CS229 Machine Learning Note 1

Supervised Learning: Linear Regression

Basic Terminologies

- $x^{(i)}$: Input features
- $y^{(i)}$: Output/Target variable
- A pair $(x^{(i)},y^{(i)})$ is called a training example
- ullet A list of n training examples $\{(x^{(i)},y^{(i)});i=1,\cdots,n\}$ is called a **training set**
- h: Hypothesis or Model. A function that maps input features to output/target variable
- Training process is like this:

```
Training set

↓

Training

↓

x -> Hypothesis -> predicted output
```

- ullet We call the learning problem **regression problem** when the output variable y is continuous-valued
- ullet We call the learning problem **classification problem** when the output variable y is discrete-valued

1. Linear Regression

Approximate the hypothesis h with a linear function of x:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0(x_0) + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 \cdots$$

where $heta_i$ s are the **parameters** (also **weights**) parameterizing the space of linear functions

Introduce the convention $x_0 = 1$ to simplify the notation (as **intercept term**), so that:

$$h_{ heta}(x) = heta^T x = \sum_{i=0}^n heta_i x_i$$

where θ , x are (n+1)-dimensional vectors, and d is the number of features.

Define the cost function (also called loss function) as:

$$J(heta) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (h_ heta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$$

where n is the number of training examples.

This function is called **Ordinary Least Squares**.

1.1 LMS Algorithm

Choose θ to minimize $J(\theta)$.

Mathematically, it can be done by solving zero points of partial derivatives. However, this is not feasible for computer or in high-dimensional space.

Gradient Descent

Starts with some initial guess $\theta^{(0)}$, and iteratively update θ by:

$$heta_j := heta_j - lpha rac{\partial}{\partial heta_j} J(heta) \quad ext{for } j = 0, 1, \cdots, n$$

lpha is the **learning rate** (step size).

In practice, α is chosen by ${\bf trial}$ and ${\bf error}$, e.g. 2's exponential.

Work out the RHS:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial}{\partial heta_j} J(heta) &= rac{\partial}{\partial heta_j} \left(rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (h_ heta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2
ight) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (h_ heta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) rac{\partial}{\partial heta_j} (h_ heta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (h_ heta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) rac{\partial}{\partial heta_j} (heta^T x^{(i)} - y^{(i)}) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (h_ heta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

(Here sum and partial derivatives are interchangeable as they are both linear operations)

For a single training example $(x^{(i)},y^{(i)})$, the update rule is:

$$heta_j := heta_j + lpha(y^{(i)} - h_ heta(x^{(i)}))x_j^{(i)}$$

This gives the **LMS** (Least Mean Squares) update rule (a.k.a. Widrow-Hoff rule) with several properties:

The magnitude of the update is proportional to the error

Two ways to modify to multiple training examples:

1. **Batch Gradient Descent**: Update θ using the average of the gradients over all training examples:

$$egin{aligned} heta_j := heta_j + lpha \sum_{i=1}^n (y^{(i)} - h_ heta(x^{(i)})) x_j^{(i)} \ \end{aligned}$$

Grouping into vector form:

$$heta := heta + lpha \sum_{i=1}^n (y^{(i)} - h_ heta(x^{(i)})) x^{(i)}$$

J is a convex function, so batch gradient descent will always converge to the global minimum (for small enough α).

Disadvantages:

- Each step of gradient descent requires a sum over the entire training set, which can be very
 expensive if the training set is large
- Can be slow to converge
- 2. **Stochastic Gradient Descent**: Update θ using only one training example at each step:

$$egin{aligned} \operatorname{Loop} \{ \ & ext{for } i=1 ext{ to } n \{ \ & heta_j := heta_j + lpha(y^{(i)} - h_ heta(x^{(i)})) x_j^{(i)} \ & ext{} \} \end{aligned}$$

Grouping into vector form:

$$heta := heta + lpha(y^{(i)} - h_ heta(x^{(i)}))x^{(i)}$$

Features:

- No need to scan the entire training set to perform each update
- Gets θ close to the minimum (good approximation)
- In practice lpha is decreased with time (e.g. $lpha=rac{const_1}{iteration+const_2}$) to guarantee convergence
- Halt when J has no significant decrease.

For these reasons, particularly when the training set is large, stochastic gradient descent is often preferred over batch gradient descent.