

# LUIDA: Large-scale Unified Infrastructure for Digital Assessments based on Commercial Metaverse Platform

Yong-Hao Hu, *Student Member, IEEE*, Sotaro Yokoi, *Student Member, IEEE*, Yuji Hatada, *Member, IEEE*,  
Yuichi Hiroi, *Member, IEEE*, Takuji Narumi, *Member, IEEE*, and Takefumi Hiraki, *Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—Online experiments using metaverse platforms have gained significant traction in Human-Computer Interaction and Virtual Reality (VR) research. However, current research workflows are highly fragmented, as researchers must use separate tools for system implementation, participant recruitment, experiment execution, and data collection, reducing consistency and increasing workload. We present LUIDA (Large-scale Unified Infrastructure for Digital Assessments), a metaverse-based framework that integrates these fragmented processes. LUIDA automatically allocates interconnected virtual environments for parallel experiment execution and provides implementation templates adaptable to various VR research domains, requiring minimal metaverse development expertise. Our evaluation included two studies using a prototype built on Cluster, the commercial metaverse platform. First, VR researchers using LUIDA to develop and run experiments reported high usability scores (SUS: 73.75) and moderate workload (NASA-TLX: 24.11) for overall usage, with interviews confirming streamlined workflows compared to traditional laboratory experiments. Second, we conducted three replicated experiments with public Cluster users, each recruiting approximately 200 participants within one week. These experiments produced results that closely matched the original studies, validating the experimental integrity of LUIDA across research domains. After technical refinements, we plan to release LUIDA as an open platform, providing a standardized protocol to improve research efficiency and experimental reproducibility in VR studies.

**Index Terms**—Metaverse, Remote experiment, Experiment platform

## I. INTRODUCTION

The advancement of experimental research in Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) and Virtual Reality (VR) has been accompanied by various tools and platforms, which remain highly fragmented: many of them target specific research domains [1], [2] or isolated procedures such as participant recruitment [3], [4] or data collection [5]. Such fragmentation forces researchers to juggle multiple systems throughout the experimental workflow, compromising experimental design consistency and increasing workflow complexity.

Yong-Hao Hu, Sotaro Yokoi, and Takuji Narumi are with Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Yong-Hao Hu, Sotaro Yokoi, Yuichi Hiroi, and Takefumi Hiraki are with Cluster Metaverse Lab, Tokyo, Japan

Yuji Hatada is with Interfaculty Initiative in Information Studies, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Takefumi Hiraki is with Institute of Library, Information and Media Science, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

Simultaneously, online experimental systems are gaining significant attention. Crowd-sourcing platforms such as Amazon Mechanical Turk<sup>1</sup> and metaverse environments such as VRChat<sup>2</sup> have become popular alternatives or supplements to traditional in-person experiments, particularly since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. Studies have demonstrated that experimental configurations originally designed for physical settings can be effectively transferred to virtual environments [6], [7], enabling access to a broader, more diverse participant pool.

However, these online approaches come with their own limitations. First, despite their promise, technical barriers persist: crowd-sourcing platforms require expertise in implementing networking protocols or automating experiment flow; metaverse platforms offer limited customizability, restricting data collection methods and requiring additional effort for platform-specific operations. Furthermore, although metaverse environments have the potential to revolutionize experimental practices, current implementations have yet to fully exploit their capabilities. For example, researchers still conduct experiments one-on-one in real time [7].

To address these challenges, we propose LUIDA (Large-scale Unified Infrastructure for Digital Assessments), an experimental framework that integrates the entire experimental workflow, from participant recruitment to experiment execution and data collection, within metaverse environments. Through this integration, LUIDA provides more comprehensive functionality than existing VR platforms and supports a wide range of VR experiments, including those focused on perception, cognition, and interaction. The framework includes a Unity-based implementation template that provides essential experimental components through a partially code-free interface, including state machine management, variable configuration, and data acquisition. This allows researchers without extensive metaverse development expertise to easily construct experimental systems compatible with the LUIDA infrastructure. Using LUIDA, researchers can overcome the limitations of in-person experiments (limited number of participants, geographic constraints, experimenter effects) while facilitating automated, parallel, large-scale data collection by taking advantage of metaverse's functionalities.

LUIDA is designed with a platform-agnostic philosophy,

<sup>1</sup><https://www.mturk.com/>

<sup>2</sup><https://hello.vrchat.com/>

allowing adaptation to different metaverse platforms. In this paper, we develop a prototype using the commercial metaverse platform Cluster<sup>3</sup> to leverage its existing user base and content creation tools. To evaluate LUIDA's effectiveness, we performed a two-step validation. First, we conducted a user study with VR researchers that replicated representative experiments from previously published studies in the areas of perception, cognition, and interaction. Second, we made the LUIDA prototype publicly available on Cluster and conducted the same set of replicated experiments with general participants.

Our main contributions include the following:

- proposing LUIDA, a framework that unifies fragmented VR experimental workflows by providing efficient solutions, including system implementation across multiple research domains, and automated, parallel participant recruitment and data collection,
- conducting online experiments that enable large-scale data collection (approximately 200 participants per experiment in one week), and
- exploring limitations and future development pathways of LUIDA to release LUIDA as an open platform.

## II. RELATED WORK

### A. Comprehensive Experiment Management in VR Research

Effectively managing data collection and participant experience has a direct impact on the quality of VR experiments. We provide an overview of critical considerations when addressing data collection and participant experience in VR research.

*1) Real-time Behavioral Tracking:* Continuous capture of users' positions and postures during VR Head-mounted display (HMD) use provides valuable insight into the non-verbal and motor behaviors of participants. Yaremych and Persky demonstrated that continuous data collection allows quantification of subtle behavioral patterns such as proxemics [8]. Similarly, Kilteni et al. effectively used continuous data to evaluate movement variations influenced by avatar use [9].

*2) Immersive Questionnaire Administration:* Administering in-VR questionnaire can significantly improve the quality of the participant experience. Schwind et al. showed that the administration of questionnaires in virtual environments increases the consistency of the sense of presence [10]. Similarly, Putze et al. showed that switching between virtual and real environments reduces immersion [11]. These findings are particularly relevant to studies investigating presence [12], [10], embodiment [13], [14], and the medical applications [15].

*3) Avatar Control and Investigation:* Avatars are essential components of virtual environments. The control and management of avatars have become critical experimental elements in VR research, and investigations into their effects on perception or cognition are on the rise. For instance, Venkatakrishnan et al. have systematically investigated how virtual hand representations affect the perception of dynamic affordances [16]. Furthermore, research on the Proteus effect, in which avatar appearance influences behavior or causes cognitive effects, is widespread in the VR field [17].

*4) Multi-Stage Experiment Management:* Many VR experiments require multiple experimental stages to properly manage the participant experience. In particular, managing the familiarization of avatar embodiments [18], [19], [13], administering in-VR questionnaires [11], and performing individual tasks before or after the main experiments [20] pose significant challenges. Similarly, studies involving consecutive scenarios, such as training [21] or perspective-taking tasks [18], also require careful stage management.

*5) Large-Scale Experiments:* There has been an increase in cognitive research that requires large sample sizes, involving dozens to hundreds of participants [17], [22], [23]. Recruiting participants and conducting experiments on this scale using traditional laboratory-based face-to-face methods is extremely challenging in terms of both time and human resources.

Given these diverse experimental requirements, proposing comprehensive solutions that systematically unify the management of immersive data collection methods (e.g., behavioral tracking, in-VR questionnaires), avatar control, and multi-stage execution processes would be promising for advancing VR research. Such solutions should also address the challenges of scaling up experiments, for example by automating and parallelizing participant recruitment and experiment execution.

### B. Tools and Frameworks for VR Experiment Management

Several specialized libraries and tools have been developed to support VR/HCI experiments. For example, Microsoft Rocketbox provides a high-quality avatar library for VR experiments [1], while HART provides a Unity toolkit that facilitates the implementation of hand redirection [2]. QuickVR, targeting VR experiments involving avatars, serves as a standardized library to facilitate the implementation of avatar use, in-VR operations, and workflow management [24]. In addition, platforms such as Jikken-baito [3] and Sona [4] support participant recruitment processes. Although these tools are valuable in specific domains, researchers need to combine multiple systems to address all aspects of an experiment.

More comprehensive frameworks, focusing more on facilitating the implementation and execution of experimental systems, include Unified Suite for Experiments (USE) [25] and Unity Experiment Framework (UXF) [26]. These frameworks automate experimental workflows using configuration files and follow session-block-trial models or state machines [27]. USE provides external hardware integration capabilities, while UXF supports both offline and cloud-based experiments. However, both require complex settings and user-provided configuration files. bmlTUX [5] addresses these limitations by providing a graphical interface for experimental flow control, although it lacks some advanced features available in UXF and USE.

LUIDA integrates valuable features of frameworks such as UXF and bmlTUX into a virtual environment, abstracting away implementation complexity. It also incorporates features that maintain the consistency of the participant experience, such as automated in-VR questionnaire generation and avatar control mechanisms. With these features, LUIDA solves the complexity of experimental design and the difficulty of large-scale data collection, presenting an experimental paradigm that utilizes a metaverse environment for VR research.

<sup>3</sup><https://cluster.mu/>




Fig. 1. An overview of the LUIDA system architecture. LUIDA consists of five components: (A) "Web Console", (B) "Storage", (C) "Implementation Template", (D) "Experiment World", and (E) "Recruitment World". (From left) Researchers first design experiments using the Implementation Template, which provides interfaces for variable handling, state machine management, and data format definition. These experiments are registered in the Web Console, where researchers can specify recruitment requirements and questionnaire content. Once completed, Experiment Worlds are uploaded to the Metaverse platform and made available to participants through the Recruitment World. (From right) Participants browse available experiments, select those of interest, and participate through dedicated portals. During the experiment, questionnaires are automatically displayed and data is systematically recorded in the storage component. Researchers can monitor results through the Web Console, which provides visualization and download capabilities.

### C. Remote VR Experiments in Metaverse Environments

The integration of metaverse platforms with research methodologies has emerged as a critical approach to facilitate remote VR studies. Radiah et al. highlighted this approach as a key strategy for remote VR research, highlighting benefits such as high accessibility to existing user bases and reduced development overhead [6]. However, several challenges remain, including limitations in data collection methods, limitations in experimental control, and heterogeneity of participant devices, all of which can affect the internal validity of experiments [28].

The validation efforts for experimental validity on online VR platforms have advanced, with Saffo et al. successfully replicating both quantitative and qualitative studies on VRChat and obtained results consistent with the original research [7]. However, their methodology required individual participant management and manual control of procedures. In addition, their data collection methods were inefficient, suggesting opportunities for more effective use of social VR capabilities, such as parallelizing experiments across multiple world instances and automating data collection and export processes.

Saffo et al. also suggested two different approaches: partnership with existing VR platforms or development of custom platforms [7]. Although custom platforms offer advantages in terms of customization and control, they require significant infrastructure development and user acquisition efforts. In contrast, partnerships with existing platforms offer the advantage of leveraging established infrastructure and user bases. LUIDA takes the partnership approach with existing platforms and ensures cross-platform adaptability by designing platform-independent implementation templates and web console interfaces, thereby maintaining portability across different metaverse environments.

### III. LUIDA: ONLINE VR EXPERIMENT FRAMEWORK

This section presents a framework of LUIDA, which is designed to streamline VR research in metaverse environments. LUIDA employs a platform-agnostic architecture that integrates participant recruitment, experiment execution, and data collection into a single, cohesive system. This integration reduces the burden on researchers and participants while enabling large-scale, parallel VR experiments.

#### A. System Architecture

Figure 1 illustrates the overall architecture of LUIDA, which consists of five main components:

- 1) **Recruitment World:** An integrated virtual environment where participants can browse and select available experiments.
- 2) **Experiment World:** The virtual environment in which experiments are carried out, including questionnaire displays and data collection functions.
- 3) **Implementation Template:** A Unity-based template that allows researchers to construct experimental systems and provides interfaces for variable management, state machine control, and data format definition.
- 4) **Web Console:** A web interface for experiment registration, questionnaire configuration, and data visualization.
- 5) **Storage:** A database system for storing experimental information and collected data.

These components interact through the following data flows:

- **Web Console and Storage:** The Web Console communicates with Storage for experiment registration and data retrieval.
- **Recruitment World and Storage:** Recruitment World retrieves available experiments from Storage to dynamically update its bulletin board.




Fig. 2. Participant experience using LUIDA: (1) Participants enter the Recruitment World; (2) they browse available experiments; (3) they select an experiment and confirm its details; (4) upon pressing the join button, a portal to the Experiment World appears, and participants step onto it to transition; (5) participants read and submit the consent form; (6) participants engage in the experiment (for details of each experiment, see Figs. 9, 10, and 11); (7) after completing the experiment, participants step onto a world portal to return to the Recruitment World and receive their reward.

- Experiment World Generation:** The world created and uploaded using the Implementation Template is registered as the Experiment World's template. When a participant selects an experiment, the system creates an instance of the Experiment World.
- Experiment World Execution, Configuration in the Implementation Template, and Storage:** During the experiment execution within an Experiment World, the configurations for experimental parameters, the state machine, and the data collection format defined in the Implementation Template are automatically reflected.
- Questionnaire Generation in the Experiment World:** By referring to the identifier configured in the Implementation Template, questionnaire items stored in Storage are loaded and displayed in the Experiment World.
- Data Collection Flow:** Data collected in the Experiment World is transferred to Storage, which researchers can access through the Web Console.

Note that the name "LUIDA" is derived from "Luida's Bar", a location in the popular role-playing game series "Dragon Quest"<sup>4</sup>, and reflects our expectation that users will participate in experiments and receive rewards in a manner similar to accepting quests in games.

## B. User Interaction Flow

- Participant Workflow:** The participant workflow in LUIDA follows these steps (Fig. 2):

<sup>4</sup><https://www.dragonquest.jp/>

- Entering the Recruitment World:** Participants first enter the Recruitment World, which displays multiple items of experiment information in a bulletin board format, providing overviews of the experiment, prerequisites, rewards, and other relevant details.
- Experiment Selection and Participation:** Selecting an experiment of interest opens a portal to the corresponding Experiment World. Participants enter the Experiment World through this portal, confirm participation requirements and ethical consent, and then begin the experiment.
- Task Execution and Data Collection:** Participants perform tasks according to instructions. During this process, positional data from HMDs and controllers is automatically recorded and then applied to avatars' full-body movements using inverse kinematics. Participants may also respond to in-VR questionnaires configured by the researcher.
- Experiment Completion and Reward:** After completing the experiment, participants will receive rewards and return to the Recruitment World, where they can choose to participate in another experiment or leave the metaverse environment.
- Researcher Workflow:** Researchers using LUIDA to design and conduct experiments follow these steps:
  - Implementation Template Download and Experiment World Development:** Researchers download the Unity-based Implementation Template and use it to build an experimental world. The template provides interfaces for variable definition, state machine configuration, and data format specification.

- 2) **Experiment Registration in Web Console:** Researchers access the Web Console to register experiment information (title, description, participation requirements, rewards, consent form content, etc.) and questionnaire content. Questionnaire identifiers set in the Implementation Template are linked to the actual questionnaire items set within the Web Console information.
- 3) **Experiment World Upload and Publication:** Once the completed Experiment World is uploaded to the Metaverse platform, it becomes accessible from the Recruitment World. Researchers can manage the visibility of experiments through the Web Console, hiding experiments after sufficient data have been collected.
- 4) **Data Collection and Analysis:** As participants perform experiments, data are automatically recorded in Storage. Researchers can view the data in real time through the Web Console and download it for analysis as needed.

### C. Core Features and Mechanisms

The design of LUIDA integrates a variety of features and mechanisms for the comprehensive management of VR experiments using the metaverse environment. We describe the key features of LUIDA that correspond to the elements considered important in VR experiments, as described in Sec. II-A:

1) *Automated Participant Recruitment and Parallel Experiment Execution:* Recent cognitive research increasingly requires large sample sizes, with tens to hundreds of participants. Traditional face-to-face laboratory methods struggle with such scale as a result of time and human resource constraints. LUIDA addresses this challenge through an integrated recruitment platform, where all available experiments are presented in the Recruitment World, allowing participants to easily browse and engage with multiple experiments.

When a participant selects an experiment, the system automatically generates an instance of the Experiment World. This automation allows multiple participants to simultaneously participate in experiments in parallel instances, reducing the time required for large-scale data collection. In addition, researchers can control the visibility of experiments through the Web Console, hiding experiments once sufficient data has been collected and reactivating them as needed, providing flexible management of the data collection process. These features are expected to support studies discussed in Sec. II-A5.

In addition, to prevent participants from repeatedly joining the same experiment, those who attempt to re-enter an Experiment World they have already completed are automatically redirected back to the Recruitment World. Similarly, if an experiment is intended to include only VR users, researchers can configure the system to redirect non-VR users back to the Recruitment World upon their entry.

2) *In-VR Questionnaire:* Maintaining immersion is critical for VR experiments, especially when measuring presence, embodiment, or adherence. LUIDA's in-VR questionnaire system allows participants to complete surveys without removing their HMDs, preserving the consistency of presence sensations and avoiding disruptions caused by switching between virtual and real environments.




Fig. 3. Configuration procedure for automated questionnaire generation: (1) In the LUIDA Web Console, users register a questionnaire using a form. (2) From the list of registered questionnaires, they click the “Questions” button to edit the question items (3). (4) In the Implementation Template (the screenshot captures the actual interface within the Implementation Template of LUIDA’s prototype), the corresponding questionnaire ID is set within the state where the questionnaire should appear. (5) During the experiment, the Experiment World automatically generates questionnaire objects based on the registered question items (3).

As shown in Fig. 3, the implementation of the questionnaire is streamlined through the Web Console, and researchers register questionnaire items and response formats. The system supports multiple response formats, including Likert scales, multiple choice options, and text entry, making it adaptable to various research domains. Then, the system automatically generates questionnaire objects within the virtual environment.

This feature aims to support the studies listed in Sec. II-A2.

3) *Continuous User Tracking and Data Collection:* VR experiments require detailed, continuous motion data for behavioral analysis. LUIDA addresses this need with comprehensive tracking mechanisms that automatically record position and rotation data from HMDs, controllers, and other tracking devices frame-by-frame throughout the experiment. This continuous data collection allows researchers to quantify subtle behavioral patterns, such as proxemics [8], and evaluate movement variations influenced by avatar use, covering similar studies to those mentioned in Sec. II-A1.

Beyond standard tracking data, LUIDA allows researchers to define custom data formats to record experiment-specific variables and measures. For example, task scores and experimental conditions can be logged on a trial-by-trial basis and uploaded upon completion. To maintain both data integrity and participant privacy, all collected data are assigned unique identifiers that ensure anonymity while preserving the coherence of the experimental record.

4) *Experiment Flow Management via State Machine:* VR experiments often involve complex structures with multiple experimental phases. LUIDA’s state machine management system facilitates smooth transitions between these phases, efficiently handling the acclimatization of avatar embodiments, the administration of in-VR questionnaires, and individual tasks before or after the main experiments.

Specifically, the system employs a centralized finite state




Fig. 4. Configuration of the centralized finite state machine that manages the entire experiment flow. (A) Example setup illustrating state transitions; arrows without text indicate transitions to be triggered manually (e.g., when participants click a button or complete a questionnaire). (B) Interface for configuring these settings within the Implementation Template of LUIDA’s prototype.

machine [27] that manages the overall flow of the entire experiment. Researchers can define global states, specify transitions between the states, and set conditions under which each transition occurs (Fig. 4). The conditional transition capability enables dynamic control of the experimental flow based on participant responses or progress. In addition, adopting the observer pattern [29], the system enables researchers to configure state listeners for in-scene objects, triggering specific actions at the beginning of a state, continuously during a state, or at the end of a state (Fig. 5), inspired by QuickVR’s implementation of their workflow system [24]. This precision in timing control ensures consistent experimental procedures among participants while providing flexibility for adaptive experimental designs.

These features are intended to support experiments discussed in Sec. II-A4.

##### 5) Variable Configuration and Automated Trial Generation:

To reduce the complexity of the experimental design, LUIDA automates variable management and trial generation based on settings through an intuitive interface in the Implementation Template (Fig. 6). Researchers can visually define independent variables, supporting both within-subject and between-subject designs to accommodate different experimental approaches.

Based on the configured variables, the system automatically generates the necessary combinations of trials, reducing the risk of human error in setting up conditions and shortening experiment preparation time. The order of the generated trials can be randomized or fixed, allowing control of order effects or analysis of specific learning effects. This automation streamlines experimental design and minimizes the risk of human error, increasing both efficiency and reliability across multiple experimental paradigms.

##### 6) Avatar Control and Management:

Avatar research is an important area within VR studies that investigates how virtual self-presentation influences perception, behavior and cognition, such as the study of Proteus effects [9]. LUIDA supports this line of research with comprehensive avatar management capabilities that allow researchers to upload and configure avatars via the Web Console.

Researchers can treat avatar characteristics (appearance, movements, etc.) as experimental variables and systematically




Fig. 5. Configuration of objects listening to state transitions, leveraging the observer pattern. (A) Each in-scene object can hold multiple state listeners, each monitoring a specific state. When the monitored state is activated, the corresponding listener is triggered and executes its assigned actions. (B) An example of a state listener held by an in-scene object, defining actions for entering the monitored state, actions to be performed each frame while in the state, and actions for leaving the state. (C) The interface used to configure these settings within the Implementation Template of LUIDA’s prototype, comprising: (C1) a list of in-scene objects, (C2) a list of state listeners, and (C3) the actions defined within each listener.

study their effects under different conditions. In addition, LUIDA’s state machine functionality helps manage the avatar adaptation processes that are critical for proper embodiment, ensuring that participants have sufficient time to acclimate to their virtual bodies before proceeding with experimental tasks.

This feature aims to facilitate studies that leverage or control avatars, as discussed in Sec. II-A3.

## IV. IMPLEMENTATION

This section describes the practical implementation of the LUIDA system architecture described in Sec. III.

### A. Metaverse Platform Selection

1) *Cluster Platform Selection Rationale:* For the prototype implementation of LUIDA, we chose the commercial metaverse platform Cluster based on several key considerations:

First, Cluster offers a diverse user demographic, spanning various age groups (from late teens to 40s) with a balanced gender distribution (Fig. 7). This demographic diversity is essential to increase the generalizability and applicability of research findings.




Fig. 6. Variable configuration functionality of the Implementation Template: (A) An example of variable setup and the corresponding generated trials based on the configuration; (B) The actual interface within the Implementation Template (a Unity project) of LUIDA’s prototype.




Fig. 7. Demographic information of Cluster’s users across different age groups and with balanced gender ratio.

Second, Cluster provides content creation capabilities through the Unity game engine and the Cluster Creator Kit (CCK), which offers no-code components for researchers with limited programming experience. In addition, ClusterScript, a JavaScript extension, enables scripting for advanced functionality implementation, ensuring development flexibility.

Third, Cluster supports a variety of SteamVR<sup>5</sup>-compatible VR headsets, including Meta Quest, HTC Vive, Valve Index, and Pico devices, greatly increasing accessibility for participants. While this paper focuses on VR experiments, Cluster also supports PC and mobile devices, extending the potential applications of LUIDA to broader HCI experiments.

<sup>5</sup><https://store.steampowered.com/steamvr>

**2) Platform-dependent Constraints and Mitigation Strategies:** Despite its advantages, Cluster has certain platform-specific limitations that may limit LUIDA’s capabilities: One major limitation is that world developers can only assign avatars to participants when they first join a world. This limitation poses a challenge for studies that require dynamic avatar switching during experiments. We have implemented a special solution to this limitation, as described in Sec. IV-C. In addition, while Cluster can receive external data through Open Sound Control (OSC), it cannot send external data through this protocol. This limitation complicates experiments that require control of external custom software or hardware from within a Cluster world. Also, like many metaverse platforms, Cluster currently lacks native support for finger tracking and pass-through capabilities. Despite these limitations, we chose Cluster because its extensive user base, robust content creation tools, and multi-device compatibility outweigh these limitations, making it an ideal foundation for the LUIDA prototype.

### B. Component Implementation

**1) Recruitment World:** The Recruitment World has been implemented as a public space accessible to all Cluster users. This world features a bulletin board interface that displays all available experiments. The design of the Recruitment World incorporates a role-playing game-like environment to reduce psychological barriers to participation. In addition, we implemented visual tutorial elements to help users understand how to participate in experiments and to ensure a clear visualization of the flow from experiment selection to completion.

**2) Experiment World:** Access to the Experiment Worlds is restricted to users who transition from the Recruitment World, ensuring that only consenting participants have access to the experiments. Each Experiment World implements the experiment progression functionality (state machine management), variable configuration, in-VR questionnaires, and data collection features, described in Sec. III-C. These features are built using the Implementation Template and operate automatically during experiment execution according to the parameters configured by the researchers.

To allow multiple participants to participate in experiments simultaneously, we leveraged Cluster’s automatic instance generation capability. When the number of participants reaches the configured limit (default: 1), the system automatically generates a new instance for additional participants.

**3) Implementation Template:** The Implementation Template was created as a Unity project template using CCK. The interfaces for key functionalities: configuring ID of questionnaires to be auto-generated (Fig. 3.4), state machine progression management (Fig. 4B and 5C), experimental variable manipulation (Fig. 6B), and data recording were implemented in C# within the Unity editor. Configurations established through these interfaces are reflected in CCK and ClusterScript. When experiments created with this template are uploaded to LUIDA, the execution of these functions is completely delegated to CCK and ClusterScript.

**4) Web Console:** The Web Console is deployed in Vercel (Fig. 8 and connected to other LUIDA components through




Fig. 8. Interface of the Web Console developed in the LUIDA prototype: (A) Home page displaying a list of registered experiments; (B) Experiment creation/editing page containing a form for experiment information, a list of questionnaires, and lists of collected data. Sections related to similar functionalities are highlighted with colored areas in this figure: blue for experiment information, orange for avatar settings, green for questionnaire configuration, and yellow for collected data. Some sections are accessible by clicking or scrolling, including: (B1) Additional fields in the experiment information editing form; (B2) Form for adding settings to assign avatars for Experiment Worlds; (B3) Form for adding a new questionnaire; (B4) Questionnaire editing page, where researchers can add question items; (B5) List of responses for each questionnaire; and (B6) Download link for other collected data.

dedicated APIs. This console provides the following functionality:

- **Experiment management:** Interface for researchers to register, edit, publish, or unpublish experiments
- **Questionnaire Management:** Interface for configuring questions and response options for in-VR questionnaires
- **Avatar Configuration:** Settings and management for avatars used in experiments
- **Data Visualization:** Interface for viewing questionnaire answers and other collected data

5) *Storage:* Data collected during experiments are stored on Google Drive. To ensure security and privacy, participant data is tagged with unique, non-traceable identifiers.

### C. Dynamic Avatar Switching

The limitation of Cluster is that avatars can only be assigned when participants join a world. To overcome this limitation, we implemented functionality in LUIDA's Web Console that

allows researchers to register multiple worlds under a single experiment and configure different avatars for each world in advance. When avatar changes are required, researchers can redirect participants to different worlds via world portals. For example, in an experiment investigating Proteus Effect, participants first perform a task in a baseline world with a transparent avatar and then move through a world portal to another world with the assigned avatar. This solution allows for dynamic avatar switching within the constraints of Cluster and plays a critical role in supporting avatar-based experiments.

## V. EXPERIMENT DESIGN

### A. Research Questions

To evaluate the efficacy of the LUIDA framework implemented on metaverse platforms, we set the following research questions (RQs):

- **RQ1:** How does LUIDA benefit researchers conducting VR experiments?

- **RQ2:** Can LUIDA accommodate a variety of VR experiments?
- **RQ3:** How does LUIDA promote the participation of participants in experiments?
- **RQ4:** Does LUIDA maintain experimental validity compared to traditional laboratory experiments?

To address these RQs, we designed experiments involving both researchers (Sec. VI) and non-researchers (Sec. VII). We selected three representative VR experiments from different research domains: perception (Sec. V-B), cognition (Sec. V-C), and user interfaces (Sec. V-D), and replicated their experimental environments using LUIDA. This approach allowed us to assess the benefits for researchers (RQ1) and the versatility of the framework in supporting different types of experiments (RQ2). Then, using these replicated experimental environments, we recruited participants online to conduct actual experiments. Through this implementation process, we examine the effectiveness of participant engagement mechanisms (RQ3) and the validity of the results obtained with it (RQ4). All experiments were approved by the Cluster Metaverse Lab Ethics Committee (2024-003).

The remainder of this section describes in detail the experiments selected for replication.

### B. Measuring Detection Threshold of Hand Redirection

Hand redirection is a technique that intentionally introduces discrepancies between the user's actual hand movements and the movements of the virtual hand in virtual environments. This technique allows for natural interaction with virtual objects over a larger area than would be possible in confined physical environment. Zenner et al. measured detection thresholds (DTs) for hand redirection under three conditions: horizontal rotation warp, vertical rotation warp, and gain warp (which scales movement distance), both with and without distraction [30]. For our study, we replicated the "gain warp without distraction" condition.

The experiment involved participants repeating a reaching task with their right hand, where the virtual hand's position was adjusted based on the gain value. After each trial, participants reported whether the virtual hand moved faster or slower than their real hand. The DT was calculated from their responses. For our validation, this experiment was replicated in a within-subjects design, as outlined in Fig. 9 and below:

- 1) Participants joined the Experiment World and completed a questionnaire on age, gender, and VR experience.
- 2) The participants were explained the reaching task.
- 3) Practice session: The participants performed the task once for each gain value: 0, 1.25, and 0.75.
- 4) Main session: Participants performed the task twice for each gain value in a randomized order: 0.75, 0.8, 0.85, 0.9, 0.95, 1, 1.05, 1.1, 1.15, 1.2, and 1.25.
- 5) Questionnaires on the sense of embodiment and presence were completed.

### C. Proteus Effect during drumming in VR

In the experiment by Kilteni et al. [9], participants played an African djembe drum while using a light-skinned avatar in




Fig. 9. Procedure of the replicated hand redirection experiment: (1) Participants complete questionnaires and receive instructions; (2) Practice session, where they perform the task 3 times; (3) Main session, where they perform the task 22 times with varying gain values; (4) Participants complete questionnaires before leaving. **Task Explanation:** (A) Participants touch the green dot with their right hand; (B) The green dot moves forward; (C) Participants move their right hand forward to touch the green dot. The virtual right hand moves faster or slower than the real hand depending on the gain value; (D) Participants click on a button to answer whether they perceive the virtual hand as moving faster or slower than their real hand, with their responses recorded.

a suit or a dark-skinned avatar in casual clothing. Performance was measured by analyzing body part positions during drumming, to assess the cognitive effects induced by the avatar's appearance through behavioral changes. For our validation, we replicated this experiment using a between-subjects design, following the procedure outlined in Fig. 10 and below:

- 1) The participants received instructions on playing the Djembe drum in a virtual environment.
- 2) Baseline: The participants played the drum for 4 minutes with a transparent avatar and white arms, while HMD and controller positions were recorded.
- 3) The avatar was changed to a light-skinned or dark-skinned version.
  - a) Due to current platform limitations, participants moved from a baseline world (no avatar) to the light-skinned or dark-skinned avatar world.
- 4) Participants performed warm-up exercises in front of a mirror for 30 seconds to adjust to their new avatar.
- 5) Avatar condition: The participants played the drum for 4 minutes with the assigned avatar and the HMD / controller positions were recorded.
- 6) Participants completed a questionnaire on their sense of embodiment.

### D. Extending Fitts' Law to 3D Environment

Fitts' Law predicts a cursor's movement time to a target based on the target's distance and size. Clark et al. extended this to 3D virtual environments, where participants used a controller to move a virtual object to targets at different 3D coordinates and sizes [31]. They developed a model for predicting movement time based on these factors and compared it to the original Fitts' Law and other previous works of similar




Fig. 10. Procedure of the replicated drumming avatar experiment: (1) Participants receive instructions on how to play the Djembe drum; (2) They complete questionnaires; (3) In the baseline condition, participants play the Djembe drum for 4 minutes without an avatar; (4) Participants transition from the baseline world to the avatar world; (5) They confirm their assigned avatar (light-skinned or dark-skinned) in front of a mirror for 30 seconds; (6) In the avatar condition, participants play the Djembe drum for 4 minutes with the avatar; (7) Finally, participants complete questionnaires before leaving.

3D extensions [32], [33], [34], [35]. For our validation, we replicated this experiment in a within-subjects design with the following procedure (also shown in Fig. 11):

- 1) Participants joined the experiment and completed a questionnaire on age, gender, and VR experience.
- 2) The participants received task instructions.
- 3) The participants practiced the task three times.
- 4) Main session: The participants performed the task 100 times with varying target sizes and 3D coordinates.
- 5) The participants completed a questionnaire on presence.




Fig. 11. Procedure of the replicated 3D Fitts' Law experiment: (1) Participants complete questionnaires and receive instructions; (2) Participants perform the task 3 times as practice; (3) Participants perform the task 100 times with varying target sizes and 3D coordinates; (4) Participants complete questionnaires before leaving. **Task Explanation:** (A) Participants move the cursor toward the origin; (B) Upon reaching the origin, they press the VR controller's trigger button; (C) The target appears, and participants move the cursor toward the target while a timer counts; (D) Upon reaching the target, they press the trigger button, and the duration is recorded.

## VI. USABILITY EVALUATION WITH VR RESEARCHERS

We conducted a usability evaluation with VR researchers using LUIDA to investigate RQ1 and RQ2.

### A. Experiment Setup

**1) Participants and Procedure:** We recruited 6 researchers with experience in VR experiments (mean age =  $23.83 \pm 1.83$  years) using the kinship method. Each participant consented to participation and was assigned one of the three experimental designs described in Sec. V that most closely matched their expertise and was assigned to implement the virtual environment for the experiment using LUIDA. All participants

received 15,000 JPY (approximately 100USD) in Amazon gift cards as compensation.

The study was conducted asynchronously, with participants completing the following steps within a one-week timeframe:

- 1) Read an introduction and manual of LUIDA.
- 2) Download the Implementation Template and implement the experiment according to the provided manual.
- 3) Register and publish the implemented experimental system via the Web Console of LUIDA.

After completing the implementation task, participants accessed the Recruitment World, selected their implemented experiment, experienced the tasks first-hand, and reviewed the data collected through the Web Console. Finally, they completed questionnaires and participated in interviews to provide feedback on the benefits, limitations, and potential improvements of LUIDA.

**2) Evaluation Metrics:** Quantitative evaluations used the System Usability Scale (SUS) [36] for usability and NASA-TLX [37] for workload. These evaluations were conducted twice: once focusing on the use of the Implementation Template (which was observed as the most time-consuming part) and once covering the entire process of using LUIDA, from experiment registration to data review. In addition, interviews were conducted to understand the reasons behind the researchers' evaluations, their perspectives on usability and workload, and any suggestions for improvement.

### B. Evaluation Results for Overall LUIDA Usage

**1) Quantitative Assessment:** Regarding the overall process of using LUIDA, the SUS score was  $73.75 \pm 13.39$ , interpreted as ‘Good’ among ‘Worst Imaginable’, ‘Awful’, ‘Poor’, ‘OK’, ‘Good’, ‘Excellent’ and ‘Best Imaginable’ [38].

The NASA-TLX score was  $24.11 \pm 21.01$ , interpreted as ‘Medium’ among ‘Low’ (0–9), ‘Medium’ (10–29), ‘Somewhat high’ (30–49), ‘High’ (50–79) and ‘Very high’ (80–100) [39].

**2) Key Benefits:** The interviews revealed the following key benefits of LUIDA:

- **Automation and Integration of Experimental Processes:** The automation of participant recruitment and data collection streamlines cumbersome tasks typically associated with traditional laboratory experiments:

– “The ability to automatically gather participants online without recruiting them manually seems convenient. Recruiting participants so far had been such a hassle for me.” [P1]

- **Parallel Experiment Execution:** The system manages the execution of the experiment autonomously, without direct involvement of the researcher:

– “*It’s convenient that experiments can run automatically without a researcher present.*” [P6]

- **Enhanced Accessibility:** Remote participation allows access to diverse groups of participants, including those from rural and international locations:

– “*It allows access to people in rural areas and even from all over the world.*” [P2]

– “*Being able to recruit participants and conduct the experiment remotely, without requiring any actions from the researcher, makes things much easier.*” [P4]

- **Improved Information Management:** The Web Console’s management of questionnaires and collected data improves information dissemination and minimizes procedural errors:

– “*No more risk of forgetting to collect questionnaires!*” [P3]

3) *Challenges and Improvement Suggestions:* Participants also identified several challenges and potential improvements:

- **Platform-Dependent User Base:** Dependency on Cluster limits the participant pool and may introduce bias. To address this, participants suggested improving support for users unfamiliar with Cluster to broaden the participant base and reduce platform dependency.

– “*Since participants are limited to Cluster users, there might be some bias.*” [P5]

– “*If a non-Cluster user has to use this system, they will need support.*” [P4]

- **Data Format Improvements:** Data formats were considered limited and sometimes difficult to use. Participants requested more intuitive export options, such as CSV format, and clearer data formatting guidelines.

– “*It would be great to have the option to choose between CSV or JSON formats.*” [P3]

– “*I would appreciate a suggestion for formatting the data to be collected.*” [P5]

- **Challenges in Environmental Monitoring:** Verifying participant engagement and proper adherence to instructions proved difficult. To resolve this, participants suggested real-time support features, including automated alerts, Q&A modules, and recording capabilities for post-experiment review.

– “*It’s hard to confirm whether participants are actually doing the task correctly.*” [P1]

– “*There’s no way to observe the participants, so it’s difficult to check if they are doing the task properly.*” [P4]

– “*I would like a recording feature.*” [P6]

### C. Evaluation Results for the Implementation Template

1) *Quantitative Assessment:* The SUS score was  $44.17 \pm 11.80$ , which falls between ‘Poor’ and ‘OK’ [38]. The NASA-TLX score was  $63.33 \pm 15.45$ , interpreted as ‘High’ [39].

2) *Strengths and Challenges:* Participants suggest that the main strength of the Implementation Template is the integration of functions for rational experimentation:

- **Integrated Approach:** The template eliminates the need to set up a dedicated server, allowing experiments to be run online directly on the platform.

– “*It is convenient to be able to conduct online experiments without having to set up a server myself or implement network communication myself.*” [P1]

– “*It is nice to be able to complete questionnaire responses in the virtual environment without having to remove the HMD. I used to ask participants to answer questionnaires through Google Form on a tablet, which required them to repeatedly take off and put on the HMD. This made the experiment process too complicated.*” [P4]

- **Built-in Functionalities:** Automated questionnaire generation, state management, direct data recording, and CCK integration simplify experimental design and reduce manual overhead.

– “*The questionnaire generation feature is particularly helpful.*” [P5]

– “*CCK helps me facilitate settings for networking, VR locomotion, and interactions with objects.*” [P1]

However, participants noted that there were challenges with complexity, debugging, and interface design:

- **Complexity and Learning Curve:** Although powerful, the state management functionality was considered overly complex for simpler experimental designs and difficult to debug, especially for users unfamiliar with CCK or ClusterScript.

– “*It is not friendly enough for users with no experience in state management.*” [P4]

– “*I’m still not familiar with ClusterScript and CCK... I can’t understand the error messages*” [P3]

- **User Interface (UI):** Cluttered layouts and lack of intuitive visual cues affected usability.

– “*Having all the features come together is not ideal... the UI is hard to read.*” [P1]

– “*Too many settings to configure, and it’s unclear where and how to make changes.*” [P5]

- **Partial No-Code Interface:** Frequent switching between coding and no-code user experiences during implementation potentially introduced confusion [P2].

3) *Improvement Suggestions:* Participants suggested several solutions to address these challenges:

- **Modularization:** Restructure the system to import only the necessary components (questionnaire, data upload, state management) rather than working with a monolithic package [P1].

- **Improving UI:** Adopt a node-based or table-driven GUI with color-coded elements for clearer visualization of state transitions:

– “*I would prefer color coding in the UI.*” [P1]

- **Operation Streamlining:** Add copy-and-paste functionality for state settings and streamline controls to reduce

excessive clicking (e.g., [P4]’s suggestion for a Gantt chart style layout).

- **Enhanced Tutorials and Documentation:** Improve documentation with video tutorials, sample scenes, and detailed integration guides to reduce barriers to entry and facilitate user learning.

#### D. Discussion

Researchers highly valued LUIDA’s key features: automated participant recruitment, parallel execution, and efficient management of questionnaires, and collected data, for their contributions to streamlining research procedures, reducing workload, and increasing accessibility. The system was also praised for bridging the gap between urban and rural research institutions and for removing barriers related to the nationality or cultural background of participants. Although concerns were raised about environmental control and platform-dependent user bases, the overall benefits outweighed these challenges, highlighting advantages over traditional laboratory experiments. This finding is supported by the high usability and moderate workload ratings for the overall LUIDA experience. The interview feedback provided valuable suggestions to address these concerns, including support for non-Cluster users, additional data format options, and enhanced environmental control features.

In contrast, while the Implementation Template received positive feedback for its functionality, the quantitative evaluation indicated unsatisfactory usability and a high workload. Feedback from interviews indicated that these issues were primarily due to the complexity of the state management functionality and the cluttered interface. This highlighted significant improvement needs, with interview feedback suggesting solutions such as component modularization to reduce complexity and improve usability.

In summary, our findings show that LUIDA offers significant benefits through automated recruitment, parallel experiment execution, and efficient questionnaire and data management. These features increase accessibility and reduce barriers in VR research, addressing RQ1: “What benefits does this framework offer researchers in conducting experiments?”. In addition, the successful implementation of all three experimental designs by researchers suggests that LUIDA can accommodate a wide variety of VR experiments (RQ2). Although challenges remain in the areas of environmental control, platform dependency, and complexity of Implementation Templates, they appear to be addressing them through future improvements.

## VII. LARGE-SCALE VALIDATION WITH REPLICATED EXPERIMENTS

To evaluate how effectively LUIDA can promote experiment participation (RQ3) and the validity of LUIDA to host experiments (RQ4), we implemented and uploaded the three experiments described in Sec. V using LUIDA. These experiments were made publicly available for execution with participants outside the lab, and then we examined whether the data collected were consistent with the original experiments from which they were replicated.

#### A. Experiment Procedure

To recruit participants, we made LUIDA publicly available on the Cluster for one week, inviting users to the Recruitment World through Cluster’s official events and social media. Participants consented to participation and were required to use VR devices and complete a questionnaire about their age, gender, and VR experience before participating in an experiment. The total number of participations during this fixed period served as a measure of how effectively LUIDA could promote participation.

After the one-week public period, we closed access to LUIDA and offered participants a 2,000 JPY (approximately 13 USD) Amazon gift card for each completed experiment (up to 6,000 JPY (approximately 40 USD) for participation in all three experiments). The results of each experiment were analyzed using the same analytical approaches used in the original studies to verify the degree of alignment.

#### B. Results

TABLE I  
VR DEVICES USED IN REPLICATED EXPERIMENTS. OCULUS/META DEVICES ARE GROUPED. DEVICES NOT EXPLICITLY NOTED AS STANDALONE ARE PCVR DEVICES USED VIA STEAMVR.

Device	Count in Hand Redirection Experiment	Count in Proteus Effect Experiment	Count in 3D Fitts’ Law Experiment
Oculus Quest (Standalone)	66	61	76
Oculus Quest 2	64	63	66
Meta Quest 3	37	33	38
Meta Quest Pro	2	2	2
Meta Quest 3S	1	1	2
Oculus Rift S	1	1	1
Oculus Rift CV1	1	1	1
VIVE Pro MV	3	3	3
Vive XR Elite	2	2	2
Vive	1	1	1
Vive MV	1	1	1
Pimax Crystal	1	1	–
PICO 4	2	2	1
Beyond	1	1	1
Valve Index	1	1	1
Unknown	1	–	1

1) *Hand Redirection Experiment:* A total of 185 participants completed the hand redirection experiment. The VR devices they used are listed in Table I. After excluding 22 non-compliant participants (11.89% of the whole dataset) who consistently selected only “faster” or “slower” in all trials, valid data were obtained from 163 participants (106 males, 45 females, 12 others; mean age =  $32.012 \pm 9.187$ ). Data from each participant were fitted to a psychometric sigmoid function to calculate the “faster” and “slower” thresholds, and the point of subjective equality (PSE).

Except for PSE, both the “faster” and “slower” thresholds from the original study fell within the confidence intervals of our results. This suggests that experiments on LUIDA demonstrate sufficient validity in the field of perception research.

2) *Proteus Effect Experiment:* A total of 174 participants joined the Proteus Effect experiment, and the VR devices they used are listed in Table I. Among the participants, 16

TABLE II  
DETECTION THRESHOLDS FOR GAIN-BASED HAND REDIRECTION IN PREVIOUS STUDY AND THE REPLICATED EXPERIMENT ON LUIDA

	Original	Ours ()	SD	Confidence interval
Faster	1.07	1.12	0.596	(1.032, 1.217)
PSE	0.98	1.035	0.334	(0.983, 1.087)
Slower	0.88	0.945	0.686	(0.839, 1.051)

TABLE III  
ANALYSIS RESULTS FOR  $d95$  IN THE BASELINE AND AVATAR CONDITIONS OF THE CD AND FL GROUPS.

Group	base	exp	p	Cohen's $r$	n
CD	$6.11 \pm 0.968$	$6.42 \pm 0.952$	.0107	0.202	80
FL	$6.19 \pm 0.869$	$6.36 \pm 0.852$	.202	0.102	78
$p$	.505	.475			
Cohen's $r$	0.0530	0.0568			

dropped out (9.20% of the whole dataset) during the transition from the baseline world (without avatar) to the avatar display world. This resulted in valid data from 158 participants (102 males, 45 females, 11 others; mean age =  $32.665 \pm 9.331$ ). We applied principal component analysis to movement data recorded in each frame to calculate the  $d95$  value, an indicator of "performativity" as defined in the original study. Note that while the original study tracked the positions of the neck, head, upper spine, lower spine, clavicles, upper arms, forearms, and hands, Cluster by default only tracks the head-mounted display (HMD) and controllers, limiting our analysis to head and hand position data.

Based on the Shapiro-Wilk test results indicating non-normality ( $p < 0.05$ ) for all items, we conducted Wilcoxon signed-rank tests between baseline and avatar conditions for both the Casual Dark-skinned (CD) avatar group and the Formal Light-skinned (FL) avatar group. Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were applied to compare baselines between the CD and FL groups, as well as avatar conditions between the groups. Results (Table III) showed no significant differences between the two participant groups or between conditions within the FL group. However, within the CD group, the avatar condition exhibited significantly higher  $d95$  values than the baseline.

Due to having fewer tracking points than the original study, we conducted an additional analysis calculating the sum of displacements for each tracking point (head and hands) throughout the drumming task as "total displacement." The Shapiro-Wilk normality test also indicated non-normality ( $p < 0.05$ ) for all items in the total displacement metric, so we conducted the same analyses as for  $d95$ .

For "total displacement" as well, only the CD group showed significantly higher values in the avatar condition compared to the baseline condition. This result is consistent with the  $d95$  analysis, and both metrics suggest that experiments on LUIDA partially replicated the Proteus effect observed in Kilteni et al.'s study [9], indicating LUIDA's potential to maintain experimental validity in avatar and cognitive research contexts.

3) *3D Fitts' Law Experiment:* A total of 197 participants (131 males, 54 females, 12 others; mean age =  $32.352 \pm 9.423$ ) completed the experiment. None of the participants dropped out or were noncompliant with the task instructions.

The VR devices they used are listed in Table I.

Following Clark et al. [31], we excluded trials with movement times greater than 1.5 IQR above the upper quartile as outliers. Linear regression analyses were then performed, guided by Saffo et al. [7], to model movement time using variables from the existing models listed in Clark et al.'s study (see Section V-D). As presented in Table IV, all spatial variables (Index of Difficulty, depth, inclination angle, azimuth angle, target size  $\times$  inclination angle) significantly predicted movement time as suggested by the existing models. All models explained a moderate portion of the variance, with adjusted coefficients of determination (Adj.  $R^2$ ) around 37% – 39%. These results indicate that data collected in experiments on LUIDA can be effectively explained by models proposed in existing studies, supporting LUIDA's capability to exhibit sufficient validity in the field of user interface research.

### C. Discussion

1) *Experimental Validity with Low Data Loss across Domains:* The hand redirection experiment exhibited DTs adequately consistent with the original study, the Proteus effect experiment partially supported the original findings, and the 3D Fitts' Law experiment produced data that aligned with existing models. All replicated experiments, despite participants using VR devices from various manufacturers, generated results that closely matched the original studies, demonstrating that LUIDA enables replicable findings. Given that the original studies are widely accepted and considered valid, the consistency between our results and the originals suggests that LUIDA maintains adequate validity without introducing uncontrolled variables that could impact outcomes.

Furthermore, traditional crowdsourcing approaches often result in a high proportion of data having insufficient quality. For instance, Brühlmann et al.'s experiment reported that 45.9% of the participants exhibited careless behavior during the experiment [40]. Similarly, Pickering et al. reviewed previous studies that conducted crowdsourcing experiments, finding that some studies had to discard 16%–49% of the collected data due to invalid or incomplete responses [41]. In contrast, LUIDA achieved much lower data exclusion rates of 11.89%, 9.20%, 0% across the three replicated experiments, respectively. Such low proportions of invalid data further support the validity of LUIDA as an experimental framework. We suggest that this outcome is partly due to that participants were required to equip VR devices to join the experiments, which formed a barrier to entry and filter out non-serious or inattentive users. Therefore, we argue that even if the number of metaverse users increases in the future, the data quality on LUIDA is unlikely to be severely impacted, indicating LUIDA's sustainability.

Overall, we conclude that LUIDA sufficiently ensures experimental validity, effectively answering RQ4: "Can this framework host experiments without compromising their validity compared to traditional laboratory experiments?". Besides, such validity was demonstrated across various research fields and devices, providing additional support for RQ2: "Can this framework accommodate a wide variety of VR experiments?".

TABLE IV  
MODELS EVALUATED BY CLARK ET AL. [31] AND OUR REPLICATION (*ID*: INDEX OF DIFFICULTY,  $\theta$ : INCLINATION ANGLE,  $\varphi$ : AZIMUTH ANGLE).

Model	Parameters	Estimate		SE		sr		p		<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>		SE (ms)		Adj. <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>
		original	our result	original	our result	original	our result							
Hoffmann (Fitts') [32]	Intercept	804.287	481.327	123.000	4.633	-	-	-	-	0.501	0.373	427.450	289.336	0.373
	ID	411.940	130.121	42.440	1.243	0.708	0.611	< .001	< .001	-	-	-	-	-
Murata & Iwase [33]	Intercept	805.404	480.710	123.907	4.639	-	-	-	-	0.501	0.373	429.697	289.295	0.373
	ID	411.760	130.101	42.678	1.243	0.707	0.611	< .001	< .001	-	-	-	-	-
Cha & Myung [34]	sin( $\varphi$ )	8.506	7.835	61.130	3.142	0.010	0.015	.890	.013	-	-	-	-	-
	$\theta$	-0.290	1.026	1.759	0.050	-0.012	0.119	.135	< .001	-	-	-	-	-
Machuca & Stuerzlinger [35]	Intercept	795.413	480.871	118.073	4.582	-	-	-	-	0.545	0.387	410.202	286.100	0.387
	ID	415.547	130.224	40.742	1.230	0.713	0.611	< .001	< .001	-	-	-	-	-
Clark et al. [31]	Depth	3.505	1.215	1.164	0.059	0.211	0.118	.003	< .001	-	-	-	-	-
	Intercept	732.369	481.012	105.456	4.577	-	-	-	-	0.645	0.388	364.162	285.779	0.388
	ID	433.127	130.209	36.319	1.228	0.741	0.611	< .001	< .001	-	-	-	-	-
	$\theta$	-2.681	1.352	1.170	0.072	-0.142	0.119	.024	< .001	-	-	-	-	-
	Size $\times \theta$	0.336	-0.017	0.060	0.003	0.346	-0.036	< .001	< .001	-	-	-	-	-

2) *High Efficiency and Accessibility*: Despite aids by promotion, the ability to collect over 500 sets of data within a single week highlights how the platform's automation and scalability significantly improve the efficiency of participant recruitment, experiment execution, and data collection. This addresses RQ1: "What benefits does this framework offer researchers in conducting experiments". The high number of participants also underscores LUIDA's high accessibility, which successfully encouraged participation in the experiments, answering RQ3: "Can this framework encourage participant participation in experiments?".

Taken together, the replication experiments demonstrated that LUIDA can reproduce key experimental effects observed in traditional in-lab studies, while also offering added benefits of automation, scalability, and accessibility. This positions LUIDA as a robust alternative to conventional, lab-based methods for VR research.

3) *Challenges in Participant Dropout and Misunderstanding*: 22 of 185 participants in the hand redirection experiment consistently selected either 'faster' or 'slower' in all trials, while 16 of 174 participants in the Proteus effect experiment dropped out during the world transition. These data losses, although relatively low compared to traditional crowdsourcing approaches, may have resulted from misunderstandings of the experiment instructions, despite the instruction text being displayed. For example, in the Proteus effect experiment, participants may have mistakenly thought the experiment ended after the baseline session when seeing a world portal appeared. Thanks to the continuous data tracking functionality, we were able to identify and exclude participants who did not complete the experiment correctly, helping maintain the experiment's validity. Nonetheless, these data losses highlight a remaining challenge in assisting or monitoring participants in remote experiments. We believe there is room for further mitigation through adding system-level restrictions or automation to prevent similar misunderstandings.

4) *Benefits and Challenges of Metaverse Community Engagement*: Surprisingly, the user community within the metaverse plays a crucial role in promoting experiments and sharing experiences. We observed that participants posted their

involvement in LUIDA on social media, such as sharing selfies from the LUIDA Recruitment World, or introduced LUIDA to their friends in other Cluster worlds. Many highlighted the ease of participation, thereby supporting RQ3 from another aspect. Moreover, this community-driven promotion may have encouraged participants to engage more actively in the experiments, as the information was shared by people they know. This likely contributed to the low proportion of invalid or incomplete data, further reinforcing LUIDA's validity.

However, while such casual sharing can be beneficial, it may inadvertently reveal experimental conditions, potentially challenging the validity of the experiments, although we did not find any post that revealed such details during the one-week public period. We argue that LUIDA should leverage this community-driven promotion while providing clear guidance to prevent users from disclosing sensitive details about any experiment running on LUIDA.

## VIII. DISCUSSION

### A. Overall Benefits of LUIDA

Through both the user study with the researchers and the validation using replicated experiments, we confirmed LUIDA's advantages across various research fields from both researcher and participant perspectives. These advantages, including high accessibility, automation of participant recruitment and experiment execution, and streamlined system implementation, are supported by feedback from researchers and the successful collection of over 500 data sets in one week. Together, they enable efficient large-scale data collection in VR without compromising validity, an essential quality for an experiment framework, as confirmed by our evaluation.

Compared to previous attempts to utilize metaverse platforms for research purposes, LUIDA more effectively leverages the inherent functionalities of metaverse platforms to automate and parallelize participant recruitment and experiment execution. Additionally, in comparison to traditional crowdsourcing approaches, LUIDA affords similar advantages in the automatic and remote recruitment of large numbers of participants. On top of that, it achieves lower data exclusion

rates and greater access to VR or metaverse users, enabling the discovery of findings that more accurately reflect the actual VR usage or metaverse ecosystem.

#### B. Compensation for Data Loss and Experimental Control

Our evaluation also revealed areas for improvement to enhance usability and increase flexibility in addressing more experimental needs. One key challenge lies in data collection, particularly data loss caused by the lack of participant monitoring or support, which risks compromising experimental validity. To address this, we plan to introduce system-level restrictions to mitigate common misunderstandings of experimental procedures (e.g., direct redirection instead of using world portals during world transitions). It may also be beneficial to leverage knowledge from traditional crowdsourcing studies regarding methods for maintaining participant compliance. For instance, developing a VR- or metaverse-adapted version of the Instructional Manipulation Check (IMC), a tool commonly used in crowdsourcing experiments to detect whether participants follow instructions [42], and integrating it into LUIDA may help reduce participant misunderstandings and noncompliance. Additionally, based on user feedback, we aim to implement features that help researchers support participants, such as in-VR Q&A forms or recording functionality. Allowing researchers to join ongoing or designated sessions would also help address monitoring needs. In parallel, expanding the currently limited format options in collected data (e.g., JSON, CSV, etc.) will further enhance data collection capabilities.

There are other aspects to consider in order to improve experimental control. First, to prevent recruiting more participants than originally intended, thereby avoiding unnecessary data collection, a mechanism could be implemented to automatically hide an experiment from LUIDA's Recruitment World once it reaches the predefined maximum number of participants. Second, to maintain a balanced distribution of participant characteristics, the Experiment World could selectively reject participation based on participants' questionnaire responses regarding individual attributes such as genders and ages, or by retrieving information about the devices they are using. Last but not least, LUIDA could offer an automatic testing feature to help researchers verify that their experiment systems function correctly on LUIDA.

#### C. Improvement of Complexity and Readability in Implementation Template

The quantitative evaluation exhibited limitations in usability and workload for the Implementation Template, with interview feedback revealing related issues. First, its cluttered user interface has negatively impacted usability and increased workload. To address this, we plan a complete redesign using a node-based or table-driven layout for easier navigation. Another challenge lies in its monolithic structure, which has posed difficulties for unfamiliar users struggling with multiple components at once. To reduce hurdles due to this structure, we will refactor the template into modular but interoperable components, allowing researchers to adopt only the features

they need. These planned improvements are expected to enhance usability and reduce workload, supported by video tutorials and sample scenes to facilitate quicker onboarding.

#### D. Integration with External Components

LUIDA currently supports experiments that do not require connections with external software or hardware. To expand its capabilities, we plan to develop an add-on module that enables communication with external systems via OSC or dedicated APIs. This addition is expected to support a wider range of devices and experiment types, enhancing LUIDA's versatility.

#### E. Leveraging Metaverse User Community

Regarding the benefits and risks brought by the user community within the metaverse, one approach is to place a designated photo spot in the Recruitment World to encourage users to take and share photos, better leveraging community-driven promotion. At the same time, clear warning signs should be displayed to specify which types of information can or cannot be disclosed, helping to prevent unintentional threats to experimental validity.

#### F. Future Plan

After implementing the refinements proposed in the previous subsections, prioritizing improvements in experimental control and the Implementation Template's modularization and user interface, we will release the entire system by making the Recruitment World public on Cluster and distributing the Implementation Template as a Unity project or package.

In the long run, beyond leveraging the existing metaverse user community, we plan to incorporate additional design elements for "Third Space" (an informal gathering place other than home and work that fosters social interaction and a sense of community), as outlined by Ducheneaut et al. [43], into the Recruitment World to attract more users and increase potential participant engagement. This includes creating a multifunctional space that encourages casual interactions. For example, the Recruitment World could serve as where researchers gather to exchange ideas and where general users engage with research through in-world demonstrations. We expect these designs to foster active communities in the metaverse, draw more users to LUIDA, and bridge research with society.

## IX. CONCLUSION

In this study, we proposed the design of LUIDA, an experiment framework to facilitate participant recruitment and experiment executions in the metaverse. Conducting experiments in the metaverse offers advantages such as easier recruitment from larger participant pools and fewer physical constraints. Moreover, LUIDA's unique design aims to automate and parallelize experiment execution and data collection, while reducing the effort required to build new experimental systems with the provided Implementation Template.

We conducted a user study with VR researchers using a prototype developed on the metaverse platform Cluster. It was positively evaluated regarding its capability to streamline

experiment setup, recruitment, and execution. It also accommodated experiments in various research fields. However, issues with the Implementation Template were reported, and we will address them in future developments.

Next, a large number of metaverse users were automatically recruited as participants on LUIDA within a short period for several replicated experiments, with many original results successfully reproduced. These findings demonstrate that LUIDA preserves the experimental validity inherent in traditional laboratory methods while featuring advantages in large-scale, automated data collection, highlighting its potential as a transformative framework for VR research.

Ultimately, after improvements, we will release LUIDA to the public, expecting it to foster not only VR research but also activities in the metaverse, advancing both research and the growth of metaverse platforms.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Moonshot Research & Development Program (JPMJMS2013).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Gonzalez-Franco, E. Ofek, Y. Pan, A. Antley, A. Steed, B. Spanlang, A. Maselli, D. Banakou, N. Pelechano, S. Orts-Escolano, V. Orvalho, L. Trutoiu, M. Wojcik, M. V. Sanchez-Vives, J. Bailenson, M. Slater, and J. Lanier, “The rocketbox library and the utility of freely available rigged avatars,” *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, vol. 1, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/virtual-reality/articles/10.3389/frvir.2020.561558>
- [2] A. Zenner, H. M. Kriegler, and A. Krüger, “Hart - the virtual reality hand redirection toolkit,” in *Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, ser. CHI EA ’21, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411763.3451814>
- [3] A. Ueshima, “Participation information for experiments and surveys — participant recruitment in psychology and other fields,” <https://www.jikken-baito.com/>, accessed on September 17th, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.jikken-baito.com/>
- [4] Sona Systems, Ltd., “Sona systems: Cloud-based participant management software[computer software],” <https://www.sona-systems.com/>, accessed on September 17th, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sona-systems.com/>
- [5] A. O. Bebko and N. F. Troje, “bmiltux: Design and control of experiments in virtual reality and beyond,” May 2020. [Online]. Available: [psyarxiv.com/arvkf](https://psyarxiv.com/arvkf)
- [6] R. Radiah, V. Mäkelä, S. Prange, S. D. Rodriguez, R. Piening, Y. Zhou, K. Köhle, K. Pfeuffer, Y. Abdelrahman, M. Hoppe, A. Schmidt, and F. Alt, “Remote vr studies: A framework for running virtual reality studies remotely via participant-owned hmds,” *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.*, vol. 28, no. 6, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3472617>
- [7] D. Saffo, S. Di Bartolomeo, C. Yildirim, and C. Dunne, “Remote and collaborative virtual reality experiments via social vr platforms,” in *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, ser. CHI ’21, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445426>
- [8] H. E. Yaremych and S. Persky, “Tracing physical behavior in virtual reality: A narrative review of applications to social psychology.” *Journal of experimental social psychology*, vol. 85, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:200039359>
- [9] K. Kilteni, I. Bergstrom, and M. Slater, “Drumming in immersive virtual reality: The body shapes the way we play,” *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 19, no. 4, p. 597–605, 2013.
- [10] V. Schwind, P. Knierim, N. Haas, and N. Henze, “Using presence questionnaires in virtual reality,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, ser. CHI ’19, 2019, p. 1–12. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org.utokyo.idm.oclc.org/10.1145/3290605.3300590>
- [11] S. Putze, D. Alexandrovsky, F. Putze, S. Höffner, J. D. Smeddinck, and R. Malaka, “Breaking the experience: Effects of questionnaires in vr user studies,” in *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, ser. CHI ’20, 2020, p. 1–15. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org.utokyo.idm.oclc.org/10.1145/3313831.3376144>
- [12] T. Schubert, F. Friedmann, and H. Regenbrecht, “The experience of presence: Factor analytic insights,” *Presence*, vol. 10, pp. 266–281, 06 2001.
- [13] D. Roth and M. Latoschik, “Construction of the virtual embodiment questionnaire (veq),” *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics*, vol. PP, pp. 3546 – 3556, 09 2020.
- [14] A. Genay, A. Lécuyer, and M. Hachet, “Being an avatar “for real”: A survey on virtual embodiment in augmented reality,” *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 5071–5090, 2022.
- [15] T. Rose, C. S. Nam, and K. B. Chen, “Immersion of virtual reality for rehabilitation - review,” *Applied Ergonomics*, vol. 69, pp. 153–161, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0003687018300176>
- [16] R. Venkatakrishnan, R. Venkatakrishnan, B. Raveendranath, C. C. Pagano, A. C. Robb, W.-C. Lin, and S. V. Babu, “How virtual hand representations affect the perceptions of dynamic affordances in virtual reality,” *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 2258–2268, 2023.
- [17] B. J. L. Rabindra Ratan, David Beyea and L. Graciano, “Avatar characteristics induce users’ behavioral conformity with small-to-medium effect sizes: a meta-analysis of the proteus effect,” *Media Psychology*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 651–675, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2019.1623698>
- [18] A. van Loon, J. Bailenson, J. Zaki, J. Bostick, and R. Willer, “Virtual reality perspective-taking increases cognitive empathy for specific others,” *PLOS ONE*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 1–19, 08 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202442>
- [19] T. Waltemate, D. Gall, D. Roth, M. Botsch, and M. E. Latoschik, “The impact of avatar personalization and immersion on virtual body ownership, presence, and emotional response,” *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 1643–1652, 2018.
- [20] M. Cameirão, S. Bermúdez i Badia, E. Duarte, and P. Verschure, “Neurorehabilitation using the virtual reality based rehabilitation gaming system: Methodology, design, psychometrics, usability and validation,” *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, vol. 7, p. 48, 09 2010.
- [21] N. Gavish, T. Gutiérrez, S. Webel, J. Rodríguez, M. Peveri, U. Bockholt, and F. T. and, “Evaluating virtual reality and augmented reality training for industrial maintenance and assembly tasks,” *Interactive Learning Environments*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 778–798, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2013.815221>
- [22] G. Makransky and G. B. Petersen, “The cognitive affective model of immersive learning (camil): a theoretical research-based model of learning in immersive virtual reality,” *Educational Psychology Review*, vol. 33, pp. 937 – 958, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:231638303>
- [23] A. Moreno, K. J. Wall, K. Thangavelu, L. Craven, E. Ward, and N. N. Dissanayaka, “A systematic review of the use of virtual reality and its effects on cognition in individuals with neurocognitive disorders,” *Alzheimer’s & Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions*, vol. 5, pp. 834–850, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352873719300770>
- [24] R. Oliva, A. Beacco, X. Navarro, and M. Slater, “Quickvr: A standard library for virtual embodiment in unity,” *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, vol. 3, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/virtual-reality/articles/10.3389/frvir.2022.937191>
- [25] M. R. Watson, B. Voloh, C. Thomas, A. Hasan, and T. Womelsdorf, “Use: An integrative suite for temporally-precise psychophysical experiments in virtual environments for human, nonhuman, and artificially intelligent agents,” *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, vol. 326, p. 108374, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165027019302316>
- [26] J. Brookes, M. Warburton, M. Alghadier, M. Mon-Williams, and F. Mushtaq, “Studying human behavior with virtual reality: The unity experiment framework,” *Behavior Research Methods*, vol. 52, 04 2019.
- [27] F. Wagner, R. Schmuki, T. Wagner, and P. Wolstenholme, *Modeling Software with Finite State Machines: A Practical Approach*, 1st ed. New York: Auerbach Publications, 2006.
- [28] H. Mohajan, “Two criteria for good measurements in research: Validity and reliability,” *Annals of Spiru Haralambie University*, vol. 17, pp. 59–82, 12 2017.

- [29] E. Gamma, R. Helm, R. Johnson, and J. Vlissides, *Design patterns: elements of reusable Object-Oriented software*. Addison-Wesley, 1994, pp. 293–304.
- [30] A. Zenner and A. Krüger, “Estimating detection thresholds for desktop-scale hand redirection in virtual reality,” in *2019 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*, 2019, pp. 47–55.
- [31] L. D. Clark, A. B. Bhagat, and S. L. Riggs, “Extending fitts’ law in three-dimensional virtual environments with current low-cost virtual reality technology,” *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, vol. 139, p. 102413, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1071581920300173>
- [32] E. R. Hoffmann, “Effective target tolerance in an inverted fitts task,” *Ergonomics*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 828–836, 1995. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139508925153>
- [33] A. Murata and H. Iwase, “Extending fitts’ law to a three-dimensional pointing task,” *Human Movement Science*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 791–805, 2001. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167945701000586>
- [34] Y. Cha and R. Myung, “Extended fitts’ law for 3d pointing tasks using 3d target arrangements,” *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 350–355, 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169814113000723>
- [35] M. D. Barrera Machuca and W. Stuerzlinger, “The effect of stereo display deficiencies on virtual hand pointing,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, ser. CHI ’19, 2019, p. 1–14. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300437>
- [36] J. Brooke, *SUS – a quick and dirty usability scale*. Taylor and Francis, 01 1996, pp. 189–194.
- [37] S. G. Hart and L. E. Staveland, “Development of nasa-tlx (task load index): Results of empirical and theoretical research,” in *Human Mental Workload*, ser. Advances in Psychology, P. A. Hancock and N. Meshkati, Eds. North-Holland, 1988, vol. 52, pp. 139–183. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0166411508623869>
- [38] P. T. K. Aaron Bangor and J. T. Miller, “An empirical evaluation of the system usability scale,” *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 574–594, 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447310802205776>
- [39] A. Prabaswari, C. Basumerda, and B. Utomo, “The mental workload analysis of staff in study program of private educational organization,” *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 528, p. 012018, 06 2019.
- [40] F. Brühlmann, S. Petralito, L. F. Aeschbach, and K. Opwis, “The quality of data collected online: An investigation of careless responding in a crowdsourced sample,” *Methods in Psychology*, vol. 2, p. 100022, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590260120300096>
- [41] D. Pickering and A. B. and, “Paid online convenience samples in gambling studies: questionable data quality,” *International Gambling Studies*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 516–536, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14459795.2021.1884735>
- [42] D. M. Oppenheimer, T. Meyvis, and N. Davidenko, “Instructional manipulation checks: Detecting satisficing to increase statistical power,” *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 867–872, 2009. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022103109000766>
- [43] N. Ducheneaut, R. J. Moore, and E. Nickell, “Designing for sociability in massively multiplayer games: an examination of the “third places” of swg,” in *Conference Proceedings for Other Players, Center for Computer Games Research, IT University of Copenhagen, DK*, 2004.



**Yong-Hao Hu** is a Ph.D. student at the Department of Mechano-Informatics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, the University of Tokyo. He received his B.S. from Waseda University in 2022 and M.S. from the University of Tokyo in 2024. His research interests include avatars, cognition, social psychology, and virtual reality. He is currently dedicated to developing comprehensive experiment frameworks leveraging social VR platforms to advance virtual reality research.



**Sotaro Yokoi** is a Master’s student at the Department of Mechano-Informatics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, the University of Tokyo. He received his B.S. from the University of Tokyo in 2024. His research interests include robotics and human-computer interaction. His current central interest is the use of robots to assist communication and collaboration.



**Yuji Hatada** is an assistant professor at the Inter-faculty Initiative in Information Studies, The University of Tokyo. His research interests are in the crossing domain of virtual reality, human-computer interaction, cognitive science, and philosophy. He has been exploring the change in cognition and narrative selves that users experience through embodied avatars and human augmentations. He received his Ph.D. (Interdisciplinary Information Studies) in 2023, M.E. in 2020, and B.E. in 2018 from The University of Tokyo, respectively.



**Yuichi Hiroi** received the BS and MS degrees in computer sciences from Keio University in 2015 and 2017, respectively. After working at NTT Service Evolution Laboratories, he earned his Ph.D. from Tokyo Institute of Technology in 2022. Since 2023, he has been a senior research scientist at Cluster Metaverse Lab. His research focuses on developing personalized VR/AR experiences, including head-mounted displays, vision augmentation, and customized metaverse experiences designed to meet individual user needs.



**Takuji Narumi** is an associate professor at the Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, the University of Tokyo. He received his BE and ME degrees from the University of Tokyo in 2006 and 2008, respectively. He also received his Ph.D. in Engineering from the University of Tokyo in 2011. His research interests broadly include perceptual modification and human augmentation with virtual reality and augmented reality technologies.



**Takefumi Hiraki** received his B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees from the University of Tokyo, Japan, in 2014, 2016, and 2019, respectively. He served as a JSPS Research Fellow (PD) at the University of Osaka, an assistant professor at University of Tsukuba, and a senior research scientist at Cluster Metaverse Lab. Currently, he is an associate professor at the Institute of Library, Information and Media Science, University of Tsukuba, with a joint appointment at Cluster Metaverse Lab. His research interests include virtual reality, metaverse, human-computer interaction, haptic interfaces, and soft robotics.