Lecture #5 Exercises

Distributed Lab

August 20, 2024



Exercise 1. Denis decided to commit to the race results, but forgot to use the blinding factor. He used a hash-based commitment and SHA256 hash function. Set of race participant numbers: (0x1, 0x3, 0x8, 0x15). Can you break the hiding property of the commitment? Select the participant number Denis made a commitment to, if

C = 0xbeead77994cf573341ec17b58bbf7eb34d2711c993c1d976b128b3188dc1829a.

- (A) 0x1.
- (B) 0x3.
- (C) 0x8.
- (D) 0x15.

Exercise 2. Denis made a setup (points G and U) for a Pedersen commitment scheme and committed values (3,7) to Dmytro by sending him C = [3]G + [7]U. Dmytro did not verify the setup. It turns out that Denis knows the discrete logarithm of U = [6]G. He wants to change the committed message to 15. Which values (m, r) should he send to Dmytro at the opening stage?

- (A) (15, 5)
- (B) (15,7)
- (C) (15, 4)
- (D) (3,7)
- (E)(3,5)

Exercise 3. We define a dummy hash function $H(a, b) = (a \cdot 3 + b \cdot 7) \pmod{41}$. You have a Merkle tree built with depth 4 using hash function H with root equal 37. Which inclusion proof is valid for element 3? Position defines how leaves should be hashed:

- if $left \rightarrow h_i = Hash(h_{i-1}, branch[i])$
- if $right \rightarrow h_i = Hash(branch[i], h_{i-1})$
- (A) branch: [4, 16, 13], position: [*left*, *right*, *left*]
- (B) branch: [1, 40, 3], position: [left, left, left]

Distributed Lab ZKDL Camp

- (C) branch: [5, 12, 13], position: [right, right, left]
- (D) branch: [4, 17, 13], position: [left, right, left]

Exercise 4. Given a polynomial $p(x) = x^3 - 10x^2 + 31x - 30$, you want to prove that p(2) = 0. Calculate a *quotient* polynomial.

(A)
$$q(x) = 2x^2 + 4x - 6$$

(B)
$$q(x) = x^3 - 10x^2 + 30x - 28$$

(C)
$$q(x) = x^2 - 8x + 15$$

(D)
$$q(x) = x^2 + 5x + 18$$