

Class 13: More issues in process application: multisite optionality

Overview: What kinds of variation do we expect when there are multiple places/ways for an *optional* process to apply to a single form?

Cases taken from Kaplan 2011, Riggle & Wilson 2005, Vaux 2008. See those papers for various approaches to multi-site optionality.

1. Warao: global optionality

- Language isolate of Venezuela, Guyana, and Suriname
- 28,000 speakers (Herrman 2002).
- 2015 movie, *Dauna. Lo que lleva el rio (Gone with the River)*, was in Spanish and Warao



- Data from Osborn 1966.
- Little raw data, but Osborn is very definite about the generalization:
 “/p/ has allophones [p b]. The voiced allophone [b] is heard more frequently than the voiceless [p] in most words. In every word, except for a few words noted below, alternation between [b] and [p] is presumably possible, since many alternations of this order have been heard. Thus in /paro+parera/ *weak*, both the initial and medial phoneme /p/ is heard as [b] generally, and as [p] infrequently. In words like the one cited, with two or more occurrences of /p/, **the allophones are consistently [b] or [p] for each utterance of the word**. If the first occurrence of /p/ in the word is [b], the following occurrence(s) will be [b]. If the first occurrence is [p], the following occurrence(s) will be [p]. The following are examples of words with two occurrences of /p/: poto+poto *soft*, apaupute *he will put them*, kapa+kapa *kind of banana*.” (p. 109)
- I.e., [paro-parera] ~ [baro-barera], but not *[paro-barera] or *[baro-parera].
- Also, for a non-reduplicative case, [hapisapa] ~ [habisaba] ‘other side’

¹aldianews.com/articles/culture/film-television/two-oscar-entries-latin-america-center-indigenous-stories-languages

? How might we try to capture this variation in OT? SPE?

	hapisapa				
☞ a	hapisapa				
b	hapisaba				
c	habisapa				
☞ d	habisaba				

2. Another global case

- Eastern Andalusian
 - Variety of Spanish spoken in part of Southern Spain
 - Maybe around 2.8 million speakers (Haro & Hajek 2022)



Photographer Javier Moyano documenting the inhabitants of his home city, Málaga



La Mari, singer-songwriter

- Kaplan 2012: Eastern Andalusian metaphony reflects global variation
- Word-final /s/ laxifies preceding V, then usually deletes

(on the face of it, that looks like counterbleeding, but Kaplan cites Jiménez & Lloret's analysis as reassociation of [spread glottis] from /s/ to V.)

spelling (assume reflects underlying /s/)	pronunciation	
<i>mes</i>	mé	'month'
<i>tos</i>	tó	'cough'
<i>mis</i>	mí	'my (pl.)'
<i>tus</i>	tó	'your (pl.)'



² www.atlasofhumanity.com/andalusia

³ commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Chambao14.jpg

- Laxness spreads to preceding stressed V, if non-high:
lejos *léħɔ* ‘far’
tesis *tési* ‘thesis’
- If other Vs intervene, they participate too, **all-or-none**:
treboles *tréβolɛ* ~ *tréβɔɛ* ‘clovers’
cómetelos *kómetelɔ* ~ *kómetelɔ* ‘eat them (for you)!’
- Similarly, non-high Vs before the stress can laxify, **all-or-none**:
cotillones *kotizónɛ* ~ *kɔtizónɛ* ‘cotillions’
monederos *monedéɾɔ* ~ *mɔnɛðéɾɔ* ‘purses’
- Finally, the pretonic Vs lax only if the post-tonic ones do (all-or-none? We need a longer word):
recógelos *rekóhelɔ* ~ *rekóhelɔ* ~ *ɾekóhelɔ* ‘pick them’

3. Local optionality—also hard to find good cases (besides French; see below)

- Vaux reports, for English *marketability*: (but see Derrick & Gick 2014: if you look at the phonetics, there’s a lot more to this):

[₁maɪkətʰəˈbɪlətʰi] ~ [₁maɪkəɾəˈbɪləɾi] ~ [₁maɪkətʰəˈbɪləɾi] ~ [₁maɪkəɾəˈbɪlətʰi]

? Can any of our ideas for SPE+variation get this? OT+variation ideas?



	₁ maɪkətəˈbɪləti				
☞ a	₁ maɪkətʰəˈbɪlətʰi				
☞ b	₁ maɪkəɾəˈbɪləɾi				
☞ c	₁ maɪkətʰəˈbɪləɾi				
☞ d	₁ maɪkəɾəˈbɪlətʰi				

4. Vata: iterative optionality

- *Ethnologue* classifies as variety of Lakota Dida
- Kru (and therefore Niger-Congo) language of southern Côte d'Ivoire with 98,8000 speakers.



*Rachel Keke, labor leader, elected to France's National Assembly in 2022
Is of Dida ethnicity*



Street in city of Lakota

- Data taken from Kaplan 2009; originally from Kaye 1982.
- The language has ATR harmony: [+ATR]: [i,u,e,o,ʌ] [−ATR]: [ɪ, ʊ, ɛ, ɔ, a]
- [+ATR] optionally spreads to the final syllable of a preceding word:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} /ɔ \text{ nɪ} \text{ sáká} \text{ pɪ}/ & \rightarrow & \acute{o} \text{ nɪ} \text{ sáká} \text{ pɪ} & \sim & \acute{o} \text{ nɪ} \text{ sáká} \text{ pɪ} & & \text{'he didn't cook rice'} \\ - & - & - & + & \rightarrow & - & - & - & + & \sim & - & - & - & + & + \end{array}$$
- If all the words are monosyllabic, this is potentially self-feeding. There are various options, all possible...

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} /ɔ \text{ ká} \text{ zā} \text{ pɪ}/ & \rightarrow & \acute{o} \text{ ká} \text{ zā} \text{ pɪ} & \sim & \acute{o} \text{ ká} \text{ zā} \text{ pɪ} & \sim & \acute{o} \text{ ká} \text{ zā} \text{ pɪ} & \sim & \acute{o} \text{ ká} \text{ zā} \text{ pɪ} & & \text{'he will cook food'} \\ - & - & - & + & \rightarrow & - & - & - & + & \sim & - & - & + & + & \sim & - & + & + & + & \sim & + & + & + & + \end{array}$$

? Can we get this one?

----	+				
<i>a</i>	----	+			
<i>b</i>	--	++			
<i>c</i>	-	+++	+		
<i>d</i>	+++	+	+	+	+

⁴ fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rachel_Keke#/media/Fichier:Rachel_Keke_2021.jpg

5. Hypercorrection in Dominican Spanish: unique-target optionality

- Data from Bradley 2006. See also Núñez-Cedeño 1994, Bullock & Toribio 2010.
- /s/ typically absent in a syllable coda:

<i>Popular Dominican Spanish</i>	<i>Conservative Spanish</i>	
se.co	se.co	'dry'
ca.so	ca.so	'case'
e.tú.pi.do	es.tú.pi.do	'stupid'
do	dos	'two' (p. 3)

- Hypercorrection can insert a coda [s]:⁵

<i>Hypercorrected</i>	<i>Conservative</i>	
in.vis.tado	in.vi.ta.do	'guest'
co.mos	co.mo	'like'
e.tús.pi.do	es.tú.pi.do	'stupid'
de.des	des.de	'since' (p. 4)

- And there can be variation of where the [s] is inserted:

<i>Hypercorrected</i>	<i>Conservative</i>	
as.bo.ga.do ~ a.bos.ga.do ~ a.bo.gasdo ~ a.bo.ga.dos	a.bo.ga.do	'lawyer' (p. 4)

- But, apparently there can only be one inserted s:⁶ *as.bo.ga.dos, etc.
- This claim is not much documented or discussed in the literature. Bradley cites personal communication with Núñez-Cedeño, the main describer of the phenomenon.

? Any ideas, for each theory?



⁵ though not before an otherwise intervocalic tap or trill, which would be phonotactically illegal, and not if it would create a closed penult in a word with antepenultimate stress.

⁶ See p. 24 for discussion of an apparent counterexample given by Harris.

6. Optionality and self-bleeding: French schwa-deletion

Indo-European language from France and surroundings with 67.8 million speakers worldwide.

- There's a big literature on this; Dell 1970 is a good place to start, and next I'd recommend Kaplan 2016 and Bayles, Kaplan & Kaplan 2016.
- /ə/ optionally deletes, except when it would create a bad consonant cluster.

/suvəniʁ/	→	[suvəniʁ] ~ [suvniʁ]	'to remember'
/pasəʁa/	→	[pasəʁa] ~ [pasʁa]	'will pass'
/paʁvəniʁ/	→	[paʁvəniʁ] * [paʁvniʁ]	'to reach' ([ʁv] bad coda, [vn] bad onset)
/sufləʁa/	→	[sufləʁa] * [sufləʁa]	'will blow' ([VflʁV] unsyllabifiable)
/ɑ̃ʁi dəvə paʁtiʁ/	→	[ɑ̃ʁi dəvə paʁtiʁ] ~ [ɑ̃ʁi dvə paʁtiʁ]	'Henri had to go'
/ʒak dəvə paʁtiʁ/	→	[ʒak dəvə paʁtiʁ] * [ʒak dvə paʁtiʁ]	'Jacques had to go' (*[kdv])

? What does basic SPE predict for this form (pretend the rule is obligatory): /ty dəvəne/ 'you were becoming'

? Actual result is (supposedly) [ty dəvəne] ~ [ty dvəne]⁷ ~ [ty dəvne], but *[ty dvne]—discuss.



7. If time—Anderson 1974's solution

- Find all segments eligible for the rule and circle them.
- For each circled segment, underline the smallest environment that lets the segment meet the rule's structural description.
- If the rule is optional, you may uncircle some of the eligible segments and de-underline their environments.
- If any circled segment is contained in some other circled segment's underlined environment, uncircle (and de-underline the environments of) as few segments as possible to get rid of these overlaps.
- Now apply the rule simultaneously to the remaining circled segments.

(Of course, circling and underlining themselves have no theoretical status—this is just a convenient way to say “identify targets and environments”)

⁷ Some speakers have said they don't like this one...

- ? What does Anderson's proposal predict for French /ty vudre kə sə kə lə bədo/⁸ 'you would like that what the beadle...'?

/ty vudre kə sə kə lə bədo/

- ? Does Anderson's proposal help with the non-optional cases we saw Klamath? Kikuyu?

- Recall Klamath: In /...q̣lq.../, deglottalization self-bleeds: [...q̣lq ...]
- Recall Southern Kikuyu: In /nekakaakeroma/, spirantization of /k/ when next consonant is a voiceless stop self-counterbleeds: [neɣaɣaakeroma]

Next time: Process interaction—beyond (counter){f,bl}eeding
--

References

- Anderson, Stephen R. 1974. *The Organization of Phonology*. New York: Academic Press.
- Bayles, Andrew, Aaron Kaplan & Abby Kaplan. 2016. Inter- and intra-speaker variation in French schwa. *Glossa: a journal of general linguistics* 1(1). 19. <https://doi.org/10.5334/gjgl.54>.
- Bradley, Travis. 2006. Spanish rhotics and Dominican hypercorrect /s/. *Probus* 18(1). 1–33.
- Bullock, Barbara E & Almeida Jacqueline Toribio. 2010. Correcting the record on Dominican [s]-hypercorrection. In *Romance Linguistics 2009: selected papers from the 39th Linguistic Symposium on Romance Languages (LSRL)*, 15–24. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Dell, François. 1970. *Les règles phonologiques tardives et la morphologie dérivationnelle du français*. MIT.
- Derrick, Donald & Bryan Gick. 2014. Accommodation of End-State Comfort Reveals Subphonemic Planning in Speech. *Phonetica*. Karger Publishers 71(3). 183–200. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000369630>.
- Haro, Alfredo Herrero de & John Hajek. 2022. Eastern Andalusian Spanish. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*. Cambridge University Press 52(1). 135–156. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025100320000146>.
- Herrman, Stefanie. 2002. Warao. In *Encyclopedia of linguistics*. Chicago: Fitzroy Dearborn Publishers.
- Kaplan, Aaron. 2016. Local optionality with partial orders. *Phonology* 33(2). 285–324. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0952675716000130>.

⁸ I got this from an online appendix to David Odden's *Introducing Phonology* (2005: Cambridge UP): www.ling.ohio-state.edu/~odden/IntroducingPhonology/Theory%20Discussion.html

- Kaplan, Aaron F. 2011. Variation Through Markedness Suppression. *Phonology* 28(03). 331–370. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0952675711000200>.
- Kaplan, Aaron F. 2012. Andalusian vowel harmony and theories of variation. Manuscript. University of Utah, ms.
- Kaye, Jonathan. 1982. Harmony processes in Vata. In Harry Van der Hulst & Norval Smith (eds.), *The structure of phonological representations*, vol. II, 385–452. Foris.
- Núñez-Cedeño, Rafael. 1994. The alterability of Spanish geminates and its effects on the Uniform Applicability Condition. *Probus* 6. 23–41.
- Osborn, Henry A. 1966. Warao I: Phonology and Morphophonemics. *International Journal of American Linguistics* 32(2). 108–123.
- Riggle, Jason & Colin Wilson. 2005. Local optionality. In Leah Bateman & Cherlon Ussery (eds.), *NELS* 35.
- Vaux, Bert. 2008. Why the phonological component must be serial and rule-based. In Bert Vaux & Andrew Nevins (eds.), *Rules, constraints, and phonological phenomena*. Oxford University Press.