

Problem Set 3

Data Structures and Algorithms, Fall 2019

Due: September 26, in class.

From CLRS

Exercise 6.1-7, 6.2-5, 6.3-3, 6.4-3, 6.4-5, 6.5-8. (For Exercise 6.5-8, also justify your `Heap-Delete` implementation is correct.) Problem 6-3.

Additional Problem One

[This is NOT a bonus problem, and you ARE required to solve it.]

Professor F. Lake tells his class that it is asymptotically faster to square an n -bit integer than to multiply two n -bit integers. Should you believe him? Justify your answer.

Additional Problem Two

[This is NOT a bonus problem, and you ARE required to solve it.]

The following cruel and unusual sorting algorithm was proposed by Gary Miller. Assume for this problem that the input size n is always a power of 2.

`Cruel`($A[1 \cdots n]$)

```
1: if ( $n > 1$ ) then
2:   Cruel( $A[1 \cdots (n/2)]$ ).
3:   Cruel( $A[(n/2 + 1) \cdots n]$ ).
4:   Unusual( $A[1 \cdots n]$ ).
```

`Unusual`($A[1 \cdots n]$)

```
1: if ( $n == 2$ ) then
2:   if ( $A[1] > A[2]$ ) then
3:     Swap( $A[1], A[2]$ ).
4: else
5:   for ( $i = 1$  to  $n/4$ ) do                                ▷ Swap 2nd and 3rd quarters.
6:     Swap( $A[i + n/4], A[i + n/2]$ ).
7:   Unusual( $A[1 \cdots (n/2)]$ ).
8:   Unusual( $A[(n/2 + 1) \cdots n]$ ).
9:   Unusual( $A[(n/4 + 1) \cdots (3n/4)]$ ).                    ▷ Recurse on middle half.
```

- (a). Prove by induction that `Cruel` correctly sorts any input array.
- (b). Prove that `Cruel` would *not* correctly sort if we remove the **for** loop from `Unusual`.
- (c). Prove that `Cruel` would *not* correctly sort if we swap the last two lines of `Unusual`.
- (d). What is the running time of `Unusual` and `Cruel`? Justify your answers.