References for all course handouts combined

Albright, Adam & Bruce Hayes. 2006. Modeling productivity with the Gradual Learning Algorithm: the problem of accidentally exceptionless generalizations.. In Gisbert Fanselow, Caroline Féry, Matthias Schlesewsky, & R Vogel (eds.), *Gradience in Grammar: generative perspectives*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Alcántara, Jonathan B. 1998. The Architecture of the English Lexicon.. Cornell University.

Alegre, M. 1999. Frequency Effects and the Representational Status of Regular Inflections, ,. *Journal of Memory and Language* 40(1). 41-61. doi:10.1006/jmla.1998.2607 (11 April, 2011).

Baayen, RH, Ton Dijkstra & Robert Schreuder. 1997. Singulars and Plurals in Dutch: Evidence for a Parallel Dual-Route Model. *Journal of Memory and Language* 37(1). 94-117. doi:10.1006/jmla.1997.2509 (11 January, 2011).

Baayen, RH, R Schreuder & R Sproat. 2000. Modeling morphological segmentation in a parallel dual route framework for visual word recognition. In F van Eynde & D Gibbon (eds.), *Lexicon development for speech and language processing*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Baayen, RH & Robert Schreuder. 2000. Towards a psycholinguistic computational model for morphological parsing. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 358(1769). 1281 -1293. doi:10.1098/rsta.2000.0586 (2 January, 2011).

Baroni, Marco. 2001. The representation of prefixed forms in the Italian lexicon: Evidence from the distribution of intervocalic [s] and [z] in northern Italian.. In Geert Booij & Jaap van Marle (eds.), *Yearbook of Morphology 1999*, 121-152. Dordrecht: Springer.

Bermúdez-Otero, Ricardo. forthcoming. The architecture of grammar and the division of labour in exponence.. In Jochen Trommer (ed.), *The morphology and phonology of exponence: the state of the art*. Oxford University Press.

Bertram, Raymond, Robert Schreuder & RH Baayen. 2000. The Balance of Storage and Computation in Morphological Processing: The Role of Word Formation Type, Affixal Homonymy, and Productivity. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 26(2). 489-511. (11 April, 2011).

Bien, Heidrun, Willem J. M. Levelt & RH Baayen. 2005. Frequency effects in compound production. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102(49). 17876 -17881. doi:10.1073/pnas.0508431102 (11 April, 2011).

Boudelaa, Sami & William D. Marslen-Wilson. 2005. Discontinuous morphology in time: Incremental masked priming in Arabic. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 20(1). 207. (11 April, 2011).

Bybee, Joan. 2001. *Phonology and language use.*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Chen, J-Y, T-M Chen & Gary S. Dell. 2002. Word-Form Encoding in Mandarin Chinese as Assessed by the Implicit Priming Task. *Journal of Memory and Language* 46(4). 751-781. doi:10.1006/jmla.2001.2825 (11 January, 2011).

Cholin, Joana, Niels O. Schiller & Willem J. M. Levelt. 2004. The preparation of syllables in speech production. *Journal of Memory and Language* 50(1). 47-61. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2003.08.003 (11 January, 2011).

Chomsky, Noam & M Halle. 1968. *The Sound Pattern of English.*. Harper & Row.

Chung, Sandra. 1983. Transderivational relationships in Chamorro phonology. *Language* 59. 35–66.

Coetzee, Andries. 2008. Phonological variation and lexical frequency.. In , *Porceedings of NELS 38*.

Cole, P, C. Beauvillain & J. Segui. 1989. On the representation and processing of prefixed and suffixed derived words: A differential frequency effect. *Journal of Memory and Language* 28(1). 1-13. doi:10.1016/0749-596X(89)90025-9 (11 April, 2011).

Cole, RA. 1973. Listening for mispronunciations: a measure of what we hear during speech. *Perception and Psychophysics* 13. 153-156.

Collie, Sarah. 2008. English stress preservation: The case for "fake cyclicity." *English Language and Linguistics* 12(03). 505-532. doi:10.1017/S1360674308002736 (7 March, 2011).

Coltheart, Max, Kathleen Rastle, Conrad Perry, Robyn Langdon & Johannes Ziegler. 2001. DRC, : A Dual Route Cascaded Model of Visual Word Recognition and Reading Aloud. *Psychological Review* 108(1). 204-256. (10 January, 2011).

Cutler, A. & D. Norris. 1979. Monitoring sentence comprehension.. In W.E. Cooper & E. C. T. Walker (eds.), *Sentence processing: psycholinguistic studies presented to Merrill Garrett.* Erlbaum.

Cutler, Anne & Dennis Norris., with McQueen, JM., MARCS Auditory Laboratories 2006. Are there really interactive processes in speech perception? http://handle.uws.edu.au:8081/1959.7/34000 (10 January, 2011).

D'Imperio, Mariapaola & Sam Rosenthall. 1999. Phonetics and Phonology of Main Stress in Italian. *Phonology* 16(1). 1–28.

Damian, Markus F. & Randi C. Martin. 1999. Semantic and phonological codes interact in single word production. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 25(2). 345-361. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.25.2.345 (12 January, 2011).

De Jong, Nivja H., Robert Schreuder & RH Baayen. 2000. The morphological family size effect and morphology. http://edoc.mpg.de/240860 (11 April, 2011).

Dell, Gary S. & Padraig G. O'Seaghdha. 1992. Stages of lexical access in language production. *Cognition* 42(1-3). 287-314. doi:10.1016/0010-0277(92)90046-K (5 January, 2011).

Dell, Gary S. & Peter A. Reich. 1981. Stages in sentence production: An analysis of speech error data. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 20(6). 611-629. doi:10.1016/S0022-5371(81)90202-4 (5 January, 2011).

Duñabeitia, Jon Andoni, Manuel Perea & Manuel Carreiras. 2007. Do transposed-letter similarity effects occur at a morpheme level? Evidence for morpho-orthographic decomposition. *Cognition* 105(3). 691-703. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2006.12.001 (12 January, 2011).

Duñabeitia, Jon Andoni, Manuel Perea & Manuel Carreiras. 2008. Does darkness lead to happiness? Masked suffix priming effects. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 23(7). 1002. doi:10.1080/01690960802164242 (12 January, 2011).

Elman, Jeffrey L. & James L. McClelland. 1988. Cognitive penetration of the mechanisms of perception: Compensation for coarticulation of lexically restored phonemes. *Journal of Memory and Language* 27(2). 143-165. doi:10.1016/0749-596X(88)90071-X (10 January, 2011).

Feldman, Laurie Beth, Emily G. Soltano, Matthew J. Pastizzo & Sarah E. Francis. July. What do graded effects of semantic transparency reveal about morphological processing? *Brain and Language* 90(1-3). 17-30. doi:10.1016/S0093-934X(03)00416-4 (11 April, 2011).

Feldman, Laurie Beth. 2000. Are morphological effects distinguishable from the effects of shared meaning and form? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 26(6). 1431-1444.

Fiorentino, Robert. 2006. Lexical structure and the nature of linguistic representations.. University of Maryland, College Park ph.d. dissertation.

Ford, MA, M.H. Davis & W.D. Marslen-Wilson. 2010. Derivational morphology and base morpheme frequency. *Journal of Memory and Language* 63(1). 117-130. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2009.01.003 (11 April, 2011).

Forster, K. I. 1976. Accessing the mental lexicon.. In , *New approaches to language mechanisms.* Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Frost, R, A Deutsch, O Gilboa, M Tannenbaum & W Marslen-Wilson. 2000. Morphological priming: dissociation of phonological, semantic, and morphological factors. *Memory & Cognition* 28(8). 1277-1288. (12 January, 2011).

Frost, Ram, Avital Deutsch, Orna Gilboa, Michal Tannenbaum & William Marslen-Wilson. 2000. Morphological priming: Dissociation of phonological, semantic, and morphological factors. *Memory & Cognition* 28(8). 1277-1288. doi:10.3758/BF03211828 (11 April, 2011).

Frost, Ram, Kenneth I. Forster & Avital Deutsch. 1997. What Can We Learn From the Morphology of Hebrew? A Masked-Priming Investigation of Morphological Representation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 23(4). 829-856. (12 January, 2011).

Frost, Ram, Jonathan Grainger & Manuel Carreiras. 2008. Advances in morphological processing: An introduction. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 23(7). 933. doi:10.1080/01690960802321925 (12 January, 2011).

Ganong, William F. 1980. Phonetic categorization in auditory word perception. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance* 6(1). 110-125. doi:10.1037/0096-1523.6.1.110 (10 January, 2011).

Giraudo, Hélène & Jonathan Grainger. 2000. Effects of prime word frequency and cumulative root frequency in masked morphological priming. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 15(4). 421. doi:10.1080/01690960050119652 (11 January, 2011).

Giraudo, Hélène & Jonathan Grainger. 2003. On the role of derivational affixes in recognizing complex words: evidence from masked priming.. In RH Baayen & Robert Schreuder (eds.), *Morphological structure in language processing*, 209-232. Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Glaser, Wilhelm R. & Franz-Josef Dungelhoff. 1984. The time course of picture-word interference. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human perception and performance* 10(5). 640-654.

Goldrick, Matthew. 2006. Limited interaction in speech production : Chronometric, speech error, and neuropsychological evidence. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 21(7-8). 817-855.

Gonnerman, Laura M., Mark S. Seidenberg & Elaine S. Andersen. 2007. Graded Semantic and Phonological Similarity Effects in Priming: Evidence for a Distributed Connectionist Approach to Morphology. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 136(2). 323-345. doi:10.1037/0096-3445.136.2.323 (12 January, 2011).

Gouskova, Maria & Kevin Roon. 2008. Interface constraints and frequency in Russian compound stress.. In J Reich, Maria Babyonyshev, & Darya Kavitskaya (eds.), *Proceedings of FASL 17*. Ann Arbor, MI: Michigan Slavic Publications.

Hammond, Michael. 1999. Lexical frequency and rhythm.. In Mike Darnell (ed.), *Functionalism and Formalism in Linguistics, Volume I: General Papers*, 329-358. John Benjamins.

Hay, JB & RH Baayen. 2005. Shifting paradigms: gradient structure in morphology. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 9(7). 342-348. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2005.04.002 (11 January, 2011).

Hay, J. 2003. *Causes and consequences of word structure.*. Routledge.

Hayes, Bruce. 1999. Phonetically driven phonology: the role of Optimality Theory and inductive grounding.. In Michael Darnell, Frederick J Newmeyer, Michael Noonan, Edith Moravcsik, & Kathleen Wheatley (eds.), *Functionalism and Formalism in Linguistics, Volume I: General Papers*, 243–285. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Henderson, L, J Wallis & K Knight. 1984. Morphemic structure and lexical access.. In H Bouma & D Bouwhuis (eds.), *Attention and Performance X: Control of language processes*. Hove, UK: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.

Janssen, Niels, Yanchao Bi & Alfonso Caramazza. 2008. A Tale of Two Frequencies: Determining the Speed of Lexical Access for Mandarin Chinese and English Compounds. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 23(7). 1191-1223. (11 April, 2011).

Jarema, Gonia, Céline Busson, Rossitza Nikolova, Kyrana Tsapkini & Gary Libben. 1999. Processing Compounds: A Cross-Linguistic Study. *Brain and Language* 68(1-2). 362-369. doi:10.1006/brln.1999.2088 (11 April, 2011).

Järvikivi, Juhani & Jussi Niemi. 2002. Form-Based Representation in the Mental Lexicon: Priming (with) Bound Stem Allomorphs in Finnish. *Brain and Language* 81(1-3). 412-423. doi:10.1006/brln.2001.2534 (11 April, 2011).

Jescheniak, Jorg D. & Willem J. M. Levelt. 1994. Word Frequency Effects in Speech Production: Retrieval of Syntactic Information and of Phonological Form. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 20(4). 824-843.

Joanisse, Marc F. & Mark S. Seidenberg. 1999. Impairments in Verb Morphology after Brain Injury: A Connectionist Model. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 96(13). 7592-7597. (18 January, 2011).

Kemps, Rachèl, L Wurm, Mirjam Ernestus, Robert Schreuder & H Baayen. 2005. Prosodic cues for morphological complexity in Dutch and English. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 20(1). 43-73. doi:10.1080/01690960444000223 (11 April, 2011).

Kenstowicz, Michael. 1996. Base-identity and uniform exponence: alternatives to cyclicity.. In Jacques Durand & Bernard Laks (eds.), *Current Trends in Phonology: Models and methods*, 363–393. Paris-X and Salford: University of Salford Publications.

Koester, Dirk, Th. C. Gunter, S. Wagner & A. D. Friederici. 2010. Morphosyntax, Prosody, and Linking Elements: The Auditory Processing of German Nominal Compounds. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 16(9). 1647-1668. doi:doi: 10.1162/0898929042568541.

Kouider, Sid & Emmanuel Dupoux. 2009. Episodic accessibility and morphological processing: Evidence from long-term auditory priming. *Acta Psychologica* 130(1). 38-47. doi:10.1016/j.actpsy.2008.09.011 (12 January, 2011).

Kuperman, Victor, Raymond Bertram & RH Baayen. 2008. Morphological dynamics in compound processing. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 23(7). 1089. doi:10.1080/01690960802193688 (11 January, 2011).

Levelt, Willem J. M., Ardi Roelofs & Antje S. Meyer. 1999. A Theory of Lexical Access in Speech Production. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 22(01). 1-38. doi:null (5 January, 2011).

Levelt, Willem J. M. 1999. Models of word production. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 3(6). 223-232. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(99)01319-4 (5 January, 2011).

Levelt, Willem J. M. 2001. Spoken word production: a theory of lexical access. *PNAS* 98(23). 13464-13471.

Löfstedt, Ingvar. 2010. Phonetic Effects in Swedish Phonology: Allomorphy and Paradigms.. UCLA ph.d. dissertation.

Longtin, Catherine-Marie & Fanny Meunier. 2005. Morphological decomposition in early visual word processing. *Journal of Memory and Language* 53(1). 26-41. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2005.02.008 (11 January, 2011).

Longtin, Catherine-Marie, Juan Segui & PA Halle. 2003. Morphological priming without morphological relationship. *Language and cognitive processes* 18(3). 313-334. (12 January, 2011).

Luce, Paul A. & David B. Pisoni. 1998. Recognizing spoken words: the neighborhood activation model. *Ear and Hearing* 19(1). 1-36.

Mann, Virginia A. & Bruno H. Repp. 1980. Influence of vocalic context on perception of the [S]-[s] distinction. *Perception and Psychophysics* 28(3). 213-228.

Marslen-Wilson, William D., M Ford, Lianne Older & Xiaolin Zhou. 1996. The combinatorial lexicon: Priming derivational affixes.. In , *Proceedings of the 18th annual conference of the Cognitive Science Society*. Erlbaum.

Marslen-Wilson, William D. & Alan Welsh. 1978. Processing interactions and lexical access during word recognition in continuous speech. *Cognitive Psychology* 10(1). 29-63. doi:10.1016/0010-0285(78)90018-X (10 January, 2011).

Marslen-Wilson, William D. 1984. Function and process in spoken word-recognition.. In H. Bouma & DG Bouwhuis (eds.), *Attention and Performance X: Control of language processes*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Marslen-Wilson, William D. 1987. Functional parallelism in spoken word-recognition. *Cognition* 25(1-2). 71-102. doi:10.1016/0010-0277(87)90005-9 (10 January, 2011).

Marslen-Wilson, William D. 2007. Morphological processes in language comprehension.. In G.P. Gaskell (ed.), *Oxford Handbook of Psycholinguistics*, 175-193. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Marslen-Wilson, William, Lorraine Komisarjevsky Tyler, Rachelle Waksler & Lianne Older. 1994. Morphology and meaning in the English mental lexicon. *Psychological Review* 101(1). 3-33.

McClelland, James L. & Jeffrey L. Elman. 1986. The TRACE model of speech perception. *Cognitive Psychology* 18(1). 1-86. doi:10.1016/0010-0285(86)90015-0 (10 January, 2011).

McClelland, James L., Daniel Mirman & Lori L. Holt. 2006. Are there interactive processes in speech perception? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 10(8). 363-369. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2006.06.007 (10 January, 2011).

Meyer, Antje S. 1990. The time course of phonological encoding in language production: The encoding of successive syllables of a word. *Journal of Memory and Language* 29(5). 524-545. doi:10.1016/0749-596X(90)90050-A (11 January, 2011).

Morris, Joanna, Jonathan Grainger & Phillip J. Holcomb. 2008. An electrophysiological investigation of early effects of masked morphological priming. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 23(7). 1021. doi:10.1080/01690960802299386 (11 January, 2011).

Morton, John. 1969. Interaction of information in word recognition. *Psychological Review* 76(2). 165-178.

Myers, James. 2005. Frequency effects and Optimality Theory.. Handout. ms. ROA #810-0306.

Nespor, Marina & I Vogel. 1986. *Prosodic Phonology.*. Dordrecht: Foris.

Ng, E-Ching. 2010. Reduction, frequency and morphology in Singaporean English prosody.. Manuscript. Yale University, ms. ROA #1102.

Norris, Dennis & J McQueen. 2008. Shortlist B: A Bayesian model of continuous speech recognition. *Psychological Review* 115(2). 357-395. (10 January, 2011).

Norris, Dennis. 1994. Shortlist: a connectionist model of continuous speech recognition. *Cognition* 52(3). 189-234. doi:10.1016/0010-0277(94)90043-4 (10 January, 2011).

van Oostendorp, Marc. 1999. Italian s-voicing and the structure of the phonological word.. In S.J. Hannahs & Mike Davenport (eds.), *Issues in Phonological Structure*, 197-214. Benjamins.

Otake, T., G. Hatano, A. Cutler & J. Mehler. 1993. Mora or Syllable? Speech Segmentation in Japanese. *Journal of Memory and Language* 32(2). 258-278. doi:10.1006/jmla.1993.1014 (10 January, 2011).

Peperkamp, Sharon. 1997. *Prosodic Words.*. The Hague: Holland Academic Graphics.

Pierrehumbert, Janet. 2001a. Why Phonological Constraints Are So Coarse-Grained. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 16(5). 691-98. (2 January, 2011).

Pierrehumbert, Janet. 2001b. Exemplar dynamics: word frequency, lenition, and contrast.. In Joan Bybee & Paul Hopper (eds.), *Frequency effects and the emergence of linguistic structure*, 137–157. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Plag, Ingo., Harald Baayen 2009. Suffix Ordering and Morphological Processing. *Language* 85(1). 109-152. (11 April, 2011).

Plaut, David C. & Laura M. Gonnerman. 2000. Are non-semantic morphological effects incompatible with a distributed connectionist approach to lexical processing? *Language and Cognitive Processes* 15(4). 445. doi:10.1080/01690960050119661 (12 January, 2011).

Pluymaekers, Mark, Mirjam Ernestus & RH Baayen. 2005. Lexical frequency and acoustic reduction in spoken Dutch. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 118(4). 2561-2569. doi:10.1121/1.2011150 (11 April, 2011).

Pollatsek, Alexander & Jukka Hyönä. 2005. The role of semantic transparency in the processing of Finnish compound words. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 20(1). 261-290. doi:10.1080/01690960444000098 (11 April, 2011).

Raffelsiefen, Renate. 2004. Paradigm Uniformity effects versus boundary effects.. In Laura J. Downing, T. Alan Hall, & Renate Raffelsiefen (eds.), *Paradigms in Phonological Theory*, 211-262. Oxford University Press.

Rastle, Kathleen, Matthew H Davis & Boris New. 2004. The broth in my brother's brothel: morpho-orthographic segmentation in visual word recognition. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* 11(6). 1090-1098.

Rastle, Kathleen, Matthew H. Davis, William D. Marslen-Wilson & Lorraine K. Tyler. 2000. Morphological and Semantic Effects in Visual Word Recognition: A Time-Course Study. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 15(4). 507-37. (12 January, 2011).

Rastle, Kathleen & Matthew H. Davis. 2008. Morphological decomposition based on the analysis of orthography. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 23(7). 942. doi:10.1080/01690960802069730 (12 January, 2011).

Roelofs, Ardi & H Baayen. 2002. Morphology by itself in planning the production of spoken words. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review* 9(1). 132-138. doi:10.3758/BF03196269 (11 April, 2011).

Roelofs, Ardi. 1997. The WEAVER model of word-form encoding in speech production. *Cognition* 64(3). 249-284. doi:10.1016/S0010-0277(97)00027-9 (5 January, 2011).

Rueckl, Jay G. & Karen A. Aicher. 2008. Are CORNER - and BROTHER - morphologically complex? Not in the long term. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 23(7). 972. doi:10.1080/01690960802211027 (11 January, 2011).

Schreuder, R & RH Baayen. 1995. Modeling morphological processing.. In L.B. Feldman (ed.), *Morphological aspects of language processing*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.

Schriefers, H., Antje S. Meyer & Willem J. M. Levelt. 1990. Exploring the time course of lexical access in language production: Picture-word interference studies. *Journal of Memory and Language* 29(1). 86-102. doi:10.1016/0749-596X(90)90011-N (5 January, 2011).

Seidenberg, Mark S. & Laura M. Gonnerman. 2000. Explaining derivational morphology as the convergence of codes. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 4(9). 353-361. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01515-1 (18 January, 2011).

Selkirk, Elisabeth. 1980. Prosodic domains in phonology: Sanskrit revisited.. In Mark Aronoff, M. -L Kean, Mark Aronoff, & M. -L Kean (eds.), *Juncture*, 107–129. Saratoga, CA: Anma Libri.

Stockall, Linnaea & Alec Marantz. 2006. A single route, full decomposition model of morphological complexity. *The Mental Lexicon* 1(1). 85-123.

Strauss, Ted J., Harlan D. Harris & James S. Magnuson. 2007. jTRACE: A reimplementation and extension of the TRACE model of speech perception and spoken word recognition. *Behavior Research Methods* 39(1). 19-30. (10 January, 2011).

Tabak, Wieke, Robert Schreuder & RH Baayen. 2010. Producing inflected verbs: A picture naming study. *The Mental Lexicon* 5(1). 22-46.

Taft, Marcus & Sam Ardasinski. 2006. Obligatory decomposition in reading prefixed words. *The Mental Lexicon* 1(2). 183-199. doi:10.1075/ml.1.2.02taf (11 January, 2011).

Taft, Marcus & Kenneth I. Forster. 1975. Lexical storage and retrieval of prefixed words. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 14(6). 638-647. doi:10.1016/S0022-5371(75)80051-X (11 January, 2011).

Taft, Marcus. 2004. Morphological decomposition and the reverse base frequency effect. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology. A, Human Experimental Psychology* 57(4). 745-765. doi:10.1080/02724980343000477 (18 January, 2011).

Tsapkini, Kyrana, Eva Kehayia & Gonia Jarema. 1999. Does Phonological Change Play a Role in the Recognition of Derived Forms across Modalities? *Brain and Language* 68(1-2). 318-323. doi:10.1006/brln.1999.2113 (12 January, 2011).

Vannest J, Bertram R & Jarvikivi J, , and , Niemi J Counterintuitive Cross-Linguistic Differences: More Morphological Computation in English Than in Finnish.. Text. http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/klu/jopr/2002/00000031/00000002/00367561 (11 April, 2011).

Vannest, Jennifer & Julie E. Boland. 1999. Lexical Morphology and Lexical Access. *Brain and Language* 68(1-2). 324-332. doi:10.1006/brln.1999.2114 (12 January, 2011).

VanWagenen, Sarah. 2005. The morphologically organized mental lexicon: further experimental evidence.. UCLA master's thesis.

Weide, Robert. 1993. *Carnegie Mellon Pronouncing Dictionary [cmudict.0.2]*.

Winther Balling, Laura & RH Baayen. 2008. Morphological effects in auditory word recognition: Evidence from Danish. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 23(7). 1159. doi:10.1080/01690960802201010 (11 January, 2011).

Wurm, LH. 1997. Auditory Processing of Prefixed English Words Is Both Continuous and Decompositional, ,. *Journal of Memory and Language* 37(3). 438-461. doi:10.1006/jmla.1997.2524 (11 January, 2011).

Zuraw, Kie. 2009. Frequency influences on rule application within and across words.. In , *Proceedings of CLS (Chicago Linguistic Society) 43*.

Zuraw, Kie. 2010. A model of lexical variation and the grammar with application to Tagalog nasal substitution. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 28(2). 417-472.

Zwitserlood, Pienie. 1989. The locus of the effects of sentential-semantic context in spoken-word processing. *Cognition* 32(1). 25-64. doi:10.1016/0010-0277(89)90013-9 (10 January, 2011).