## Problem Set 4: The BGG correspondence, Part 2

Fix  $n \ge 0$ . Let k be a field. Let  $S = k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ , and  $E = \Lambda_k(e_0, \ldots, e_n)$ , with gradings given by  $\deg(x_i) = 1$  and  $\deg(e_i) = -1$ . Recall that  $\omega_E := E^* \cong E(-n-1)$ .

1. (Short exercise) Prove that  $\mathbf{R}(S)$  is an injective resolution of k. Conclude that

$$\mathbf{R}(S)^{\vee}(-n-1) := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_E(\mathbf{R}(S), E)(-n-1)$$

is a free resolution of k.

Aside: the free resolution  $\mathbf{R}(S)^{\vee}$  is an example of the *Priddy resolution* of the residue field over a Koszul algebra, which you will see in general later in Claudia's lectures.

**Solution.** Recall from the lecture that  $H_i(\mathbf{R}(S))_j = \operatorname{Tor}_{i+j}^S(k,S)_j$ . The latter object is nonzero if and only if i = j = 0; and if i = j = 0, it is precisely k. Thus,  $H_i(\mathbf{R}(S)) = 0$  for all  $i \neq 0$ ,  $H_0(\mathbf{R}(S))_j = 0$  for  $j \neq 0$ , and  $H_0(\mathbf{R}(S))_0 = k$ . Since E is injective, it follows that  $k \to \mathbf{R}(S)$  is an injective resolution. The complex  $\mathbf{R}(S)^{\vee}(-n-1)$  is a free resolution of k since  $\underline{Hom}_E(-,E)$  is exact, and  $\underline{Hom}_E(k,E) \cong k(n+1)$ .

**2.** Suppose n=1, and let F denote the following complex of free E-modules:

$$\omega_E \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix}} \omega_E(-1)^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_1 \end{pmatrix}} \omega_E(-2) \xrightarrow{e_1} \omega_E(-3) \xrightarrow{e_1} \cdots$$

Find a graded S-module M such that  $\mathbf{R}(M) \cong F$ . If you like, you can check your answer using Macaulay2 (see the last problem for a demo of how to compute with  $\mathbf{R}$  in Macaulay2).

**Solution.** The module  $M = S/(x_0^2, x_0 x_1)$  satisfies  $\mathbf{R}(M) = F$ . To get here, notice that

$$\dim_k M_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0 \text{ or } i \geqslant 2; \\ 2, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Also,  $x_0$  annihilates  $M_d$  for  $d \ge 1$ .

**3.** Prove Eisenbud-Fløystad-Schreyer's *Reciprocity Theorem*, which is stated as follows. Let M be a graded S-module and N a graded E-module. Prove that  $\mathbf{L}(N)$  is a free resolution of M if and only if  $\mathbf{R}(M)$  is an injective resolution of N. Hint:  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  are exact, and so they preserve quasi-isomorphisms.

**Solution** Assume  $\mathbf{L}(N) \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$  is a free resolution of M. Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is exact, we have a quasi-isomorphism  $\mathbf{RL}(N) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{R}(M)$ . Recall from the lecture that there is a quasi-isomorphism  $N \to \mathbf{RL}(N)$ ; composing, we see that  $\mathbf{R}(M)$  is an injective resolution of N. The other direction is similar.

- **4.** Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module and N a finitely generated graded E-module. Let  $M^*$  (resp.  $N^*$ ) denote the graded S-module  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_k(M,k)$  (resp. graded E-module  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_k(N,k)$ ). The left module actions are defined in the same way as the left module action on  $E^*$  we discussed earlier (except there is no sign over S).
  - (a) Prove that the complexes  $L(N^*)$  and  $L(N)^{\vee}$  have isomorphic terms.
  - (b) If you're feeling ambitious, prove that there is an isomorphism  $\mathbf{L}(N^*) \cong \mathbf{L}(N)^{\vee}$  of complexes.

Conclude from part (b) that  $\mathbf{L}(\omega_E) = K(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ .

Note: one similarly shows that there is an isomorphism  $\mathbf{R}(M^*) \cong \mathbf{R}(M)^{\vee} := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_E(\mathbf{R}(M), E)$  of complexes of graded E-modules.

Solution. Part (a) follows from the identifications

$$\mathbf{L}(N^*)_i = S(-i) \otimes_k N_i^* = S(-i) \otimes_k N_{-i} = (\mathbf{L}(N)_{-i})^{\vee} = (\mathbf{L}(N)^{\vee})_i. \tag{1}$$

Let's now prove (b). We must check that the differentials are identified via (1). Fix  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Choose k-bases  $y_1, \ldots, y_\ell$  and  $z_1, \ldots, z_m$  for  $N_{-i}$  and  $N_{-i+1}$ , respectively. Notice that (1) sends dual basis elements to dual basis elements; that is, it sends  $1 \otimes y_\alpha^*$  to  $(1 \otimes y_\alpha)^\vee$ .

The differential  $\mathbf{L}(N)_{-i+1} \to \mathbf{L}(N)_{-i}$  is a matrix A with respect to our bases, and its transpose  $A^T$  is the differential  $\mathbf{L}(N)_i^{\vee} \to \mathbf{L}(N)_{i-1}^{\vee}$ . The  $(\alpha, \beta)$  entry of the matrix A is  $\sum_{t=0}^{n} c_t x_t$ , where  $c_t$  is the coefficient of  $y_{\beta}$  in  $e_t z_{\alpha}$ . Thus, the  $(\alpha, \beta)$  entry of the matrix  $A^T$  is  $\sum_{t=0}^{n} c_t x_t$ , where  $c_t$  is the coefficient of  $y_{\alpha}$  in  $e_t z_{\beta}$ . In other words,  $A^T$  sends the dual basis element  $(1 \otimes y_{\alpha})^{\vee}$  to the column vector with  $\beta^{\text{th}}$  entry  $\sum_{t=0}^{n} c_t x_t$ , where  $c_t$  is the coefficient of  $y_{\alpha}$  in  $e_t z_{\beta}$ . Now check that the differential on  $\mathbf{L}(N^*)$  acts on  $1 \otimes y_{\alpha}^*$  in exactly the same way (up to a sign, depending on the parity of i, since the left E-action on  $N^*$  involves a sign).

Finally, it follows from (b) and our observation that  $\mathbf{L}(E) = K(x_0, \dots, x_n)^{\vee}$  that  $\mathbf{L}(\omega_E) = K(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ .

- **5.** Let C be a complex of graded S-modules. The homological shift C[i] of the complex C is the complex with  $C[i]_i = C_{i+j}$  and  $d_{C[i]} := (-1)^i d_C$ .
  - (a) Prove that, if  $M \in \text{Mod}(S)$ , considered as a complex concentrated in homological degree 0, then  $\mathbf{R}(M[i]) \cong \mathbf{R}(M)[i]$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - (b) Prove also that  $\mathbf{R}(M(i)) \cong \mathbf{R}(M)(i)[-i]$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

Note: these statements extend to complexes verbatim, but you need not prove this. One can prove in the same way that, given a complex C of graded E-modules, we have  $\mathbf{L}(C[i]) \cong \mathbf{L}(C)[i]$ , and  $\mathbf{L}(C(i)) \cong \mathbf{L}(C)(i)[i]$ . If you have time, prove these identities, and/or verify them via some examples in Macaulay2.

**Solution.** We have  $\mathbf{R}(M[i])_j = \bigoplus_{s+t=j} \mathbf{R}(M[i]_s)_t = \mathbf{R}(M)_{j+i} = \mathbf{R}(M)[i]_j$ . The differentials also agree up to sign, and so the complexes are isomorphic. Similarly,  $\mathbf{R}(M(i))_j = \omega_E(j) \otimes_k M(i)_{-j} = \omega_E(j) \otimes_k M_{i-j} = \mathbf{R}(M)_{j-i}(i) = (\mathbf{R}(M)[-i])_j(i)$ . Once again, the differentials agree up to a sign, and so the complexes must be isomorphic.

- **6.** As a demo of how to compute with the functor  $\mathbf{R}$  in Macaulay2, let's verify computationally that  $\mathbf{R}(S)$  is an injective resolution of k.
  - (a) First, we need to load two packages:

```
needsPackage "BGG"
needsPackage "Complexes"
```

(b) Next, we build our polynomial ring and exterior algebra. I will work with four variables, but you can toggle this choice.

```
n = 3
Edegrees = for i from 0 to n list -1
S = ZZ/101[x_0..x_n]
E = ZZ/101[e_0..e_n, Degrees => Edegrees, SkewCommutative => true]
```

Notice that we add an optional input in the last line to make the degrees of the exterior variables -1. The default is to make the degree of each variable 1.

(c) Now we build the maps in  $\mathbf{R}(S)$ . The function bgg(i, M, E) builds the  $(-i)^{\text{th}}$  differential in  $\mathbf{R}(M)$ . Let's make a list of the first few differentials in  $\mathbf{R}(S)$ .

```
L = for i from -5 to 0 list bgg(-i, S^1, E);
```

(d) Finally, we build a complex out of this list of matrices, and we compute its homology.

```
I = complex(L, Base => -6) ** E^{{-n-1}} presentation HH_0 I for i from -5 to -1 do print (HH_i I == 0)
```

Couple things here: the optional input Base => -6 makes our complex live in the right homological degrees, and tensoring with  $E(-n-1) \cong E^*$  makes it live in the correct internal degrees.