

Discrete Math Study Guide

Ziyad Rahman
zrahman3004@gmail.com

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Logical Symbols and Deductive Reasoning | 3 |
| 1.1 | Variables and Statements | 3 |
| 1.2 | Connective Symbols | 3 |
| 1.3 | Logical Laws | 3 |
| 1.3.1 | Associative Law | 3 |
| 1.3.2 | Commutative Law | 3 |
| 1.3.3 | Distributive Law | 3 |
| 1.3.4 | Double Negation Law | 3 |
| 1.3.5 | De Morgan's Law | 3 |
| 1.3.6 | Idempotent Law | 3 |
| 1.3.7 | Absorption Law | 4 |
| 1.4 | Truth Tables | 4 |
| 1.5 | Tautologies and Contradictions | 4 |
| 1.6 | The Conditional | 4 |
| 1.6.1 | Definition | 4 |
| 1.6.2 | The Truth of a Conditional | 4 |
| 1.6.3 | The Conditional in Logical Connectives | 5 |
| 1.6.4 | The Converse | 5 |
| 1.6.5 | The Contrapositive | 5 |
| 1.7 | The Biconditional | 6 |
| 1.7.1 | Definition | 6 |
| 1.7.2 | The Truth of a Biconditional | 6 |
| 1.8 | Arguments | 6 |
| 2 | Quantifiers | 7 |
| 2.1 | Introducing Quantifiers | 7 |
| 2.1.1 | Uniqueness | 7 |
| 2.1.2 | Idomatic and Mathematical English | 7 |
| 2.2 | Bound and Free Variables | 7 |
| 2.3 | Quantifier Negation | 8 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 3 | Set Theory | 8 |
| 3.1 | Defining Sets | 8 |
| 3.1.1 | Important Sets | 8 |
| 3.2 | Basic Set Operations | 8 |
| 3.2.1 | Intersection | 8 |
| 3.2.2 | Union | 8 |
| 3.2.3 | Difference | 9 |
| 3.2.4 | Symmetric Difference | 9 |
| 3.2.5 | Subsets, Proper and Improper | 9 |
| 3.3 | Families of Sets | 9 |
| 3.3.1 | Index Sets | 9 |
| 3.3.2 | The Power Set | 10 |
| 3.3.3 | Operations on Families of Sets | 10 |
| 4 | Introductory Proof Strategies | 10 |
| 4.1 | Theorems, Propositions, and Lemmas | 10 |
| 4.2 | Proof Writing Basics | 11 |
| 4.3 | Direct Proofs | 11 |
| 4.4 | Proof by Contrapositive | 11 |

1 Logical Symbols and Deductive Reasoning

1.1 Variables and Statements

A **variable** is a symbol that stands in for some specific value, be it a person, number, etc.

A **statement** is a something that may evaluate to true or false. It is usually either in the form P if it does not depend on a variable or $P(x)$ if the statement's truth depends on what the input is.

1.2 Connective Symbols

1.3 Logical Laws

1.3.1 Associative Law

$$(P \wedge Q) \wedge R = P \wedge (Q \wedge R) \quad (1)$$

$$(P \vee Q) \vee R = P \vee (Q \vee R) \quad (2)$$

1.3.2 Communative Law

$$P \wedge Q = Q \wedge P \quad (3)$$

$$P \vee Q = Q \vee P \quad (4)$$

1.3.3 Distributive Law

$$P \wedge (Q \vee R) = (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R) \quad (5)$$

$$P \vee (Q \wedge R) = (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R) \quad (6)$$

1.3.4 Double Negation Law

$$\neg\neg P = P \quad (7)$$

1.3.5 De Morgan's Law

$$\neg(P \wedge Q) = (\neg P \vee \neg Q) \quad (8)$$

$$\neg(P \vee Q) = (\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \quad (9)$$

1.3.6 Idempotent Law

$$P \wedge P = P \quad (10)$$

$$P \vee P = P \quad (11)$$

1.3.7 Absorption Law

$$P \wedge (P \vee Q) = P \quad (12)$$

$$P \wedge (P \vee Q) = P \quad (13)$$

1.4 Truth Tables

Truth tables are a relatively straightforward concept. The aim is to evaluate the truth of a statement by breaking it down into its smallest parts, then seeing if the final statement is true or false based on the truth of the sub-statements. Here is a simple example,

| P | Q | $P \vee Q$ |
|---|---|------------|
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | F |

1.5 Tautologies and Contradictions

1.6 The Conditional

1.6.1 Definition

The conditional can be thought of as an "if, then" statement. It primarily demonstrates some relationship between two statements. In symbols, it is represented as,

$$P \rightarrow Q$$

This statement can be read several ways in English:

1. P implies Q
2. P only if Q
3. P is a sufficient condition for Q
4. Q , if P
5. Q is a necessary condition for P

1.6.2 The Truth of a Conditional

We can demonstrate the truth of the conditional via a truth table.

| P | Q | $P \rightarrow Q$ |
|---|---|-------------------|
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |

To put the truth table into plain words, the conditional is true only if both Q is true or if P and Q are both false. In other words, the conditional is only false if only Q is false.

1.6.3 The Conditional in Logical Connectives

We can write the conditional in terms of basic logical connectives. The definition of conditional in these terms is as follows.

$$P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q \equiv P \wedge \neg Q$$

Note that the rightmost statement is the same as the middle statement, but De Morgan's Law was applied.

We can verify that these statements are equivalent via another truth table.

| P | Q | $P \rightarrow Q$ | $\neg P \vee Q$ | $P \wedge \neg Q$ |
|---|---|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| T | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | F | F |
| F | T | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | T |

1.6.4 The Converse

The converse of a conditional is simply the conditional, but the statements have been swapped around.

$$P \rightarrow Q \not\equiv Q \rightarrow P$$

We could write a truth table to demonstrate that these statements are **NOT** equivalent, but we will use the definition of the conditional (the logical symbols version) to demonstrate intuitively that these are not the same.

$$P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$$

$$Q \rightarrow P \equiv \neg Q \vee P \tag{14}$$

$$\neg P \vee Q \not\equiv \neg Q \vee P \tag{15}$$

1.6.5 The Contrapositive

The contrapositive of a conditional is a negated version of the original statement. Unlike the converse of conditional, the contrapositive is equivalent to the original statement.

$$P \rightarrow Q \equiv \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$$

We could use a truth table to show that these statements are equivalent, but we can also use the logical forms of the conditionals achieve the same end.

$$\begin{aligned}
P \rightarrow Q &\equiv \neg P \vee Q \\
\neg Q \rightarrow P &\equiv \neg\neg Q \vee \neg P \\
\neg P \vee Q &\equiv \neg\neg Q \vee P
\end{aligned}$$

1.7 The Biconditional

1.7.1 Definition

The biconditional is often read as "if and only if". It can be written in terms of the conditional or logical connectors. It is written as follows.

$$P \leftrightarrow Q$$

In terms of the conditional,

$$P \leftrightarrow Q \equiv (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P) \quad (16)$$

The final definition is in terms of logical connectors.

$$P \leftrightarrow Q \equiv (\neg P \vee Q) \wedge (\neg Q \vee P) \quad (17)$$

Any statements that are equivalent to those above are valid definition of the biconditional.

1.7.2 The Truth of a Biconditional

We can determine the truth of a biconditional via a truth table.

| P | Q | $P \leftrightarrow Q$ |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| F | F | T |

As we can see, the biconditional only evaluates to true if (and only if) both statements involved are true.

1.8 Arguments

2 Quantifiers

2.1 Introducing Quantifiers

The Universe of Discourse: The set of all values that a variable can take on. It is often denoted as \mathcal{U} .

Quantifier: A symbol that denotes for which values in the Universe of Discourse that some statement is true for. There are two types.

1. **Universal Quantifier:** Denoted by \forall . It denotes that a statement is true for all values.
2. **Existential Quantifier:** Denoted by \exists . It denotes that a statement is true for some value.

Note: Quantifiers only apply to immediate statements.

$$\forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \neq \forall xP(x) \wedge \forall xQ(x)$$

2.1.1 Uniqueness

$\exists!$ is a special case of the existential quantifier. It denotes that there is only one value that satisfies the statement.

2.1.2 Idomatic and Mathematical English

Statements quantifiers may be translated into idiomatic or mathematical English. The difference between the two is that idiomatic english is a translation (totally different wording but same meaning) whereas mathematical english is a transliteration (where you translate every part directly).

There are no hard and fast rules about this, but generally, you would replace the quantifier directly with its definition and work from there.

For example, take the statement $\forall x \exists y(P(x) \rightarrow Q(y))$.

1. **Idiomatic English:** All x have some y .
2. **Mathematical English:** For all x , there exists a y , such that $P(x)$ implies $Q(y)$.

2.2 Bound and Free Variables

Bound Variable: A variable that is bound, or within the scope of, some quantifier related to it.

Free Variable: A variable that is not bound by any quantifier.

Example: In the statement,

$$\forall x P(x, y)$$

x is a bound variable, while y is a free variable.

2.3 Quantifier Negation

Quantifiers have some negation laws associated with them. They are fairly straightforward.

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x) \quad (18)$$

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x) \quad (19)$$

3 Set Theory

3.1 Defining Sets

Set: A collection of objects. ex. $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$

Object: An element of a set. ex. 52.

3.1.1 Important Sets

There are a few very important important sets that we must know. They are as follow:

\emptyset = $\{\}$; a set with no objects.

\mathbb{N} = $\{x \mid x \text{ is a natural number}\}$

\mathbb{Z} = $\{x \mid x \text{ is an integer}\}$

\mathbb{R} = $\{x \mid x \text{ is a real number}\}$

\mathbb{Q} = $\{x \mid x \text{ is a rational number of form } \frac{p}{q} \text{ where } p, q \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } q \neq 0\}$

Truth Set = $\{x \mid P(x)\}$; the set of all objects that makes the statement true

3.2 Basic Set Operations

3.2.1 Intersection

The intersection of two sets is the set of elements in both sets.

$$A \cap B = \{x \mid x \in A \wedge x \in B\} \quad (20)$$

3.2.2 Union

The union of two sets is the set of all elements in the sets.

$$A \cup B = \{x \mid x \in A \vee x \in B\} \quad (21)$$

3.2.3 Difference

The difference of two sets is the set of elements in the first but not the second.

$$A \setminus B = \{x \mid x \in A \wedge x \notin B\} \quad (22)$$

3.2.4 Symmetric Difference

The symmetric difference of two sets is the set of all elements not in their intersection.

$$A \triangle B = \{x \mid (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)\} = \{x \mid (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)\} \quad (23)$$

3.2.5 Subsets, Proper and Improper

An (improper) subset is when all elements in some set is contained in some other set.

$$A \subseteq B = \{x \mid \forall x(x \in A \rightarrow x \in B)\} \quad (24)$$

A proper subset is when all elements in some set are equal to all elements of another set.

$$A \subset B = \{x \mid \forall x(x \in A \leftrightarrow x \in B)\} \quad (25)$$

3.3 Families of Sets

Family of Sets: Any set that is a set of sets, often denoted as \mathcal{F} . For example,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A, B, C\} = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \{5, 6, 7\}\}$$

3.3.1 Index Sets

Index Set: A set that indexes another set.

An index set does not need to be strictly consecutive integers. For example, both of the following sets are valid index sets.

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \{1, 2, 3\} \\ J &= \{3, 42, 54\} \end{aligned}$$

Indexed Set: A family of sets that has been indexed by an indexed set. It is often defined as the following.

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A_i \mid i \in I\} \quad (26)$$

3.3.2 The Power Set

Power Set: A set whose elements are all subsets of some other set.

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \{S \mid S \subseteq A\} \quad (27)$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{1, 2\} \\ \mathcal{P}(A) &= \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \emptyset\} \end{aligned}$$

3.3.3 Operations on Families of Sets

Intersection of Sets: A set of all elements that are common to all the sets in the family.

$$\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \{x \mid \forall A \in \mathcal{F} (x \in A)\} \quad (28)$$

Another way to think about the intersection of sets is as follows.

$$A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_i$$

Union of Sets: A set of all elements that are in all the sets in the family.

$$\bigcup \mathcal{F} = \{x \mid \exists A \in \mathcal{F} (x \in A)\} \quad (29)$$

Another way to think about the intersection of sets is as follows.

$$A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_i$$

4 Introductory Proof Strategies

4.1 Theorems, Propositions, and Lemmas

Theorems, Propositions, or Lemma: If certain conditions called hypotheses, premises, or givens are true, then their conclusions are true. The difference between each of these is simply how important they are; theorems are the most important and lemmas are the least important.

Proof: Chain of mathematical statements, where each claim is fully justified using the hypotheses, logical axioms, something you have already proven, and basic arithmetic until a conclusion is reached.

All proofs have the following structure:

Theorem 4.1. *Assumptions and a statement to be proven, say $P \rightarrow Q$.*

Proof. A series of logical steps that lead to the conclusion. Thus, Q holds. Therefore, $P \rightarrow Q$. \square

4.2 Proof Writing Basics

To prove a statement, you must prove that *all* instances are true, not just one. You must somehow show that for all inputs of the variable (within the bounds of our assumptions) to statement holds true. If there is even one instance where the statement evaluates to false, the statement false.

There is no algorithm for proving a statement. There are a few key proof strategies that can help guide your thinking, but ultimately it takes trial and error. Proof writing typically occur in two stages.

1. Scratch work: This is the trial and error stage where you try all the proof strategies. in this stage, you may make use of any logical symbols you need to.
2. Final proof: This is when you formally write your proof. You should keep symbols like quantifiers to a minimum, and write in plain English.

During the scratch work stage, it's often suggested to organize your work into a given and goal column. The given is everything you are assuming about the problem and the goal column is what you are actually trying to prove.

Example:

Lemma 4.2. Suppose $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x > 0$. If $x^2 < 1$, then $x < 1$.

Scratch work:

| Given | Goal |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $x \in \mathbb{R}$ $x > 0$ | $(x^2 < 1) \rightarrow (x < 1)$ |

4.3 Direct Proofs

Direct proofs are the simplest form of proof. Often times, we will use other methods to make a statement easier to prove, so that we can use a direct proof on it.

Direct proofs will often take the following form.

Theorem 4.3. $P \rightarrow Q$

Proof. Assume that P is true. [Arguments using logical laws, definitions, and basic arithmetic]. \square

4.4 Proof by Contrapositive

Proof by contrapositive takes that statement that needs to be proven, then applies the conditional contrapositive law to it. The idea is, if you can prove the contrapositive of the statement, you can prove the original statement because

the contrapositive and the original statement are equivalent.

Contrapositive proofs will often take the following form.

Theorem 4.4. $P \rightarrow Q$

Proof. We will argue this theorem by using the contrapositive. Suppose $\neg Q$. [A direct proof on $\neg Q \rightarrow P$]. Thus, $\neg P$. Therefore, by the contrapositive law, $P \rightarrow Q$. \square