## Homework 1

## PSTAT 126 Winter 2023

Due date: January 31st, 2023 at 23:59 PT

1. The dataset *trees* contains measurements of *Girth* (tree diameter) in inches, *Height* in feet, and *Volume* of timber (in cubic feet) of a sample of 31 felled black cherry trees. The following commands can be used to read the data into R.

```
# the data set "trees" is contained in the R package "datasets"
require(datasets)
head(trees)
```

##		${\tt Girth}$	Height	Volume
##	1	8.3	70	10.3
##	2	8.6	65	10.3
##	3	8.8	63	10.2
##	4	10.5	72	16.4
##	5	10.7	81	18.8
##	6	10.8	83	19.7

- (a) (1pt) Briefly describe the data set *trees*, i.e., how many observations (rows) and how many variables (columns) are there in the data set? What are the variable names?
- (b) (2pts) Use the *pairs* function to construct a scatter plot matrix of the logarithms of Girth, Height and Volume.
- (c) (2pts) Use the cor function to determine the correlation matrix for the three (logged) variables.
- (d) (2pts) Are there missing values?
- (e) (2pts) Use the *lm* function in R to fit the multiple regression model:

$$\log(Volume_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(Girth_i) + \beta_2 \log(Height_i) + \epsilon_i$$

and print out the summary of the model fit.

- (f) (3pts) Create the design matrix (i.e., the matrix of predictor variables), X, for the model in (e), and verify that the least squares coefficient estimates in the summary output are given by the least squares formula:  $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ .
- (g) (3pts) Compute the predicted response values from the fitted regression model, the residuals, and an estimate of the error variance  $Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2$ .
- 2. Consider the simple linear regression model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$

**Part 1:**  $\beta_0 = 0$ 

- (a) (3pts) Assume  $\beta_0 = 0$ . What is the interpretation of this assumption? What is the implication on the regression line? What does the regression line plot look like?
- (b) (4pts) Derive the LS estimate of  $\beta_1$  when  $\beta_0 = 0$ .
- (c) (3pts) How can we introduce this assumption within the *lm* function?

**Part 2:**  $\beta_1 = 0$ 

- (d) (3pts) For the same model, assume  $\beta_1 = 0$ . What is the interpretation of this assumption? What is the implication on the regression line? What does the regression line plot look like?
- (e) (4pts)Derive the LS estimate of  $\beta_0$  when  $\beta_1 = 0$ .
- (f) (3pts)How can we introduce this assumption within the lm function?
- 3. Consider the simple linear regression model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$

- (a) (10pts) Use the LS estimation general result  $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$  to find the explicit estimates for  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .
- (b) (5pts) Show that the LS estimates  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  are unbiased estimates for  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  respectively.