

5. Symmetry and Power

1. Let $P(n)$ be " R^n is symmetric", we'll show that $P(n)$ is true for all

2. Base Case ($n=1$): R^1 is symmetric, this is true because ^{Integers $n \geq 1$}
It's been already given.

3. Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose that $P(m)$ is true for some arbitrary integer $n \geq 1$

4. Inductive step: Goal show $P(m+1)$ is true, which is " R^{m+1} is symmetric"

by IH, we have " R^m is symmetric" which means for every
So, if (x, y) is in R^m , then (y, x) is in R^m (by def of symmetric)

and by base case, if (x, y) is in R , then (y, x) is in R
So $R^{m+1} = R^m \circ R = \{(a, c) \mid \exists b \text{ that } (a, b) \in R \text{ and } (b, c) \in R^m\}$ (power of relation)
if $(a, b) \in R$ then $(b, a) \in R$
if $(b, c) \in R^m$ then $(c, b) \in R^m$
So $\exists b$ that $(c, b) \in R^m$ and $(b, a) \in R$

$R \circ R^m = \{(c, a) \mid \exists b \text{ that } (c, b) \in R^m \text{ and } (b, a) \in R\}$ by definition of
 $\therefore (a, c) \in R^m \circ R \rightarrow (c, a) \in R \circ R^m$ (direct proof rules) ^{Power relation}

by def of power relation

$$R^{k+1} = R^k \circ R = \underbrace{((\dots((R \circ R) \circ R) \dots \circ R)) \circ R}_{k \text{ } R_s}$$

$$= R \circ \underbrace{(R \circ (R \circ R) \dots R)}_{k \text{ } R_s} \text{ (Associativity)}$$

$$= R \circ R^k \text{ (Commutativity is proved)}$$

$$\therefore R^k \circ R = R \circ R^k$$

$$\therefore (a, c) \in R^m \circ R \rightarrow (c, a) \in R^m \circ R$$

$$R^m \circ R = R^{m+1} \text{ (Commutativity)}$$

$$\therefore (a, c) \in R^{m+1} \rightarrow (c, a) \in R^{m+1}$$

$$\therefore R^{m+1} \text{ is symmetric}$$

5. Conclusion: $\therefore R$ is a symmetric relation on Set A

R^n is also symmetric for all integer $n \geq 1$