# CSE341 Autumn 2017, Final Examination December 12, 2017

## Please do not turn the page until 2:30.

## Rules:

- The exam is closed-book, closed-note, etc. except for both sides of one 8.5x11in piece of paper.
- Please stop promptly at 4:20.
- There are 125 points, distributed unevenly among 9 questions (most with multiple parts):
- The exam is printed double-sided.

## Advice:

- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing.
- Write down thoughts and intermediate steps so you can get partial credit. But clearly indicate what is your final answer.
- The questions are not necessarily in order of difficulty. Skip around. Make sure you get to all the questions.
- If you have questions, ask.
- Relax. You are here to learn.

Name:
-------

- 1. (18 points) (Racket Programming)
  - (a) Write a Racket function partition that works as follows:
    - It takes two arguments that it assumes are a function (taking one argument) and a list.
    - It returns a pair (i.e., cons cell) of lists where each element of the input list is in exactly one of the output lists.
    - If the function passed to partition returns #f for an element in the input list, then the element should be in the list in the cdr of the pair, else it should be in the list of the car of the pair.
  - (b) Use partition to write a Racket function number-or-not that takes a list and returns a pair of lists where the car holds all the numbers in the input list and the cdr holds all the other elements of the input list.
  - (c) Suppose xs is bound to some Racket list and number-or-not is as defined in part (b). Is (car (number-or-not xs)) equivalent to (car (number-or-not (car (number-or-not xs))))? Answer "Yes", "No", or "Depends on xs" and explain your answer in approximately 1-2 English sentences.
  - (d) Suppose f is bound to some Racket procedure and xs is bound to some Racket list and partition is as defined in part (a).
    - Is (car (partition f xs)) equivalent to (car (partition f (car (partition f xs)))? Answer "Yes", "No", or "Depends on f and/or xs" and explain your answer in approximately 1-2 English sentences.

- (b) (define (number-or-not xs) (partition number? xs))
- (c) Yes: (car (number-or-not xs)) contains all numbers, so (car (number-or-not ...)) of that list will produce a list with the same numbers. (Note that if an answer to (a) does not preserve order, then the answer here changes to "Depends" since it would now be different for lists with 2 or more numbers.)
- (d) Depends: The answer is yes if f is pure (or (car (partition f xs)) is empty), but an f that maintains state or has side effects can make the "repeated partition" not equivalent because it means calling f more times and the number of times f can matter when f is impure.

N	ame:
2.	(10 points) (Scope and Mutation) Consider running the following Racket code (all together as one very-silly program):
	(define x 7)
	(define f1 (lambda () (begin (set! x (+ x 1)) (+ x 1))))
	(define f2 (lambda (x) (begin (set! x (+ x 1)) (+ x 1))))
	(define f3 (let ([x 5]) (lambda () (begin (set! x (+ x 1)) (+ x 1)))))
	(define f4 (lambda () (let ([x 7]) (begin (set! x (+ x 1)) (+ x 1)))))
	<pre>(define a1 (f1)) (define a2 (f2 x)) (define a3 (f3)) (define a4 (f4))</pre>
	(define a5 (f1)) (define a6 (f2 x)) (define a7 (f3)) (define a8 (f4))
	After the program above is evaluated:
	(a) What is a1 bound to?
	(b) What is a2 bound to?
	(c) What is a3 bound to?
	(d) What is a4 bound to?
	(e) What is a5 bound to?
	(f) What is a6 bound to?
	(g) What is a7 bound to?
	(h) What is a8 bound to?
	Solution: (a) 9 (b) 10 (c) 7 (d) 9 (e) 10 (f) 11 (g) 8 (h) 9

## 3. (16 points) (Delayed Evaluation)

The streams we studied in class are *infinite lists* — they encode the idea of being able to ask for more list elements forever. This problem considers *infinite binary trees*, where, similarly, you can ask for more left/right children for forever. We will use this **struct** definition:

```
(struct inftree (left-th root right-th))
```

An infinite tree is a thunk that when called returns an inftree where the left-th and right-th fields hold infinite trees.

- (a) Write a Racket function doubling-tree that takes a number x and returns an infinite tree such that:
  - The root of the tree is the value x. (Since an infinite tree is a thunk, we mean calling the thunk returns a struct whose root field is x.)
  - The left child of every tree node is an infinite tree whose root value is two times the value of the parent node.
  - The right child of every tree node is an infinite tree whose root value is one plus two times the value of the parent node.
- (b) Write a Racket function sum-to-depth that takes a number i and an infinite tree t and sums all the numbers in the tree that are at depth i or less of the tree. We "count from 1" meaning if i is 1 then the correct answer is the value of the root of the tree. If i is 2, the result is the sum of 3 numbers, and so on. You can assume all "values in the tree" are numbers.
- (c) What does (sum-to-depth 3 (doubling-tree 1)) evaluate to?
- (d) Write a Racket function stream-of-lefts that takes an infinite tree and returns a stream such that the  $n^{th}$  value produced by the stream is the value in t found by taking the left-child branch n-1 times (so the first value returned is the value at the root).
- (e) Describe in about one English sentence the stream produced by (stream-of-lefts (doubling-tree 1)).

The next page has additional space for your answers.

Name:
-------

More space for Problem 3.

```
(a) (define (doubling-tree x)
      (lambda ()
        (inftree (doubling-tree (* x 2))
                 (doubling-tree (+ (* x 2) 1)))))
(b) (define (sum-to-depth i t)
     (if (= i 0)
          (let ([trip (t)])
            (+ (inftree-root trip)
               (sum-to-depth (- i 1) (inftree-left-th trip))
               (sum-to-depth (- i 1) (inftree-right-th trip))))))
(c) 28
(d) (define (stream-of-lefts t)
      (lambda ()
        (let ([trip (t)])
          (cons (inftree-root trip)
                (stream-of-lefts (inftree-left-th trip))))))
(e) Increasing powers of two starting with 1: 1, 2, 4, 8, ...
```

Name:			
Nama			
maine.			

4. (22 points) (Interpreter implementation) Below is some of the code we provided you for Homework 5 (MUPL).

```
(struct var (string) #:transparent) ;; a variable, e.g., (var "foo")
                     #:transparent) ;; a constant number, e.g., (int 17)
(struct add (e1 e2) #:transparent) ;; add two expressions
                            #:transparent) ;; if e1 > e2 then 1 else 0
(struct isgreater (e1 e2)
(struct ifnz (e1 e2 e3) #:transparent) ;; if not zero e1 then e2 else e3
(struct fun (nameopt formal body) #:transparent) ;; a recursive(?) 1-argument function
(struct call (funexp actual)
                                  #:transparent) ;; function call
(struct mlet (var e body) #:transparent) ;; a local binding (let var = e in body)
(struct closure (env fun) #:transparent)
(define (envlookup env str)
 (cond [(null? env) (error "unbound variable during evaluation" str)]
        [(equal? (car (car env)) str) (cdr (car env))]
        [#t (envlookup (cdr env) str)]))
(define (eval-under-env e env)
  (cond [(var? e)
         (envlookup env (var-string e))]
       ))
(define (eval-exp e)
  (eval-under-env e null))
```

- (a) Write a Racket function envremove that takes an environment env and a string s and returns an environment that is like env except it has no bindings for s. Note it is fine if env has no bindings for the string, then the result will just be a copy of env.
- (b) Consider adding a letinstead construct to MUPL with this struct:
  - (struct letinstead (varnew varold body) #:transparent)

The result is the result of evaluating the subexpression in body in an environment that is like the current environment except (1) the MUPL variable (Racket string) varnew is bound to what the MUPL variable varold is bound to in the current environment and (2) the MUPL variable varold is not bound to anything (so it cannot be used in body). If varold is not bound in the current environment, an unbound-variable error should occur. Give the case of eval-under-env for letinstead expressions.

(c) Consider adding a letalso construct to MUPL with this struct:

(struct letalso (varnew varold body) #:transparent)

The result is the result of evaluating the subexpression in body in an environment that is like the current environment except the MUPL variable (Racket string) varnew is bound to what the MUPL variable varold is bound to in the current environment. Unlike in part (b), varold remains in the environment. As in part (b), if varold is not bound in the current environment, an unbound-variable error should occur. Give the case of eval-under-env for letalso expressions.

- (d) Recall we can use Racket functions like MUPL macros. One of the additions in part (b) and (c) can be implemented as such a macro rather than extending the interpreter. Write this macro.
- (e) For the addition that cannot be implemented as a macro, explain in approximately 1 English sentence why it cannot be.

Put your solutions on the next page.

N.T.			
Name:			

Put your answers to Problem 4 here.

## Solution:

(a) Note it is important to remove *all* occurrences of pairs with the string — more than one can arise naturally via shadowing.

```
(define (envremove env str)
     (cond [(null? env) null]
           [(equal? (car (car env)) str) (envremove (cdr env) str)]
            [#t (cons (car env) (envremove (cdr env) str))]))
(b)
           [(letinstead? e)
             (eval-under-env (letinstead-body e)
                             (cons (cons (letinstead-varnew e)
                                          (envlookup env (letinstead-varold e)))
                                   (envremove env (letinstead-varold e))))]
(c)
           [(letalso? e)
             (eval-under-env (letalso-body e)
                             (cons (cons (letalso-varnew e)
                                          (envlookup env (letalso-varold e)))
                                   env))]
(d) (define (letalso vn vo e)
     (mlet vn (var vo) e))
```

(e) There is no way in the provided MUPL constructs to evaluate a subexpression in an environment with fewer bindings than the current environment.

Nar	me:
V t;	10 points) (ML Type-checking and Soundness)  Ve assume the purpose of the ML type system is to prevent treating values as though they had a different type (e.g., treating a number like a function, a tuple like a string, etc.).
	Assume the following function type-checks and has type t1 * t2 -> t3: un f (x,y) = e
F	For each of the proposed function calls below, give one of these three answers, no explanation required:  A. Type-checks in ML  B. Does not type-check in ML, but would be sound to allow it [with no other change to how ML is
	<ul><li>implemented]</li><li>C. Does not type-check in ML, and would not be sound to allow it [with no other change to how ML is implemented]</li></ul>
	(a) f a where a has type t1
	(b) f (a,b,c) where a has type t1 and b has type t2 and c has type t4
	(c) f p where p has type t1 * t2
	(d) f (b,a) where a has type t1 and b has type t2
	(e) f a b where a has type t1 and b has type t2.  Solution:

(a) C(b) B

(c) A (d) C (e) C

6. ( <b>10</b> points)	(Soundness and Completeness) Recall ML, like most statically typed languages, has a type system

that is (a) sound, (b) not complete, and (c) allows for type-checker implementations that always terminate. Suppose we change the ML type checker so that it still always terminates and still accepts all the programs it accepted before but it also accepts some more programs.

For each of the following, answer "possible" if it is possible or "impossible" if it is not possible.

- (a) The type system is still sound and still not complete.
- (b) The type system is no longer sound and still not complete.
- (c) The type system is still sound and is now complete.
- (d) The type system is no longer sound and is now complete.

- (a) possible
- (b) possible
- (c) impossible
- (d) possible

Name:			
mame.			

- 7. (10 points) (Ruby mixins) Recall the Ruby Enumerable mixin provides many useful methods to classes that include it under the assumption that the classes that do include it implement each, a method that takes a block taking one argument and calls (yields to) the block once for "each element" (whatever that means for the class).
  - (a) Write Ruby code to change the Enumerable mixin so that in addition to all its previous functionality it also has a method each\_until\_nil that behaves like each except it appears to "do nothing" as soon as it encounters a nil object. For example,

```
[3,4,nil,5,nil,nil,7,8,9].each_until_nil {|x| puts x.to_s} would print 3 4 (with a newline inbetween but that's a detail of puts).
```

Hint: Call each, which will yield to its block for all elements, but maintain state so that the first yield passing nil and all later yields have no effect.

(b) Further extend Enumerable to provide a method first\_nil\_index which returns the number of elements the receiver would enumerate before the first nil value is produced. For example,

```
[3,4,ni1,5,ni1,ni1,7,8,9].first_nil_index would evaluate to 2 and [3,4,5].first_nil_index would evaluate to 3.
```

```
module Enumerable
  def each_until_nil
    seen_nil = false
    each do |x|
       seen_nil = seen_nil || x.nil?
       if !seen_nil
            yield x
        end
    end
    end
end

def first_nil_index
    count = 0
    each_until_nil { count += 1 }
    count
    end
end
```

Name:
-------

8. (16 points) (OOP) Port the ML code below to Ruby by transforming it into an OOP style with 10 class definitions. Note: Sample solution is over 50 lines, but all lines are short and many are end. Next page has additional room in case it's needed.

```
datatype plant = Tree | Flower | Vine
datatype animal = Dog | Giraffe | Centipede | Snake
datatype lifeForm = Plant of plant | Animal of animal
fun speak lf =
  case lf of
      Plant _ => ""
    | Animal a => case a of
                      Dog => "bark"
                    | Giraffe => ""
                    | Centipede => "munch"
                    | Snake => "hiss"
fun num_legs lf =
  case lf of
      Plant _ => 0
    | Animal a => case a of
                      Dog => 4
                    | Giraffe => 4
                    | Centipede => 100
                    | Snake => 0
fun is_name_of_a_data_structure lf =
   case lf of
      Plant Tree => true
    | _ => false
Solution:
 class Lifeform
   def is_name_of_a_data_structure
      false
                                         class Animal < Lifeform
   end
                                         end
                                                                      class Centipede < Animal
 end
                                         class Dog < Animal</pre>
                                                                         def speak
 class Plant < Lifeform</pre>
                                           def speak
                                                                           "munch"
   def num_legs
                                              "bark"
                                                                         end
     0
                                           end
                                                                         def num_legs
   end
                                           def num_legs
                                                                           100
   def speak
                                              4
                                                                         end
      11 11
                                           end
                                                                      end
   end
                                         end
                                                                      class Snake < Animal
 end
                                         class Giraffe < Animal</pre>
                                                                         def speak
 class Tree < Plant</pre>
                                           def speak
                                                                           "hiss"
   def is_name_of_a_data_structure
                                              11 11
                                                                         end
     true
                                           end
                                                                         def num_legs
   end
                                           def num_legs
                                                                           0
 end
                                              4
                                                                         end
 class Flower < Plant
                                           end
                                                                      end
                                         end
 class Vine < Plant</pre>
 end
```

More space for Problem 8.

3.7		
Name:		

9. (13 points) (Subtyping) This problem considers a language like in lecture containing (1) records with mutable fields, (2) functions, and (3) subtyping. Like in lecture, subtyping for records includes width subtyping and permutation subtyping but not depth subtyping, and subtyping for functions includes contravariant arguments and covariant results.

We assume we have three functions bound to the variables f1, f2, and f3 as follows, where we are showing the types of the functions but not their bodies:

```
val f1 : {x : int, y : {a : int, b : int}, z : int} -> int
val f2 : ({x : int, y : {a : int, b : int}, z : int} -> int) -> int
val f3 : (int -> {x : int, y : {a : int, b : int}, z : int}) -> int
```

- (a) Precisely describe *all* the possible types of values that the type system allows for arguments to f1. For example, if the language had no subtyping, the right answer would be, "exactly one type,
  - {x : int, y : {a : int, b : int}, z : int}"

but with subtyping this would be incorrect because other types are also allowed.

- (b) Is the set of types you described in part (a) finite or infinite?
- (c) Precisely describe all the possible types of values that the type system allows for arguments to f2.
- (d) Is the set of types you described in part (c) finite or infinite?
- (e) Precisely describe all the possible types of values that the type system allows for arguments to f3.
- (f) Is the set of types you described in part (e) finite or infinite?

#### Solution:

- (a) Any record type that has all of the following:
  - An x field of type int.
  - A y field of type {a : int, b : int} (or {b : int, a : int} but this is a detail we didn't emphasize in class so we didn't deduct for not mentioning it)
  - A z field of type int.

The fields can be in any order and there can be any additional fields.

- (b) infinite
- (c) Any function type that meets this description:
  - It has return type int.
  - Its argument type is a record type with fields x, y, z or any subset of those three fields.
  - If the argument type has an x field, that field's type must be int.
  - If the argument type has an y field, that field's type must be {a : int, b : int} (or {b : int, a : int} but we did not grade on this detail).
  - If the argument type has an z field, that field's type must be int.
- (d) finite
- (e) Any function type that meets this description:
  - Argument type must be int.
  - Result type must be one of the types described by the answer to part (a).
- (f) infinite

Name:
-------

Here is an extra page where you can put answers. If you use this page, please write "see also last page" or similar on the page with the question.