## Problem Set 3: The BGG correspondence, Part 1

Fix  $n \ge 0$ . Let k be a field. Let  $S = k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ , and  $E = \Lambda_k(e_0, \ldots, e_n)$ , with gradings given by  $\deg(x_i) = 1$  and  $\deg(e_i) = -1$ .

1. (Short exercise) Prove that  $E^* := \underline{\text{Hom}}_k(E, k)$  is isomorphic, as a graded left E-module, to the free module E(-n-1).

**Solution.** We construct an explicit isomorphism. Recall that the left action of E on  $E^* := \underline{\text{Hom}}_k(E, k)$  is as follows: let  $f \in E^*$  and  $e \in E$  be homogeneous. We have

$$(e \cdot f)(y) = (-1)^{\deg(e) \deg(f)} f(ey).$$

Given an exterior monomial m, let  $\alpha_m \in \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_k(E,k)$  be the map that sends m to 1 and every other exterior monomial to 0. Notice that the degree of  $\alpha_m$  is  $-\deg(m)$ . Moreover, the maps  $\alpha_m$  form a k-basis of  $E^*$ , called the *dual basis* associated to the monomial basis of E. Define a map  $\varphi \colon E(-n-1) \to E^*$  by sending 1 to  $\alpha_{e_0 \cdots e_n}$  and extending E-linearly; observe that  $\varphi$  is a degree 0 map. We will show that  $\theta$  identifies the monomial basis of E(-n-1) with the above dual basis. Suppose m is an exterior monomial. Choose an exterior monomial  $m' \in E$  such that  $mm' = e_0 \cdots e_n$ . For any exterior monomial m'', we have

$$(\theta(m))(m'') = \alpha(mm'') = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m'' = m' \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

This shows that  $\theta(m) = \alpha_{m'}$ . Thus,  $\theta$  identifies the monomial basis of E(-n-1) with the dual basis of  $E^*$ , and so it is an isomorphism.

**2.** Let R be a graded local ring that is an algebra over a field k. Prove that  $R^* := \underline{\text{Hom}}_k(R, k)$  is an injective graded left R-module. Conclude that the exterior algebra E is injective as a graded left E-module.

Hint: recall that an R-module I is injective if and only if the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, I)$  is exact. You may also want to use Hom-tensor adjunction: that is, if R and S are graded rings, then:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(N, \operatorname{\underline{Hom}}_S(N', N'')) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_S(N' \otimes_R N, N'').$$

for any left R-module N, left S-module N'', and S-R-bimodule N'.

**3.** Let N be a finitely generated graded E-module. Recall that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  term of  $\mathbf{L}(N)$  is  $S(-i) \otimes_k N_i$ . Prove that the differential  $\partial_{\mathbf{L}}$  on  $\mathbf{L}(N)$ , which is given by  $s \otimes y \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n x_i s \otimes e_i y$ , squares to zero.

**4.** Suppose n = 1, and let F be the complex

$$0 \leftarrow S(1) \xleftarrow{x_0 - x_1} S \leftarrow 0,$$

where S is in homological degree 0. Find a graded E-module N such that L(N) = F.

5. This isn't really an exercise: it's just a demo for how to compute with the BGG functor L in Macaulay2. Unfortunately, there is no functionality for L in the Macaulay2 package BGG (it can only handle R). However, the newer package MultigradedBGG (which can also handle more exotic gradings, as we will see next week), can compute the functor L. Try running the following code to check that L(E) is the dual of the Koszul complex.

```
needsPackage "MultigradedBGG"
n = 3;
S = ZZ/101[x_0..x_n]
E = dualRingToric S
toricLL(E^1)
```

We can also apply the BGG functor **L** to E/I, where I is a random monomial ideal, and see what it looks like. Here is how to do this:

```
needsPackage "MultigradedBGG"
n = 5;
S = ZZ/101[x_0..x_n]
E = dualRingToric S
needsPackage "RandomIdeals"
I = randomMonomialIdeal({-3, -4}, E)
N = coker matrix entries gens I
C = toricLL(N)
```

You can take a look at the full differential of C by typing C.dd, or individual differentials by typing  $C.dd_i$  for various i. If you do this in our example above, you'll find that the entries of the matrices are always *linear forms*. Indeed, this is true for  $\mathbf{L}$  applied to any exterior module; this follows from the definition of  $\mathbf{L}$ . In fact, we can say more: the entries in the above example are just variables, up to a sign; this is because the ideal I above is generated by monomials.