- git stash drop will then delete the item at the top of the stash's stack

Understanding remotes

- Recall that a **remote** is repository hosted on some server
- Recall that remote names have no special meaning: origin is just the default when you clone
- It has its own set of branches and commits, being another copy of the repository in this distributed system
- When working locally, note that **master** is not the same as **origin/master**
 - o master is a local branch
 - origin/master is a branch named master on the remote origin, which could for example have a URL of git@gitlab.umich.edu:eecs201/somerepo.git
- This is where we get the legendary command git reset --hard origin/master to undo everything locally
 - Maybe we have totally borked our local branch **master**: maybe its history has been destroyed by a rebase and we just want to go back to something sane
 - This does a hard reset for **master** using **origin/master**'s commit as the target state

Tracking branches

- They may have the similar names, but master is a local branch that is tracking origin/master
- What this means is that **master** looks at **origin/master** as the place to push/pull commits to/from
 - origin/master is known as its upstream branch
- When you have a freshly cloned repo and checkout a branch that exists on the remote but not locally, Git will automatically create a local branch of the same name and have it automatically track that remote branch. This only works if there is only a single remote with that branch name.
- We can arbitrarily create tracking branches of arbitrary names that track remote branches
 - o git checkout -b top-of-tree origin/dev will create a local branch top-of-tree that tracks origin/dev (and switch the current branch to top-of-tree)
 - **git branch -u origin/issue149** will cause the current local branch to track **origin/issue149** (i.e. setting the upstream)

Workflows

Maybe you have run into this scenario...

- You are working with a group of people on a project and decide to use Git to collaborate, and host your repo on the campus GitLab
- Perhaps none of you are particularly versed in Git (with one member even opting to use the GitLab webpage to upload/edit files!)
- So each of you do your work, **push**ing and **pull**ing to **master**
- Almost immediately you're going to run into a situation where two (or more) people race to push their commits
 - Person A pushes their commit first
 - Person B tries to push, but the server refuses and tells them that their local branch is behind
 - Person B pulls, causing a superfluous merge commit between
 origin/master and Person B's master branch
 - Person B then pushes their original commit and a merge commit that has the amazing default message that says master got merged with origin/master...
- As the project continues, each person is pushing tiny incremental commits.

Workflows

- Workflows give a structure to how we should perform our versioning work
- Git does not explicitly lay out workflows for us to follow
- This lecture we'll be focusing on what Atlassian would call a Centralized Workflow
 - I've chosen this as it's fairly standard and is manageable and suitable for school life, while giving you the fundamentals
 - Read more about Workflows in the <u>Atlassian tutorials</u>

(Basic) Centralized Workflow

- In this we have a main branch that code is being contributed to (e.g. master, dev)
- For brevity, let's refer to the remote as **origin** and the main branch as **dev**
- Locally each user tracks **origin/dev** on some local tracking branch (e.g. **dev**)
- Each user works on this local tracking branch on their feature/fix
- The user makes the commits they want
- The user uses **git rebase** to squash, reorder, and reword commits to package up their feature/fix more nicely
 - Probably a good idea to squash two commits where one has a sizeable change and the other fixes a typo in the first
- The user then pushes their change

(Basic) Centralized Workflow

- If it fails due to the local branch being behind, then the new commits need to be pulled
 - **git pull --rebase origin dev** will perform a rebase of your new commit on top of the commits fetched from **origin** instead of a merge, avoiding the merge commit
 - Local branch dev will be fast forwarded to origin/dev, and your new commits will be put on top of dev's new up to date spot
- Now the user should be able to **push** (if they can't due to some other speedy user, they simply just have to do another **pull**)
- As a result, we now have a relatively clean history with meaningful commits free of "superfluous" merge commits

+Feature Branching

- An extension on the Centralized Workflow
- The idea behind this is to have a main branch (e.g. **dev**) represent a stable, passing codebase
- Feature branches are spawned off, have their features completed and committed, and have their commits *brought back* into the main branch
- Feature/topic branches could range from actual remote branches with multiple contributors to a single person handling their issue locally
- The flow is very similar, with feature/topic branches that have multiple contributors having something like a miniature Centralized Workflow
 - When the feature is complete (and tested), it can be locally merge-ed into dev and pushed to origin/dev
 - This merge commit will capture this branching and merging behavior in the history
 - Alternatively if you want to have a linear history, you can use rebase instead of merge
 - (This is an ever-present argument and will depend on your team/workplace)
 - One option at this point is to have a person responsible for bringing feature commits into the main branch

+Feature Branching

- You can also do this locally
- Say you were assigned bugs 1, 2, and 3
- You have a local tracking branch dev that tracks origin/dev
- You then have three local feature/topic branches bug1, bug2, and bug3
- You can perform your fixes for each of them, switching between them when you get stuck, etc.
- When you finish up bug 2, you can get the latest changes for dev and then rebase/merge bug2 onto/into the newly updated dev and perform the appropriate push
- You then repeat this process for bugs 1 and 3

Merge conflicts

- Sometimes when you perform a **merge** or **rebase** the commits of one branch conflict with the commits of another
- This is called a "merge conflict"
- The **merge** or **rebase** process stops, allowing for you to edit the files that have conflicts to get the file to have the correct contents
 - This conflict resolution stage will insert some special strings into your code saying that one branch/commit had these particular contents and another branch/commit had these certain other contents.
- When you finish up with the conflict resolution, stage the necessary files and finish the merge/rebase procedure
 - o git status will tell you the appropriate command to run to continue

Demo: Centralized and Merge Conflict

- 1. Alice makes initial commit, creates a mainline **dev** branch
- 2. Bob clones and checks out the **dev** branch
- 3. Alice adds a contributors block
- 4. Bob pulls the new changes
- 5. Bob updates the contributor block
- 6. Alice and Bob both change lines in the same area
- 7. Alice pushes first
- 8. Bob tries to push, gets rejected
- 9. Bob does a rebase pull
- 10. Bob deals resolves a conflict

Workflows

- This was only a *taste* of workflows
- There are different kinds with slight variations
- You may develop your own style of local workflow as you get more used to Git
 - The Centralized Workflow and its kind are more of remote collaboration workflows
 - You don't have to go *strictly* by the local workflows described here
 - Git is very flexible by nature, so workflows themselves aren't really built into the tool

Now go forth!

You are officially dangerous with Git:)

(There's more stuff, like git cherry-pick, git blame, and git bisect!)

Questions?