## CSE 341, Winter 2008, Midterm Examination 8 February 2008

Please do not turn the page until everyone is ready.

## Rules:

- The exam is closed-book, closed-note, except for one side of one 8.5x11in piece of paper.
- Please stop promptly at 10:20.
- You can rip apart the pages, but please staple them back together before you leave.
- There are **65 points** total, distributed **unevenly** among **5** questions (all with multiple parts).
- When writing code, style matters, but don't worry about indentation.

## Advice:

- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing.
- Write down thoughts and intermediate steps so you can get partial credit.
- $\bullet$  The questions are not necessarily in order of difficulty. Skip around.
- If you have questions, ask.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

Name:
-------

1. This problem uses this datatype definition:

```
datatype my_string_list = Nothing | Something of string * my_string_list
```

- (a) (4 points) Write a function total\_size that computes the sum of the sizes of the strings in a my\_string\_list. Use the ML library function String.size, which computes a string's size and has type string->int.
- (b) (7 points) Consider this ML program:

exception Foo

```
fun f (lst,n) =
  if n<=0
  then Nothing
  else case lst of
    Nothing => raise Foo
```

| Something(s,lst) => Something(s,f(lst,n-1))

Describe what f computes (not how it computes it). Be sure to cover all possible cases.

(c) (3 points) Suppose we modify  $n \le 0$  to be n = 0 in f. Describe how the behavior of f does or does not change for all possible cases.

2. For each of the following programs, give the value that ans is bound to after evaluation.

```
(a) (4 points)
    val x = 1
    val f = fn x \Rightarrow fn y \Rightarrow x + y
    val x = 2
    val g = f x
    val x = 3
    val ans = g x
(b) (4 points)
    val x = 1
    val f = fn y \Rightarrow y x
    val x = 7
    val g = fn y \Rightarrow x - y
    val ans = f g
(c) (4 points)
    fun f x = List.map hd x
    fun g x =
        {\tt case}\ {\tt x}\ {\tt of}
           a::(b::c) \Rightarrow b
        | _ => 0
    val ans = g(f[[1,2],[3,4],[5,6],[7,8]])
```

Name:		
name:		

- 3. (a) (8 points) Write a function majority that takes a function f and a list lst and returns true if and only if f returns true for a strict majority of the list elements.
  - majority should take its argument in curried form with f first.
  - Write and use a helper function that returns an int (which might be positive or negative).
  - Do not use any ML library functions.
  - (b) (3 points) What is the type of majority?
  - (c) (3 points) Use a val binding and majority to define mostly\_positive, which should take a lst and return true if and only if a strict majority of its elements are strictly greater than 0.
  - (d) (2 points) What is the type of mostly\_positive?

Name:
-------

evaluate to?

## 4. Consider these two implementations of fold for ML lists. The first one is what we studied in lecture.

```
fun fold1 f acc lst =
   case lst of
   [] => acc
   | hd::tl => fold1 f (f(acc,hd)) tl

fun fold2 f acc lst =
   case lst of
   [] => acc
   | hd::tl => f(fold2 f acc tl, hd)

(a) (3 points) Which of the fold functions above is tail-recursive?
(b) (4 points) What does
        fold1 (fn (acc,next) => if acc=next then 17 else acc+next) 0 [0,1]
        evaluate to?

(c) (3 points) What does
        fold2 (fn (acc,next) => if acc=next then 17 else acc+next) 0 [0,1]
```

Name:	
-------	--

5. Suppose version 1.0 of your software uses this ML structure definition:

```
structure M :> MSIG =
struct
  datatype age = Older | Younger
  datatype contact = Friend of age | Enemy of age
  fun makeFriend a = Friend a
  fun makeEnemy a = Enemy a
  fun isFriend c = case c of Friend _ => true | _ => false
  fun isOlder c = case c of Friend(Older) => true | Enemy(Older) => true | _ => false
end
```

Now suppose in verstion 2.0 of your software you want to replace the structure with this one:

```
structure M :> MSIG =
struct
  datatype age = Older | Younger
  datatype relation = Friend | Enemy
  type contact = age * relation
    ... (* see part a *)
end
```

- (a) (5 points) Provide 4 function bindings to complete version 2.0 of the structure so that it provides the same functionality as the version 1.0 structure.
- (b) (5 points) Complete this signature such that *both* version 1.0 and version 2.0 of structure M would type-check. Use one abstract type definition and 4 val bindings.

```
signature MSIG =
sig
datatype age = Older | Younger
...
end
```

(c) (3 points) Explain how version 1.0 of the structure could be made a few characters shorter by exploiting a notion of function equivalence we studied.

Name:		
-		

This page intentionally blank.